



United Nations

FCCC/IRR/2016/HUN



Framework Convention on
Climate Change

Distr.: General
8 March 2017

English only

Report on the review of the report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount for the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol of Hungary

Note by the expert review team

Summary

According to decision 2/CMP.8, each Party with a quantified emission limitation and reduction commitment inscribed in the third column of Annex B to the Kyoto Protocol, as contained in annex I to decision 1/CMP.8, shall submit to the secretariat a report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount for the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol. In accordance with decision 22/CMP.1, annex I, paragraph 11, in conjunction with decision 4/CMP.11, the report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount is subject to a review. This report presents the results of the technical review of the report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount for the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol, conducted by an expert review team in accordance with the “Guidelines for review under Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol”. The review took place from 19 to 24 September 2016 in Budapest, Hungary.

GE.17-03852(E)



* 1 7 0 3 8 5 2 *

Please recycle



Contents

	<i>Paragraphs</i>	<i>Page</i>
I. Introduction	1–2	3
II. Summary of the reporting on mandatory elements in the report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount	3	3
III. Technical assessment of the elements reviewed.....	4	6
IV. Questions of implementation	5	8
Annexes		
I. Key relevant data for Hungary		9
II. Documents and information used during the review.....		14
III. Acronyms and abbreviations		16

I. Introduction¹

1. The review of the report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount for the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol (hereinafter referred to as the report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount) of Hungary was organized by the UNFCCC secretariat, in accordance with the “Guidelines for review under Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol”.² The review took place from 19 to 24 September 2016 in Budapest, Hungary, and was coordinated by Mr. Nalin Srivastava and Mr. Davor Vesligaj (UNFCCC secretariat). Table 1 provides information on the composition of the expert review team (ERT) that conducted the review of Hungary.

2. A draft version of this report was communicated to the Government of Hungary, which provided comments that were considered and incorporated, as appropriate, into this final version of the report.

Table 1

Composition of the expert review team that conducted the review of Hungary

<i>Area of expertise</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Party</i>
Generalist	Ms. Maria Lidén	Sweden
Energy	Ms. Maria Lidén	Sweden
IPPU	Mr. Joseph Baffoe	Ghana
Agriculture	Mr. Kohei Sakai	Japan
LULUCF	Mr. Emil Cienciala	Czechia
Waste	Mr. Qingxian Gao	China
Lead reviewers	Mr. Qingxian Gao	
	Ms. Maria Lidén	

Abbreviations: IPPU = industrial processes and product use, LULUCF = land use, land-use change and forestry.

II. Summary of the reporting on mandatory elements in the report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount

3. Table 2 provides a summary of the ERT’s assessment of the reporting of mandatory elements by Hungary in its report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount. Key data and elections by the Party are included in table 4.

¹ At the time of publication of this report, Hungary had submitted its instrument of ratification of the Doha Amendment; however, the amendment had not yet entered into force. The implementation of the provisions of the Doha Amendment is therefore considered in this report in the context of decision 1/CMP.8, paragraph 6, pending the entry into force of the amendment.

² Decision 22/CMP.1 and its annex and any revisions contained in decision 4/CMP.11 and its annex I.

Table 2
Expert review team’s assessment of the reporting of mandatory elements by Hungary in its report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount

<i>Item</i>	<i>Reported</i>	<i>Comment</i>
<i>General Party information</i>		
Date of submission		Original submission: 15 June 2016
Are there any missing categories or issues related to completeness ^a in the reporting of GHG emissions by sources and removals by sinks for the base year or period?	No	For further information, see document FCCC/ARR/2016/HUN
Was the GHG inventory recalculated in accordance with decision 4/CMP.7 for all years from 1985 to the most recent year available?	Yes	
Did the Party report the base year for NF ₃ ?	Yes	See annex I, table 4
<i>Information related to agreement by the Party under Article 4 of the Kyoto Protocol to implement commitments jointly</i>		
Has complete information been reported in accordance with decision 3/CMP.11, paragraph 11, by the Party in fulfilment of its agreement under Article 4 of the Kyoto Protocol, in relation to the following:		
(a) Application of decision 1/CMP.8, paragraphs 23–26, related to carry-over and the previous period surplus reserve account	Yes	
(b) Calculation of base-year emissions	Yes	See annex I, table 4
(c) Calculation of the assigned amount	Yes	See annex I, table 4. For further information, see ID#1 in table 3
(d) Calculation of the commitment period reserve	Yes	See annex I, table 4. For further information, see ID#2 in table 3
(e) Application and calculation pursuant to decision 2/CMP.7, annex, paragraph 13	Yes	See annex I, table 4
<i>Information related to the assigned amount and the commitment period reserve</i>		
Was the assigned amount in the original submission calculated in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 8, of the Kyoto Protocol, Article 3, paragraphs 7 bis and 8 bis, as contained in the Doha Amendment, and decision 13/CMP.1 in conjunction with decision 3/CMP.11?	Yes	See annex I, table 4
Has the Party reported in the original submission the difference between the assigned amount for the second commitment period and average annual emissions for the first three years of the first commitment period, multiplied by 8?	Yes	See annex I, table 4. For further information, see ID#4 in table 3

<i>Item</i>	<i>Reported</i>	<i>Comment</i>
Has the Party indicated in the original submission the approach ^b used to calculate average annual emissions for the first three years of the first commitment period?	Yes	See annex I, table 4. For further information, see ID#4 in table 3
Did land-use change and forestry constitute a net source of GHG emissions in the base period, and therefore did the Party include emissions from deforestation in the calculation of the assigned amount?	No	
Was the commitment period reserve in the original submission calculated in accordance with the annex to decision 18/CP.7, the annex to decision 11/CMP.1, the annex to decision 13/CMP.1, paragraph 8 quinquies, and decision 1/CMP.8, paragraph 18?	Yes	See annex I, table 4. For further information, see ID#2 in table 3
<i>Information related to activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4, of the Kyoto Protocol</i>		
If the Party identified activities elected under Article 3, paragraph 4, of the Kyoto Protocol, are these elections in accordance with decision 2/CMP.7, annex, paragraphs 6–8?	Yes	See annex I, table 4
Do the activities elected under Article 3, paragraph 4, of the Kyoto Protocol for the second commitment period include at least those activities elected for the first commitment period?	Yes	
Is information reported on how the national system under Article 5, paragraph 1, of the Kyoto Protocol will identify land areas associated with all additional elected activities and how the Party ensures that land that was accounted for in the first commitment period continues to be accounted for in the second commitment period?	Yes	
Has the Party identified for each activity under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4, of the Kyoto Protocol whether it intends to account annually or for the entire commitment period?	Yes	See annex I, table 4
Did the Party provide information on the forest management reference level, including, if appropriate, information on technical corrections and information on how emissions from harvested wood products originating from forests prior to the start of the second commitment period have been calculated in the reference level?	Yes	See annex I, table 4
Has the Party reported the quantity amounting to 3.5% of the base-year GHG emissions, excluding LULUCF, in the original submission?	No	See annex I, table 4. For further information, see ID#3 in table 3
Did the Party indicate whether it intends to apply the provisions to exclude emissions from natural disturbances for the accounting for afforestation and reforestation and/or forest management and provide the relevant information in accordance with decision 2/CMP.7, annex, paragraph 33?	Yes	See annex I, table 4
<i>Information related to the national system and national registry</i>		
Was a description of the national system provided, in accordance with the guidelines for national systems under	NA	This information was already reported and

<i>Item</i>	<i>Reported</i>	<i>Comment</i>
Article 5, paragraph 1, of the Kyoto Protocol?		reviewed as part of the initial review of the report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount for the first commitment period and did not need to be reported
Was a description of the national registry provided, in accordance with the requirements contained in the annex to decision 13/CMP.1, the annex to decision 5/CMP.1 and the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems adopted by the CMP?	NA	This information was already reported and reviewed as part of the initial review of the report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount for the first commitment period and did not need to be reported

Abbreviations: CMP = Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol, GHG = greenhouse gas, LULUCF = land use, land-use change and forestry, NA = not applicable.

^a Issues related to missing categories and completeness are only for those categories for which methods are available in the 2006 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories.

^b Parties may elect to calculate average annual emissions for the first three years of the first commitment period by including either the gases and sources listed in Annex A to the Kyoto Protocol, or the GHGs, sectors and source categories used to calculate the assigned amount for the second commitment period.

III. Technical assessment of the elements reviewed

4. In accordance with decision 22/CMP.1, and in conjunction with decisions 4/CMP.11 and 10/CMP.11, the review of the report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount for Hungary has been undertaken together with the review of the inventory submission for the first year of the second commitment period.³ Table 3 contains additional information, if any, to support the ERT's assessment included in table 2 above of the Party's capacity to account for its emissions and the assigned amount, specifically related to: the calculation of the assigned amount for the second commitment period and any adjustments applied; information related to Article 3, paragraph 7 ter, as contained in the Doha Amendment; information related to reporting of activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4, of the Kyoto Protocol; calculation of the commitment period reserve; and the national system and national registry.

³ The annual review report on the 2016 inventory submission of Hungary is available at <<http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2017/arr/hun.pdf>>, while the annual review report on the 2015 inventory submission of Hungary is available at <<http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2016/arr/hun.pdf>>.

Table 3

Additional findings of the expert review team, if any, related to Hungary's reporting of mandatory elements in its report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount

<i>ID#</i>	<i>Finding classification</i>	<i>Description of the finding</i>	<i>Classification of problem</i>
1.	Calculation of the assigned amount	<p>The assigned amount submitted by the Party in its report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount was calculated in accordance with Article 3, paragraphs 7 bis, 8 and 8 bis, of the Kyoto Protocol, the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 and annex I to decision 3/CMP.11</p> <p>The ERT notes that the European Union, its member States and Iceland stated that they will fulfil their reduction targets under the second commitment period jointly.^a The joint assigned amount for the European Union, its member States and Iceland is calculated pursuant to the quantified emission limitation and reduction commitment listed in the third column of the table contained in Annex B to the Kyoto Protocol, while the assigned amount of each member State is determined in accordance with the terms of the joint fulfilment agreement. Specifically, the assigned amount for Hungary is fixed, based on Annex II to European Commission decision 2013/162/EU and as adjusted by Commission implementing decision 2013/634/EU^b</p> <p>The ERT concludes that the assigned amount reported by Hungary is in accordance with the joint fulfilment agreement by the European Union, its member States and Iceland</p>	Not a problem
2.	Calculation of the commitment period reserve	The commitment period reserve was calculated in accordance with the annex to decision 18/CP.7, the annex to decision 11/CMP.1 and decision 1/CMP.8, paragraph 18	Not a problem
3.	Accounting of activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4, of the Kyoto Protocol	In its report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount, Hungary reported a value of 30 680 949 t CO ₂ eq (30 680.949 kt CO ₂ eq) for the maximum accountable quantity resulting from forest management that can be added to the assigned amount. However, Hungary did not report the quantity amounting to 3.5% of the base-year GHG emissions, excluding LULUCF, in the original submission. During the review, the ERT calculated this quantity to be 3 835.119 kt CO ₂ eq	Not a problem
4.	Reporting pursuant to Article 3, paragraph 7 ter, of the Kyoto Protocol	In line with the terms of the joint fulfilment of the European Union, its member States and Iceland under Article 3 of the Kyoto Protocol, and as described in the report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount of the European Union, Article 3, paragraph 7 ter, of the Kyoto Protocol is applied to the joint assigned amount of the European Union, its member States and Iceland for the second commitment period. In its report, the European Union includes the value for the difference between the joint assigned amount for the second commitment period and average annual emissions for the first three years of the first commitment period for the member States and Iceland, multiplied by 8. The report of the European Union also clarifies that the approach used to calculate average annual emissions for the first three years of the first commitment period is including the gases and sources listed in Annex A to the Kyoto Protocol	Not a problem
5.	National registry	In the national inventory report, the Party noted that it did not have any previous PPSR at the beginning of 2015 but will establish a PPSR in its	Not a problem

ID#	Finding classification	Description of the finding	Classification of problem
6.	Adjustments	<p data-bbox="432 311 1246 398">national registry. The ERT notes that the 2016 standard independent assessment report for Hungary indicates that according to Hungary, a PPSR account will be established</p> <p data-bbox="432 427 1246 546">The ERT has not identified the need to apply any adjustments to the estimate for the assigned amount for the second commitment period, as reported by Hungary in its report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount</p>	Not a problem

Abbreviations: ERT = expert review team, GHG = greenhouse gas, LULUCF = land use, land-use change and forestry, PPSR = previous period surplus reserve account.

^a The report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount for the European Union is available at <http://unfccc.int/national_reports/initial_reports_under_the_kyoto_protocol/second_commitment_period_2013-2020/items/9499.php>.

^b At the time of publication of this report, the European Union had not yet submitted its instrument of ratification of the Doha Amendment and information on the joint implementation of such an amendment.

IV. Questions of implementation

5. No questions of implementation were identified by the ERT during the review.

Annex I

Key relevant data for Hungary

1. Table 4 provides key data and parameters for, and elections by, Hungary, relevant for the implementation of the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol. The information included in table 4 is as given by the Party in its report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount, unless otherwise specified.

Table 4

Key relevant data for Hungary^a

<i>Key information or parameter provided</i>	<i>Comment</i>
<i>General Party information</i>	
Did the Party have a QELRC in the first commitment period?	Yes
Hungary's QELRC in the second commitment period	Hungary will implement its reduction target under the second commitment period jointly with the European Union, its member States and Iceland as described in ID#1, table 3. The QELRC for the European Union, its member States and Iceland is 80% of the base-year emissions
Has the Party reached an agreement under Article 4 of the Kyoto Protocol to fulfil its commitments jointly with other Parties?	Yes
Base year	Average of years 1985–1987
Base year for HFCs, PFCs and SF ₆	1995
Base year for NF ₃	1995
Base-year emissions, as reported by the Party and agreed by the ERT	109 574 819 t CO ₂ eq
<i>Information related to the calculation of the assigned amount and the commitment period reserve</i>	
Assigned amount, as reported by the Party and agreed by the ERT	434 486 280 t CO ₂ eq
Approach used to calculate the average annual emissions for the first three years of the first commitment period	This is calculated on the basis of the joint assigned amount of the European Union, its member States and Iceland and is based on the gases and sources listed in Annex A to the Kyoto Protocol
Difference between the assigned amount for the second commitment period and average annual emissions for the first three years of the first commitment period, multiplied by 8, as reported by the Party	This is calculated on the basis of the joint assigned amount of the European Union, its member States and Iceland and is based on the gases and sources listed in Annex A to the Kyoto Protocol

<i>Key information or parameter provided</i>	<i>Comment</i>
Commitment period reserve, as reported by the Party and agreed by the ERT	391 037 652 t CO ₂ eq
<i>Information related to activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4, of the Kyoto Protocol</i>	
LULUCF parameters	Minimum tree crown cover: 30% Minimum land area: 0.5 ha Minimum tree height: 5 m
Elections under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4, of the Kyoto Protocol:	
(a) Afforestation/reforestation	Annual accounting
(b) Deforestation	Annual accounting
(c) Forest management	Annual accounting
(d) Cropland management	Not elected
(e) Grazing land management	Not elected
(f) Revegetation	Not elected
(g) Wetland drainage and rewetting	Not elected
FMRL	-1.000 Mt CO ₂ eq/year
Technical corrections to the FMRL as reported in the original submission	-0.040 Mt CO ₂ eq/year
3.5% of total base-period GHG emissions, excluding LULUCF and including indirect CO ₂ , as reported by the Party	Not reported in the original submission
3.5% of total base-period GHG emissions, excluding LULUCF and including indirect CO ₂ , final value, as calculated by the ERT	3 835.119 kt CO ₂ eq
3.5% of total base-period GHG emissions, excluding LULUCF and including indirect CO ₂ emissions, multiplied by 8, as reported by the Party in the original submission and agreed by the ERT	30 680.949 kt CO ₂ eq
Will the Party exclude emissions from natural disturbances in accounting for:	
(a) Afforestation and reforestation	No
(b) Forest management	No

Abbreviations: ERT = expert review team, FMRL = forest management reference level, GHG = greenhouse gas, LULUCF= land use, land-use change and forestry, QELRC = quantified emission limitation and reduction commitment.

2. Tables 5–7 provide an overview of total greenhouse gas emissions and removals as submitted by the Party. Where a Party has decided to voluntarily report indirect carbon dioxide emissions, this is noted in the relevant table.

Table 5
Total greenhouse gas emissions for Hungary, base year^a–2014^b
 (kt CO₂eq)

Year	Total GHG emissions excluding indirect CO ₂ emissions		Total GHG emissions including indirect CO ₂ emissions ^c		Land-use change (Article 3.7 bis of the Doha Amendment) ^d
	Total including LULUCF	Total excluding LULUCF	Total including LULUCF	Total excluding LULUCF	
Base year	107 854.22	109 574.82	107 854.22	109 574.82	NA
1990	91 701.19	94 133.97	91 701.19	94 133.97	
1995	70 359.52	75 705.78	70 359.52	75 705.78	
2000	73 341.45	73 556.69	73 341.45	73 556.69	
2010	61 813.32	65 523.69	61 813.32	65 523.69	
2011	60 476.03	63 808.01	60 476.03	63 808.01	
2012	56 031.97	60 106.90	56 031.97	60 106.90	
2013	54 455.65	57 553.83	54 455.65	57 553.83	
2014	52 631.56	57 225.16	52 631.56	57 225.16	

Abbreviations: GHG = greenhouse gas, LULUCF = land use, land-use change and forestry, NA = not applicable.

^a Base year for source categories included in Annex A to the Kyoto Protocol is the base year under the Kyoto Protocol, which is the average of the period 1985–1987 for CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O, and 1995 for HFCs, PFCs, SF₆ and NF₃.

^b Emissions/removals reported in the sector other (sector 6) are not included in total GHG emissions.

^c The Party has not reported indirect carbon dioxide emissions in common reporting format table 6.

^d The value reported in this column refers to 1990.

Table 6

Greenhouse gas emissions by gas for Hungary, excluding land use, land-use change and forestry, 'average of years 1985–1987'–2014^a
(kt CO₂eq)

<i>Year</i>	<i>CO₂^b</i>	<i>CH₄</i>	<i>N₂O</i>	<i>HFCs</i>	<i>PFCs</i>	<i>Unspecified mix of HFCs and PFCs</i>	<i>SF₆</i>	<i>NF₃</i>
Average of years 1985– 1987	85 194.10	12 660.41	11 403.89	NO	371.08	NO	6.15	NO
1990	73 115.20	11 967.24	8 664.92	NO	375.72	NO	10.89	NO
1995	61 354.46	9 034.23	5 000.68	41.65	222.72	NO	52.04	NO
2000	58 336.81	8 957.82	5 621.47	273.44	283.11	NO	84.04	NO
2010	52 108.89	8 036.88	4 054.66	1 223.01	1.52	NO	98.72	NO
2011	50 272.87	7 821.17	4 259.61	1 345.29	2.16	NO	106.92	NO
2012	46 774.94	7 824.92	4 200.48	1 184.63	1.72	NO	120.20	NO
2013	43 930.88	7 618.50	4 599.50	1 280.34	1.69	NO	122.92	NO
2014	43 573.41	7 613.97	4 503.56	1 428.16	1.96	NO	104.10	NO
Per cent change								
'Average of years 1985– 1987'–2014	–48.9	–39.9	–60.5	NA	–99.5	NA	1 593.6	NA

Abbreviations: NA = not applicable, NO = not occurring.

^a Emissions/removals reported in the sector other (sector 6) are not included in total greenhouse gas emissions.

^b Hungary did not report indirect carbon dioxide emissions in common reporting format table 6.

Table 7
Greenhouse gas emissions by sector for Hungary, 'average of years 1985–1987'–2014^{a,b}
 (kt CO₂eq)

<i>Year</i>	<i>Energy</i>	<i>IPPU</i>	<i>Agriculture</i>	<i>LULUCF</i>	<i>Waste</i>	<i>Other</i>
Average of years 1985–1987	78 826.14	15 075.96	12 051.25	–1 720.60	3 682.29	NO
1990	68 087.82	11 711.90	10 107.80	–2 432.78	4 226.45	NO
1995	57 017.42	8 270.86	5 967.64	–5 346.26	4 449.85	NO
2000	54 432.22	8 270.01	6 164.69	–215.25	4 689.77	NO
2010	48 643.94	6 562.63	5 684.57	–3 710.37	4 632.54	NO
2011	46 668.82	6 698.84	5 924.87	–3 331.98	4 515.48	NO
2012	43 360.46	6 237.64	5 960.94	–4 074.93	4 547.86	NO
2013	41 076.68	5 721.74	6 385.99	–3 098.18	4 369.42	NO
2014	40 279.59	6 128.69	6 533.12	–4 593.59	4 283.75	NO
Per cent change						
'Average of years 1985–1987'–2014	–48.9	–59.3	–45.8	167.0	16.3	NA

Abbreviations: IPPU = industrial processes and product use, LULUCF = land use, land-use change and forestry, NA = not applicable, NO = not occurring.

^a Emissions/removals reported in the sector other (sector 6) are not included in total greenhouse gas emissions.

^b Hungary did not report indirect carbon dioxide emissions in common reporting format table 6.

Annex II

Documents and information used during the review

A. Reference documents

“Guidelines for national systems for the estimation of anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions by sources and removals by sinks under Article 5, paragraph 1, of the Kyoto Protocol”. Annex to decision 19/CMP.1. Available at <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2005/cmp1/eng/08a03.pdf#page=15>.

“Guidelines for the preparation of the information required under Article 7 of the Kyoto Protocol”. Annex to decision 15/CMP.1. Available at <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2005/cmp1/eng/08a02.pdf#page=56>.

“Guidelines for review under Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol”. Annex to decision 22/CMP.1. Available at <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2005/cmp1/eng/08a03.pdf#page=51>.

“Guidelines for the preparation of national communications by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention, Part I: UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual greenhouse gas inventories”. Annex I to decision 24/CP.19. Available at <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2013/cop19/eng/10a03.pdf#page=4>.

“Guidelines for the technical review of information reported under the Convention related to greenhouse gas inventories, biennial reports and national communications by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention”. Annex to decision 13/CP.20. Available at <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2014/cop20/eng/10a03.pdf#page=6>.

“Implications of the implementation of decisions 2/CMP.7 to 4/CMP.7 and 1/CMP.8 on the previous decisions on methodological issues related to the Kyoto Protocol, including those relating to Articles 5, 7 and 8 of the Kyoto Protocol, Part I: Implications related to accounting and reporting and other related issues”. Decision 3/CMP.11. Available at <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2015/cmp11/eng/08a01.pdf#page=5>.

“Implications of the implementation of decisions 2/CMP.7 to 4/CMP.7 and 1/CMP.8 on the previous decisions on methodological issues related to the Kyoto Protocol, including those relating to Articles 5, 7 and 8 of the Kyoto Protocol, Part II: Implications related to review and adjustments and other related issues”. Decision 4/CMP.11. Available at <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2015/cmp11/eng/08a01.pdf#page=30>.

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2006. *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories*. Available at <http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/index.html>.

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2014. *2013 Revised Supplementary Methods and Good Practice Guidance Arising from the Kyoto Protocol*. Available at <http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/kpsg>.

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2014. *2013 Supplement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: Wetlands*. Available at <http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/wetlands/index.html>.

B. Additional information provided by the Party

Responses to questions during the review were received from Mr. Huszár (Department for Climate Policy), including additional material on the methodology and assumptions used. The following documents¹ were also provided by Hungary:

European Commission. 2016. *Report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount of the European Union, and the report to facilitate the calculation of the joint assigned amount of the Union, its Member States and Iceland pursuant to Article 3(7bis), (8) and (8bis) of the Kyoto Protocol for the second commitment period, as required under Article 3(2) of Council Decision (EU) 2015/1339 (draft version 20160825).*

¹ Reproduced as received from the Party.

Annex III

Acronyms and abbreviations

CH ₄	methane
CMP	Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol
CO ₂	carbon dioxide
CO ₂ eq	carbon dioxide equivalent
ERT	expert review team
FMRL	forest management reference level
GHG	greenhouse gas
ha	hectare
HFC	hydrofluorocarbon
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IPPU	industrial processes and product use
kt	kilotonne
LULUCF	land use, land-use change and forestry
m	metre
Mt	megatonne
NA	not applicable
NF ₃	nitrogen trifluoride
NO	not occurring
N ₂ O	nitrous oxide
PFC	perfluorocarbon
PPSR	previous period surplus reserve account
QELRC	quantified emission limitation and reduction commitment
SF ₆	sulphur hexafluoride
t	tonne
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
