



Distr. GENERAL

FCCC/ASR/2006/PRT 2 June 2006

ENGLISH ONLY

Annual status report of the greenhouse gas inventory of Portugal

- 1. This status report was prepared by the secretariat as part of the initial check of the greenhouse gas inventory submitted in accordance with decision 19/CP.8. It reflects the content of the inventory submission of 2006 as originally submitted by the Party, and of the resubmission received by 27 May 2006.
- 2. In this report, the following abbreviations are used:

CRF: common reporting format LUCF: Land-use Change and Forestry LULUCF: Land Use, Land-use Change and

Forestry

NIR: national inventory report

SBDT: sectoral background data tables

Notation keys C: confidential

IE: included elsewhere NA: not applicable NE: not estimated NO: not occurring

Greenhouse gases
CO₂: carbon dioxide
CH₄: methane
N₂O: nitrous oxide

HFCs: hydrofluorocarbons PFCs: perfluorocarbons SF₆: sulphur hexafluoride NOx: nitrogen oxides CO: carbon monoxide

NMVOCs: non-methane volatile organic

compounds

SO₂: sulphur dioxide

 $^{^{}a}$ Information on the base year in this status report does not reflect or prejudge any decision that may be taken by the Party in relation to the use of 1995 as base year for HFCs, PFCs and SF₆, in accordance with Article 3.8 of the Kyoto Protocol.

					PART I								
		Provision of in	nformati	on for the	e latest reported in	ventory year in th	ne CRF: 20	004					
		Energy		ustrial cesses	Solvent Use	Agriculture	use Ch	se, Land- ange and estry	Wast	e			
	Sectoral report tables	1	2(I	_	3	4	5	•	6	V			
	Sectoral background data tables	1.A(a)	2(I).A-	G 🔽	3.A-D 🔽	4.A 🔽	5.A	•	6.A	V			
		1.A(b)	2(II).C,	E 🔽		4.B(a)	5.B	V	6.B	V			
		1.A(c)	2(II).	F 🔽		4.B(b)	5.0	~	6.C	V			
		1.A(d)				4.C 🔽	5.D	V					
		1.B.1				4.D 🔽	5.E						
Tables		1.B.2				4.E	5.F						
Tal		1.C		_		4.F	5 (I)	V					
		Bunkers separately	✓				5 (II)	V					
							5 (III)	V					
							5 (IV)	V					
							5 (V)	~					
	Summary tables (emission totals)	Summary 1.A		V	Summary 1.B	V	Summary	, 2		V			
	Other tables	Summary 3		~	Table 7 (Key cates	gories) ^a	Table 9(a	Table 9(a) (Completeness) ^b					
		Table 10 (Trends)		~			Table 9(t	teness) ^b					
	Comments												
	Totals provided for	CO_2	C	H_4	N ₂ O	HFCs	P	FCs	SF ₆				
Trends	provided for	V	Ī.	7	~	~	1		V				
Tı	Totals provided for years	1990–2004	1990	-2004	1990–2004	1990–2004		1990-		004			
CO2	Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel	Reference appr	oach	Sec	toral approach	Difference me 2 per ce			ence is more 2 per cent				
	combustion	>			✓	~		Explanati	on provided 🔽				
	Disaggregation by species	HF				FCs		SF ₆					
SF6	by species	Ī.	7		J	<u> </u>							
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆	Reporting of actual and/or potential	Actual	Pote	ential	Actual	Potential	Ad	ctual	Potential				
HFCs,	estimates in the consumption of halocarbons and SF ₆	V	F	2		V	ı	7	V				
u	Used in	Summary table 1.A		V	Sectoral report tables Sectoral background data tables								
Notation keys	Comments	Frequent use of "()" instead	d of data	or notation keys								

a The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 7 (Overview) covers completeness and quality of estimates. This is not in accordance with the reporting requirements in decision 18/CP.8 (FCCC/CP/2002/7/Add.2).
 b The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 9 (Completeness) is one table. The Party reported table 9 (Completeness),

and this is reflected in this status report by table 9(a) being ticked and table 9(b) being left blank.

PART II Provision of CRF tables for years reported Information Years gaps relating Base 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 to reporting b Comments year^a 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 Sectoral report – Table 1 ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ **√** ✓ **√ √** Table 1.A(a) ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ Table 1.A(b) Table 1.A(c) ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ Energy Table 1.B.1 ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ **√ √** ✓ ✓ Table 1.A(d) For the years 1995-2004 no data are ✓ ✓ ✓ reported in this table but notation key NO is used ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ Table 1.B.2 Table 1.C ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ Table 2(I) ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ Processes Table 2(II).C, E Table 2(II).F Sectoral reports ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ Table 2(II) ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ No data are reported in this table but ✓ ✓ ✓ notation key NO is used ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ Sectoral report – Table 3 Solvent Use Table 3.A–D ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓

PART II Provision of CRF tables for years reported (continued)

		Years								Information										
			Base year ^a	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995			1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments
	Sec	ctoral report – Table 4		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 4.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
es.	Î	Table 4.B(a)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
f	Î	Table 4.B(b)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Agriculture	SBDT	Table 4.C		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Agr	SB	Table 4.D		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
,	Î	Table 4.E		✓	✓	✓	√	*	✓	✓	√	✓	√	✓	✓	1	✓	1		No data are reported in this table but notation key NO is used
	Î	Table 4.F		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
П	Sec	ctoral report – Table 5		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√		
		Table 5.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	√	√		
ry	Ì	Table 5.B		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
rest	Ì	Table 5.C		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Fo	İ	Table 5.D		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
and	Ì	Table 5.E		✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
nge	Ì	Table 5.F		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
e Cha	SBDT	Table 5 (I)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1	✓	1		No data are reported in this table but notation key NE is used
sn-pu	SB	Table 5 (II)		✓	✓	✓	1	1	✓	✓	✓	✓	\	\	✓	✓	✓	1		No data are reported in this table but notation key NO is used
se, La		Table 5 (III)		~	✓	✓	✓	√	~	✓	✓	✓	~	\	✓	✓	✓	✓		No data are reported in this table but notation key NE is used
Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry		Table 5 (IV)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		No data are reported in this table but notation keys NE and NO are used
Ĺ		Table 5 (V)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	~	✓	\	\	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		General comments on entire sector												_				_		

PART II
Provision of CRF tables for years reported (continued)

			Years														Information			
			1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	gaps relating to reporting ^b		
	Sectoral report – Table 6		\	✓	\	✓	✓	\	\	\	\	✓	\	\	✓	✓	✓			
Waste	Table 6.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
×	7.0		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
	Table 6.C		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
	Summary 1.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
	Summary 1.B		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
	Summary 2 (CO ₂ equivalent emissions)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
	Summary 3 (Methods/emission factors)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
tables	Table 7 (Key categories) ^c		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	~	1	✓	✓	Table 7 (Overview key categories) in the LULUCF CRF is not provided, but table 7 (Overview) is reported	
Summary and other tables	Table 8(a) (Recalculation – recalculated data)		~	\	~	√	✓	✓	~	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	1	1	1		In 2004 CRF the column referring to the "Latest submission" has been filled in. Recalculations for LULUCF are not reported following decision 13/CP.9. The Party reported recalculations in accordance with the reporting tables as contained in the CRF adopted by decision 3/CP.5	
	Table 8(b) (Recalculation – explanatory information)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
	Table 9(a) (Completeness) ^d		~	√	\	✓	✓	\	✓	~	\	✓	\	√	✓	✓	✓		For LULUCF categories reported as IE no information is provided	
	Table 9(b) (Completeness) ^d Table 10 (Trends)		√	✓	√	√														

^a This Party uses a base year of 1990.

^b This column indicates that reporting gaps (blank cells) have been identified in a given table of the CRF. This was due to limited use, or lack of, notation keys (NO, NE, NA, IE, C).

^c The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 7 (Overview) covers completeness and quality of estimates. This is not in accordance with the reporting requirements in decision 18/CP.8 (FCCC/CP/2002/7/Add.2).

^d The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 9 (Completeness) is one table. The Party reported table 9 (Completeness), and this is reflected in this status report by table 9(a) being ticked and table 9(b) being left blank.

PART III Provision of information relating to recalculation														
Table 8(a) (Recalculated data)	The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 8(a) includes LUCF. This is not in accordance with the reporting requirements in decision 13/CP.9 (FCCC/SBSTA/2004/8). Thus any possible recalculations for the LULUCF are not reflected in this status report.													
Recalculation for years 1990–2003														
Recalculated sectors/gases	Energy	Industrial Processes	Solvent Use	Agriculture	Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry	Waste								
CO_2	<	✓												
CH ₄	▼			~		>								
N ₂ O	<u> </u>			✓		>								
HFCs		▽												
PFCs		▽												
SF ₆		▼												
Table 8(b) (Explanatory information)	V	V		V	V	>								
Full CRF for the recalculated base year	V	Percentage difference in a	ggregate greenhouse gas ba	se year estimate	- with LULUCF - without LULUCF	-3.43% 0.98%								