Chapter 2. Commercial and residential sub-sector

2.1. Action at sectoral level

The commercial and residential sectors have been considered main sources of GHG emission, as emissions from these sectors have had an increasing tendency over the years.

Issues related to energy efficiency have been indicated in "the law on use of energy resources", adopted in 1996. Although the government has not defined the strategy for prioritized technologies in this sub-sector, there are some existing initiatives to promote use of high efficiency lighting systems.

Biogas application has been supported by different local and international donors, however all initiatives were local. It should be mentioned that those initiatives were not sustainable, as project activities were not followed by appropriate awareness-raising and financial components. With regard to heating pumps, there are no specific policies or programmes related to this technology.

Major barriers to technology deployment could be categorized as economic/financial, capacity building/information, policy/regulatory, technology, environmental and social barriers. High cost of investments/infrastructures, low level of awareness and capacities, and social barriers could be mentioned as main barriers to technology application.

According to rough estimates, deployment of prioritized technologies, such as high efficiency lighting systems, heating pumps and biogas for heating/cooking and efficient stoves, will lead to a total of 32.7 MT GHG emission reductions by the year 2030.

2.2. Action plan for high efficiency lighting systems technology

Despite the fact that there are no specific policies or regulations related to high efficiency lighting systems, energy efficiency is always a priority issue for the government. Presently, there are no subsidy or grant mechanisms for private initiatives related to application of high efficiency lighting systems in the commercial and residential sector.

Application of high efficiency lighting systems technology lines with the country's social, economic and environmental development priorities. With regard to the country's social development priorities, it improves livelihood of the population by reducing energy costs.

Regarding the country's economic development priorities, the technology contributes to security of energy supply and generates new manufacturing sectors, leading to the reviving of that economic sector.

With regard to the country's environmental development priorities, application of the technology contributes to the government's strategy to provide more environmentally sound energy supply.

According to rough estimates, for the year 2030, total GHG emission reduction will be 23 million tons of CO_{2}

Regarding the mechanisms and institutional arrangements for deployment of high efficiency lighting systems technology, it should be mentioned that the key institution involved in the implementation of measures will be the Azerenergy Company under State Oil Company of Azerbaijan Republic.

During the preparation of TAP for high efficiency lighting systems technology, measures have been assessed taking into account their priorities, time scale, related stakeholders, key indicators for measuring implementation and funding resources.

TAP for the technology is provided in table 5.

Table 5: TAP for high efficiency lighting systems technology

| # | Measures | Priority | Why it is important | Time scale | Related stakeholders, implementers | Key indicators | Risks | Funding sources | Costs |
|-------|---|----------|---|------------|--|---|---|-----------------------------|------------|
| Polic | y and regulatory | | | | | | 1 | | |
| 1 | Develop a package of recommendations for improvement of enabling environment, including subsidy mechanism and tax regulations, in order to stimulate private sector initiatives | Medium | - Create enabling environment for private sector initiatives | 0-5 years | SOCAR, MIE, MED, National Parliament, NGOs | - New subsidy mechanism and tax regulations related to sector | - Lengthy state procedures and bureaucracy leading to slow endorsements of proposed recommendations | State | \$ 300,000 |
| 2 | Develop standards and certification process | High | - Put in place standards and certification procedures | 0-5 years | MIE, Standardization and Patent Agency under MED | - Standards and certification procedures in place | - Lengthy state procedures and bureaucracy leading to slow endorsements of proposed measures | State | \$ 100,000 |
| Econ | omic/financial | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Develop mechanism for provision of long-term and low-interest loans, as well as grants through state, private and international funds | High | - Create easy access to affordable loans | 0-5 years | MED | - Easy access to funds created | - Low interest of financial institutions - Insufficient state funds | State, Internatio nal | \$ 100,000 |
| Infor | mation/capacity building | I | | I | | | | I | I |
| 4 | Capacity building programs for local authorities, communal units, private sector and residents | High | - Increase capacity on technology deployment | 0-5 years | SOCAR, MIE, NGOs | - Improved capacity in energy efficiency | - Low interest of local authorities, communal units, private sector and residents | State, Internatio nal | \$ 400,000 |
| 5 | Information campaigns on the advantages of applied technology | High | - Raise awareness on advantages | 0-5 years | SOCAR, MIE, NGOs | - Increased awareness in energy | - No major risk | State, Internatio nal | \$ 250,000 |

20

| # | Measures | Priority | Why it is important | Time scale | Related stakeholders, implementers | Key indicators | Risks | Funding sources | Costs |
|------|---|----------|---|------------|---|---|--|-----------------------------|------------|
| | | | | | | efficiency | | | |
| Othe | r measures | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Develop mechanism for waste management of used bulbs | Medium | - Decrease environment al risks from hazardous wastes | 0-10 years | Azerenergy, SOCAR, local executive committees and local authorities | - Specific mechanism for waste management of used bulbs in place | - Poor coordination among respective organizations | State, Internatio nal | \$ 350,000 |
| 7 | Implementation of pilot projects at municipal or community level to demonstrate advantages of the technology | Medium | - Demonstrate practical application | 5-10 years | MIE, SOCAR, MED, NGOs | - Increased level of awareness | - Weak collaboration of related organizations | State, Internatio nal | \$ 750,000 |