



Status report on the annual inventory of Belgium

1. This status report was prepared by the secretariat pursuant to the annex to decision 22/CMP.1 in conjunction with decision 4/CMP.11, as part of the initial check of Belgium's 2018 annual inventory, submitted in accordance with decisions 24/CP.19 and 15/CMP.1 in conjunction with decisions 3/CMP.11 and 2/CMP.8. It reflects the content of the 2018 annual inventory as submitted by the Party (dates of submission are provided in the tables on pages 2 and 3).

2. In this report, the following acronyms are used:

CRF: common reporting format

Kyoto Protocol units

GHG: greenhouse gas

HWP: harvested wood products

AAU: assigned amount unit

IPPU: industrial processes and product use

CER: certified emission reduction

KP-LULUCF: land use, land-use change and forestry activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4, of the Kyoto Protocol

ERU: emission reduction unit

LULUCF: land use, land-use change and forestry

ICER: long-term certified emission reduction

NIR: national inventory report

RMU: removal unit

SBDT: sectoral background data tables

tCER: temporary certified emission reduction

SEF: standard electronic format

Notation keys

Gases

CO₂: carbon dioxide

C: confidential

CH₄: methane

IE: included elsewhere

N₂O: nitrous oxide

NA: not applicable

HFCs: hydrofluorocarbons

NE: not estimated

PFCs: perfluorocarbons

NO: not occurring

MIX: unspecified mix of HFCs and PFCs

SF₆: sulfur hexafluoride

NF₃: nitrogen trifluoride

NO_x: nitrogen oxides

CO: carbon monoxide

NMVOCs: non-methane volatile organic compounds

SO₂: sulfur dioxide



GREENHOUSE GAS INVENTORY SUBMISSION													
General information		Date of receipt			Date of resubmission			Comments					
	NIR	13 April 2018											
	CRF tables ^a	13 April 2018											
	Additional information ^b	13 April 2018						Files submitted (13 April 2018): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 2017ESD-FinalReviewReport_BE_30-06-2017.pdf ▪ CONFIDENTIAL - Annex A - CSEUR.pdf ▪ CONFIDENTIAL - Annex B - Changes in EUCR v8.08.xlsx ▪ CONFIDENTIAL - Chapter 14 - Submission 2017_V1.docx ▪ List parameters Inventory Agri_annex3.xlsx ▪ NIS_Belgium_avril 2017.pdf ▪ QAQC-avril 2017.pdf 					
	CRF tables provided for years	1990–2016											
	Base year or period ^c	1990			Base year for HFCs, PFCs and SF ₆ ^d			1995			Base year for NF ₃ ^e		1995
	Gases covered	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	HFCs	PFCs	MIX	SF ₆	NF ₃	NO _x	CO	NMVOCs	SO ₂
		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
NIR	Description	The organization of the NIR generally follows the structure outlined in the “Guidelines for the preparation of national communications by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention, Part I: UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual greenhouse gas inventories” (annex to decision 24/CP.19).											
	Language	English											
CRF tables	Source categories accounting for 7% or more of Party’s aggregate emissions from sources included in Annex A to the Kyoto Protocol	No missing source category accounting for 7% or more has been identified.											

^a CRF tables are contained in annex II to decision 24/CP.19.

^b Additional information can be any information submitted by the Party in conjunction with the NIR or CRF tables.

^c Parties included in Annex I to the Convention undergoing the process of transition to a market economy may use a base year or period of years other than 1990, in accordance with the provisions of Article 4, paragraph 6, of the Convention and decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4.

^d A Party may select 1995 as the base year for HFCs, PFCs and SF₆ in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 8, of the Kyoto Protocol.

^e A Party may select 1995 or 2000 as the base year for NF₃ in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 8 bis of the Doha Amendment.

^f In accordance with decision 15/CMP.1, paragraph 3(b), in conjunction with decision 3/CMP.11.

SUBMISSION OF SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION UNDER ARTICLE 7, PARAGRAPH 1, OF THE KYOTO PROTOCOL				
Reporting of supplementary information		<i>Date of receipt</i>	<i>Date of resubmission</i>	<i>Comments</i>
	KP-LULUCF information ^a	13 April 2018		NIR chapter 10
	KP-LULUCF CRF tables ^b	13 April 2018		KP-LULUCF CRF tables provided for years 1990–2016
	Information on Kyoto Protocol units (ERUs, CERs, RMUs and AAUs) ^c	13 April 2018		NIR chapter 11
	SEF tables ^d with Kyoto Protocol units	15 April 2018		Reported year: 2017 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ SEF table for the first commitment period (reporting year 2017) submitted on 15 April 2018 ▪ SEF table for the second commitment period (reporting year 2017) submitted on 15 April 2018
	Changes in national system ^e	13 April 2018		NIR chapter 12
	Changes in national registry ^e	13 April 2018		NIR chapter 13
	Minimization of adverse impacts ^e	13 April 2018		NIR chapter 14

^a Parties are required to include in their annual submission information on anthropogenic GHG emissions by sources and removals by sinks from LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraph 3, of the Kyoto Protocol, and, if any, elected activities under Article 3, paragraph 4, in accordance with decision 2/CMP.8, annex II, paragraphs 1–5.

^b Parties are required to report anthropogenic GHG emissions by sources and removals by sinks from LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraph 3, of the Kyoto Protocol, forest management and, if any, elected activities under Article 3, paragraph 4. Parties may also elect to report these tables for the base year or 1990.

^c Parties are required to include in their annual submission information on ERUs, CERs, tCERs, ICERs, AAUs and RMUs, in accordance with decision 15/CMP.1, annex, chapter I.E, in conjunction with annex II to decision 3/CMP.11.

^d As required by annex II to decision 3/CMP.11.

^e The requirements for Parties to report on changes in the national system in accordance with Article 5, paragraph 1, of the Kyoto Protocol, changes in the national registry, and the minimization of adverse impacts in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 14, of the Kyoto Protocol, are set out in decision 15/CMP.1, annex, chapters I.F, I.G and I.H respectively, in conjunction with annex II to decision 3/CMP.11.

PART I													
Provision of information for the latest reported inventory year in the CRF tables: 2016													
	Sectoral report tables	Energy		IPPU		Agriculture		LULUCF		KP-LULUCF ^a		Waste	
		1	✓	2(I)	✓	3	✓	4	✓	NIR-1	✓	5	✓
			2(II)	✓									
SBDT	1.A(a)	✓	2(I).A-H	✓	3.A	✓	4.1	✓	NIR-2	✓	5.A	✓	
	1.A(b)	✓	2(II).B-H	✓	3.B(a)	✓	4.A	✓	NIR-3		5.B	✓	
	1.A(c)	✓			3.B(b)	✓	4.B	✓	4(KP) Recalculations		5.C	✓	
	1.A(d)	✓			3.C	✓	4.C	✓	4(KP)	✓	5.D	✓	
	1.B.1	✓			3.D	✓	4.D	✓	4(KP-I)A.1	✓			
	1.B.2	✓			3.E	✓	4.E	✓	4(KP-I)A.1.1	✓			
	1.C	✓			3.F	✓	4.F	✓	4(KP-I)A.2	✓			
	1.D	✓			3.G-I	✓	4(I)	✓	4(KP-I)A.2.1	✓			
							4(II)	✓	4(KP-I)B.1	✓			
							4(III)	✓	4(KP-I)B.1.1	✓			
							4(IV)	✓	4(KP-I)B.1.2				
							4(V)	✓	4(KP-I)B.1.3	✓			
									4(KP-I)B.2	✓			
									4(KP-I)B.3	✓			
									4(KP-I)B.4	✓			
									4(KP-I)B.5	✓			
								4(KP-I)C	✓				
								4(KP-II)1	✓				
								4(KP-II)2	✓				

PART I									
Provision of information for the latest reported inventory year in the CRF tables: 2016 (continued)									
						4(KP-II)3	✓		
						4(KP-II)4	✓		
						Accounting table	✓		
	Summary tables	Summary table 1.A	✓	Summary table 2	✓	Summary table 3	✓		
	Other tables	Table 6 (Indirect emissions of N ₂ O and CO ₂)	✓	Table 7 (Overview for key categories)	✓	Table 8 (Recalculation – recalculated data)			
	Table 9 (Completeness)	✓	Table 10 (Emission trends)	✓					
Comments	Key categories are reported differently in the NIR compared with in CRF table 7, which may lead to inconsistencies (e.g. in the level of aggregation and categories identified).								
Totals	Provided for gases	CO ₂ ✓	CH ₄ ✓	N ₂ O ✓	HFCs ✓	PFCs ✓	MIX ✓	SF ₆ ✓	NF ₃ ✓
	Provided for years	1990–2016	1990–2016	1990–2016	1990–2016	1990–2016	1990–2016	1990–2016	1990–2016
CO ₂	Comparison of CO ₂ emissions from fuel combustion	Reference approach	Sectoral approach	Difference more than 2%		If difference is more than 2% explanation provided in CRF table 1.A(c)			
		✓	✓	✓	Explanation provided in CRF table 1.A(c) and NIR chapter 3.2.1				
HFCs and PFCs	Disaggregation by species	HFCs		PFCs					
		✓	✓	✓					
Notation keys	Used in	Summary table 1.A	✓	Sectoral report tables	✓	SBDT	✓		
	Comments								

^a Parties are required to report anthropogenic GHG emissions by sources and removals by sinks from LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraph 3, of the Kyoto Protocol, and, if any, elected activities under Article 3, paragraph 4, using the agreed CRF tables adopted under decision 6/CMP.9.

PART II																				
Provision of CRF tables for years reported																				
		Years																Comments		
		Base year ^a	1990	1995	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016			
Energy	Sectoral report: Table 1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
	SBDT	Table 1.A(a)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
		Table 1.A(b)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
		Table 1.A(c)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
		Table 1.A(d)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
		Table 1.B.1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
		Table 1.B.2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
		Table 1.C	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 1990–2016. For these years the notation key NO is used.
		Table 1.D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
IPPU	Sectoral report:	Table 2(I)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 2(II)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
	SBDT	Table 2(I).A–H	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 2(II).B–H	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		

PART II

Provision of CRF tables for years reported (continued)

		Years															Comments	
		Base year ^a	1990	1995	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015		2016
Agriculture	Sectoral report: Table 3	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 3.A	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 3.B(a)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 3.B(b)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 3.C	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 1990–2016. For these years the notation keys NA and NO are used.
	Table 3.D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 3.E	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 1990–2016. For these years the notation key NO is used.
	Table 3.F	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 1990–2016. For these years the notation keys NA and NO are used.
	Table 3.G-I	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	

PART II																			
Provision of CRF tables for years reported (continued)																			
		Years																Comments	
		Base year ^a	1990	1995	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016		
LULUCF	Sectoral report: Table 4	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 4.1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 4.A	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 4.B	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 4.C	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 4.D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 4.E	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 4.F	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 1990–2016. For these years the notation key NO is used.
	Table 4.G	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the year 1990. For this year the notation keys NE and NO are used.
	Table 4(I)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 1990–2016. For these years the notation key NO is used.
	Table 4(II)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 1990–2016. For these years the notation keys NA and NO are used.
	Table 4(III)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 4(IV)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 1990–2016. For these years the notation key IE is used.
Table 4(V)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 2004 and 2008–2010. For the years 2004 and 2008–2010 the notation keys NA and NO are used. For the years 2012–2016 the notation key NO is used.	

PART II
Provision of CRF tables for years reported (continued)

		Years					Comments
		Base year ^a	2013	2014	2015	2016	
KP-LULUCF	Sectoral report	Table NIR-1	✓	✓	✓	✓	
		Table NIR-2	✓	✓	✓	✓	
		Table NIR-3					
		Table 4(KP) Recalculations	✓	✓	✓	✓	
		Table 4(KP)	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the year 1990. For this year the notation keys IE, NA and NO are used.
	SBDT	Table 4(KP-I)A.1	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the year 1990. For this year the notation keys NA and NO are used.
		Table 4(KP-I)A.1.1	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the year 1990. For this year the notation keys NA and NO are used.
		Table 4(KP-I)A.2	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the year 1990. For this year the notation keys NA and NO are used.
		Table 4(KP-I)A.2.1	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 1990, 2013–2016. For these years the notation key NA is used.
		Table 4(KP-I)B.1	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the year 1990. For this year the notation keys NA and NO are used.
		Table 4(KP-I)B.1.1	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the year 1990. For this year the notation key NA is used.
		Table 4(KP-I)B.1.2					
		Table 4(KP-I)B.1.3	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the year 1990. For this year the notation keys NA and NO are used.
		Table 4(KP-I)B.2	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 1990, 2013–2016. For these years the notation key NA is used.
		Table 4(KP-I)B.3	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 1990, 2013–2016. For these years the notation key NA is used.
		Table 4(KP-I)B.4	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 1990, 2013–2016. For these years the notation key NA is used.
Table 4(KP-I)B.5	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 1990, 2013–2016. For these years the notation key NA is used.		
Table 4(KP-I)C	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the year 1990. For this year the notation keys NA and NO are used.		
Table 4(KP-II)1	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 1990, 2013–2016. For these years the notation keys IE, NA and NO are used.		
Table 4(KP-II)2	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 1990, 2013–2016. For these years the notation keys NA and NO are used.		

PART II										
Provision of CRF tables for years reported (continued)										
		Years					Comments			
		Base year ^a	2013	2014	2015	2016				
	Table 4(KP-II)3	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the year 1990. For this year the notation keys NA and NO are used.			
	Table 4(KP-II)4	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 2013–2016. For these years the notation keys NA and NO are used.			
	Accounting table	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				

PART II																			
Provision of CRF tables for years reported (continued)																			
		Years														Comments			
		Base year ^a	1990	1995	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		2015	2016	
Waste	Sectoral report: Table 5	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
	SBDT	Table 5.A	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
		Table 5.B	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
		Table 5.C	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
		Table 5.D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	

PART II																	
Provision of CRF tables for years reported (continued)																	
	Years															Comments	
	Base year ^a	1990	1995	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015		2016
Summary and other tables	Summary table 1.A (Report for national GHG inventories)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Summary table 2 (CO ₂ equivalent emissions)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Summary table 3 (Methods and emission factors used)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 6 (Indirect emissions of N ₂ O and CO ₂)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 7 (Overview for key categories)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 8 (Recalculation – recalculated data)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
	Table 9 (Completeness)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 10 (Emission trends)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	

^a Parties included in Annex I to the Convention undergoing the process of transition to a market economy may use a base year or period of years other than 1990 in accordance with the provisions of Article 4, paragraph 6, of the Convention and decision 24/CP.19.

PART III						
Provision of information relating to recalculations						
Table 8 (Recalculation – recalculated data)	✓	Comments	Explanatory information relating to recalculations is provided in chapter 9 of the NIR.			
Recalculation reported for years	1900–2015					
Recalculated sectors/gases	Energy	IPPU	Agriculture	LULUCF	Waste	KP-LULUCF
CO ₂	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
CH ₄	✓		✓	✓	✓	
N ₂ O	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
HFCs		✓				
PFCs		✓				
Unspecified mix of HFCs and PFCs						
SF ₆		✓				
NF ₃						
Percentage difference in aggregate GHG emissions	Base-year estimate: ^a	Including LULUCF:	0.5%	Excluding LULUCF:	0.25%	
	Latest recalculated year: ^b	Including LULUCF:	0.75%	Excluding LULUCF:	0.12%	

^a Parties included in Annex I to the Convention undergoing the process of transition to a market economy are allowed to use a base year or period of years other than 1990 in accordance with the provisions of Article 4, paragraph 6, of the Convention and decision 24/CP.19.

^b The latest recalculated year is the submission year minus three years.

PART IV

Provision of information relating to KP-LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4, of the Kyoto Protocol (continued)

		<i>Provided</i>	<i>Observation</i>
<i>Reporting requirements under decision 2/CMP.8, annex II, paragraphs 1-5</i>	Emissions by sources and removals by sinks are clearly distinguished from emissions from categories included in Annex A to the Kyoto Protocol	✓	
	Information on how inventory methodologies have been applied taking into account the <i>2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories</i> and any relevant supplementary guidance recognizing the principles laid out in decision 16/CMP.1.	✓	
	Information on the geographical location of the boundaries of areas that encompass:		
	Units of land subject to activities under Article 3, paragraph 3	✓	
	Units of land subject to activities under Article 3, paragraph 3, which would otherwise be included in land subject to forest management or elected activities under Article 3, paragraph 4	✓	
	Land subject to forest management or elected activities under Article 3, paragraph 4	✓	
	Information on the spatial assessment unit for determining the area of accounting for afforestation, reforestation and deforestation	✓	
	Information on GHG emissions/removals resulting from activities under Article 3, paragraph 3, and Article 3, paragraph 4, for all geographical locations reported in the current and previous years since the beginning of the commitment period or the onset of the activity, whichever comes later.	✓	
	Information on carbon pools (above-ground/below-ground biomass, litter, dead wood and soil organic carbon) that are not accounted for.	✓	
	When a Party applies the provisions for natural disturbances, information demonstrating that these emissions in any single year exceed the background level(s), including a margin, where needed. For this purpose, the Party shall include information showing:		
	That all lands subject to exclusion due to natural disturbances are identified	✓	In chapter 10.4.2 of NIR the Party has reported that no case of natural disturbance has been identified for the time being.
	How annual emissions resulting from natural disturbances and the subsequent removals are estimated and excluded from the accounting	✓	In chapter 10.4.2 of NIR the Party has reported that no case of natural disturbance has been identified for the time being.
	That no land-use change has occurred on lands for which the provisions in decision 2/CMP.7, annex, paragraph 33, are applied	✓	In chapter 10.4.2 of NIR the Party has reported that no case of natural disturbance has been identified for the time being.

PART IV			
Provision of information relating to KP-LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4, of the Kyoto Protocol (continued)			
		<i>Provided</i>	<i>Observation</i>
	That events and circumstances were beyond the control of the Party	✓	In chapter 10.4.2 of NIR the Party has reported that no case of natural disturbance has been identified for the time being.
	The efforts taken to rehabilitate the land for which the provisions contained in decision 2/CMP.7, annex, paragraph 33, are applied	✓	In chapter 10.4.2 of NIR the Party has reported that no case of natural disturbance has been identified for the time being.
	That emissions associated with salvage logging were not excluded from the accounting	✓	In chapter 10.4.2 of NIR the Party has reported that no case of natural disturbance has been identified for the time being.
If not accounted for by instantaneous oxidation, information on GHG emissions/removals resulting from changes in the HWP pool accounted for in accordance with decision 2/CMP.7, including:			
	Activity data for the HWP categories used for estimating the pool removed from domestic forests, for domestic consumption and for export	✓	
	Half-lives used in estimating emissions/removals for the HWP categories used	✓	
	Whether emissions from HWP originating from forests prior to the start of the second commitment period have been included in the accounting, if the forest management reference level is based on a projection		Information required in paragraph 2(g)(iii) of annex II to decision 2/CMP.8 could not be identified in the submission.
	How emissions from the HWP pool accounted for in the first commitment period on the basis of instantaneous oxidation have been excluded from the accounting for the second commitment period		Information required in paragraph 2(g)(iv) of annex II to decision 2/CMP.8 could not be identified in the submission.
	How HWP resulting from deforestation has been accounted on the basis of instantaneous oxidation	✓	
	How CO ₂ emissions from HWP in solid waste disposal sites and from wood harvested for energy purposes have been accounted on the basis of instantaneous oxidation	✓	
	How emissions/removals from changes in the HWP pool accounted for do not include imported HWP	✓	
Information on anthropogenic GHG emissions from LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraph 3, and Article 3, paragraph 4, factoring out removals from:			
	Elevated CO ₂ concentrations above pre-industrial levels	✓	

PART IV			
Provision of information relating to KP-LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4, of the Kyoto Protocol (continued)			
		Provided	Observation
	Indirect nitrogen deposition	✓	
	The dynamic effects of age structure resulting from activities prior to 1 January 1990	✓	
Specific information to be reported for activities under Article 3, paragraph 3:			
	Activities that began on or after 1 January 1990 and before 31 December of the last year of the commitment period, and are directly human-induced		Information required in paragraph 4(a) of annex II to decision 2/CMP.8 could not be identified in the submission.
	How harvesting or forest disturbance that is followed by the re-establishment of forest is distinguished from deforestation	✓	
Specific information to be reported for activities under Article 3, paragraph 4:			
	Activities that have occurred since 1 January 1990 and are human induced	✓	
	Cropland management, grazing land management, revegetation, wetland drainage and rewetting: emissions/removals reported for each year of the commitment period and for the base year for each of the elected activities on the geographical locations reported	✓	The Party does not elect cropland management, grazing land management, revegetation or wetland drainage and rewetting.
	Emissions/removals from activities under Article 3, paragraph 4, are not accounted for under activities under Article 3, paragraph 3	✓	
	Information on how emissions arising from the conversion of natural forests to planted forests are accounted for	✓	
	Methodological consistency between the reference level and reporting for forest management	✓	
	Technical corrections made pursuant to decision 2/CMP.7, annex, paragraph 14	✓	
Forest management: if emissions/removals from the harvest and conversion of forest plantations to non-forest land were included, information on how requirements set out in decision 2/CMP.7, annex, paragraphs 37–39 were met, including:			
	The identification of all lands and associated carbon pools subject to decision 2/CMP.7, annex, paragraph 37, including the georeferenced location and year of conversion	✓	The Party clarified that it does not apply the provision of carbon equivalent forests in the accounting of forest management.
	A demonstration that the forest plantation was first established through direct human-induced planting and/or seeding of non-forest land before 1 January 1990, and, if the forest plantation was re-established, that this last occurred on forest land through direct human-induced planting and/or seeding after 1 January 1960	✓	The Party clarified that it does not apply the provision of carbon equivalent forests in the accounting of forest management.

PART IV					
Provision of information relating to KP-LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4, of the Kyoto Protocol (continued)					
			<i>Provided</i>	<i>Observation</i>	
			A demonstration that a new forest of at least equivalent area to the harvested forest plantation is established through direct human-induced planting and/or seeding of non-forested land that did not contain forest on 31 December 1989	✓	The Party clarified that it does not apply the provision of carbon equivalent forests in the accounting of forest management.
			A demonstration that this newly established forest will reach at least the equivalent carbon stock that was contained in the harvested forest plantation at the time of harvest, within the normal harvesting cycle of the harvested forest plantation, and, if not, a debit would be generated under Article 3, paragraph 4	✓	The Party clarified that it does not apply the provision of carbon equivalent forests in the accounting of forest management.