

Collated written submissions Agenda Item 3 - ADMISSION AND REGISTRATION.
Actor: UNFCCC Secretariat

Constituency	Region your organization is primarily active in	Most substantial sub-topic to be addressed under Agenda Item 3	Please elaborate	Actionable measures you believe can be taken by the following actor to successfully address this issue: UNFCCC Secretariat	Timeline for measure(s) to be successfully implemented	Other sub-topics you identify as important to be addressed (A13)	Please elaborate	Actionable measures that you believe can be taken by the following actor to successfully address this issue: UNFCCC Secretariat	Timeline for measure(s) to be successfully implemented
RINGO	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	I have nominated participants for COPs pre-ORS and post-ORS (as DCP). After several recent COPs of trying to nominate viable participants without knowing which week they might need to be present (side-event applications pending, pavilion participation, moderating panels, negotiation observation, etc.) or knowing that some need to attend both weeks and others only one week, the gaming of the ORS has accelerated.	1) Do not allow nominations in ORS of participants by name only; participant details should be completed fully at time of nomination. This implies a seriousness in nominees attending and not piling on names that will never become part of delegations. Substitutions at the time of confirmation could still be made by new participants with full details. 2) Do not place caps on quotas based on previous attendance or unused previous quotas; circumstances at the institutional level from year to year cannot be shown in delegation listings.	Short-term	Other (please specify)	N/A		
ENGO	Latin America and Caribbean States	Admission mechanism under the Convention and Inclusion (non-structured stakeholders' participation)	After the discussion on item 3, is worrying the approach of revoking the participation of organizations that have been admitted to the UNFCCC for a long time. We believe that a lot of attention should be devoted to this process, with the aim to avoid limiting the participation of constituencies such as CSOs from the South. Under the same argument need to support the inclusion of unstructured organizations, based on the fact that they do not have the resources to formalize their situation (which is not a reality in most cases). CSOs from the global south have many difficulties (more so after the pandemic) to support the fiscal and administrative requirements, and should not be a limitation to continue participating if they have prior admission from the UNFCCC. Therefore, a balance in the participation of the observers is essential and, above all, look for mechanisms to guarantee equitable accessibility to the processes, even when some cannot attend the meetings in person.	Establish a balance in the participation of the admitted observers and, above all, look for mechanisms to guarantee equitable accessibility to the processes, even when some cannot attend the meetings in person. Addressing the revocation or evaluation of eligibility criteria at this time is a waste of time for the secretariat, as the registration process for access to meetings can only be carried out by accredited organizations. Instead, it would be a simple criterion to apply sanctions to those who register 200 people to get a higher access quota and then change all the registered people to others for budgets.	Mid-term				
ENGO	African States	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	Observer participation in the blue zone and green zone.	Clear guidelines on the registration limits for NGOs.	Short-term				
RINGO	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	We know that badges go to delegations who do not fully use them. E.g. demand to badge individuals for side events, which can waste a week badge on someone attending only one day.	Provide day badges apart from delegation quotas for SE presenters	Short-term	Admission mechanism under the Convention and Inclusion (non-structured stakeholders' participation)	See comments in part 1	see comments in Part 1	Short-term
	Asian States	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	In the admission and registration process, it is of great importance to make a distinction between participants from different organizations based on their purpose of participation and resulting access needs. In case of IGOs comprised from a group of countries with similarities and common approaches on specific topics and taking into consideration the coordinating ability of such organizations among their Member States at high levels, it is of great significance to ensure that these IGOs participants ability in following the negotiation process not to be restricted or impaired in any way.			Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)			
	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	Admission for remote participation	Open greater access for remote participation	Short-term	Revocation process	Revocation process must be clear and carried out	Ensure clear guidelines (as are present) are communicated and carried out	Short-term
BINGO	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	We would encourage the secretariat to consider the following: 1. Organizations with a quota number of 5 or less in-person delegates in the last 3 COPs (Katowice, Madrid, Glasgow) should not have this number reduced in any way for any reallocation process. 2. A differentiated badge system should be put in place to enable people with different responsibilities/tasks to have access to different zones within the COP complex. These options may be: a. Temporary badges for speakers in side events - 1 or two day entry badges that only allow access to the pavilion/side event area on specific dates. b. Badges for accessing side events and pavilions only: These badges would not allow access to any negotiations zones. c. Full badges for side events, pavilions and negotiations: Basically access to all zones. d. Constituency badges for negotiations: Badges provided to constituencies too allow representation of a given constituency during negotiation sessions. Constituency focal points are responsible for the distribution of the badges amongst on-side members. e. Virtual badges: providing access to a virtual platform to take part in negotiations through remote channels.	To be responsible for the development and implementation of an appropriate system that allows a differentiated badge system to operate	Mid-term				
YOUNGO	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	Our organisation (NGO) has to experience this headache inevitably as lots of colleagues from Global South would love to join the COPs each year in person whereas a limited quota will be assigned by UNFCCC and lack of funds is another obstacle in the way. Hence, how to balance the needs of the registrants from both Global South & Global North and the actual supply of quota & the composition of delegation concerning financial constraints should be considered carefully and thoroughly.	N/A	Long-term				
ENGO	African States	Admission mechanism under the Convention and Inclusion (non-structured stakeholders' participation)	Just with regards to the Methodology's principles willing to conduct discussions in an open-minded and collaborative co-creation with all stakeholders including non-structured stakeholders such as the community of indigenous people from whom we are ready and prepared to learn something that can healthily benefit the pursued cause of climate change impacts.	To still remain open-minded.	Long-term	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	The full knowledge of Mandates, Responsibilities and Constraints is very important on the performance of the work to be done.	Commitment and passion in all what they are supposed to do.	Long-term
BINGO	African States	Admission mechanism under the Convention and Inclusion (non-structured stakeholders' participation)	Has been virtually impossible to navigate the system and register attendees for the event. Anticipate as sessions are confirmed there will be a huge scramble but from here it appears very opaque and inaccessible.			Admission mechanism under the Convention and Inclusion (non-structured stakeholders' participation)	Whilst the agenda is still in flux, we are confident we will be participating yet the system to register attendees is impossible to navigate.	Improve the registration process so that passes can be attained and confirmed well ahead of the event.	Short-term

Collated written submissions Agenda Item 3 - ADMISSION AND REGISTRATION.
Actor: IGOs

Constituency	Region your organization is primarily active in	Most substantial sub-topic to be addressed under Agenda Item 3	Please elaborate	Actionable measures you believe can be taken by the following actor to successfully address this issue: IGOs	Timeline for measure(s) to be successfully implemented
RINGO	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	I have nominated participants for COPs pre-ORS and post-ORS (as DCP). After several recent COPs of trying to nominate viable participants without knowing which week they might need to be present (side-event applications pending, pavilion participation, moderating panels, negotiation observation, etc.) or knowing that some need to attend both weeks and others only one week, the gaming of the ORS has accelerated.		
ENGO	Latin America and Caribbean States	Admission mechanism under the Convention and Inclusion (non-structured stakeholders' participation)	After the discussion on item 3, is worrying the approach of revoking the participation of organizations that have been admitted to the UNFCCC for a long time. We believe that a lot of attention should be devoted to this process, with the aim to avoid limiting the participation of constituencies such as CSOs from the South. Under the same argument used to support the inclusion of unstructured organizations, based on the fact that they do not have the resources to formalize their situation (which is not a reality in most cases), CSOs from the global south have many difficulties (more so after the pandemic) to support the fiscal and administrative requirements, and should not be a limitation to continue participating if they have prior admission from the UNFCCC. Therefore, a balance in the participation of the observers is essential and, above all, look for mechanisms to guarantee equitable accessibility to the processes, even when some cannot attend the meetings in person.	N/A	
ENGO	African States	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	Observer participation in the blue zone and green zone.	Register delegation on time and understand the limitations in terms of the number of accreditations for IGOs.	Short-term
RINGO	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	We know that badges go to delegations who do not fully use them. E.g. demand to badge individuals for side events, which can waste a week badge on someone attending only one day.	Use these day badges accordingly	Short-term
	Asian States	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	In the admission and registration process, it is of great importance to make a distinction between participants from different organizations based on their purpose of participation and resulting access needs. In case of IGOs comprised from a group of countries with similarities and common approaches on specific topics and taking into consideration the coordinating ability of such organizations among their Member States at high levels, it is of great significance to ensure that these IGOs participants ability in following the negotiation process not to be restricted or impaired in any way.		
	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	Admission for remote participation	N/A	Short-term
BINGO	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	We would encourage the secretariat to consider the following: 1. Organisations with a quota number of 5 or less in-person delegates in the last 3 COPs (Katowice, Madrid,Glasgow) should not have this number reduced in any way for any reallocation process. 2. A differentiated badge system should be put in place to enable people with different responsibilities/tasks to have access to different zones within the COP complex. These options may be: a. Temporary badges for speakers in side events - 1 or two day entry badges that only allow access to the pavilion/side event area on specific dates. b. Badges for accessing side events and pavilions only: These badges would not allow access to any negotiations zones. c. Full badges for side events, pavilions and negotiations: Basically access to all zones. d. Constituency badges for negotiations: Badges provided to constituencies too allow representation of a given constituency during negotiation sessions. Constituency focal points are responsible for the distribution of the badges amongst on-side members. e. Virtual badges: providing access to a virtual platform to take part in negotiations through remote channels.	N/A	
YOUNGO	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	Our organisation (NGO) has to experience this headache inevitably as lots of colleagues from Global South would love to join the COPs each year in person whereas a limited quota will be assigned by UNFCCC and lack of funds is another obstacle in the way. Hence, how to balance the needs of the registrants from both Global South & Global North and the actual supply of quota & the composition of delegation concerning financial constraints should be considered carefully and thoroughly.	N/A	Long-term
ENGO	African States	Admission mechanism under the Convention and Inclusion (non-structured stakeholders' participation)	Just with regards to the Methodology's principles willing to conduct discussions in an open-minded and collaborative co-creation with all stakeholders including non-structured stakeholders such the community of indigenous people from whom we are ready and prepared to learn something that can healthily benefit the pursued cause of climate change impacts.	N/A	
BINGO	African States	Admission mechanism under the Convention and Inclusion (non-structured stakeholders' participation)	Has been virtually impossible to navigate the system and register attendees for the event. Anticipate as sessions are confirmed there will be a huge scramble but from here it appears very opaque and inaccessible.		

Collated written submissions Agenda Item 3 - ADMISSION AND REGISTRATION.
Actors: NGO CFPs and NGOs

Constituency	Region your organization is primarily active in	Most substantial sub-topic to be addressed under Agenda Item 3	Please elaborate	Actionable measures you believe can be taken by the following actor to successfully address this issue: NGO Constituency Focal Points	Timeline for measure(s) to be successfully implemented	Actionable measures you believe can be taken by the following actor to successfully address this issue: NGOs	Timeline for measure(s) to be successfully implemented	Other sub-topics you identify as important to be addressed (AI3)	Please elaborate	Actionable measures you believe can be taken by the following actor to successfully address this issue: NGO Constituency Focal Points	Timeline for measure(s) to be successfully implemented	Actionable measures you believe can be taken by the following actor to successfully address this issue: NGOs	Timeline for measure(s) to be successfully implemented
RINGO	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	I have nominated participants for COPs pre-ORS and post-ORS (as DCP). After several recent COPs of trying to nominate viable participants without knowing which week they might need to be present (side-event applications pending, pavilion participation, moderating panels, negotiation observation, etc.) or knowing that some need to attend both weeks and others only one week, the gaming of the ORS has accelerated.			Instruct NGOs at the nomination stage to complete nominee information in ORS to include full participant details. This means new participants cannot be added without having full details. That would show all nominees to a COP delegation as only needing attendance dates added at the time of confirmation. New participants can be added after the quota has been set, but only to replace previous nominees who had full participant details entered.	Short-term	Other (please specify)	N/A				
ENGO	Latin America and Caribbean States	Admission mechanism under the Convention and Inclusion (non-structured stakeholders' participation)	After the discussion on item 3, is worrying the approach of revoking the participation of organizations that have been admitted to the UNFCCC for a long time. We believe that a lot of attention should be devoted to this process, with the aim to avoid limiting the participation of constituencies such as CSOs from the South. Under the same argument used to support the inclusion of unstructured organizations, based on the fact that they do not have the resources to formalize their situation (which is not a reality in most cases), CSOs from the global south have many difficulties (more so after the pandemic) to support the fiscal and administrative requirements, and should not be a limitation to continue participating if they have prior admission from the UNFCCC. Therefore, a balance in the participation of the observers is essential and, above all, look for mechanisms to guarantee equitable accessibility to the processes, even when some cannot attend the meetings in person.	Create spaces for representation at the regional level that allow improving the participation of the constituency in the meetings. For this, the support of the Secretariat is required, convening meetings to identify the actors (Admitted NGOs), the lines of work and the scales of representation (national, sub-regional, regional) to form a selection panel of those who carry the voice by mandate of the rest of the organizations in the region to face-to-face meetings.	Mid-term	idem before	Mid-term						
ENGO	African States	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	Observer participation in the blue zone and green zone.	Communicate with internal teams that are part of the UNFCCC delegation.	Short-term	Register delegation on time and understand the limitations in terms of the number of accreditations for IGOs.	Short-term						
RINGO	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	We know that badges go to delegations who do not fully use them. E.g. demand to badge individuals for side events, which can waste a week badge on someone attending only one day.	help members understand how to use these day badges accordingly	Short-term	Use these day badges accordingly	Short-term	Admission mechanism under the Convention and Inclusion (non-structured stakeholders' participation)	See comments in part 1	see comments in Part 1	Short-term	see comments in Part 1	Short-term
	Asian States	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	In the admission and registration process, it is of great importance to make a distinction between participants from different organizations based on their purpose of participation and resulting access needs. In case of IGOs comprised from a group of countries with similarities and common approaches on specific topics and taking into consideration the coordinating ability of such organizations among their Member States at high levels, it is of great significance to ensure that these IGOs participants ability in following the negotiation process not to be restricted or impaired in any way.					Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)					
	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	Admission for remote participation	Request two tier registration for remote participation	Short-term	Request two tier registration for remote participation	Short-term	Revocation process	Revocation process must be clear and carried out	Ensure communication of rules of participation are conveyed to members	Short-term	Ensure guidelines are obeyed by constituents of individual groups	Short-term

BINGO	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	We would encourage the secretariat to consider the following: 1. Organisations with a quota number of 5 or less in-person delegates in the last 3 COPs (Katowice, Madrid, Glasgow) should not have this number reduced in any way for any reallocation process. 2. A differentiated badge system should be put in place to enable people with different responsibilities/tasks to have access to different zones within the COP complex. These options may be: a. Temporary badges for speakers in side events - 1 or two day entry badges that only allow access to the pavilion/side event area on specific dates. b. Badges for accessing side events and pavilions only: These badges would not allow access to any negotiations zones. c. Full badges for side events, pavilions and negotiations: Basically access to all zones. d. Constituency badges for negotiations: Badges provided to constituencies too allow representation of a given constituency during negotiation sessions. Constituency focal points are responsible for the distribution of the badges amongst on-side members. e. Virtual badges: providing access to a virtual platform to take part in negotiations through remote channels.	To responsibly support and implement the differentiated badge system to ensure the UNFCCC process is both robust and benefits from a wider range if input.	Mid-term	To responsibly support, implement and operate by the terms of the differentiated badge system to ensure the robustness of the UNFCCC process.	Mid-term						
YOUNGO	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	Our organisation (NGO) has to experience this headache inevitably as lots of colleagues from Global South would love to join the COPs each year in person whereas a limited quota will be assigned by UNFCCC and lack of funds is another obstacle in the way. Hence, how to balance the needs of the registrants from both Global South & Global North and the actual supply of quota & the composition of delegation concerning financial constraints should be considered carefully and thoroughly.	As Focal Points of NGOs, I still believe their roles are indispensable in the decision-making process as independent colleagues within or apart from the management team of each organisation. Focal Points are supposed to participate in the process of deciding who can attend after carefully going through selection criteria as well as measuring applicants' qualifications such as prior experience in attending COPs & SBs, passion for specific topics, and annual climate action proposal incl. capacity building. The next challenge for them is how to adapt their strategy to the dynamic policies of the UNFCCC each year. For example, the quota assigned by UNFCCC can vary from this year to another as mentioned in the guide. The attached document also exemplifies the three principles that the whole conference is following. Under this circumstance, Focal Points ought to suggest to the board of their organisations that a tentative delegation list can be drafted up first with some other options as standby just in case previously determined delegates couldn't make it, at last, owing to passport, visa and financial issues. Take last year's COP26 as an example, Haitian people have to apply for at least 2 visas to attend the conference in Glasgow in person, one from the Dominican Republic and the other from the UK, respectively. With the pressure of finance and covid-19 constraints, it was even harder for them to achieve it. This situation will be largely improved as relevant pandemic restrictions & lockdowns have been lifted up. Moreover, if vacant quota is observed with organisations, Focal Points should open the floor and allow other climate activists who are keen to attend the conference to raise their proposals. Last but not least, though it shouldn't be recommended as a mandatory approach, I would suggest that Focal Points are not expected to nominate themselves as part of delegation of the same organisation regarding segregation of duty and conflict of interests. However, they are more than encouraged to be nominated by another organisation without concerns as mentioned above.	NGOs are obliged to policy making and strategy generation towards the theme of partaking in the UNFCCC meetings incl. annual SBs and COPs. Quarterly reflection and feedback should be collected and further analysed by not only observer groups but also UNFCCC coordinators. Meanwhile, management team of NGOs should proactively interact with the UNFCCC coordinators for obtaining a better understanding of the updated procedures, new policies and dynamic cultures as well as tackling the conundrum of ineffective and untimely communication.	Long-term	Long-term						
ENGO	African States	Admission mechanism under the Convention and Inclusion (non-structured stakeholders' participation)	Just with regards to the Methodology's principles willing to conduct discussions in an open-minded and collaborative co-creation with all stakeholders including non-structured stakeholders such the community of indigenous people from whom we are ready and prepared to learn something that can healthily benefit the pursued cause of climate change impacts.	Commitment and ownership.	Long-term	Commitment and ownership.	Long-term	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	The full knowledge of Mandates, Responsibilities and Constraints is very important on the performance of the work to be done.	Commitment and ownership.	Long-term	Commitment and ownership.	Long-term
BINGO	African States	Admission mechanism under the Convention and Inclusion (non-structured stakeholders' participation)	Has been virtually impossible to navigate the system and register attendees for the event. Anticipate as sessions are confirmed there will be a huge scramble but from here it appears very opaque and inaccessible.	Allow organisation leads to nominate attendees from their organisations, and confirm passes asap.	Short-term	Allow organisation leads to nominate attendees from their organisations, and confirm passes asap.	Short-term	Admission mechanism under the Convention and Inclusion (non-structured stakeholders' participation)	Whilst the agenda is still in flux, we are confident we will be participating yet the system to register attendees is impossible to navigate.	Nominate the non-transferable attendees from the organisations.	Short-term		

Collated written submissions Agenda Item 3 - ADMISSION AND REGISTRATION.

Actors: COP Presidency and SB Chairs

Constituency	Region your organization is primarily active in	Most substantial sub-topic to be addressed under Agenda Item 3	Please elaborate	Actionable measures you believe can be taken by the following actor to successfully address this issue: COP incoming Presidency	Timeline for measure(s) to be successfully implemented	Actionable measures you believe can be taken by the following actor to successfully address this issue: SB Chairs	Timeline for measure(s) to be successfully implemented
RINGO	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	I have nominated participants for COPs pre-ORS and post-ORS (as DCP). After several recent COPs of trying to nominate viable participants without knowing which week they might need to be present (side-event applications pending, pavilion participation, moderating panels, negotiation observation, etc.) or knowing that some need to attend both weeks and others only one week, the gaming of the ORS has accelerated.				
ENGO	Latin America and Caribbean States	Admission mechanism under the Convention and Inclusion (non-structured stakeholders' participation)	After the discussion on item 3, is worrying the approach of revoking the participation of organizations that have been admitted to the UNFCCC for a long time. We believe that a lot of attention should be devoted to this process, with the aim to avoid limiting the participation of constituencies such as CSOs from the South. Under the same argument used to support the inclusion of unstructured organizations, based on the fact that they do not have the resources to formalize their situation (which is not a reality in most cases), CSOs from the global south have many difficulties (more so after the pandemic) to support the fiscal and administrative requirements, and should not be a limitation to continue participating if they have prior admission from the UNFCCC. Therefore, a balance in the participation of the observers is essential and, above all, look for mechanisms to guarantee equitable accessibility to the processes, even when some cannot attend the meetings in person.	Ask to country parties to offer participation spaces to admitted observers, considering a balance in the constitutions, in the national and regional processes.	Short-term	idem	Short-term
ENGO	African States	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	Observer participation in the blue zone and green zone.	Where possible account for extra numbers in terms of delegations so as to accommodate all interested parties.	Short-term	Provide all the relevant information to all the stakeholders.	Short-term
RINGO	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	We know that badges go to delegations who do not fully use them. E.g. demand to badge individuals for side events, which can waste a week badge on someone attending only one day.	Support use of day badges	Short-term	Support use of day badges	Short-term
	Asian States	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	In the admission and registration process, it is of great importance to make a distinction between participants from different organizations based on their purpose of participation and resulting access needs. In case of IGOs comprised from a group of countries with similarities and common approaches on specific topics and taking into consideration the coordinating ability of such organizations among their Member States at high levels, it is of great significance to ensure that these IGOs participants ability in following the negotiation process not to be restricted or impaired in any way.				
	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	Admission for remote participation	Increase remote participation	Short-term	N/A	Short-term

BINGO	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	We would encourage the secretariat to consider the following: 1. Organisations with a quota number of 5 or less in-person delegates in the last 3 COP's (Katowice, Madrid,Glasgow) should not have this number reduced in any way for any reallocation process. 2. A differentiated badge system should be put in place to enable people with different responsibilities/tasks to have access to different zones within the COP complex. These options may be: a. Temporary badges for speakers in side events - 1 or two day entry badges that only allow access to the pavilion/side event area on specific dates. b. Badges for accessing side events and pavilions only: These badges would not allow access to any negations zones. c. Full badges for side events, pavilions and negotiations: Basically access to all zones. d. Constituency badges for negotiations: Badges provided to constituencies too allow representation of a given constituency during negotiation sessions. Constituency focal points are responsible for the distribution of the badges amongst on-side members. e. Virtual badges: providing access to a virtual platform to take part in negotiations through remote channels.	To provide the lisen for the UNFCCC Secretariat to develop and implement a differentiated badge system.	Short-term	To collaborate closely with the UNFCCC Secretariat to ensure convened meetings and events can accommodate the differentiated badge system.	Mid-term
YOUNGO	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	Our organisation (NGO) has to experience this headache inevitably as lots of colleagues from Global South would love to join the COPs each year in person whereas a limited quota will be assigned by UNFCCC and lack of funds is another obstacle in the way. Hence, how to balance the needs of the registrants from both Global South & Global North and the actual supply of quota & the composition of delegation concerning financial constraints should be considered carefully and thoroughly.	N/A	Long-term	N/A	Long-term
ENGO	African States	Admission mechanism under the Convention and Inclusion (non-structured stakeholders' participation)	Just with regards to the Methodology's principles willing to conduct discussions in an open-minded and collaborative co-creation with all stakeholders including non-structured stakeholders such the community of indigenous people from whom we are ready and prepared to learn something that can healthily benefit the pursued cause of climate change impacts.	N/A		N/A	
BINGO	African States	Admission mechanism under the Convention and Inclusion (non-structured stakeholders' participation)	Has been virtually impossible to navigate the system and register attendees for the event. Anticipate as sessions are confirmed there will be a huge scramble but from here it appears very opaque and inaccessible.				

Collated written submissions Agenda Item 3 - ADMISSION AND REGISTRATION.

Actor: Parties

* Please note that this process to strengthen observer engagement in the UNFCCC process is informal in nature and we review actions within the purview of the secretariat, observers and presiding officers. Any actions identified above for Parties will not be reviewed, but they are relevant for the process to understand key actors in addressing this issue.

Constituency	Region your organization is primarily active in	Most substantial sub-topic to be addressed under Agenda Item 3	Please elaborate	Actionable measures you believe can be taken by the following actor to successfully address this issue: Parties*	Timeline for measure(s) to be successfully implemented	Other sub-topics you identify as important to be addressed (AI3)	Please elaborate
RINGO	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	I have nominated participants for COPs pre-ORS and post-ORS (as DCP). After several recent COPs of trying to nominate viable participants without knowing which week they might need to be present (side-event applications pending, pavilion participation, moderating panels, negotiation observation, etc.) or knowing that some need to attend both weeks and others only one week, the gaming of the ORS has accelerated.			Other (please specify)	N/A
ENGO	Latin America and Caribbean States	Admission mechanism under the Convention and Inclusion (non-structured stakeholders participation)	After the discussion on item 3, is worrying the approach of revoking the participation of organizations that have been admitted to the UNFCCC for a long time. We believe that a lot of attention should be devoted to this process, with the aim to avoid limiting the participation of constituencies such as CSOs from the South. Under the same argument used to support the inclusion of unstructured organizations, based on the fact that they do not have the resources to formalize their situation (which is not a reality in most cases), CSOs from the global south have many difficulties (more so after the pandemic) to support the fiscal and administrative requirements, and should not be a limitation to continue participating if they have prior admission from the UNFCCC. Therefore, a balance in the participation of the observers is essential and, above all, look for mechanisms to guarantee equitable accessibility to the processes, even when some cannot attend the meetings in person.	idem	Short-term		
ENGO	African States	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	Observer participation in the blue zone and green zone.	Work with IGOs and NGOs throughout the process to avoid last minute challenges.	Short-term		
RINGO	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	We know that badges go to delegations who do not fully use them. E.g. demand to badge individuals for side events, which can waste a week badge on someone attending only one day.	Support use of day badges	Short-term	Admission mechanism under the Convention and Inclusion (non-structured stakeholders' participation)	See comments in part 1
	Asian States	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	In the admission and registration process, it is of great importance to make a distinction between participants from different organizations based on their purpose of participation and resulting access needs. In case of IGOs comprised from a group of countries with similarities and common approaches on specific topics and taking into consideration the coordinating ability of such organizations among their Member States at high levels, it is of great significance to ensure that these IGOs participants ability in following the negotiation process not to be restricted or impaired in any way.			Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	
	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	Admission for remote participation	Request greater remote participation	Short-term	Revocation process	Revocation process must be clear and carried out

BINGO	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	We would encourage the secretariat to consider the following: 1. Organisations with a quota number of 5 or less in-person delegates in the last 3 COP's (Katowice, Madrid,Glasgow) should not have this number reduced in any way for any reallocation process. 2. A differentiated badge system should be put in place to enable people with different responsibilities/tasks to have access to different zones within the COP complex. These options may be: a. Temporary badges for speakers in side events - 1 or two day entry badges that only allow access to the pavilion/side event area on specific dates. b. Badges for accessing side events and pavilions only: These badges would not allow access to any negations zones. c. Full badges for side events, pavilions and negotiations: Basically access to all zones. d. Constituency badges for negotiations: Badges provided to constituencies too allow representation of a given constituency during negotiation sessions. Constituency focal points are responsible for the distribution of the badges amongst on-side members. e. Virtual badges: providing access to a virtual platform to take part in negotiations through remote channels.	Parties should support the development and implementation of a differentiated badge system within the limits of the individual sessions	Mid-term		
YOUNGO	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	Our organisation (NGO) has to experience this headache inevitably as lots of colleagues from Global South would love to join the COPs each year in person whereas a limited quota will be assigned by UNFCCC and lack of funds is another obstacle in the way. Hence, how to balance the needs of the registrants from both Global South & Global North and the actual supply of quota & the composition of delegation concerning financial constraints should be considered carefully and thoroughly.	N/A	Long-term		
ENGO	African States	Admission mechanism under the Convention and Inclusion (non-structured stakeholders participation)	Just with regards to the Methodology's principles willing to conduct discussions in an open-minded and collaborative co-creation with all stakeholders including non-structured stakeholders such the community of indigenous people from whom we are ready and prepared to learn something that can healthily benefit the pursued cause of climate change impacts.	Political will and commitment.	Long-term	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	The full knowledge of Mandates, Responsibilities and Constraints is very important on the performance of the work to be done.
BINGO	African States	Admission mechanism under the Convention and Inclusion (non-structured stakeholders participation)	Has been virtually impossible to navigate the system and register attendees for the event. Anticipate as sessions are confirmed there will be a huge scramble but from here it appears very opaque and inaccessible.			Admission mechanism under the Convention and Inclusion (non-structured stakeholders participation)	Whilst the agenda is still in flux, we are confident we will be participating yet the system to register attendees is impossible to navigate.

Collated written submissions Agenda Item 3 - ADMISSION AND REGISTRATION.
Additional Observations

Constituency	Region your organization is primarily active in	Most substantial sub-topic to be addressed under Agenda Item 3	Please elaborate	Additional observations
RINGO	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	I have nominated participants for COPs pre-ORS and post-ORS (as DCP). After several recent COPs of trying to nominate viable participants without knowing which week they might need to be present (side-event applications pending, pavilion participation, moderating panels, negotiation observation, etc.) or knowing that some need to attend both weeks and others only one week, the gaming of the ORS has accelerated.	
ENGO	Latin America and Caribbean States	Admission mechanism under the Convention and Inclusion (non-structured stakeholders' participation)	After the discussion on item 3, is worrying the approach of revoking the participation of organizations that have been admitted to the UNFCCC for a long time. We believe that a lot of attention should be devoted to this process, with the aim to avoid limiting the participation of constituencies such as CSOs from the South. Under the same argument used to support the inclusion of unstructured organizations, based on the fact that they do not have the resources to formalize their situation (which is not a reality in most cases), CSOs from the global south have many difficulties (more so after the pandemic) to support the fiscal and administrative requirements, and should not be a limitation to continue participating if they have prior admission from the UNFCCC. Therefore, a balance in the participation of the observers is essential and, above all, look for mechanisms to guarantee equitable accessibility to the processes, even when some cannot attend the meetings in person.	
ENGO	African States	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	Observer participation in the blue zone and green zone.	
RINGO	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	We know that badges go to delegations who do not fully use them. E.g. demand to badge individuals for side events, which can waste a week badge on someone attending only one day.	I do not think that we should create new ways to include "non-structured stakeholders" in the Blue Zone. (And I was surprised that the Infosheet reported that YOUNGO membership was not based on group membership, since I know several groups who affiliate with RINGO and YOUNGO. Is this correct?) Instead I think that they should remain active in the Green Zone. Regarding differentiated badges, I have already comment on reviving the system of day badges for SE presenters. For RINGO, I don't see most delegates (other than one-off SE presenters) functioning in one, unique way. Most are involved/follow the negotiations and attend/organize SEs and exhibits. So I don't see how tagging for one unique activity will work. Finally, I think we should discuss how a revocation/regular review process of accreditation could work. Given the lack of UNFCCC review of the observer list, we all know that there are organizations on it that are defunct or not participating in the UNFCCC anymore. Reviewing a recent window of activity (5 yr sounds reasonable) of both SB and UNFCCC engagement seems appropriate.
	Asian States	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	In the admission and registration process, it is of great importance to make a distinction between participants from different organizations based on their purpose of participation and resulting access needs. In case of IGOs comprised from a group of countries with similarities and common approaches on specific topics and taking into consideration the coordinating ability of such organizations among their Member States at high levels, it is of great significance to ensure that these IGOs participants ability in following the negotiation process not to be restricted or impaired in any way.	
	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	Admission for remote participation	Revocation
BINGO	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	We would encourage the secretariat to consider the following: 1. Organisations with a quota number of 5 or less in-person delegates in the last 3 COPs (Katowice, Madrid, Glasgow) should not have this number reduced in any way for any reallocation process. 2. A differentiated badge system should be put in place to enable people with different responsibilities/tasks to have access to different zones within the COP complex. These options may be: a. Temporary badges for speakers in side events - 1 or two day entry badges that only allow access to the pavilion/side event area on specific dates. b. Badges for accessing side events and pavilions only: These badges would not allow access to any negotiations zones. c. Full badges for side events, pavilions and negotiations: Basically access to all zones. d. Constituency badges for negotiations: Badges provided to constituencies too allow representation of a given constituency during negotiation sessions. Constituency focal points are responsible for the distribution of the badges amongst on-side members. e. Virtual badges: providing access to a virtual platform to take part in negotiations through remote channels.	
YOUNGO	Western European and Others	Registration and purpose of participation (differentiated badge system)	Our organisation (NGO) has to experience this headache inevitably as lots of colleagues from Global South would love to join the COPs each year in person whereas a limited quota will be assigned by UNFCCC and lack of funds is another obstacle in the way. Hence, how to balance the needs of the registrants from both Global South & Global North and the actual supply of quota & the composition of delegation concerning financial constraints should be considered carefully and thoroughly.	N/A
ENGO	African States	Admission mechanism under the Convention and Inclusion (non-structured stakeholders' participation)	Just with regards to the Methodology's principles willing to conduct discussions in an open-minded and collaborative co-creation with all stakeholders including non-structured stakeholders such the community of indigenous people from whom we are ready and prepared to learn something that can healthily benefit the pursued cause of climate change impacts.	The Why and How of Observers participation in the COPs should be all the times reminded to them.
BINGO	African States	Admission mechanism under the Convention and Inclusion (non-structured stakeholders' participation)	Has been virtually impossible to navigate the system and register attendees for the event. Anticipate as sessions are confirmed there will be a huge scramble but from here it appears very opaque and inaccessible.	