



UNITED
NATIONS



**Framework Convention
on Climate Change**

Distr.
GENERAL

FCCC/SBI/2006/11*
11 August 2006

Original: ENGLISH

SUBSIDIARY BODY FOR IMPLEMENTATION

**Report of the Subsidiary Body for Implementation
on its twenty-fourth session,
held at Bonn from 18 to 25 May 2006**

CONTENTS

	<i>Paragraphs</i>	<i>Page</i>
I. OPENING OF THE SESSION (Agenda item 1).....	1–3	4
A. Welcoming ceremony	1	4
B. Opening of the session	2–3	4
II. ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS (Agenda item 2).....	4–12	4
A. Adoption of the agenda.....	4–6	4
B. Organization of the work of the session.....	7–9	6
C. Election of officers other than the Chair	10–12	6
III. NATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS FROM PARTIES INCLUDED IN ANNEX I TO THE CONVENTION: SYNTHESIS OF REPORTS DEMONSTRATING PROGRESS IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARTICLE 3, PARAGRAPH 2, OF THE KYOTO PROTOCOL (Agenda item 3).....	13–15	7

* Reissued for technical reasons.

	<i>Paragraphs</i>	<i>Page</i>
IV.	NATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS FROM PARTIES NOT INCLUDED IN ANNEX I TO THE CONVENTION (Agenda item 4).....	16–44 7
	A. Work of the Consultative Group of Experts on National Communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention.....	16–29 7
	B. Compilation and synthesis of initial national communications	30–36 9
	C. Provision of financial and technical support.....	37–44 10
V.	FINANCIAL MECHANISM (CONVENTION) (Agenda item 5).....	45–53 11
	A. Third review of the financial mechanism.....	45–50 11
	B. Special Climate Change Fund.....	51–53 11
VI.	FINANCIAL MECHANISM (KYOTO PROTOCOL): ADAPTATION FUND (Agenda item 6).....	54–63 12
VII.	IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 4, PARAGRAPHS 8 AND 9, OF THE CONVENTION (Agenda item 7).....	64–79 13
	A. Progress on the implementation of decision 1/CP.10	64–66 13
	B. Matters relating to the least developed countries	67–79 13
VIII.	CAPACITY-BUILDING UNDER THE CONVENTION (Agenda item 8).....	80–82 14
IX.	CAPACITY-BUILDING UNDER THE KYOTO PROTOCOL (Agenda item 9).....	83–85 15
X.	AMENDMENT OF THE KYOTO PROTOCOL IN RESPECT OF PROCEDURES AND MECHANISMS RELATING TO COMPLIANCE (Agenda item 10).....	86–87 15
XI.	INTERNATIONAL TRANSACTION LOG (Agenda item 11).....	88–92 15
XII.	ARRANGEMENTS FOR INTERGOVERNMENTAL MEETINGS (Agenda item 12).....	93–112 16
	A. Twelfth session of the Conference of the Parties.....	
	B. Second session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol	
	C. Future sessional periods	

	<i>Paragraphs</i>	<i>Page</i>	
D.	Review of arrangements for the eleventh session of the Conference of the Parties and the first session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol		
E.	Organization of the intergovernmental process		
XIII.	ADMINISTRATIVE, FINANCIAL AND INSTITUTIONAL MATTERS (Agenda item 13).....	113–127	19
A.	Budget performance for the biennium 2006–2007	113–115	19
B.	Implementation of the Headquarters Agreement	116–119	19
C.	Privileges and immunities for individuals serving on constituted bodies established under the Kyoto Protocol.....	120–127	20
XIV.	OTHER MATTERS (Agenda item 14).....	128–131	21
A.	Level of emissions for the base year of Croatia	128–130	21
B.	Any other matters	131	21
XV.	REPORT ON THE SESSION (Agenda item 15).....	132	22
XVI.	CLOSURE OF THE SESSION.....	133	22

Annexes

I.	Draft text for consideration by the Subsidiary Body for Implementation at its twenty-fifth session.....	23
II.	Draft text for consideration by the Subsidiary Body for Implementation at its twenty-fifth session.....	26
III.	Documents before the Subsidiary Body for Implementation at its twenty-fourth session.....	35

I. Opening of the session

(Agenda item 1)

A. Welcoming ceremony

1. The formal opening of the Subsidiary Body for Implementation (SBI) on 18 May 2006 was preceded by a welcoming ceremony on 15 May 2006. Statements were made by Ms. Rona Ambrose, Minister of the Environment of Canada, President of the Conference of the Parties (COP) and the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (COP/MOP); Mr. Sigmar Gabriel, Federal Minister for Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety of Germany, representing the host country; and Mr. Richard Kinley, Officer-in-Charge of the UNFCCC secretariat.

B. Opening of the session

2. The twenty-fourth session of the SBI was held at the Maritim Hotel, Bonn, Germany, from 18 to 25 May 2006.

3. The Chair of the SBI, Mr. Thomas Becker (Denmark), opened the session and welcomed all Parties and observers.

II. Organizational matters

(Agenda item 2)

A. Adoption of the agenda

(Agenda item 2 (a))

4. At its 1st meeting, on 18 May, the SBI considered a note by the Executive Secretary containing the provisional agenda and annotations (FCCC/SBI/2006/1 and Corr.1). Statements were made by representatives of 12 Parties, including one speaking on behalf of the European Community and its member States,¹ one on behalf of the Group of 77 and China, one on behalf of the least developed countries and one on behalf of the Alliance of Small Island States (AOSIS).

5. One Party requested that an item on matters relating to Article 3, paragraph 14, of the Kyoto Protocol be added to the agenda. Because a workshop on this issue would be held before SBI 25 (November 2006), it was agreed that an item on Article 3, paragraph 14, would be included on the agenda of the SBI at its twenty-fifth session. The Chair invited interested Parties to submit to the secretariat their views on the forthcoming workshop.

6. At the same meeting, the SBI adopted the agenda as amended as follows:

1. Opening of the session.
2. Organizational matters:
 - (a) Adoption of the agenda;
 - (b) Organization of the work of the session;
 - (c) Election of officers other than the Chair.

¹ This statement was made in association with Bulgaria, Romania, The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, and Bosnia and Herzegovina.

3. National communications from Parties included in Annex I to the Convention: synthesis of reports demonstrating progress in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol.
4. National communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention:
 - (a) Work of the Consultative Group of Experts on National Communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention;
 - (b) Compilation and synthesis of initial national communications;
 - (c) Provision of financial and technical support.
5. Financial mechanism (Convention):
 - (a) Third review of the financial mechanism;
 - (b) Special Climate Change Fund.
6. Financial mechanism (Kyoto Protocol): Adaptation Fund.
7. Implementation of Article 4, paragraphs 8 and 9, of the Convention:
 - (a) Progress on the implementation of decision 1/CP.10;
 - (b) Matters relating to the least developed countries.
8. Capacity-building under the Convention.
9. Capacity-building under the Kyoto Protocol.
10. Amendment of the Kyoto Protocol in respect of procedures and mechanisms relating to compliance.
11. International transaction log.
12. Arrangements for intergovernmental meetings:
 - (a) Twelfth session of the Conference of the Parties;
 - (b) Second session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol;
 - (c) Future sessional periods;
 - (d) Review of arrangements for the eleventh session of the Conference of the Parties and the first session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol;
 - (e) Organization of the intergovernmental process.
13. Administrative, financial and institutional matters:
 - (a) Budget performance for the biennium 2006–2007;
 - (b) Implementation of the Headquarters Agreement;
 - (c) Privileges and immunities for individuals serving on constituted bodies established under the Kyoto Protocol.

14. Other matters:
 - (a) Level of emissions for the base year of Croatia;
 - (b) Any other matters.
15. Report on the session.

B. Organization of the work of the session

(Agenda item 2 (b))

7. The SBI considered this sub-item at its 1st meeting, on 18 May, at which the Chair drew attention to the proposed programme of work posted on the UNFCCC website. On a proposal by the Chair, the SBI agreed to proceed on the basis of that programme of work. A statement was made by a representative of one Party.
8. The secretariat informed the SBI that applications for provisional accreditation to the sessions of the subsidiary bodies had been received from six non-governmental organizations. The SBI agreed to admit these organizations, on the basis of provisions of Article 7, paragraph 6, of the Convention, without prejudice to subsequent action by the COP.
9. At the 5th meeting, on 25 May, the Chair informed the SBI that, during the session, a meeting was organized for the Chair of the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice (SBSTA) and the Chair of the SBI with the chairs of the expert groups established under the Convention² to assess progress on the collaborative activities these expert groups are undertaking in implementing their respective work programmes. The Chair reported that good progress had been made by the three expert groups in identifying collaborative activities to enhance cooperation and encouraged the chairs of these groups to continue to collaborate.

C. Election of officers other than the Chair

(Agenda item 2 (c))

10. The SBI considered this sub-item at its 1st meeting, on 18 May. Statements were made by representatives of two Parties.
11. The Chair recalled rule 27 of the draft rules of procedure being applied, whereby the SBI is expected to elect its Vice-Chair and Rapporteur. Such an election was last held at the twenty-third session. However, following the resignation of the Vice-Chair, Mr. Heorhiy Veremiychyk (Ukraine), on 8 December 2005, the Eastern Europe Group nominated Mr. József Feiler (Hungary) to replace him. The SBI elected Mr. Feiler as Vice-Chair to serve for the twenty-fourth and twenty-fifth sessions, and until his successor is elected. The elected Vice-Chair represents a Party to both the Convention and its Kyoto Protocol.
12. The Chair informed the SBI that, in accordance with rule 25 of the draft rules of procedure being applied, Mr. Phetolo Phage (Botswana) would complete the term of the Rapporteur.

² The Consultative Group of Experts on National Communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention, the Expert Group on Technology Transfer, and the Least Developed Countries Expert Group.

III. National communications from Parties included in Annex I to the Convention: synthesis of reports demonstrating progress in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol

(Agenda item 3)

13. The SBI considered this item at its 3rd and 5th meetings, on 19 and 25 May, respectively. It had before it document FCCC/SBI/2006/INF.2. Statements were made by representatives of 11 Parties, including one speaking on behalf of the Group of 77 and China, and one on behalf of the European Community and its member States.³

14. At its 3rd meeting, the SBI agreed to consider this item in informal consultations convened by Mr. Dimitrios Lalas (Greece). At the 5th meeting, the Chair reported on these consultations.

15. At its 5th meeting, on a proposal by the Chair, the SBI decided to continue consideration of this matter at its twenty-fifth session (November 2006).⁴

IV. National communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention

(Agenda item 4)

A. Work of the Consultative Group of Experts on National Communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention

(Agenda item 4 (a))

1. Proceedings

16. The SBI considered this sub-item at its 2nd and 5th meetings, on 18 and 25 May, respectively. It had before it documents FCCC/SBI/2006/4 and FCCC/SBI/2006/8. Statements were made by representatives of 11 Parties, including one speaking on behalf of the European Community and its member States.⁵

17. At the 2nd meeting, the Chair invited Ms. Lilian Portillo (Paraguay), Rapporteur of the Consultative Group of Experts on National Communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention (CGE), to report on the activities of the group on behalf of the CGE Chair.

18. At the same meeting, the Chair invited the representative of the Global Environment Facility (GEF)–National Communications Support Programme (NCSP) of the United Nations Development Programme/United Nations Environment Programme to make a statement.

19. At the same meeting, the SBI agreed to consider this sub-item together with sub-items 4 (b) and (c) in informal consultations convened by Ms. Henriette Bersee (Netherlands) and Ms. Emily Ojoo-Massawa (Kenya). At the 5th meeting, Ms. Ojoo-Massawa reported on these consultations.

20. At its 5th meeting, the SBI considered and adopted conclusions⁶ proposed by the Chair.

³ This statement was made in association with Bulgaria, Romania, The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, and Bosnia and Herzegovina.

⁴ Adopted as FCCC/SBI/2006/L.14/Rev.1.

⁵ This statement was made in association with Bulgaria, Romania, The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, and Bosnia and Herzegovina.

⁶ Adopted as FCCC/SBI/2006/L.12/Rev.1.

2. Conclusions

21. The SBI took note of the oral report of the Chair of the CGE on the outcomes of its sixth meeting, held in Jakarta, Indonesia, on 17 and 18 March 2006, and welcomed the progress report on the activities of the CGE prepared by the secretariat (FCCC/SBI/2006/8).
22. The SBI noted the outcomes of the hands-on training workshop on vulnerability and adaptation assessments for the Asia and the Pacific region held in Jakarta, Indonesia, from 20 to 24 March 2006, and expressed its appreciation to the Government of Indonesia for the financial and logistical support that it provided to the workshop.
23. The SBI expressed its gratitude to the Governments of Australia, Sweden and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland for their financial contributions to the development of training materials and for supporting the participation of developing country experts at the above-mentioned workshop.
24. The SBI welcomed the report of the CGE, contained in document FCCC/SBI/2006/4, on the outcomes of its examination of 41 initial national communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention (non-Annex I Parties). It acknowledged that the report was useful in providing information on the scope of activities of non-Annex I Parties relating to national greenhouse gas (GHG) inventories, vulnerability and adaptation assessments, mitigation assessments and cross-cutting themes, and in identifying gaps and constraints in preparing national communications. It urged Parties and bilateral, multilateral and international organizations to take into account the recommendations contained in document FCCC/SBI/2006/4.
25. The SBI commended the CGE for its important work in providing technical advice on ways to improve the preparation of national communications from non-Annex I Parties. It requested the group, consistent with its mandate contained in the annex to decision 3/CP.8, to examine the national communications submitted to the secretariat from 2 April 2005 and to prepare a report for consideration by the SBI at its twenty-seventh session (December 2007). It also urged those non-Annex I Parties that have not yet submitted their initial national communications to do so as soon as possible.
26. The SBI requested the CGE, in consultation with the GEF-NCSP of the UNDP/UNEP, and other relevant organizations, to advise the SBI at its twenty-fifth session (November 2006) on the provision of technical assistance to non-Annex I Parties upon request in identifying tools and methods needed, in the context of the preparation of national communications and which are applicable to their particular circumstances.
27. The SBI endorsed the efforts of the CGE to develop a template on cross-cutting themes (transfer of technologies, research and systematic observation, capacity-building, education, training and public awareness, and information and networking) to assist non-Annex I Parties in providing information under the section on "other information considered relevant to the achievement of the objective of the Convention" in national communications, and invited the group to report to the SBI at its twenty-sixth session (May 2007). The SBI encouraged the CGE to cooperate with the Least Developed Countries Expert Group (LEG) and the Expert Group on Technology Transfer (EGTT) in the development of this template.
28. The SBI requested the CGE to take stock of the implementation of its work programme 2003-2007 and to identify possible elements for a future role for the group in facilitating the improvement of national communications of non-Annex I Parties and to report to the SBI at its twenty-sixth session. It also invited Parties to submit to the secretariat, by 15 August 2007, their views on the mandate and terms of reference of the CGE, and requested the secretariat to compile these views into a miscellaneous document for consideration by the SBI at its twenty-seventh session.

29. The SBI noted that only part of the resources required for conducting the hands-on training workshops on vulnerability and adaptation assessment for the Latin America and the Caribbean region, to be held in Asuncion, Paraguay, in August 2006, and on national GHG inventories for the Africa region, to be held in Warmbaths, South Africa, in September 2006, has been raised. It invited Parties included in Annex II to the Convention, and other Parties included in Annex I to the Convention in a position to do so, to provide financial resources for these workshops as soon as possible.

B. Compilation and synthesis of initial national communications

(Agenda item 4 (b))

1. Proceedings

30. The SBI considered this sub-item at its 2nd and 5th meetings, on 18 and 25 May, respectively (see paragraph 19 above). It had before it documents FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.2 and FCCC/SBI/2005/18 and Add.1-6 and Add.3/Corr.1. Statements were made by representatives of six Parties, including one speaking on behalf of the Group of 77 and China, one on behalf of the European Community and its member States,⁷ and one on behalf of the Umbrella Group, the European Community and its member States⁸ and another Party.

31. At its 5th meeting, the SBI considered and adopted conclusions⁹ proposed by the Chair.

32. Australia, on behalf of the Umbrella Group, the European Community and its member States, and Bosnia and Herzegovina, Romania, Serbia and Montenegro, and Switzerland, stated that in accordance with the requirements of Article 10, paragraph 2, of the Convention, the SBI should consider the information communicated by non-Annex I Parties in all of their national communications, including their second, and where appropriate, subsequent national communications. It requested that this be taken into account by the SBI Chair and the secretariat in preparing the agenda for its twenty-sixth session (May 2007). The full statement is contained in document FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.12.

2. Conclusions

33. The SBI considered document FCCC/SBI/2005/18 and Add.1-6 and Add.3/Corr.1. It also considered views from Parties compiled in document FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.2.

34. The SBI noted that although the preparation of national communications has provided a valuable opportunity for capacity-building, inter alia, in the area of national GHG inventories, vulnerability and adaptation, and mitigation, the enhancement of capacity and support for the maintenance of such capacity is important.

35. The SBI expressed its appreciation of the fact that non-Annex I Parties are continuing to fulfil their commitments under Article 4, paragraph 1, and Article 12, paragraph 1, of the Convention. It urged those non-Annex I Parties that had not yet submitted their initial national communications to do so as soon as possible. Parties that are least developed countries may submit their initial national communications at their discretion.

36. The SBI noted its request to the CGE to examine the initial national communications submitted to the secretariat from 2 April 2005 (see paragraph 25 above).

⁷ This statement was made in association with Bulgaria, Romania, The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, and Bosnia and Herzegovina.

⁸ This statement was made in association with Bosnia and Herzegovina, Romania, and Serbia and Montenegro.

⁹ Adopted as FCCC/SBI/2006/L.13.

C. Provision of financial and technical support

(Agenda item 4 (c))

1. Proceedings

37. The SBI considered this sub-item at its 2nd and 5th meetings, on 18 and 25 May, respectively (see paragraph 19 above). It had before it document FCCC/SBI/2006/INF.1. Statements were made by representatives of five Parties, including one speaking on behalf of the European Community and its member States,¹⁰ and one on behalf of AOSIS.

38. At the 2nd meeting, the Chair invited the representative of the GEF–NCSP to make a statement.

39. At its 5th meeting, the SBI considered and adopted conclusions¹¹ proposed by the Chair.

2. Conclusions

40. The SBI took note of document FCCC/SBI/2006/INF.1 and welcomed the information made available by the GEF on the financial support provided for the preparation of initial and subsequent national communications from non-Annex I Parties. It invited the GEF to continue to provide information on activities of non-Annex I Parties relevant to the status of the preparation of their national communications. It also looked forward to receiving, in addition to the dates of approval, information on the dates of disbursement of funds, and requested the secretariat to report this information to the SBI at its twenty-fifth session (November 2006).

41. The SBI expressed its appreciation for the technical assistance provided by the GEF–NCSP, and bilateral and multilateral agencies, to non-Annex I Parties for the preparation of national communications. It reiterated its request to Parties and relevant international organizations to submit to the secretariat, by 4 August 2006, information on their activities relating to the preparation of national communications, for compilation into a miscellaneous document for consideration by the SBI at its twenty-fifth session.

42. The SBI recommended that the COP, at its twelfth session (November 2006), invite the GEF to further simplify its procedures and improve the efficiency of the process by which non-Annex I Parties receive funding to meet their obligations under Article 12, paragraph 1, of the Convention, with the aim of ensuring the timely disbursement of funds to meet the agreed full costs incurred by developing country Parties in complying with these obligations.

43. The SBI concluded that, in view of the technical constraints and problems encountered in the preparation of national communications, there is a need for financial and technical resources to maintain and enhance national capacities of non-Annex I Parties for preparing national communications.

44. It urged Parties and bilateral, multilateral and international organizations to take into account the recommendations contained in document FCCC/SBI/2006/4 on the provision of financial and technical support to non-Annex I Parties.

¹⁰ This statement was made in association with Bulgaria, Romania, The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, and Bosnia and Herzegovina.

¹¹ Adopted as FCCC/SBI/2006/L.7.

V. Financial mechanism (Convention)

(Agenda item 5)

A. Third review of the financial mechanism

(Agenda item 5 (a))

1. Proceedings

45. The SBI considered this sub-item at its 3rd and 4th meetings, on 19 and 25 May, respectively. It had before it documents FCCC/SBI/2006/7, FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.3, FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.9, FCCC/SBI/2005/INF.7 and FCCC/SBI/2004/18. Statements were made by representatives of eight Parties, including one speaking on behalf of the European Community and its member States,¹² and one on behalf of the least developed countries.

46. At its 3rd meeting, the SBI agreed to consider this sub-item in a contact group co-chaired by Ms. Marcia Levaggi (Argentina) and Mr. Karsten Sach (Germany). At the 4th meeting, Ms. Levaggi reported on the contact group's consultations.

47. At its 4th meeting, the SBI considered and adopted conclusions¹³ proposed by the Chair.

2. Conclusions

48. The SBI took note of the submissions from Parties (FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.9), submissions from intergovernmental organizations (FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.3) and a synthesis report on the financial mechanism of the Convention prepared by the secretariat (FCCC/SBI/2006/7).

49. The SBI made progress in its consideration of the third review of the financial mechanism and prepared a draft text, based on a compilation of views expressed and text submitted by Parties at SBI 24 (see annex I).

50. The SBI agreed to continue deliberating on this matter at its twenty-fifth session (November 2006), on the basis of the text referred to above, with a view to finalizing its recommendation on the third review of the financial mechanism for consideration by the COP at its twelfth session (November 2006).

B. Special Climate Change Fund

Agenda item 5 (b))

51. The SBI considered this sub-item at its 3rd and 4th meetings on 19 and 25 May, respectively. It considered the draft text contained in document FCCC/SBI/2005/10, annex I. Statements were made by representatives of five Parties, including one speaking on behalf of the European Community and its member States.¹⁴

52. At its 3rd meeting, the SBI agreed to consider this sub-item in a contact group chaired by Mr. Bubu Jallow (Gambia). At the 4th meeting, Mr. Jallow reported on the contact group's consultations.

53. At its 4th meeting, on a proposal by the Chair, the SBI agreed to continue its deliberations on this matter at its twenty-fifth session (November 2006), on the basis of the draft text¹⁵ produced at its

¹² This statement was made in association with Bulgaria, Romania, The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, and Bosnia and Herzegovina.

¹³ Adopted as FCCC/SBI/2006/L.4.

¹⁴ This statement was made in association with Bulgaria, Romania, The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, and Bosnia and Herzegovina.

¹⁵ FCCC/SBI/2005/10, annex I.

twenty-second session, with a view to finalizing its recommendation on the operation of the Special Climate Change Fund to the COP at its twelfth session (November 2006).¹⁶

VI. Financial mechanism (Kyoto Protocol): Adaptation Fund

(Agenda item 6)

1. Proceedings

54. The SBI considered this item at its 3rd and 5th meetings, on 19 and 25 May, respectively. It had before it documents FCCC/SBI/2006/10, FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.5, FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.7 and Add.1, and FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.11. Statements were made by representatives of 20 Parties, including one speaking on behalf of the European Community and its member States,¹⁷ one on behalf of the Group of 77 and China, and one on behalf of the least developed countries.

55. At the 3rd meeting, the SBI Chair reported on the Adaptation Fund workshop held in Edmonton, Canada, from 3 to 5 May 2006.

56. At its 3rd meeting, the SBI agreed to consider this item in a contact group co-chaired by Ms. Marcia Levaggi (Argentina) and Mr. Karsten Sach (Germany). At the 5th meeting, Ms. Levaggi reported on the contact group's consultations.

57. At its 5th meeting, the SBI considered and adopted conclusions¹⁸ proposed by the Chair.

58. The representative of the Philippines, speaking on behalf of the Group of 77 and China, requested that the following statement be recorded in the report of the SBI: "It is the understanding of the SBI meeting that the options mentioned in paragraph 3 of the draft conclusions as contained in document number FCCC/SBI/2006/L.18 refers to all the options listed in page 9, paragraph 14 of the annex to this document, as follows: The Global Environment Facility; the Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol; the United Nations Development Programme; the United Nations Environment Programme and the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism." The representative of Austria, speaking on behalf of the European Community and its member States, stated that "the statement made by the representative of the Philippines, speaking on behalf of the Group of 77 and China, is not the understanding of the European Community and therefore not of the whole SBI". He also requested that their statement be recorded in the report. The representatives of Norway and Switzerland made statements supporting the views expressed on behalf of the European Community and its member States. These statements, in their entirety, are contained in document FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.13.

2. Conclusions

59. The SBI took note of the submissions from Parties contained in documents FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.7 and Add.1 and FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.11, submissions from intergovernmental organizations contained in document FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.5, and of the report of the workshop on the Adaptation Fund contained in document FCCC/SBI/2006/10.

60. The SBI made progress in its consideration of the Adaptation Fund, and prepared a compilation document containing possible elements for a draft decision on the Adaptation Fund (see annex II), without prejudice to further input by Parties.

61. The SBI invited relevant international institutions, including, among others, those contained in the annex referred to in paragraph 60 above, without prejudice to any institution, to submit to the

¹⁶ Adopted as FCCC/SBI/2006/L.6.

¹⁷ This statement was made in association with Bulgaria, Romania, The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, and Bosnia and Herzegovina.

¹⁸ Adopted as FCCC/SBI/2006/L.18.

secretariat, by 4 August 2006, information on issues contained in the annex referred to in paragraph 60 above, and taking into account views expressed by Parties, including those contained in documents FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.7 and Add.1 and FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.11.

62. The SBI requested the secretariat to compile information submitted by institutions as referred to in paragraph 61 above in a miscellaneous document for consideration at its twenty-fifth session (November 2006).

63. The SBI agreed to continue deliberating on this matter at its twenty-fifth session, on the basis of the annex referred in paragraph 60 above and of responses provided by institutions, with a view to finalizing its recommendation on the Adaptation Fund to the COP/MOP at its second session (November 2006).

VII. Implementation of Article 4, paragraphs 8 and 9, of the Convention

(Agenda item 7)

A. Progress on the implementation of decision 1/CP.10

(Agenda item 7 (a))

64. The SBI considered this sub-item at its 1st meeting, on 18 May. Statements were made by representatives of four Parties, including one speaking on behalf of the European Community and its member States.¹⁹

65. The SBI took note of the regional workshop for Latin America on adaptation, mandated by decision 1/CP.10, held in Lima, Peru, from 18 to 20 April 2006. The secretariat informed the SBI that it anticipated organizing workshops for the other regions and an expert meeting for small island developing States, in the next 12 months.

66. The SBI also took note of the two preessional expert meetings on response measures, mandated by decision 1/CP.10, one held in Montreal, Canada, from 23 to 24 November 2005, to consider the outcomes of the workshops held in response to paragraphs 33 and 35 of decision 5/CP.7, and the other held in Bonn, Germany, from 16 to 17 May 2006, to consider the outcomes of the workshop on economic diversification held in response to paragraph 37 of decision 5/CP.7. Mr. Bubu Jallow (Gambia), chair of the economic diversification workshop, reported to the SBI on the outcome of the latter meeting.

B. Matters relating to the least developed countries

(Agenda item 7 (b))

1. Proceedings

67. The SBI considered this sub-item at its 1st and 4th meetings, on 18 and 25 May, respectively. It had before it document FCCC/SBI/2006/9. Statements were made by representatives of six Parties, including one speaking on behalf of the European Community and its member States,²⁰ and one on behalf of the least developed countries.

68. At the 1st meeting, the Chair invited Mr. Bubu Jallow (Gambia), Chair of the LEG, to report on progress in the implementation of the LEG programme of work.

69. At the same meeting, the SBI took note of the offer of the Government of Uganda to host the tenth meeting of the LEG.

¹⁹ This statement was made in association with Bulgaria, Romania, The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, and Bosnia and Herzegovina.

²⁰ This statement was made in association with Bulgaria, Romania, The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, and Bosnia and Herzegovina.

70. At the same meeting, the SBI agreed to consider this sub-item in informal consultations convened by Ms. Tina Guthrie (Canada) and Mr. Samuel Adejuwon (Nigeria). At the 4th meeting, Mr. Adejuwon reported on these consultations.

71. At its 4th meeting, the SBI considered and adopted conclusions²¹ proposed by the Chair.

2. Conclusions

72. The SBI welcomed the oral report by the Chair of the LEG and the report on the ninth meeting of the LEG held in Dhaka, Bangladesh, from 6 to 8 April 2006, contained in document FCCC/SBI/2006/9.

73. The SBI welcomed the submission to the secretariat of national adaptation programmes of action (NAPAs) from Bangladesh, Bhutan, Malawi, Mauritania and Samoa.

74. The SBI expressed its appreciation to the LEG for its good work and welcomed the work programme developed by the LEG in response to decision 4/CP.11.

75. The SBI requested the LEG to keep the SBI informed of its efforts to implement the work programme over the biennium 2006–2007, in accordance with its mandate, by including in its subsequent reports information on expected outcomes.

76. The SBI also requested the LEG to ensure, in the implementation of its work programme, the complementarity of its activities with related efforts by relevant actors, including the GEF and its implementing agencies.

77. The SBI expressed its gratitude to the Governments of Belgium, Canada and New Zealand for providing financial resources in support of the work of the LEG, and to the Government of Bangladesh for hosting the ninth meeting of the LEG.

78. The SBI further expressed its appreciation to the experts from least developed country Parties for supporting the NAPA process.

79. The SBI encouraged Parties in a position to do so to continue to support the work of the LEG and to provide resources in support of its work programme.

VIII. Capacity-building under the Convention

(Agenda item 8)

80. The SBI considered this item at its 1st and 4th meetings, on 18 and 25 May, respectively. It had before it documents FCCC/SBI/2006/5 and FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.4 and Corr.1 and Add.1. Statements were made by representatives of seven Parties, including one speaking on behalf of the European Community and its member States,²² and one on behalf of the Group of 77 and China.

81. At its 1st meeting, the SBI agreed to consider this item in a contact group co-chaired by Mr. Crispin D'Auvergne (Saint Lucia) and Mr. Anders Turesson (Sweden). At the 4th meeting, Mr. D'Auvergne reported on the contact group's consultations.

82. At its 4th meeting, on a proposal by the Chair, the SBI agreed to continue its consideration of these issues at its twenty-fifth session (November 2006).²³

²¹ Adopted as FCCC/SBI/2006/L.2.

²² This statement was made in association with Bulgaria, Romania, The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, and Bosnia and Herzegovina.

²³ Adopted as FCCC/SBI/2006/L.15.

IX. Capacity-building under the Kyoto Protocol

(Agenda item 9)

83. The SBI considered this item at its 2nd and 4th meetings, on 18 and 25 May, respectively. It had before it documents FCCC/SBI/2006/5 and FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.4 and Corr.1 and Add.1. Statements were made by the representatives of two Parties, including one speaking on behalf of the European Community and its member States,²⁴ and one on behalf of the Group of 77 and China.

84. At its 2nd meeting, the SBI agreed to consider this item in a contact group co-chaired by Mr. Crispin D' Auvergne (Saint Lucia) and Mr. Anders Turesson (Sweden). At the 4th meeting, Mr. Turesson reported on the contact group's consultations.

85. At its 4th meeting, on a proposal by the Chair, the SBI agreed to continue its consideration of these issues at its twenty-fifth session (November 2006).²⁵

X. Amendment of the Kyoto Protocol in respect of procedures and mechanisms relating to compliance

(Agenda item 10)

86. The SBI considered this item at its 2nd and 4th meetings, on 18 and 25 May, respectively. No document was prepared for this item. Statements were made by the representatives of six Parties, including one speaking on behalf of the European Community and its member States.²⁶

87. At its 4th meeting, on a proposal by the Chair, the SBI agreed to consider this matter at its twenty-fifth session (November 2006), with a view to completing its consideration at its twenty-seventh session (December 2007).²⁷ The Chair of the SBI will give an oral report based on the conclusions on this agenda item to the COP/MOP at its second session (November 2006).

XI. International transaction log

(Agenda item 11)

1. Proceedings

88. The SBI considered this item at its 2nd and 4th meetings, on 18 and 25 May, respectively. It had before it document FCCC/SBI/2006/INF.3. Statements were made by representatives of five Parties, including one speaking on behalf of the European Community and its member States.²⁸

89. At its 4th meeting, the SBI considered and adopted conclusions²⁹ proposed by the Chair.

2. Conclusions

90. The SBI took note of the progress report on the implementation of the international transaction log (ITL) contained in document FCCC/SBI/2006/INF.3, in particular in relation to the management and

²⁴ This statement was made in association with Bulgaria, Romania, The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, and Bosnia and Herzegovina.

²⁵ Adopted as FCCC/SBI/2006/L.16.

²⁶ This statement was made in association with Bulgaria, Romania, The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, and Bosnia and Herzegovina.

²⁷ Adopted as FCCC/SBI/2006/L.11/Rev.1.

²⁸ This statement was made in association with Bulgaria, Romania, The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, and Bosnia and Herzegovina.

²⁹ Adopted as FCCC/SBI/2006/L.8.

schedules for the development, testing and operation of the ITL and the interaction with administrators of registry systems in this work.

91. The SBI reaffirmed the importance of making rapid progress in this work and in ensuring the implementation of the ITL in 2006, with a view to enabling registry systems to successfully connect to it by April 2007.

92. The SBI stressed the need to ensure sufficient contributions to the UNFCCC Trust Fund for Supplementary Activities in order to support ITL related work, including the involvement of appropriate experts from non-Annex I Parties that are Parties to the Kyoto Protocol. It requested the secretariat to provide, at the earliest stage possible prior to the twenty-fifth session of the SBI (November 2006), a written specification of funding requirements, in particular in relation to the development and operation of the ITL.

XII. Arrangements for intergovernmental meetings

(Agenda item 12)

A. Twelfth session of the Conference of the Parties

(Agenda item 12 (a))

B. Second session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol

(Agenda item 12 (b))

C. Future sessional periods

(Agenda item 12 (c))

D. Review of arrangements for the eleventh session of the Conference of the Parties and the first session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol

(Agenda item 12 (d))

E. Organization of the intergovernmental process

(Agenda item 12 (e))

1. Proceedings

93. The SBI considered these sub-items together at its 3rd and 4th meetings, on 19 and 25 May, respectively. It had before it document FCCC/SBI/2006/2, FCCC/SBI/2006/3, FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.8 and FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.10. Statements were made by representatives of 13 Parties, including one speaking on behalf of the Umbrella Group, one on behalf of the European Community and its member States,³⁰ one on behalf of the African Group, and one on behalf of the Group of 77 and China.

94. At the 3rd meeting, the Chair invited a representative of the Government of Kenya to make a statement. The Chair thanked the Government of Kenya for its offer to host the next sessions of the Convention bodies.

95. At its 3rd meeting, the SBI agreed to consider these sub-items together in a contact group co-chaired by Ms. Sandea De Wet (South Africa) and Ms. Aloisia Wörgetter (Austria). At the 4th meeting, Ms. De Wet reported on the contact group's consultations. The co-chair stated that the contact group had considered the issue of the participation of observer States in informal consultations. She recalled that the contact group decided not to include a reference to the issue in the draft conclusions, but noted there was a general understanding that in the future an inclusive approach would be followed.

³⁰ This statement was made in association with Bulgaria, Romania, The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, and Bosnia and Herzegovina.

96. At its 4th meeting, the SBI considered and adopted conclusions³¹ proposed by the Chair.

97. Following the adoption of these conclusions, the Officer-in-Charge of the secretariat made a statement on their implications. He characterized the conclusions as “revolutionary” for the intergovernmental process. He said they provided a clear direction to presiding officers and the secretariat that meetings must stop at 6 p.m. The secretariat would discontinue the current practice of scheduling contact group meetings and informal consultations, where the bulk of the sessions’ results are negotiated, between 6 and 9 p.m. The secretariat would count on the support of all presiding officers and negotiators when requests to meet in this period were not accommodated. For Nairobi, the conclusions would leave open the possibility of holding meetings between 6 and 9 p.m. in exceptional circumstances, decisions on which would be left to elected officers, he concluded.

2. Conclusions

Twelfth session of the Conference of the Parties

Second session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol

98. The SBI expressed its thanks to the Government of Kenya for its generous offer to host the twelfth session of the COP (COP 12) and the second session of the COP/MOP (COP/MOP 2). It noted with appreciation the preparations being made by the Government of Kenya and the secretariat to convene COP 12 and COP/MOP 2 in Nairobi, Kenya, at the United Nations Office at Nairobi, Gigiri Complex, from 6 to 17 November 2006. The SBI also noted that additional investments in infrastructure will be required to accommodate the conference and invited Parties in a position to do so to support the Government of Kenya in this important undertaking.

99. The SBI endorsed the dates of 15–17 November 2006 for the high-level segment of COP 12 and COP/MOP 2 to be attended by ministers and other heads of delegation. The SBI also recommended that national statements be the mode for exchanges among ministers and other heads of delegation in the joint meetings of the COP and COP/MOP during the high-level segment. The SBI invited the Bureau of COP 11 to finalize the details of the high-level segment in collaboration with the secretariat and the President-designate of COP 12.

100. The SBI requested the secretariat to take note of the views expressed by Parties on the possible elements of the provisional agendas for COP 12 and COP/MOP 2.

101. The SBI endorsed the dates of 6–14 November for the sessions of the three subsidiary bodies and agreed that the sessional period will include the second workshop under the dialogue on long-term cooperative action to address climate change by enhancing implementation of the Convention.

102. The SBI requested presiding officers and the secretariat to schedule evening meetings so as to enable all participants to leave the premises before a given time. The SBI recommended that meetings should normally end by 6 p.m. but may, in exceptional circumstances, continue no later than 9 p.m. The increased pressure on meeting time arising from the new processes established under the Convention and the Kyoto Protocol was noted with concern. The SBI endorsed the principle that measures to use meeting time more efficiently should be introduced and noted with appreciation the willingness of Parties to be flexible and disciplined in this regard. The SBI encouraged limiting the time for statements in plenary.

103. The SBI invited the Bureau of COP 11, in collaboration with the secretariat and the President-designate of COP 12, to finalize the arrangements for the sessional period.

³¹ Adopted as FCCC/SBI/2006/L.9.

Future sessional periods

104. The SBI noted that COP 13 and COP/MOP 3 would be convened during the sessional period from 3 to 14 December 2007. The SBI also noted that the secretariat had not received any offers from Parties to host COP 13 and COP/MOP 3. It urged Parties to come forward with offers to host COP 13 and COP/MOP 3, so that an appropriate decision could be adopted at COP 12. It also noted that, in keeping with the rotation among regional groups, the President of COP 13 would come from the Asian Group.

105. The SBI recommended the dates of 6–17 June and 28 November to 9 December for the sessional periods in 2011 for adoption by the COP at its twelfth session.

106. The SBI invited Parties to come forward with offers to host COP 14 and COP/MOP 4, so that a decision could be taken at COP 13 or earlier. The SBI noted that, in keeping with the rotation among regional groups, the President of COP 14 would come from the Eastern European Group.

Review of arrangements for the eleventh session of the Conference of the Parties and the first session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol

107. The SBI confirmed that its recommended arrangements for the first session of the COP/MOP held in conjunction with the COP³² proved successful and requested the secretariat to use such arrangements as a framework for COP 12 and COP/MOP 2.

Organization of the intergovernmental process

108. The SBI recalled its previous consideration of possible options for further improvements to the organization of the intergovernmental process, particularly those highlighted during the workshop convened in conjunction with SBI 21 (FCCC/SBI/2005/2).

109. The SBI agreed that measures could be introduced to improve the overall organization of the intergovernmental process and recommended:

- (a) Differentiating the topics under the research and systematic observation item and considering them in the SBSTA on an alternating basis;
- (b) Considering national communications only once a year, preferably during the first sessional period;
- (c) Considering cooperation with relevant international organizations only once a year in the SBSTA, preferably during the first sessional period;
- (d) Considering the reports by UNFCCC expert groups only once a year, preferably during the first sessional period.

110. The SBI confirmed that the provisions of paragraph 109 above would not affect the inclusion of relevant items in the provisional agendas of the COP and the COP/MOP.

111. The SBI concluded that these steps should be operationalized starting with the second sessional period in 2006, where feasible and taking into account previously agreed conclusions and decisions. The SBI agreed to review progress on these measures at its thirtieth session (June 2009).

112. The SBI encouraged the holding of meetings under the Convention and the Kyoto Protocol generally during normal United Nations Headquarters working hours, whenever possible.

³² FCCC/SBI/2003/8, paragraph 44, and decision 36/CMP.1.

XIII. Administrative, financial and institutional matters

(Agenda item 13)

A. Budget performance for the biennium 2006–2007

(Agenda item 13 (a))

1. Proceedings

113. The SBI considered this sub-item at its 1st and 4th meetings, on 18 and 25 May, respectively. It had before it document FCCC/SBI/2006/INF.4. A statement was made by the representative of one Party.

114. At its 4th meeting, the SBI considered and adopted conclusions³³ proposed by the Chair.

2. Conclusions

115. The SBI took note of the status of contributions, as at 30 April 2006, reported in document FCCC/SBI/2006/INF.4. It expressed its appreciation to the Parties that had paid their contributions to the core budget in a timely manner, and particularly to those Parties that had made voluntary contributions to the Trust Fund for Participation in the UNFCCC Process and the Trust Fund for Supplementary Activities. It also urged Parties that had not yet made their contributions to do so as soon as possible.

B. Implementation of the Headquarters Agreement

(Agenda item 13 (b))

1. Proceedings

116. The SBI considered this sub-item at its 1st and 4th meetings, on 18 and 25 May, respectively. No document was prepared for this sub-item. Statements were made by a representative of the Host Government and by the Officer-in-Charge of the secretariat.

117. At its 4th meeting, the SBI considered and adopted conclusions³⁴ proposed by the Chair.

2. Conclusions

118. The SBI took note of the statement made by the representative of the Host Government describing progress on the accommodation of the secretariat's offices at the United Nations campus in Bonn, Germany. It noted with appreciation that the Host Government had agreed to cover costs associated with the move. The SBI also took note of the statement by the Officer-in-Charge and requested him to inform it of any new developments. It invited the Host Government and the Executive Secretary to report to its twenty-sixth session (May 2007) on further progress with the implementation of the Headquarters Agreement.

119. The SBI also took note of the amendment to the Headquarters Agreement to include the Kyoto Protocol, and requested the Officer-in-Charge to proceed with the formalities necessary for the entry into force of that amendment.

³³ Adopted as FCCC/SBI/2006/L.3.

³⁴ Adopted as FCCC/SBI/2006/L.5.

**C. Privileges and immunities for individuals serving on constituted bodies
established under the Kyoto Protocol**
(Agenda item 13 (c))

1. Proceedings

120. The SBI considered this sub-item at its 2nd and 4th meetings, on 18 and 25 May, respectively. It had before it documents FCCC/SBI/2006/6, FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.6 and Add.1, and FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/6. Statements were made by representatives of three Parties, including one speaking on behalf of the European Community and its member States.³⁵

121. At its 2nd meeting, the SBI agreed to consider this sub-item in a contact group chaired by Mr. Paul Watkinson (France). At the 4th meeting, Mr. Watkinson reported on the contact group's consultations.

122. At its 4th meeting, the SBI considered and adopted conclusions³⁶ proposed by the Chair.

2. Conclusions

123. The SBI considered that it was essential that individuals serving on constituted bodies established under the Kyoto Protocol and individuals serving on expert review teams under the Kyoto Protocol be able to perform their official functions effectively. The considerations on this item centred on ensuring the necessary immunities for individuals serving on constituted bodies established under the Kyoto Protocol and individuals serving on expert review teams under the Kyoto Protocol.

124. The SBI requested the Executive Secretary to continue to consult the United Nations Secretary-General with a view to determining:

- (a) Taking into account the recent practice, resolutions and decisions of the United Nations General Assembly and opinions of the International Court of Justice, how best to ensure that the immunities afforded by the Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the United Nations, adopted on 13 February 1946 (hereinafter referred to as the 1946 Convention), may be enjoyed by individuals serving on constituted bodies established under the Kyoto Protocol and individuals serving on expert review teams under the Kyoto Protocol, for example:
 - (i) by considering them "Experts on missions for the United Nations" pursuant to Article VI of the 1946 Convention;
 - (ii) by other ways;
- (b) Whether the COP/MOP could invite the United Nations General Assembly to adopt a resolution that recognizes individuals serving on constituted bodies established under the Kyoto Protocol and individuals serving on expert review teams under the Kyoto Protocol as experts on missions for the United Nations within the context of the 1946 Convention, or a resolution that applies the 1946 Convention to such individuals in some other way.

125. The SBI requested the Executive Secretary to report on the outcome of the consultations with the United Nations Secretary-General, referred to in paragraph 124 above, to the SBI at its twenty-fifth session (November 2006).

³⁵ This statement was made in association with Bulgaria, Romania, The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, and Bosnia and Herzegovina.

³⁶ Adopted as FCCC/SBI/2006/L.10.

126. The SBI further requested the Executive Secretary to prepare a note for consideration at its twenty-fifth session that analyses:

- (a) The issues at the international and national levels, including practical and legal implications, and concerning decisions of the COP/MOP, as well as the resource implications for the secretariat, of obtaining written agreement from private or national entities seeking to participate in the mechanisms pursuant to the Kyoto Protocol that any complaints, claims or disputes against constituted bodies or individuals serving on constituted bodies established under the Kyoto Protocol and individuals serving on expert review teams under the Kyoto Protocol shall be brought in accordance with the decisions of the COP/MOP and be made at the headquarters of the secretariat;
- (b) The legal and practical issues, including the resource implications for the secretariat, of providing assistance upon request to individuals serving on constituted bodies established under the Kyoto Protocol and individuals serving on expert review teams under the Kyoto Protocol who are faced with complaints, claims or disputes concerning their official functions and, in such cases, the options for the Executive Secretary to contact, as appropriate, the competent authorities of the country or countries in question to discuss the issues further.

127. The SBI decided to continue consideration of this matter at its twenty-fifth session on the basis of the report and the note by the Executive Secretary referred to in paragraphs 125 and 126 above and taking into account views expressed by Parties, with a view to preparing a draft decision on this matter for adoption by the COP/MOP at its second session.

XIV. Other matters

(Agenda item 14)

A. Level of emissions for the base year of Croatia

(Agenda item 14 (a))

128. The SBI considered this sub-item at its 1st and 5th meetings, on 18 and 25 May, respectively. It had before it document FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.1. Statements were made by representatives of four Parties, including one speaking on behalf of the European Community and its member States.³⁷

129. At its 1st meeting, the SBI agreed to consider this sub-item in informal consultations convened by Mr. Jim Penman (United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland). At the 5th meeting, Mr. Penman reported on these consultations.

130. At its 5th meeting, on a proposal by the Chair, the SBI agreed to continue its consideration of this matter at its twenty-fifth session (November 2006).³⁸

B. Any other matters

(Agenda item 14 (b))

131. No other matters were raised or considered.

³⁷ This statement was made in association with Bulgaria, Romania, The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, and Bosnia and Herzegovina.

³⁸ Adopted as FCCC/SBI/2006/L.17/Rev.1.

XV. Report on the session

(Agenda item 15)

132. At its 5th meeting, on 25 May, the SBI considered and adopted the draft report on its twenty-fourth session (FCCC/SBI/2006/L.1). At the same meeting, on a proposal by the Chair, the SBI authorized the Chair to complete the report on the session, with the assistance of the secretariat.

XVI. Closure of the session

133. At the 5th meeting, on 25 May, the Chair thanked delegates, the chairs of contact groups and convenors of informal consultations for their contributions. He also thanked the secretariat for its support.

Annex I**Draft text for consideration by the
Subsidiary Body for Implementation at its twenty-fifth session**

The Subsidiary Body for implementation, at its twenty-fourth session, decided to continue its consideration of issues relating to the third review of the financial mechanism at its twenty-fifth session (November 2006) on the basis of the draft text below.

Review of the financial mechanism

[The Conference of the Parties,

Recalling Article 4, paragraph 3, and Article 11, paragraph 4, of the Convention,

Recalling also its decisions 11/CP.2, 12/CP.2, 13/CP.2, 11/CP.3, 12/CP.3, 3/CP.4, 5/CP.8 and 9/CP.10,

Noting the report prepared by the secretariat on the experience of international funds and multilateral financial institutions relevant to the investment needs of developing countries in meeting their commitments under the Convention (FCCC/SBI/2005/INF.7), including on financial flows from private sector sources,

Noting also the synthesis report on the financial mechanism prepared by the secretariat (FCCC/SBI/2006/7), the report of the Global Environment Facility to the Conference of the Parties at its eleventh session, and the Third Overall Performance Study of the Global Environment Facility,

Noting the report on the assessment of funding necessary to assist developing countries in fulfilling their commitments under the Convention prepared in the context of the memorandum of understanding between the Conference of the Parties and the Council of the Global Environment Facility, including on other sources of funding available, inter alia, through bilateral and other multilateral channels and private capital flows, prepared by the secretariat (FCCC/SBI/2004/18, chapter V),

Welcoming the outcomes of the Third Global Environment Facility Assembly and associated meetings held in Cape Town, South Africa, from 27 August to 1 September 2006,

Noting with appreciation the conclusion of the fourth replenishment of the Global Environment Facility,

Noting that adaptation is a key concern for Parties to the Convention,

Bearing in mind that, in the light of common but differentiated responsibilities, adaptation is the main concern of developing countries under the Convention,

Being concerned that, so far, the largest share of the climate change resources of the Global Environment Facility has been assigned to long-term mitigation projects,

Being concerned that, so far, the largest share of the climate change resources of the Global Environment Facility has been assigned to long-term mitigation projects, in accordance with the Convention and guidance by the Conference of the Parties,

Noting the report of the Global Environment Facility to the Conference of the Parties at its twelfth session, including the response of the Global Environment Facility to the requests contained in decision 5/CP.11, paragraph 1, on additional guidance to an operating entity of the financial mechanism,

Noting further that the Global Environment Facility has effectively performed its role as an entity operating the financial mechanism of the Convention,

1. *Requests* the Global Environment Facility:
 - (a) To provide, in accordance with Article 11, paragraph 3 (b), of the Convention, information on the modalities for the reconsideration of projects, on the number of projects that were not approved and the reasons for their non-approval;
 - (b) To take into account the results of the high-level political discussions on the opportunities and challenges of the Global Environment Facility in its role as an operating entity of the financial mechanism of the Convention that took place at the Third Global Environment Facility Assembly and associated meetings held in Cape Town, South Africa, from 27 August to 1 September 2006;
 - (c) To allocate a larger share of funding resources to adaptation activities in accordance with the guidance provided by the Conference of the Parties;
 - (d) To strengthen work on awareness-raising on Global Environment Facility programmes and procedures in order to assist developing countries to access Global Environment Facility funds;
2. *Further requests* the Global Environment Facility to recognize and respond to the challenges faced by the small island developing States and the least developed countries in accessing Global Environment Facility funding highlighted in the Third Overall Performance Study of the Global Environment Facility (pages 126–131);
3. *Requests* the Global Environment Facility to report to the Conference of the Parties at its thirteenth session (December 2007) on:
 - (a) Its response to the recommendations of the Third Overall Performance Study of the Global Environment Facility;
 - (b) How it has taken into consideration the recommendations of the third review of the financial mechanism;
 - (c) Its response to the findings of the report on project cycle management by the Global Environment Facility Evaluation Office;
 - (d) Efforts to catalyse private sector investments that address climate change;
4. *Invites* the Global Environment Facility:
 - (a) To review the overall strategic coherence of, and revise, as necessary, its climate focal area operational programmes;
 - (b) To review and improve the quantitative and qualitative indicators for its performance in the climate focal area;
 - (c) To clarify the interface between Global Environment Facility activities and carbon financing, as part of the development of its strategy for engaging the private sector;

5. *Decides*, in undertaking the review of the financial mechanism:
 - (a) To determine how the Global Environment Facility effectively responds to adaptation needs of developing countries;
 - (b) To examine how the Global Environment Facility implements the annex to the memorandum of understanding between the Conference of the Parties and the Council of the Global Environment Facility relating to the determination of funding necessary and available for the implementation of the Convention (decision 12/CP.3);
 - (c) To include in the review of the financial mechanism a review of all implementing agencies and executing agencies involved in the climate change focal area of the Global Environment Facility, such review to cover improvement and simplification of procedures, as well as administrative and other charges imposed by these agencies;
 - (d) To examine the role of private sector investments in the transfer of technology and other activities that support the implementation of the Convention;

6. *Urges* Parties that are donors to the Global Environment Facility to contribute to the Global Environment Facility in order to achieve a timely and substantial fourth replenishment, with a view to ensuring adequate and predictable resources necessary for advancing the implementation of the Convention;

7. *Requests* the Subsidiary Body for Implementation to initiate the fourth review of the financial mechanism at its twenty-xxx session in accordance with the criteria contained in the guidelines annexed to decision 3/CP.4, or as they may be subsequently amended, and to take appropriate measures and report on the outcome to the Conference of the Parties at its xxx session.]

Annex II**Draft text for consideration by the
Subsidiary Body for Implementation at its twenty-fifth session**

The Subsidiary Body for implementation, at its twenty-fourth session, decided to continue its consideration of issues relating to the Adaptation Fund at its twenty-fifth session (November 2006) on the basis of the draft text below.

**Compilation document containing possible elements for
a draft decision on the Adaptation Fund**

[The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling Article 12, paragraph 8, of the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling decision 28/CMP.1,

Recalling decisions 5/CP.7, 10/CP.7 and 17/CP.7,

Noting that under the principle of common but differentiated responsibilities which govern the Convention, developed country Parties must “take the lead in modifying longer-term trends in anthropogenic emissions” or mitigation (Article 4, paragraph 2 (a) of the Convention), while the developing country Parties, which are most vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change, are mainly concerned with adaptation,

Noting that the Adaptation Fund is based on Article 12 of the Kyoto Protocol, which defines the clean development mechanism. The clean development mechanism is a means through which developing countries assist developed countries in meeting their emission reduction obligations under the Kyoto Protocol. The Adaptation Fund is the means through which developing countries share the benefits to be derived from clean development mechanism project activities (the certified emission reductions) with other developing countries that have very limited mitigation capabilities and therefore cannot host clean development mechanism projects, but that are most often the same countries that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change,

Further noting that the objective of the Adaptation Fund is “to assist developing country Parties that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change to meet the costs of adaptation” (Article 12, paragraph 8 of the Kyoto Protocol),

I. Institutional arrangements to manage the Adaptation Fund**A. Possible principles and criteria to be followed by an institution managing the Adaptation Fund**

1. *Decides* that the management of the Adaptation Fund shall be guided by the following principles:

Overarching principles

- (a) Have ability to work under the authority of, and be able to adhere to the guidance to be provided by, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol;

- (b) Be flexible enough to take account of the adaptation needs of the developing countries that are Parties to the Kyoto Protocol;
- (c) Have a democratic and transparent system of governance, and ensure that, for the administration of the fund, a voting system that allows one vote for one party is in place;

Country-driven approach

- (d) Follow a country-driven approach (decision 28/CMP.1);
- (e) Be responsive to the needs and views of developing countries;
- (f) Reflect national and/or regional priorities;

Accountability

- (g) Be accountable to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol;
- (h) Have separation and independence from the management, procedural arrangements and decision-making process of existing funds under the Convention and the Kyoto Protocol;
- (i) Have separation of accountability and disbursement (separation of quality assurance, implementation and management);
- (j) Have independent monitoring and evaluation function;
- (k) Have independent reviews at regular intervals;

Transparency

- (l) Have sound financial management and transparency (decision 28/CMP.1);
- (m) Have transparent reporting on management of the financial resources;
- (n) Have financial management including independent financial audits and minimum international fiduciary standards;

Fund management

- (o) Have separation from other funding sources (decision 28/CMP.1);
- (p) Be able to create/set up a separate and independent unit to manage the fund, which can produce operational policies for the projects under the fund;
- (q) Be able to maintain the autonomy of the Adaptation Fund from other funds being administered by the same institution/entity;
- (r) Have autonomy to enable the use of funds in a flexible and fluid manner;
- (s) Serve as a catalyst to leverage additional financing;
- (t) Maximize means of funding from other sources;

Effectiveness and efficiency

- (u) Manage effectively and operate expeditiously so that funding is available in a timely manner;
- (v) Have overall flexible, simple, clear streamlined procedures;
- (w) Have low transaction costs and cost-effective management;
- (x) Have low administrative cost for the administration of the Fund and handling of projects;
- (y) Ensure consistency and synergy with activities in related climate change areas;
- (z) Have high standards of professionalism;

Knowledge and networking capacity

- (aa) Follow a learning-by-doing approach (decision 28/CMP.1);
- (bb) Be an existing institutions with an established structure and with proven experience in handling other funds;
- (cc) Have established knowledge and experience on how to manage a fund;
- (dd) Have established knowledge and experience of adaptation activities;
- (ee) Have a wider and/or appropriate base/network of organizations, including regional organizations, to serve as implementing agencies with reach at the national level.

B. Relation of the governing body of the Adaptation Fund with the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol

2. **Option 1:** *Reaffirms* that, in accordance with decision 28/CMP.1, the Adaptation Fund shall function under the guidance of, and be accountable to, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol;

Option 2: *Decides* that the Adaptation Fund shall function under the guidance of, and be accountable to, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and be subject to the authority of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol;

C. Membership of the governing body of the Adaptation Fund

3. **Option 1:** *Decides* that members of the governing body shall be from Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and that the governing body shall have an equal representation of developing and developed country Parties, following a one country-one vote rule

Option 2: *Decides* that members of the governing body shall be from Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and that the governing body shall have balanced representation of Annex I and non-Annex I Parties

Option 3: *Decides* that members of the governing body shall be from Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and that the governing body shall have a majority of non-Annex I Parties

Option 4: *Decides* that members of the governing body shall be from Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and that developing countries shall play the central role in the governance of the Adaptation Fund

(Note: This option assumes the establishment of a new body or the establishment of a new governance structure within an existing body.)

Option 5: *Decides* that decisions of the governing body shall be taken by consensus. In the case that in the consideration of any matter of substance, all practicable efforts by the body and its Chair have been made and no consensus appears attainable, any member of the governing body may require a formal vote

Decides that the governing body of the Adaptation Fund shall be composed of Parties to the Kyoto Protocol. Participants shall also be accredited to the Council of the Global Environment Facility

Decides further that the governing body of the Adaptation Fund shall consist of XX members representing constituency groupings, taking into account the need for balanced representation of all participants. Each participant shall have one vote.

(Note: This option assumes that the GEF is selected as an institution to manage the Adaptation Fund.)

Option 6: *Decides* that members of the governing body of the Adaptation Fund shall be from Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and that it should be composed of one member from each regional group, one member from the Alliance of Small Island States, two members from Annex I Parties and two members from non-Annex I Parties. There will also be 10 alternate members selected from the same constituencies.

II. Share of proceeds and other funding

A. Sources of funding for the Adaptation Fund

4. **Option 1:** *Reaffirms* that the Adaptation Fund shall be financed from a share of proceeds from clean development mechanism project activities and other sources of funding; (decision 28/CMP.1)

Option 2: *Reaffirms* that the Adaptation Fund shall be financed from a share of proceeds from clean development mechanism project activities and other sources of funding; (decision 28/CMP.1)

Reaffirms further that Annex I Parties that are Parties to the Kyoto Protocol are invited to provide funding to the Adaptation Fund, which will be additional to the share of proceeds of clean development project activities;

Option 3: *Decides* that the Adaptation Fund shall be financed from a share of proceeds from clean development mechanism (CDM) project activities and other sources of funding, including voluntary contributions by Parties and contributions by other entities such as foundations and the private sector;

5. *Decides* that the different sources of funding shall be tracked separately.

B. Monetizing the share of proceeds

(Note: This section could be discussed / finalized at later stage)

6. **Option 1:** *Decides* that [the entity operating the Adaptation Fund] [the secretariat servicing the CDM Executive Board and ITL] [Other] shall be responsible for monetizing the certified emission reductions issued for CDM project activities collected to assist developing country Parties that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change to meet the costs of adaptation;

Decides that the monetization of certified emission reductions issued for CDM project activities collected to assist developing country Parties that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change, shall be undertaken in such a manner to:

- (a) Maximize revenue for the fund within the specified risk tolerance;
- (b) Ensure predictable revenue flow for the fund;
- (c) Be transparent and cost-effective;

Option 2: *Decides* that [on an interim basis] the share of proceeds to assist developing country Parties that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change to meet the costs of adaptation as referred to in Article 12, paragraph 8, of the Kyoto Protocol shall be USD 0.X per certified emission reduction issued in a given calendar year;

Further decides to review these arrangements at its (##) session (date);

III. Modalities for operations

7. *Requests* the entity responsible for the operation of the Adaptation Fund:

Reporting/conduct of business

- (a) To report on its activities at each session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol;
- (b) To hold regular consultations with developing countries outside formal processes;

Project cycle

- (c) To incorporate year-round submission, consideration and approval of adaptation project proposals;
- (d) To use an expedited processing system for [approval of adaptation project proposals] [and] [disbursement of funds];
- (e) To delegate authority to the implementing agencies to commit funds for a project following its own approval procedures while following a central overall approval process;

Financing and disbursement modalities

- (f) To avoid the use of the incremental cost concept;
- (g) To not have operational procedures that impose conditionalities on project approvals, such as co-financing;
- (h) To provide full-cost funding to eligible Parties to meet the additional costs of activities to adapt to the adverse effects of climate change;
- (i) To develop a co-financing scale for supporting activities identified by eligible Parties, taking into account their national circumstances;
- (j) To arrange that activities, identified by eligible Parties, that are not supported through full-cost funding as described in paragraph (h) above, will be co-financed through the scale referred to in paragraph (i) above;
- (k) To use a sliding scale to simplify calculation of fully funded additional costs of adaptation;
- (l) To ensure adequate geographic representation in access to Adaptation Fund resources;

Monitoring and evaluation

- (m) To have an independent monitoring and evaluation unit and ensure that its implementing agencies monitor and evaluate the adaptation projects they implement;
- (n) To be subject to independent reviews every (###) years;

IV. Eligibility criteria

(Note: This section could be discussed / finalized at later stage)

8. **Option 1:** *Decides* that developing country Parties to the Kyoto Protocol, especially those that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change, are eligible for funding from the Adaptation Fund to meet the costs of adapting to climate change.

Option 2: *Decides* that developing country Parties to the Kyoto Protocol, especially those that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change, are eligible for funding from the Adaptation Fund to meet the costs of adapting to climate change.

Decides further that priority shall be given to and a special window of funding created for:

- (a) Low-lying and other small island countries, countries with low-lying coastal, arid and semi-arid areas or areas liable to floods, drought and desertification, and developing countries with fragile mountainous ecosystems;
- (b) Low-lying and other small island countries, countries with low-lying coastal, arid and semi-arid areas, forested areas, and areas liable to forest decay, areas liable to floods, drought and desertification, and developing countries with fragile mountainous ecosystems;
- (c) Small island developing states;
- (d) Least developed country Parties;
- (e) Developing country Parties with projected higher risks in the near future, in particular those which do not already have a specific fund of their own;
- (f) Developing country Parties and regions where available information indicates that the impacts of climate change are likely to be severe;
- (g) Developing country Parties and regions prone to extreme weather events;

Option 3: *Decides* that low-lying and other small island countries, countries with low-lying coastal, arid and semi-arid areas or areas liable to floods, drought and desertification, and developing countries with fragile mountainous ecosystems are eligible for funding from the Adaptation Fund to meet the costs of adapting to climate change;

V. Priority areas**A. Priority project activities**

9. **Option 1:** *Decides* that the Adaptation Fund shall finance concrete adaptation projects and programmes in developing country Parties that are Parties to the Kyoto Protocol.

10. **Option 2:** *Decides* that the Adaptation Fund shall finance concrete adaptation projects and programmes in developing country Parties that are Parties to the Kyoto Protocol. Concrete adaptation projects and programmes shall...

Option 2(a) ...be stage III activities (Measures to facilitate adequate adaptation, including insurance, and other adaptation measures as envisaged by Article 4.1(b) and 4.4);

Option 2(b) ...be stage II (Measures, including further capacity-building, which may be taken to prepare for adaptation, as envisaged by Article 4.1(e)) and III activities (Measures to facilitate adequate adaptation, including insurance, and other adaptation measures as envisaged by Article 4.1(b) and 4.4);

Option 2(c) ... have a maximum of 15 per cent of the budget for technical assistance and the majority of the budget for “actions in the field”;

Option 2(d): ...implement adaptation measures, actions, and interventions on the ground to address the adverse impacts of climate change.

B. Priority sectors

11. **Option 1:** *Decides* that the Adaptation Fund shall finance activities identified in decision 5/CP.7, paragraph 8.

Option 2: *Decides* that the Adaptation Fund shall finance activities identified in decision 5/CP.7, paragraph 8....

Option 2 (a): ...as well as areas identified as priorities by relevant decisions, such as 1/CP.10 and 2/CP.11.

Option 2 (b): ...as well as:

- (a) Forestry
- (b) Sustainable livelihoods
 - Integrate adaptation into policy processes and planning frameworks for decision-making which require development of tools, methods, modelling for local level and technology for adaptation
 - Increase public awareness of the potential impacts of climate change and possible adaptation options and strategies, to enable decision-making at the individual and community levels
 - Capacity-building:
 - Disaster-proof communication systems
 - Awareness and training exercises
 - Preparedness for desertification and support for rain enhancement and water harvesting activities under capacity-building in the areas of preparedness and management of disasters relating to climate change
 - Sensitize policymakers to the impacts their decision-making may have on adaptive capacity
 - Explore the augmentation of insurance schemes for particularly vulnerable sectors such as subsistence agriculture
 - Economic diversification as a sub-theme of the five-year programme of work on impacts, vulnerability and adaptation to climate change:

- Promote understanding and development and dissemination of measures, methodologies and tools for economic diversification aimed at increasing economic resilience and reducing reliance on vulnerable economic sectors, especially for relevant categories of countries listed in Article 4, paragraph 8, of the Convention
- Improve quality of models, in particular those that assess the adverse impacts on social and economic development as consequence of the responses to climate change, taking into full account the legitimate priority needs of developing countries with specific emphasis on countries whose economies are highly dependent on income generated from the production, processing and export, and/or on consumption, of fossil fuels and associated energy-intensive products

C. Identification of possible priority project activities

12. *Decides* that priority project activities shall be identified:
- (a) As priorities, inter alia, in national adaptation programmes of action (NAPAs), national communications, national sustainable development strategies, poverty reduction strategies and other relevant national plans;
 - (b) As thematic priority areas with links to development goals;
 - (c) As being of critical importance to human survival, and economic sustainability;
 - (d) As priorities for addressing specific problems, local capacity-building, technology transfer and the promotion of indigenous technological applications;
 - (e) As not stand alone projects;
 - (f) As bringing multiple benefits;
 - (g) As good examples for adaptation;

D. Complementarities of fund activities

13. *Decides* that the Adaptation Fund shall be complementary to and not duplicate other funds and assistance, in particular funding priorities and allocation of the special climate change fund and the least developed countries fund.

VI. Institution to manage the Adaptation Fund

14. **Option 1:** *Decides that* the following entity shall operate the Adaptation Fund:

Option 1 (a): The Global Environmental Facility (GEF) following the principles and operational modalities as contained in this decision.

Option 1 (b): The Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol following the principles and operational modalities as contained in this decision.

Option 1 (c): United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) following the principles and operational modalities as contained in this decision.

Option 1 (d): United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) following the principles and operational modalities as contained in this decision.

Option 1 (e): The Executive Board of the clean development mechanism following the principles and operational modalities as contained in this decision.

Option 2: *Establishes* a new committee/body under the direct supervision of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and elected by COP/MOP, to manage/operate the Adaptation Fund, and designates:

[GEF][UNDP][UNEP][Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol][World Bank][Other] to host the secretariat of the Adaptation Fund

[GEF][UNDP][UNEP][Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol][World Bank][Other] to act as the trustee of the Adaptation Fund

[GEF][UNDP][UNEP][Multilateral Fund of the Montreal Protocol][World Bank][Other] to act as implementing agency(ies) of the Adaptation Fund.]

Annex III**Documents before the Subsidiary Body for Implementation
at its twenty-fourth session****Documents prepared for the session**

FCCC/SBI/2006/1 and Corr.1	Provisional agenda and annotations. Note by the Executive Secretary
FCCC/SBI/2006/2	Arrangements for intergovernmental meetings. Note by the Executive Secretary
FCCC/SBI/2006/3	Organization of the intergovernmental process. Note by the Executive Secretary
FCCC/SBI/2006/4	Report of the Consultative Group of Experts on National Communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention on the outcomes of its examination of national communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention. Note by the Chair of the Consultative Group of Experts on National Communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention
FCCC/SBI/2006/5	Synthesis report on steps to be taken to monitor capacity-building activities in developing countries. Note by the secretariat
FCCC/SBI/2006/6	Consultations by the secretariat with the Secretary-General of the United Nations on privileges and immunities for individuals serving on constituted bodies established under the Kyoto Protocol. Note by the secretariat
FCCC/SBI/2006/7	Synthesis report on the financial mechanism. Note by the secretariat
FCCC/SBI/2006/8	Progress report on the activities of the Consultative Group of Experts on National Communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention. Note by the secretariat
FCCC/SBI/2006/9	Report on the work of the Least Developed Countries Expert Group. Note by the secretariat
FCCC/SBI/2006/10	Report on the workshop on the Adaptation Fund. Note by the secretariat
FCCC/SBI/2006/INF.1	Information on financial support provided by the Global Environment Facility for the preparation of initial and subsequent national communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention. Note by the secretariat
FCCC/SBI/2006/INF.2	Synthesis of reports demonstrating progress in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol. Note by the secretariat
FCCC/SBI/2006/INF.3	Progress made towards the implementation of the international transaction log. Note by the secretariat
FCCC/SBI/2006/INF.4	Status of contributions as at 30 April 2006. Note by the secretariat

FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.1	Level of emissions for the base year of Croatia. Submission from a Party
FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.2	Views on the sixth compilation and synthesis of initial national communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention. Submissions from Parties
FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.3	Experiences on the effectiveness of the financial mechanism. Submissions from intergovernmental organizations
FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.4 and Corr.1 and Add.1	Views on steps to be taken to regularly monitor capacity-building activities. Submissions from Parties
FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.5	Views on possible arrangements for the management of the Adaptation Fund. Submissions from intergovernmental organizations
FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.6 and Add.1	Views on privileges and immunities for individuals serving on constituted bodies established under the Kyoto Protocol. Submissions from Parties
FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.7 and Add.1	Views on specific policies, programme priorities and eligibility criteria and possible arrangements for the management of the Adaptation Fund. Submissions from Parties
FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.8	Views on the organization of the intergovernmental process. Submissions from Parties
FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.9	Experiences on the effectiveness of the financial mechanism. Submissions from Parties
FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.10	Views on participation of observer States in Kyoto Protocol processes. Submission from a Party/observer State
FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.11	Adaptation Fund. Submissions from Parties
FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.12	Views on the compilation and synthesis of initial national communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention. Statements by Parties on agenda item 4 (b)
FCCC/SBI/2006/MISC.13	Views on the Adaptation Fund. Statements by Parties on agenda item 6
FCCC/SBI/2006/L.1	Draft report of the Subsidiary Body for Implementation on its twenty-fourth session
FCCC/SBI/2006/L.2	Work programme of the Least Developed Countries Expert Group. Draft conclusions proposed by the Chair
FCCC/SBI/2006/L.3	Status of contributions as at 30 April 2006. Draft conclusions proposed by the Chair
FCCC/SBI/2006/L.4	Third review of the financial mechanism. Draft conclusions proposed by the Chair
FCCC/SBI/2006/L.5	Implementation of the Headquarters Agreement. Draft conclusions proposed by the Chair
FCCC/SBI/2006/L.6	Special Climate Change Fund. Draft conclusions proposed by the Chair

FCCC/SBI/2006/L.7	Provision of financial and technical support. Draft conclusions proposed by the Chair
FCCC/SBI/2006/L.8	Progress report on the international transaction log. Draft conclusions proposed by the Chair
FCCC/SBI/2006/L.9	Arrangements for intergovernmental meetings. Draft conclusions proposed by the Chair
FCCC/SBI/2006/L.10	Privileges and immunities for individuals serving on constituted bodies established under the Kyoto Protocol. Draft conclusions proposed by the Chair
FCCC/SBI/2006/L.11/Rev.1	Amendment of the Kyoto Protocol in respect of procedures and mechanisms relating to compliance. Revised draft conclusions proposed by the Chair
FCCC/SBI/2006/L.12/Rev.1	Work of the Consultative Group of Experts on National Communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention. Draft conclusions proposed by the Chair
FCCC/SBI/2006/L.13	Compilation and synthesis of initial national communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention. Draft conclusions proposed by the Chair
FCCC/SBI/2006/L.14/Rev.1	Synthesis of reports demonstrating progress in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol. Revised draft conclusions proposed by the Chair
FCCC/SBI/2006/L.15	Capacity-building under the Convention. Draft conclusions proposed by the Chair
FCCC/SBI/2006/L.16	Capacity-building under the Kyoto Protocol. Draft conclusions proposed by the Chair
FCCC/SBI/2006/L.17/Rev.1	Level of emissions for the base year of Croatia. Revised draft conclusions proposed by the Chair
FCCC/SBI/2006/L.18	Adaptation Fund. Draft conclusions proposed by the Chair

Other documents before the session

FCCC/CP/2005/5 and Add.1–2	Report of the Conference of the Parties on its eleventh session, held at Montreal from 28 November to 10 December 2005
FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/6	Privileges and immunities for individuals serving on constituted bodies established under the Kyoto Protocol. Note by the secretariat
FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/8 and Add.1–4	Report of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol on its first session, held at Montreal from 28 November to 10 December 2005
FCCC/SBI/2005/10 and Add.1	Report of the Subsidiary Body for Implementation on its twenty-second session, held at Bonn from 20 to 27 May 2005

FCCC/SBI/2005/18	Sixth compilation and synthesis of initial national communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention. Note by the secretariat. Executive Summary
FCCC/SBI/2005/18/Add.1	Sixth compilation and synthesis of initial national communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention. Note by the secretariat. Addendum. Sustainable development and the integration of climate change concerns into medium- and long-term planning
FCCC/SBI/2005/18/Add.2	Sixth compilation and synthesis of initial national communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention. Note by the secretariat. Addendum. Inventories of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases
FCCC/SBI/2005/18/Add.3 and Corr.1	Sixth compilation and synthesis of initial national communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention. Note by the secretariat. Addendum. Measures contributing to addressing climate change
FCCC/SBI/2005/18/Add.4	Sixth compilation and synthesis of initial national communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention. Note by the secretariat. Addendum. Research and systematic observation
FCCC/SBI/2005/18/Add.5	Sixth compilation and synthesis of initial national communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention. Note by the secretariat. Addendum. Climate change impacts, adaptation measures and response strategies
FCCC/SBI/2005/18/Add.6	Sixth compilation and synthesis of initial national communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention. Note by the secretariat. Addendum. Education, training and public awareness
FCCC/SBI/2005/23	Report of the Subsidiary Body for Implementation on its twenty-third session, held at Montreal from 28 November to 6 December 2005
FCCC/SBI/2005/INF.7	Experience of international funds and multilateral financial institutions relevant to the investment needs of developing countries in meeting their commitments under the Convention. Note by the secretariat
FCCC/SBI/2004/18	Report on the assessment of funding necessary to assist developing countries in fulfilling their commitments under the Convention prepared in the context of the Memorandum of Understanding between the Conference of the Parties and the Council of the Global Environment Facility. Note by the secretariat
FCCC/SBI/2004/19 and Corr.1	Report of the Subsidiary Body for Implementation on its twenty-first session, held at Buenos Aires from 6 to 14 December 2004
FCCC/SBI/2003/8	Report of the Subsidiary Body for Implementation on its eighteenth session, held at Bonn, from 4 to 13 June 2003
FCCC/SBI/2002/17	Report of the Subsidiary Body for Implementation on its seventeenth session, held at New Delhi, from 23 October to 1 November 2002
