



Distr. GENERAL

FCCC/ASR/2006/EST 2 June 2006

ENGLISH ONLY

Annual status report of the greenhouse gas inventory of Estonia

- 1. This status report was prepared by the secretariat as part of the initial check of the greenhouse gas inventory submitted in accordance with decision 19/CP.8. It reflects the content of the inventory submission of 2006 as originally submitted by the Party.
- 2. In this report, the following abbreviations are used:

CRF: common reporting format LUCF: Land-use Change and Forestry LULUCF: Land Use, Land-use Change and

Forestry

NIR: national inventory report

SBDT: sectoral background data tables

Notation keys
C: confidential

IE: included elsewhere NA: not applicable NE: not estimated NO: not occurring

Greenhouse gases
CO₂: carbon dioxide
CH₄: methane
N₂O: nitrous oxide

HFCs: hydrofluorocarbons PFCs: perfluorocarbons SF₆: sulphur hexafluoride NOx: nitrogen oxides CO: carbon monoxide

NMVOCs: non-methane volatile organic

compounds

SO₂: sulphur dioxide

Language of NIR English

atio	Format	CRF pro	vided	V	NIR provide	d 🔽										
ral informatio	Base year or period ^a	1990			Emissions with trade	Emissions without adjustments for climate variations or electricity trade										
	CRF provided for years	2004														
General	Gases covered	CO_2	CH_4	N ₂	O HFCs	PFCs	SF_6	NOx	CO	NMVOCs	SO_2					
0		>	>				V	>	>	>	<					
National inventory report	•	reporting methodol	guideline ogies used	s (deci , as w	VIR does not for ision 18/CP.8). ell as informat tivity data and	The NIR ion on emis	contains in ssions, qua	nformation ality contr	n on key o ol, emissi	categories, on trends, so	ome					
•	* 0.3.TTD															

 $^{^{}a}$ Information on the base year in this status report does not reflect or prejudge any decision that may be taken by the Party in relation to the use of 1995 as base year for HFCs, PFCs and SF₆, in accordance with Article 3.8 of the Kyoto Protocol.

		Provision of in	formation for the	PART I latest reported inv	entory year in the	CRF: 2004		
		Energy	Industrial Processes	Solvent Use	Agriculture	Land Use, Land- use Change and Forestry	Waste	
	Sectoral report tables	1	2(I) 2 (II) 2	3	4	5	6	
	Sectoral background data tables	1.A(a)	2(I).A-G	3.A-D	4.A 🔽	5.A 🔽	6.A 🔽	
		1.A(b)	2(II).C,E		4.B(a)	5.B	6.B	
		1.A(c)	2(II).F		4.B(b)	5.C 🔽	6.C	
		1.A(d)			4.C 🔽	5.D 🔽		
		1.B.1			4.D 🔽	5.E 🔽		
Tables		1.B.2			4.E	5.F		
Ta		1.C 🔽			4.F 🔽	5 (I)		
		Bunkers separately	✓			5 (II)		
						5 (III) 🔽		
						5 (IV)		
	Summary tables					5 (V)		
		Summary 1.A	✓	Summary 1.B	V	Summary 2	V	
	Other tables	Summary 3	✓	Table 7 (Key catego	ories) ^a	Table 9(a) (Complete	teness) ^b	
		Table 10 (Trends)	✓			Table 9(b) (Comple	teness) ^b	
	Comments							
s	Totals provided for	CO_2	CH ₄	N ₂ O	HFCs	PFCs	SF ₆	
Trends	provided for	V	V	✓				
I	Totals provided for years	1990–2004	1990–2004	1990–2004				
)2	Comparison of	Reference appro	oach Sec	toral approach	Difference mor		ence is more than 2 per cent	
CO_2	CO ₂ from fuel combustion	~		V	Z per cen		on provided 🔽	
	Disaggregation by species	HF	Cs	PF	Cs	S	F ₆	
SF6				L				
PFCs,	Reporting of actual and/or	Actual	Potential	Actual	Potential	Actual	Potential	
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆	potential estimates in the consumption of halocarbons and SF ₆		П	П			V	
uo		Summary table 1.A		Sectoral report table		Sectoral background	data tables	
Notation keys	Comments	Use of notation ke	ys is limited. Freq	uent use of "0" ins	tead of data or no	tation keys.		

a The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 7 (Overview) covers completeness and quality of estimates. This is not in accordance with the reporting requirements in decision 18/CP.8 (FCCC/CP/2002/7/Add.2).
 b The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 9 (Completeness) is one table. The Party reported table 9 (Completeness),

and this is reflected in this status report by table 9(a) being ticked and table 9(b) being left blank.

]	Provisi	on of (ART II ables fo	r year	s repo	rted					
			,							I	Ye	ars				I		ı		Information	
				Base year ^a	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments
	S	ectoral report – T	Table 1																✓	✓	
		Table 1.A(a)																	✓	✓	
		Table 1.A(b)																	✓	✓	
Energy	F	Table 1.A(c)																	✓	✓	
Ene	CRLT	Table 1.A(d)																	✓	✓	
	v	Table 1.B.1																	✓	✓	
		Table 1.B.2																	✓	✓	
		Table 1.C																	✓	✓	
	_			1	1	1	1		1		1			1		1		1		·	
es	S	ectoral reports –	Table 2(I)																√	√	
ssac	L	_	Table 2(II)																✓	✓	
Pro		Table 2(I).A–G																	✓	✓	
Industrial Processes	CPDT	Table 2(II).C, E																	1	✓	No data or notation keys are reported in this table. "0" has been used for filling in the table.
Ind		Table 2(II).F																	✓	✓	No data are reported in this table but notation key NO is used
Se	S	ectoral report – T	Table 3																✓		No data are reported in this table but notation keys NO and NE are used
Solvent Use	CPDT	Table 3.A–D																			

PART II Provision of CRF tables for years reported (continued)

										Yea	ars								Information			
			Base																gaps relating			
_	T		year ^a	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003		to reporting ^b	Comments		
	_	ctoral report – Table 4																✓	✓			
		Table 4.A																✓				
٥	,	Table 4.B(a)																✓				
1		Table 4.B(b)																✓				
aricu	SBDT	Table 4.C																✓		No data are reported in this table but notation key NO is used		
4		Table 4.D																✓	✓			
	Ĭ.	Table 4.E																✓		No data are reported in these tables but		
		Table 4.F																✓		notation key NO is used		
Ī	Sec	ctoral report – Table 5																✓	✓			
1	3	Table 5.A																✓				
204		Table 5.B																✓				
F F		Table 5.C																✓				
Jue		Table 5.D																✓				
ngo	à	Table 5.E																✓				
Į,		Table 5.F																✓		No data are reported in these tables but		
) 03	SBDT	Table 5 (I)																✓		notation key NE is used		
1	\mathbf{z}	Table 5 (II)																✓				
l an		Table 5 (III)																1				
g	,	Table 5 (IV)																√				
I and I see I and noe Change and Forestry		` '																· /	✓			
on		Table 5 (V) General comments on		l		l			l			l			l	l	l		*			
Ľ		entire sector																				

	PART II Provision of CRF tables for years reported (continued)															continu	ied)			
				1	İ	1	İ	1	1	Ye	ars					1	1		Information	
			Base year ^a	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments
		ectoral report – Table 6																✓	✓	
Waste	L	Table 6.A																✓	✓	
Wa	SBDT	Table 6.B																✓	✓	
	S.	Table 6.C																		
	S	ummary 1.A																✓	✓	
	_	ummary 1.B																√		
	ec	ummary 2 (CO ₂ quivalent emissions)																√	✓	
table	Si (N	ummary 3 Methods/emission factors)																\	✓	
Summary and other tables	Т	Table 7 (Key categories) ^c																✓	✓	Table 7 (Overview for key categories) in the LULUCF CRF is not provided but table 7 (Overview) is reported
ary ar	T re	able 8(a) (Recalculation – ecalculated data)		✓															✓	The recalculation table for the year 1990 is included in the CRF for 2004
mm	T	able 8(b) (Recalculation –																√		
Su		xplanatory information)																1		
		Table 9(a) (Completeness) ^d Table 9(b) (Completeness) ^d																· ·		
	Г	Table 10 (Trends)																✓	✓	For LULUCF the trend table is not provided

^a This Party uses a base year of 1990.

b This column indicates that reporting gaps (blank cells) have been identified in a given table of the CRF. This was due to limited use, or lack of, notation keys (NO, NE, NA, IE, C).

^c The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 7 (Overview) covers completeness and quality of estimates. This is not in accordance with the reporting requirements in decision 18/CP.8 (FCC/CP/2002/7/Add.2).

^d The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 9 (Completeness) is one table. The Party reported table 9 (Completeness), and this is reflected in this status report by table 9(a) being ticked and table 9(b) being left blank.

	PART III Provision of information relating to recalculation														
Table 8(a) (Recalculated data)	V	Comments													
Recalculation for years	1990														
Recalculated sectors/gases	Energy	Industrial Processes	Solvent Use	Agriculture	Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry	Waste									
CO ₂															
CH ₄						V									
N ₂ O						V									
HFCs															
PFCs															
SF ₆															
Table 8(b) (Explanatory information)						>									
Full CRF for the recalculated base year		Percentage difference in ag	ggregate greenhouse gas bas	- with LULUCF - without LULUCF	% %										