

17 June 2011

English only

Ad Hoc Working Group on Long-term Cooperative Action under the Convention

Fourteenth session

Bangkok, 5–8 April 2011, and Bonn, 7–17 June 2011*

Agenda item 4

Review: further definition of its scope and development of its modalities

Submission from India on behalf of Brazil, China, Egypt, India, Malaysia, Philippines and Thailand

1. During the second part of the fourteenth session of the Ad Hoc Working Group on Long-term Cooperative Action under the Convention, the secretariat received a submission from a group of Parties on agenda item 4. The secretariat was requested to issue a conference room paper containing this submission.
2. This submission is attached and reproduced¹ in the language in which it was received and without formal editing. The submission has also been posted on the UNFCCC website.²

* The third part of the fourteenth session of the Ad Hoc Working Group on Long-term Cooperative Action under the Convention will be held in conjunction with the third part of the sixteenth session of the Ad Hoc Working Group on Further Commitments for Annex I Parties under the Kyoto Protocol. For further information see <http://unfccc.int/meetings/intersessional/panama_11/items/6092.php>.

¹ This submission has been electronically imported in order to make it available on electronic systems, including the World Wide Web. The secretariat has made every effort to ensure the correct reproduction of the text as submitted.

² <http://unfccc.int/meetings/ad_hoc_working_groups/lca/items/6050.php>.

Submission by India on behalf of Brazil, China, Egypt, India, Malaysia,
Philippines and Thailand

**Joint Submission by Brazil, China, Egypt, India, Malaysia, Philippines and Thailand
on REVIEW**

Parties wish to make the following submission on Review [Para.138-140 of 1/CP.16]:

1. As indicated in para 4 and elaborated in paras 138 & 139 of 1/CP.16, the scope of the review is the adequacy of the long-term global goal and overall progress towards achieving it. Parties believe that the review is not a review of the Convention itself but should aim at assessing the implementation of commitments under the Convention, and identify the gaps to achieve the ultimate objective of the Convention, with a view to enable the full, effective and sustained implementation of the Convention.
2. As the Kyoto Protocol is an instrument of the Convention, and plays a crucial role in the climate change regime, we believe that the adequacy of actions taken under the Kyoto Protocol should also inform the overall review process.
3. Parties confirm that the review of the adequacy of the long-term global goal and overall progress towards achieving it, can only be effective in the context of the review of the adequacy and effectiveness of binding ambitious emission reduction commitments by Annex I Parties, and by the provisions of enabling support provided by developed countries to developing countries under the UNFCCC.
4. The review should be guided by the principles of equity and common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities, and conducted in accordance with the relevant principles and provisions of the UNFCCC (Para. 138). We believe that inter alia the following provisions of UNFCCC are relevant:
 - Art. 4.2(b),
 - Art. 4.2(d)
 - Art. 7.2 (e)
 - Art. 10.2(a)
 - Art. 10.2(b)
 - Art. 12.1
 - Art. 12.2
5. Since para 139 (c) of 1/CP.16 mandates the COP to take appropriate action based on the review, this action should not be prejudged before the completion of the review. Such discussion would be premature and also outside the mandate of the BAP and 1/CP.16.
