



## Convention-cadre sur les changements climatiques

Distr. limitée  
9 juin 2010  
Français  
Original: anglais

---

### Organe subsidiaire de conseil scientifique et technologique

Trente -deuxième session

Bonn, 31 mai-9 juin 2010

Point 10 de l'ordre du jour

**Questions relatives au paragraphe 3 de l'article 2  
du Protocole de Kyoto**

### **Questions relatives au paragraphe 3 de l'article 2 du Protocole de Kyoto**

#### **Projet de conclusions proposé par le Président**

1. L'Organe subsidiaire de conseil scientifique et technologique (SBSTA) et l'Organe subsidiaire de mise en œuvre (SBI) ont constitué un groupe de contact commun pour examiner le point de l'ordre du jour du SBSTA intitulé «Questions relatives au paragraphe 3 de l'article 2 du Protocole de Kyoto» et le point de l'ordre du jour du SBI intitulé «Questions relatives au paragraphe 14 de l'article 3 du Protocole de Kyoto» à leur trente-deuxième session. Lors de la création de ce groupe de contact, les Présidents du SBSTA et du SBI ont donné pour instruction de consacrer autant de temps à l'examen de chaque point.

2. Le SBSTA et le SBI sont convenus de poursuivre leurs discussions sur ces questions au sein d'un groupe de contact commun qu'ils constitueront à leur trente-troisième session. Ils sont convenus de reprendre l'examen de ces questions à leur trente-troisième session, sur la base du projet de texte figurant dans l'annexe.

## Annexe

[English only]

### **Text for further consideration by the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice and the Subsidiary Body for Implementation**

#### **Matters relating to Article 2, paragraph 3, of the Kyoto Protocol**

1. [The Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice (SBSTA) [and the Subsidiary Body for Implementation (SBI)] acknowledged that the potential impacts of response measures is a challenge faced by all countries. [Parties noted that striving to minimize the adverse impacts of mitigation policies and measures is a common concern of both developed and developing countries.]

Alt: Parties noted that striving to minimize the adverse impacts of mitigation policies and measures is an obligation of Parties included in Annex I to the Convention and a concern of developing countries.

2. The SBSTA [and the SBI] underlined the importance of the principles outlined in Article 3 of the Convention, in the implementation of Article 2, paragraph 3, [and Article 3, paragraph 14 ]of the Kyoto Protocol.

3. [Developed country Parties shall not resort to any form of unilateral measures including countervailing trade measures, against goods and services imported from developing countries on grounds of protection and stabilization of the climate. Such measures would violate the principles and provisions of the Convention, including, in particular, those related to the principle of common but differentiated responsibilities (Article 3, paragraph 1), to trade and climate change (Article 3, paragraph 5), and to the relationship between mitigation actions of developing countries and the provision of financial resources and technology by developed country Parties (Article 4, paragraphs 3 and 7).]

4. [The SBSTA [and the SBI] acknowledged the work being carried out by other bodies under the Convention and its Kyoto Protocol, with the aim of maintaining an approach that is coherent with other relevant work under the UNFCCC process.]

5. [The SBSTA [and the SBI] emphasized that [developed country ]Parties [included in Annex I to the Convention ]shall, in the implementation of policies and measures, strive to minimize the adverse effects, including the adverse effects of climate change, effects on international trade, and social, environmental and economic impacts on other Parties, especially developing country Parties, and in particular those identified in Article 4, paragraphs 8 and 9, of the Convention, taking into account its Article 3.]

6. [The SBSTA [and the SBI] noted the need to deepen Parties' understanding of adverse impacts and the need to continue to share information on the nature of impacts of response measures. Sharing of information can be achieved through the regular and systematic provision by all Parties of information that is as complete as possible on potential and observed impacts of policies and measures, in particular through [Annex I ]national communications, and the regular review of this information.]

Alt: The SBSTA [and the SBI] noted the need to share information on the nature of adverse impacts of response measures experienced by developing country Parties and the steps Annex I Parties are taking, in the implementation of policies and measures under Article 2 of the Kyoto Protocol, to minimize adverse effects, especially on developing country Parties, in particular those identified in Article 4, paragraphs 8 and 9, of the Convention.

7.

Option 1: The SBSTA [and the SBI] also noted the complexities of assessing the attribution and causation of the adverse impacts of policies and measures, and that understanding of this could be achieved through:

(a) Assessments of potential impacts and observed impacts carried out by, inter alia, relevant national institutions and international organizations;

(b) Information from work being carried out by other UNFCCC bodies that may be relevant in considering potential impacts.

Option 2: The SBSTA [and the SBI] noted the need to deepen the understanding of potential consequences of response measures taken by Annex I Parties on developing country Parties and that this can be achieved through various means, including assessments of potential and observed impacts carried out by, inter alia, relevant national institutions and international organizations.

Option 3: Noting the complexities of assessing the attribution and causation of the potential impacts of response measures. The SBSTA [and the SBI] also noted the need to deepen understanding of the potential impacts of response measures to respond to climate change. This could be achieved through:

(a) Assessments of potential impacts and observed impacts carried out by, inter alia, relevant national institutions and international organizations;

(b) Information from work being carried out by other UNFCCC bodies that may be relevant in considering potential impacts;

(c) The regular and systematic provision by all Parties of information that is as complete as possible on potential and observed impacts of policies and measures, in particular through national communications, and the regular review of this information.

8. The SBSTA [and the SBI] noted the work of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) on international spillover effects as described in its Fourth Assessment Report, and that the IPCC has agreed to further assess [this issue][spillovers, co-benefits and trade-offs] in its Fifth Assessment Report.

9. The SBSTA [and the SBI] invited Parties and relevant organizations to submit to the secretariat, by xx, further information and views on issues relevant to Article 2, paragraph 3, [and Article 3, paragraph 14] of the Kyoto Protocol.

10. [The SBSTA [and the SBI] agreed that these submissions should include views on whether a workshop should be held on this matter, and on the issues to be dealt with at any such workshop and to compile the submissions into a miscellaneous document.]

[Alt: The SBSTA [and the SBI] requested the secretariat to organize a workshop, before the xx session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol, to explore this issue. The SBSTA [and the SBI] further requested the secretariat to prepare a report on the workshop to be made available to the SBSTA [and the SBI] by their xx session.]

11. The SBSTA [and the SBI] agreed to continue their consideration of these matters at their xx sessions in a joint contact group.]