

TEC brief: Implementing the results of TNAs

Tim Sill

TEC TNA taskforce

TEC-7, Bonn, Germany, 05 September 2013



TNA results – successes and challenges of their implementation

- **Numerous project ideas, proposals and programmes were developed as outcomes of the TNA process.** These project ideas appear to be consistent with the national development priorities of countries, and further work is planned in relation to their funding and implementation.
- The number of sound project proposals is small compared to the number of project ideas reported by Parties in their TNAs. **It seems to be a real challenge to propose projects in a way that makes them attractive to the financial sector.**
- To seek information on the status of implementation of the results of TNAs undertaken since 1999 **two surveys were undertaken by the UNFCCC in 2010 and 2013.** The implemented projects could serve as examples to assist in the creation of a model advising on the implementation of the TNA results.



TNA results – successes and challenges of their implementation

- Based on the responses received from 23 NAI Parties which participated in the two surveys, there were several implementation success factors identified.

Successes include:

- Availability of domestic and/or international **funding**,
- **Involvement of relevant state authorities** in the project development from the start,
- **A high priority for government** of the proposed project or technologies involved,
- Availability of a pro-active and knowledgeable **project champion** supporting the investment process by his capacity and also as a source of funding, if possible.
- **Interest of national stakeholders in capacity building**,
- **Pro-active knowledgeable project champion** supporting process by his capacity or as a source of funding, if possible.



TNA results – successes and challenges of their implementation

- Similarly , countries identified numerous challenges with implementing projects.

Challenges include:

- **Some TNA reports were prepared by teams of consultants and no state authority was involved** when proposing the projects which resulted in the lack of ownership of state authorities and hence no interest to implement the results,
- **Environmental issues not considered a priority** by the national decisions makers,
- **A high investment and/or low rate of return** of the proposed projects,
- **Low visibility of the projects** reported in the TNAs **to donors**,
- **Low attractiveness** of some unfamiliar technologies.



Thank you for your attention

