



Regional Climate Week

Latin America and the Caribbean

Panama City, Panama – 23-27 October 2023



United Nations
Climate Change

REGIONAL
CLIMATE
WEEKS

Session 2: Carbon pricing approaches



United Nations
Climate Change

REGIONAL
CLIMATE
WEEKS

ETS and carbon tax



United Nations
Climate Change

REGIONAL
CLIMATE
WEEKS


Different types of carbon pricing instruments



United Nations
Climate Change



Pricing carbon emissions: major approaches



United Nations
Climate Change



Pricing carbon emissions: carbon taxes

Carbon taxes

Principle: Entities covered by a carbon tax are required to pay a fee (level of the tax) in accordance with their emissions

- Fixed price (therefore predictable) on GHG emissions provides investment certainty
- Comparatively simple to implement (verification + tax collection)
 - o No need to define an emission cap
 - o Short implementation time
 - o Can also be a steppingstone for adopting an ETS later
- Increasingly considered to raise funds for mitigation activity
- Possible large coverage which can include small sources of direct/indirect emissions



United Nations
Climate Change



Pricing carbon emissions: carbon taxes

Carbon taxes

- Tax-free threshold can be applied to protect trade-exposed sectors
- Flexibility and linking (with other countries) is also possible
 - For example, participants in South African carbon tax can lower their taxable emissions by acquiring “emission reduction units” from activities outside the scope of the tax (e.g., afforestation activities, reduction of methane emissions from landfills)
- Major difficulty: assessing the impact of the carbon tax
 - $\text{Impact} = \text{actual GHG} - \underbrace{\text{baseline GHG}}_{\text{Difficult to determine}}$
 - What GHG emissions have been in the baseline scenario? (without the tax)



United Nations
Climate Change



Pricing carbon emissions: ETS

Emission Trading systems (*cap-and-trade*)

Principle: Tradable-permit system for GHG emissions



- Aggregated limit (the cap) on GHG emissions which can be emitted
 - The number of emission units available is limited and reflects the size of the cap in the ETS
 - guarantees that aggregated emissions from all participants will remain within the level set by the cap
- Entities covered by the ETS need to hold one emission unit (allowances) for each tonne of GHG emitted but can buy / sell units
- Price on carbon: will depend on the balance of the demand and the supply (the size of the cap and corresponding number of emission units) in the ETS.



United Nations
Climate Change



Pricing carbon emissions: ETS

- Higher complexity (MRV and setting the cap)
 - Not suited for small-scale dispersed sources of GHG emissions
 - Many jurisdictions started with pilot/voluntary phase
- Certainty on achievement (cap on GHG emissions)
- Price uncertainty
 - Price/demand safeguards available (price floor, price ceiling, market stability reserve, etc.)
- Tradability (flexibility)
- Linking allows additional benefits (investor benefits from lower abatement costs; recipient benefits from investment flow)
- Revenue generation possible (auctioning of emission allowances)
- Compensation possible for sectors exposed to international competition



United Nations
Climate Change



Pricing carbon emissions: ETS

- Well functioning market requires liquidity (sufficient number of participants)
 - Difficult for countries with very limited number of participants
 - Solutions for increasing flexibility/liquidity exist: use of offsets / linking with other jurisdictions / etc.



United Nations
Climate Change



ETS: The challenge of setting the cap

- Cap set too low: low prices / lack of incentive.
- Cap set too high: high prices – too strong impact on trade-exposed sectors.

Some solutions:

- Start with a “trial phase” (e.g., provincial ETS in China).
- Price adjustment mechanism (price ceiling/floor).
- Start operating at “fixed price” before leaving the price to the market (proposed approach in Australia).
- Use a “flexible cap” (e.g., intensity based: New Zealand) – based on “output”.
- Offer flexibility (use of units from domestic emission reductions projects).



United Nations
Climate Change



Carbon Tax and Emission Trading: Commonalities and Differences



- Both are **regulated** by the government
- Both put a price on carbon and thereby help to make **low-carbon alternatives more attractive**, changing consumption patterns and supporting low-carbon investments.
- individuals and firms can decide **how best to respond** to the price
- Generate **public revenue** that can be used, for example, to invest in climate and energy measures
- a carbon tax can be easier to implement (no new infrastructure required)
- ETS provides more flexibility (e.g., offsets, banking, extending ETS across borders by linking with other systems)
- **Hybrid:** Carbon tax and ETS are **not mutually exclusive**
 - possibility of complementary ETS and carbon taxes covering different sectors.
 - implement carbon tax as a step towards establishing an ETS
 - e.g., price floors and ceilings in an ETS; offset certificates instead of paying the carbon tax.



United Nations
Climate Change



Comparing systems

	Carbon tax	Emission Trading System (ETS)	Hybrid system
Price setting	Direct 	Market	Direct or Market + safety system
Price certainty	Yes		Possible
Achievement certainty	Unknown	Known 	Possible
Coverage	Broad	Only large emitters	Flexible
Complexity	Low (levying a tax)	High	Depending on system
Transparency	High	Medium	Depending on system
Recognition of outcomes	Difficult	High	Possible
International linkage		Yes	Yes



United Nations
Climate Change



Hybrid systems

Current trend:

- Get the “best of both worlds” (ETS and carbon tax):
 - Flexibility for compliance
 - Price certainty

Example of hybrid system:

- Alberta (Canada); Participants can choose between
 - (i) cutting their emission intensity;
 - (ii) purchasing emission reduction credits or
 - (iii) paying into an emission reduction fund

Many combinations of instruments possible



United Nations
Climate Change



ETS

Upstream carbon tax

Downstream carbon tax



United Nations
Climate Change



ETS, facility-based carbon tax, upstream carbon tax

	ETS	Downstream carbon tax	Upstream carbon tax
Point of regulation	Large-scale emitting entity/facility		Fuel producers & importers
Gases covered	CO ₂ ; other GHGs		CO ₂ only
Price setting	Market (supply & demand)	Fixed	
Rebates for ITEI	Yes		Usually none
	Free allowances	Tax-free threshold	
Use of offsets	Easy	Easy	More complex
Market infrastructure	Yes	No	No



United Nations
Climate Change




Transition possible

ETS, facility-based carbon tax, upstream carbon tax

ETS and downstream carbon tax:

Overall strong similarities !

- Same coverage: large scale emitters
- Both have a more granular and complex MRV – which also enables the coverage of more GHGs
- Both allow exemptions for internationally trade-exposed industries
- Key difference: price setting and need for market infrastructure
 - ETS: set by the ratio between demand and cap stringency
 - Tax: set fixed
- A downstream carbon tax can transition into an ETS
 - Additional elements required: market infrastructure; cap-setting approach; allocation approach



United Nations
Climate Change



Combining instruments



United Nations
Climate Change

REGIONAL
CLIMATE
WEEKS

Combining instruments

Many options and combinations of instruments possible

- ETS on large emitters / carbon tax on small sources of emissions
- ETS or carbon tax + emission reduction fund
- ETS + use of offsets (e.g., EU ETS)
- Flexible carbon tax with use of offsets (e.g., South Africa)
- Energy efficiency performance tradings scheme + offsets (Thailand)
- ETS with adjustments for price
- ETS with floating/intensity-based cap: New Zealand




United Nations
Climate Change



Different instruments

Carbon pricing




United Nations
Climate Change



Different instruments

Carbon pricing



ETS allowing the use of carbon credits for compliance




United Nations
Climate Change



Different instruments

Carbon pricing



Carbon tax allowing carbon credits:

- To pay the tax, or
- To reduce the tax-liable net emissions




United Nations
Climate Change



Different instruments

Carbon pricing




United Nations
Climate Change



Different instruments

Carbon pricing




United Nations
Climate Change



Different instruments

Carbon pricing



Carbon tax and ETS in different sectors




United Nations
Climate Change



Different instruments

Carbon pricing



Carbon tax and ETS in the same sectors



United Nations
Climate Change



Quiz time



United Nations
Climate Change

REGIONAL
CLIMATE
WEEKS

Quiz time

Countries can implement both an ETS and carbon tax

A

Absolutely not ! You have to chose on or the other

B

Yes, they can be overlapping or cover different sectors/scopes



United Nations
Climate Change



Based on [Best, Burke, Jotzo 2020](#)

Quiz time

I can combine the use of offset with

A

Carbon tax



B

Emission trading schemes



United Nations
Climate Change



Based on [Best, Burke, Jotzo 2020](#)

Quiz time

An Emission Trading Schemes would work in any country / environment

A

True

B

Not true



United Nations
Climate Change



Based on [Best, Burke, Jotzo 2020](#)




























Carbon pricing around the world



United Nations
Climate Change

REGIONAL
CLIMATE
WEEKS

Carbon pricing in practice

ETS ONLY*						ETS AND CARBON TAX			CARBON TAX ONLY**				
													
CHINA	CALIFORNIA	CHINESE PILOTS	EU ETS X 17	KAZAKHSTAN	GERMANY*	SWITZERLAND	EU ETS X 14	UK	ARGENTINA	BRITISH COLUMBIA	CANADA**	CHILE	
													
KOREA	NEW ZEALAND	QUÉBEC	NOVA SCOTIA	RGGI	MASSACHUSETTS	TOKYO	SAITAMA	MEXICO	COLOMBIA	JAPAN	SINGAPORE	SOUTH AFRICA	UKRAINE

* As of 2021

** Canadian Federal 'backstop' measure applied to provinces not already implementing carbon pricing. As of October 2020 this includes Alberta, Manitoba, New Brunswick, Northwest Territories, Nunavut, Ontario, Prince Edward Island, Saskatchewan, Yukon



United Nations
Climate Change

REGIONAL
CLIMATE
WEEKS

From: INTERNATIONAL CARBON ACTION PARTNERSHIP
ETS BRIEF #8 Emissions Trading and Carbon Tax: Two Instruments, One Goal


THANK YOU FOR ATTENDING



United Nations
Climate Change

REGIONAL
CLIMATE
WEEKS

Carbon pricing around the world



From: World Bank Group – States and Trends of Carbon Pricing 2023

This is an adaptation of an original work by the World Bank. Views and opinions expressed in the adaptation are the sole responsibility of the author or authors of the adaptation and are not endorsed by the World Bank.



**COFFEE
BREAK**

20 MIN



United Nations
Climate Change

**REGIONAL
CLIMATE
WEEKS**