

Second commitment period (CP2) under the Kyoto Protocol

Bonn, Germany
6 March 2014



Sergey Kononov / Vitor Gois-Ferreira, MDA Programme
UNFCCC secretariat

CP2 “refresher”

- **CP2 decisions**
- **CP2 commitments**
- **CP2 timeline**
- **Requirements for the initial report in CP2**
- **Similarities/differences with CP1**
- **Pending negotiation issues**
- **Ratification status**



CP2 decisions

- **Durban (2011): important modalities agreed (1–5/CMP.7)**
 - CP2 beginning agreed: 1 Jan.2013; CP2 end still undecided (1/CMP.7, §1)
 - LULUCF rules, role of mechanisms, gases/sectors/categories, common metrics, methodologies, potential consequences
- **Doha (2012): CP2 decided by Parties + key modalities**
 - KP amended for CP2 purposes (1/CMP.8, §1), including duration (2013–2020)
 - Political commitment to start implementation 1 Jan.2013(1/CMP.8, §§5–6)
 - Definition of assigned amounts amended, incl. cancellation under Art. 3.7ter
 - QELRC defined and adopted (amended Annex B)
 - Possibility to increase ambition (1/CMP.8, §§7–10)
 - Mechanisms and share of proceeds (1/CMP.8, §§12–22)
 - PPSR and carry-over (1/CMP.8, §§23–26)
 - Contents/timing of the CP2 initial report (2/CMP.8, Annex I)
 - Annual reporting on LULUCF in CP2 (2/CMP.8, Annex II)
- **Warsaw (2013): clarifications for modalities (6–8/CMP.9)**
 - => reporting under 3.3&3.4 (KP LULUCF tables), expedited eligibility, compliance



CP2 commitments (QELRCs)

| Annex B Party | QELROs for CP1 (2008 – 2012), % | QELRCs for CP2 (2013 – 2020), % |
|----------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Australia | 108 | 99.5 |
| Belarus | - | 88 |
| Croatia | 95 | 80 |
| European Union | 92 | 80 |
| Iceland | 110 | 80 |
| Kazakhstan | - | 95 |
| Liechtenstein | 92 | 84 |
| Monaco | 92 | 78 |
| Norway | 101 | 84 |
| Switzerland | 92 | 84.2 |
| Ukraine | 100 | 76 |

Note: see also specific footnotes in the Doha Amendment for some Parties



CP2 commitments – in aggregate

Kyoto Protocol, Article 3:

1. The Parties included in Annex I shall, individually or jointly, ensure that their aggregate anthropogenic carbon dioxide equivalent emissions of the greenhouse gases listed in Annex A do not exceed their assigned amounts, calculated pursuant to their quantified emission limitation and reduction commitments inscribed in Annex B and in accordance with the provisions of this Article, with a view to reducing their overall emissions of such gases by **at least 5 per cent below 1990 levels** in the commitment period 2008 to 2012.

Doha Amendment to the Kyoto Protocol, Article 3:

1 bis. The Parties included in Annex I shall, individually or jointly, ensure that their aggregate anthropogenic carbon dioxide equivalent emissions of the greenhouse gases listed in Annex A do not exceed their assigned amounts, calculated pursuant to their quantified emission limitation and reduction commitments inscribed in the third column of the table contained in Annex B and in accordance with the provisions of this Article, with a view to reducing their overall emissions of such gases by **at least 18 per cent below 1990 levels** in the commitment period 2013 to 2020.

Change in the coverage: CP2 = CP1 + Belarus + Cyprus + Kazakhstan + Malta – Canada – Japan – Russian Federation – New Zealand



CP2 timeline

- **1 January 2013: CP2 beginning**
 - 30 April 2014: submission of information relating to ambition increase in CP2
 - 5 June 2014: high-level ministerial roundtable on increased ambition of KP commitments
 - **15 April 2015: submission of the initial report and the 1st annual inventory and SEF in CP2; start of the CP2 review process**
 - 30 June 2015: (optional) report on the establishment of the national registry (to expedite eligibility for AI Parties with no CP1 QELRC)
 - **31 December 2020: CP2 end**
 - 15 April 2022: submission of the last, 2020 inventory in CP2
 - **2023–2024(?): end of “true-up” period and compliance assessment**
-



Requirements for the initial report (2/CMP.8)

- Each Party with a QELRC inscribed in the third column of Annex B to the Kyoto Protocol to submit to the secretariat, by 15 April 2015, a report to facilitate the calculation of its assigned amount ... for the second commitment period and to demonstrate its capacity to account for its emissions and assigned amount (IR)
 - a) Complete GHG inventories, recalculated in accordance with 4/CMP.7 for all years from 1990, or another approved base year
 - b) Selected base year for HFCs, PFCs, SF₆ (if needed), and for NF₃
 - c) Agreement under Article 4 of the Kyoto Protocol for the CP2
 - d) Calculation of the assigned amount and the commitment period reserve
 - e) Selection/justification of LULUCF parameters (tree crown cover, land area and tree height for use in 3.3, 3.4 accounting (if needed for CP2)
 - f) Election of 3.3, 3.4 activities for CP2 (in addition to those elected in CP1)
 - g) Selection of annual or end-of-period accounting for each 3.3, 3.4 activity
 - h) The forest management reference level (appendix to the annex to 2/CMP.7) and related info (regarding emissions from HWPs and natural disturbances)
 - i) Descriptions of the national system and national registry



Key similarities/differences with CP1

Similarities:

- Quantitative targets (QELROs => QELRCs)
- Initial report needed
- Annual reporting required
- Use of market-based mechanisms
- ITL/registries retained
- Compliance rules

Differences:

- Duration: 8 instead of 5 years
- Changes for 3.3, 3.4
- Expedited eligibility possible
- Change for the share of proceeds
- Additional rule regarding the calculation of the assigned amount (Art.3.7ter)
- Invitation to increase ambition in commitments and a mechanism encouraging it



Pending negotiation issues

- **Reporting/accounting issues (unfinished part):**
 - References to previous decisions
 - Modalities for the accounting of assigned amounts under Art.7, para 4
 - √ Calculation
 - √ Recording
 - √ **Cancellation pursuant to Art. 3, para 7ter**
 - √ Accounting for the compliance assessment (subtractions/additions)
 - √ Registry requirements
 - √ Carry-over and share of proceeds
 - √ Compilation and accounting of inventories and assigned amounts
 - Standard electronic format for reporting information on KP units
 - (Some) guidelines for the preparation of the information under Art.7
- **Review issues (negotiations to start in 2014):**
 - Consideration under the SBSTA agenda item on “5-7-8 implications”
 - Linkage to the revision of the review guidelines under the Convention



Ratification status

- **A total of 144 instruments of acceptance are required for the entry into force of the Doha amendment for CP2**
- **As at 3 March 2014, 7 Parties accepted the amendment:**

| Party | Acceptance |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Bangladesh | 13 November 2013 |
| Barbados | 14 August 2013 |
| Mauritius | 05 September 2013 |
| Micronesia (Federated States of) | 19 February 2014 |
| Monaco | 27 December 2013 |
| Sudan | 03 February 2014 |
| United Arab Emirates | 26 April 2013 |



CP2 “refresher”

- **CP2 decisions**
- **CP2 commitments**
- **CP2 timeline**
- **Requirements for the initial report in CP2**
- **Similarities/differences with CP1**
- **Pending negotiation issues**
- **Ratification status**

Questions / comments / concerns?

