



United Nations

FCCC/A6/IRTERR.2/2025/JPN



Framework Convention on
Climate Change

Distr.: General
24 September 2026

English only

**Report on the technical expert review under Article 6,
paragraph 2, of the Paris Agreement of the updated initial
report referred to in chapter IV.A (Initial report) of the
annex to decision 2/CMA.3 of Japan**

Summary

This report presents the results of the technical expert review under Article 6, paragraph 2, of the Paris Agreement of the updated initial report referred to in chapter IV.A (Initial report) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 of Japan, conducted by an Article 6 technical expert review team in accordance with chapter V (Review) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, the guidelines for the Article 6 technical expert review, contained in annex II to decision 6/CMA.4, and chapter VII (Process for identifying, notifying and correcting inconsistencies) of decision 4/CMA.6. The review week took place from 8 to 12 December 2025 in Bonn.

This report will be forwarded for consideration in the technical expert review referred to in chapter VII of the annex to decision 18/CMA.1 in accordance with paragraph 28 of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3.



Statement submitted by Japan on the final report*

Japan would like to take note the findings and recommendations by the Article 6 technical expert review team. Japan recognises all additional information submitted by Japan are relevant to the reporting requirement although the initial report didn't include that information at the time of submission. Japan will update and include its information considering the findings and recommendations raised by the Article 6 technical expert review in the future reporting.

* The statement submitted by Japan is presented verbatim.

Abbreviations and acronyms

Article 6 TER guidelines	“Guidelines for the Article 6 technical expert review referred to in decision 2/CMA.3, annex, chapter V (Review)”
CMA	Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Paris Agreement
CO ₂ eq	carbon dioxide equivalent
GHG	greenhouse gas
ITMO	internationally transferred mitigation outcome
LT-LEDS	long-term low-emission development strategy(ies)
NA	not applicable
NDC	nationally determined contribution
TERT	technical expert review team

I. Introduction

A. General information¹

1. This report covers the technical expert review under Article² 6, paragraph 2, of the updated initial report³ of Japan. The review was organized by the secretariat and conducted by an Article 6 TERT in accordance with chapter V (Review) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, the Article 6 TER guidelines⁴ and chapter VII (Process for identifying, notifying and correcting inconsistencies) of decision 4/CMA.6.
2. This report is to be read in conjunction with its addendum,⁵ an integral part of the report, which contains assessment tables that present the findings and recommendations of the Article 6 TERT relating to the areas it has identified for improvement of the consistency of the information submitted by Japan with the reporting requirements.⁶
3. A draft version of this report was transmitted to the Government of Japan, which provided comments that were taken into account, as appropriate, in this final version of the report.⁷ This report has been made publicly available on the centralized accounting and reporting platform.⁸
4. The centralized review, including the review week that took place from 8 to 12 December 2025 in Bonn, was conducted by the following team of nominated experts from the UNFCCC roster of experts: Rogelio Humberto Campos García (Peru), Dawa Chhoedron (Bhutan), Géraud De Lassus St-Genies (Canada), Eloïse Anastasia Guidi (Democratic Republic of the Congo), Uğur Gürel (Türkiye), Ana Lucía Moya Mora (Colombia), Hyun Jung Park (Republic of Korea), Orlando Ernesto Rey Santos (Cuba) and Mkhuthazi Steleki (South Africa). Géraud De Lassus St-Genies and Ana Lucía Moya Mora were the lead reviewers. The review was coordinated by Karla Alcantar-Sanchez, Perumal Arumugam, Baasansuren Jamsranjav, Ayami Kabaya, Yuanyuan Qin and Ximena Samaniego (secretariat).
5. Japan considers itself a developed country Party under the Paris Agreement.

B. Scope of the technical expert review

6. The Article 6 TERT conducted a technical expert review of the information reported in the updated initial report of Japan, within the scope of the review as defined in chapter II of the Article 6 TER guidelines and applying the guiding principles set out in paragraph 1 of those guidelines, consisting of:
 - (a) Reviewing the consistency of the information, including on each cooperative approach under Article 6, paragraph 2, in which the Party is participating, submitted in the updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18 of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6⁹ (see chap. II.A below);

¹ This section incorporates the introduction, process overview and summary referred to in the report outline set out in annex III to decision 6/CMA.4.

² “Article” in this report refers to an Article of the Paris Agreement.

³ Referred to in decision 2/CMA.3, annex, chap. IV.A (Initial report).

⁴ Contained in decision 6/CMA.4, annex II.

⁵ FCCC/A6/IRTErr.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

⁶ Set out in decision 2/CMA.3, annex, para. 18.

⁷ As per para. 21(f) of the Article 6 TER guidelines.

⁸ As per para. 21(h) of the Article 6 TER guidelines; see <https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement/article-6/article-62/carp/reports>.

⁹ Such supplementary elements, as referred to in this report, are requested, but not required, to be incorporated in the (updated) initial report as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6. The supplementary elements of information to be reported are written in italics in the tables in this report.

(b) Specifying recommended actions to be taken by the Party, including recommendations on how to improve the consistency of the information reported with the requirements in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 and any future relevant decisions of the CMA (see the addendum to this report);

(c) Identifying capacity-building needs in consultation with the Party (see chap. II.B below).¹⁰

7. The Article 6 TERT based its determination of inconsistencies in the information reported with the requirements in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, including whether they are significant, on the information reported in the originally submitted updated initial report.¹¹

8. Any additional information provided by the Party during the review in accordance with paragraph 21(e) of annex II to decision 6/CMA.4 was reviewed and summarized in relation to the recommended action(s) for the respective reporting requirement, including a recommendation for inclusion in future reporting, if applicable.

9. The Article 6 TERT did not identify any persistent inconsistencies in relation to the updated initial report of Japan, as the initial and updated initial reports of Japan were reviewed during the same review cycle.

C. Information submitted by the Party pursuant to chapter IV.A (Initial report) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3

10. Japan submitted its updated initial report on 14 October 2025, including information on the following cooperative approaches:

(a) CA0008, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and Mongolia”, in which Japan and Mongolia are the participating Parties;

(b) CA0017, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the People’s Republic of Bangladesh”, in which Bangladesh and Japan are the participating Parties;

(c) CA0018, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia”, in which Ethiopia and Japan are the participating Parties;

(d) CA0019, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of Kenya”, in which Japan and Kenya are the participating Parties;

(e) CA0012, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of Maldives”, in which Japan and Maldives are the participating Parties;

(f) CA0020, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam”, in which Japan and Viet Nam are the participating Parties;

(g) CA0021, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Lao People’s Democratic Republic”, in which Japan and the Lao People’s Democratic Republic are the participating Parties;

(h) CA0022, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of Indonesia”, in which Indonesia and Japan are the participating Parties;

(i) CA0023, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of Costa Rica”, in which Costa Rica and Japan are the participating Parties;

(j) CA0014, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of Palau”, in which Japan and Palau are the participating Parties;

¹⁰ As per paras. 2(a), 5(a) and 7 of the Article 6 TERT guidelines.

¹¹ As per decision 4/CMA.6, para. 36.

- (k) CA0024, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Kingdom of Cambodia”, in which Cambodia and Japan are the participating Parties;
- (l) CA0025, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the United Mexican States (Mexico)”, in which Japan and Mexico are the participating Parties;
- (m) CA0026, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of Chile”, in which Chile and Japan are the participating Parties;
- (n) CA0027, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of the Philippines”, in which Japan and the Philippines are the participating Parties;
- (o) CA0028, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of Senegal”, in which Japan and Senegal are the participating Parties;
- (p) CA0015, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of Tunisia”, in which Japan and Tunisia are the participating Parties;
- (q) CA0029, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of Azerbaijan”, in which Azerbaijan and Japan are the participating Parties;
- (r) CA0030, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of Moldova”, in which Japan and the Republic of Moldova are the participating Parties;
- (s) CA0031, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and Georgia”, in which Georgia and Japan are the participating Parties;
- (t) CA0032, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka”, in which Japan and Sri Lanka are the participating Parties;
- (u) CA0033, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of Uzbekistan”, in which Japan and Uzbekistan are the participating Parties;
- (v) CA0034, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Independent State of Papua New Guinea”, in which Japan and Papua New Guinea are the participating Parties;
- (w) CA0035, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the United Arab Emirates”, in which Japan and the United Arab Emirates are the participating Parties;
- (x) CA0036, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Kyrgyz Republic”, in which Japan and Kyrgyzstan are the participating Parties;
- (y) CA0037, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of Kazakhstan”, in which Japan and Kazakhstan are the participating Parties;
- (z) CA0038, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and Ukraine”, in which Japan and Ukraine are the participating Parties;
- (aa) CA0039, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the United Republic of Tanzania”, in which Japan and the United Republic of Tanzania are the participating Parties.

D. Inconsistencies determined as significant by the Article 6 technical expert review team

11. The Article 6 TERT assessed the inconsistencies of the information submitted in the updated initial report with the reporting requirements and has determined that those

summarized in table 1 are significant and would have an impact on the emissions balance and/or adjusted indicator.¹²

Table 1

Summary of significant inconsistencies, as determined by the Article 6 technical expert review team, in the information submitted in Japan's updated initial report with the reporting requirements

<i>Table in this report</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^a</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>	<i>Cross-Party inconsistency^b</i>
Table 2: results of the review of the general information	18.A/4.D	Yes	Yes
Table 3: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0008	18.H.1	Yes	Yes
Table 3: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0008	18.H.2	Yes	Yes
Table 4: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0017	18.H.1	Yes	No
Table 4: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0017	18.H.2	Yes	No
Table 5: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0018	18.H.1	Yes	No
Table 5: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0018	18.H.2	Yes	No
Table 6: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0019	18.H.1	Yes	No
Table 6: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0019	18.H.2	Yes	No
Table 7: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0012	18.H.1	Yes	Yes
Table 7: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0012	18.H.2	Yes	Yes
Table 8: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0020	18.H.1	Yes	No
Table 8: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0020	18.H.2	Yes	No
Table 9: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0021	18.H.1	Yes	No
Table 9: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0021	18.H.2	Yes	No
Table 10: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0022	18.H.1	Yes	No
Table 10: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0022	18.H.2	Yes	No
Table 11: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0023	18.H.1	Yes	No
Table 11: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0023	18.H.2	Yes	No
Table 12: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0014	18.H.1	Yes	Yes

¹² As per decision 4/CMA.6, paras. 41–42(a).

<i>Table in this report</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^a</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>	<i>Cross-Party inconsistency^b</i>
Table 12: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0014	18.H.2	Yes	Yes
Table 13: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0024	18.H.1	Yes	No
Table 13: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0024	18.H.2	Yes	No
Table 14: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0025	18.H.1	Yes	No
Table 14: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0025	18.H.2	Yes	No
Table 15: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0026	18.H.1	Yes	No
Table 15: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0026	18.H.2	Yes	No
Table 16: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0027	18.H.1	Yes	No
Table 16: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0027	18.H.2	Yes	No
Table 17: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0028	18.H.1	Yes	No
Table 17: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0028	18.H.2	Yes	No
Table 18: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0015	18.H.1	Yes	Yes
Table 18: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0015	18.H.2	Yes	Yes
Table 19: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0029	18.H.1	Yes	No
Table 19: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0029	18.H.2	Yes	No
Table 20: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0030	18.H.1	Yes	No
Table 20: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0030	18.H.2	Yes	No
Table 21: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0031	18.H.1	Yes	No
Table 21: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0031	18.H.2	Yes	No
Table 22: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0032	18.H.1	Yes	Yes
Table 22: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0032	18.H.2	Yes	Yes
Table 23: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0033	18.H.1	Yes	No
Table 23: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0033	18.H.2	Yes	No
Table 24: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0034	18.H.1	Yes	No

<i>Table in this report</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^a</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>	<i>Cross-Party inconsistency^b</i>
Table 24: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0034	18.H.2	Yes	No
Table 25: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0035	18.H.1	Yes	No
Table 25: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0035	18.H.2	Yes	No
Table 26: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0036	18.H.1	Yes	No
Table 26: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0036	18.H.2	Yes	No
Table 27: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0037	18.H.1	Yes	No
Table 27: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0037	18.H.2	Yes	No
Table 28: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0038	18.H.1	Yes	No
Table 28: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0038	18.H.2	Yes	No
Table 29: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0039	18.H.1	Yes	No
Table 29: results of the review of the information on cooperative approach CA0039	18.H.2	Yes	No

^a For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IRTErr.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^b In this column, “No” means that the inconsistency is a single-Party inconsistency in the sense of para. 38 of decision 4/CMA.6. In the context of cooperative approaches with more than one participating Party, “No” therefore means that the inconsistency relates to the reporting participating Party only or that the other participating Parties have not yet submitted corresponding information.

II. Results of the technical expert review¹³

A. Consistency of the submitted information with the reporting requirements and the supplementary elements

12. The Article 6 TERT reviewed the information submitted by Japan in its updated initial report and identified areas for improvement of consistency with the reporting requirements and the supplementary elements, which are referenced in tables 2–29. Table 2 summarizes the results of the review of the general information included in Japan’s updated initial report and tables 3–29 present the results of the review of the information provided on cooperative approaches CA0008, CA0017, CA0018, CA0019, CA0012, CA0020, CA0021, CA0022, CA0023, CA0014, CA0024, CA0025, CA0026, CA0027, CA0028, CA0015, CA0029, CA0030, CA0031, CA0032, CA0033, CA0034, CA0035, CA0036, CA0037, CA0038 and CA0039.

13. The Article 6 TERT noted that Japan has provided the most recent national inventory report required in accordance with decision 18/CMA.1 and a biennial transparency report.^{14, 15}

¹³ Included in this report as per para. 51 of the Article 6 TERT guidelines.

¹⁴ Available at <https://unfccc.int/first-biennial-transparency-reports>.

¹⁵ See decision 2/CMA.3, annex, paras. 4(e) and 18(b).

Table 2

Review of the consistency of the general information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(a–f) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Demonstrate that the Party fulfils the participation responsibilities referred to in paragraph 4 for participating in a cooperative approach (para. 18(a))	Information demonstrating that:		
	The Party is a Party to the Paris Agreement	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	The Party has prepared, communicated and is maintaining an NDC in accordance with Article 4, paragraph 2	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	The Party has arrangements in place for authorizing the use of ITMOs towards achievement of NDCs pursuant to Article 6, paragraph 3	18.A/4.C	No
	The Party has arrangements in place that are consistent with the guidance in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and relevant decisions of the CMA for tracking ITMOs	18.A/4.D	Yes
	The Party has provided the most recent national inventory report required in accordance with decision 18/CMA.1	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	The Party's participation in any cooperative approach contributes to the implementation of its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement	18.A/4.F	No
Provide a description of the NDC, as referred to in paragraph 64 of the annex to decision 18/CMA.1, where the participating Party has not yet submitted a biennial transparency report (para. 18(b))	Target(s) and description, including target type(s)	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Target year(s) or period(s), and whether they are single-year or multi-year target(s)	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Reference point(s), level(s), baseline(s), base year(s) or starting point(s), and their respective value(s)	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Time frame(s) and/or periods for implementation	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Scope and coverage, including, as relevant, sectors, categories, activities, sources and sinks, pools and gases	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Intention to use cooperative approaches that involve the use of ITMOs under Article 6 towards NDCs under Article 4	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Any updates or clarifications of previously reported information	NA	NA
Provide the ITMO metrics, method for applying corresponding adjustments and method for quantification of the NDC (para. 18(c–f))	ITMO metrics	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Method for applying corresponding adjustments as per chapter III.B (Application of corresponding	18.C.2	No

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	adjustments) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3		
	Description of the method for applying corresponding adjustments where the method is a multi-year emissions trajectory, trajectories or budget, if applicable	NA	NA
	<i>How the method for applying corresponding adjustments aligns with paragraph 7 of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methods used for establishing the indicative trajectory, trajectories or budget and the resulting trajectory, trajectories or budget and their relationship to the indicators referred to in paragraph 65 of the annex to decision 18/CMA.1 to which corresponding adjustments will be applied</i>	Not provided	NA
	Quantification of the Party's mitigation information in its NDC in t CO ₂ eq, including the sectors, sources, GHGs and time periods covered by the NDC, the reference level of emissions and removals for the relevant year or period, and the target level for its NDC; or, where this is not possible, provision of the methodology for the quantification of the NDC in t CO ₂ eq	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Quantification of the Party's NDC, or the portion in the relevant non-GHG indicator, in a non-GHG metric determined by each participating Party, if applicable	NA	NA
	For a first or first updated NDC consisting of policies and measures that is not quantified, quantification of the Party's emission level resulting from the policies and measures that are relevant to the implementation of the cooperative approach and its mitigation activities for the categories of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks as identified by the host Party pursuant to paragraph 10 of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, and the time periods covered by the NDC	NA	NA

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IRTERR.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

Table 3

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0008, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and Mongolia”

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Duration of the cooperative approach	18.G.3	No
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below 'business as usual' emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below 'business as usual' emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>		
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	18.I.2	No
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IRTErr.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 4

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0017, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the People’s Republic of Bangladesh”

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Duration of the cooperative approach	18.G.3	No
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below 'business as usual' emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below 'business as usual' emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>		
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IRTERR.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 5

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0018, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia”

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Duration of the cooperative approach	18.G.3	No
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below 'business as usual' emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below 'business as usual' emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>		
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	18.I.2	No
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IR.TERR.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 6

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0019, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of Kenya”

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Duration of the cooperative approach	18.G.3	No
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below 'business as usual' emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below 'business as usual' emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>		
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	18.I.2	No
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IRTErr.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 7

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0012, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of Maldives”

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Duration of the cooperative approach	18.G.3	No
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below 'business as usual' emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below 'business as usual' emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>		
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	18.I.2	No
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IR.TERR.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 8

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0020, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam”

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Duration of the cooperative approach	18.G.3	No
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below 'business as usual' emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below 'business as usual' emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>		
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	18.I.2	No
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IRTERR.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 9

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0021, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Lao People’s Democratic Republic”

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Duration of the cooperative approach	18.G.3	No
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below 'business as usual' emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below 'business as usual' emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>		
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	18.I.2	No
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IR.TERR.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 10

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0022, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of Indonesia”

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Duration of the cooperative approach	18.G.3	No
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below 'business as usual' emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below 'business as usual' emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>		
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IRTERR.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 11

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0023, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of Costa Rica”

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Duration of the cooperative approach	18.G.3	No
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below 'business as usual' emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below 'business as usual' emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>		
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	18.I.2	No
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IR.TERR.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 12

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0014, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of Palau”

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Duration of the cooperative approach	18.G.3	No
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below 'business as usual' emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below 'business as usual' emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>		
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	18.I.2	No
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IRTErr.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 13

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0024, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Kingdom of Cambodia”

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Duration of the cooperative approach	18.G.3	No
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below 'business as usual' emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below 'business as usual' emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>		
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	18.I.2	No
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IRTErr.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 14

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0025, "Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the United Mexican States (Mexico)"

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Duration of the cooperative approach	18.G.3	No
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below 'business as usual' emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below 'business as usual' emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>		
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	18.I.2	No
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IRTErr.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 15

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0026, "Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of Chile"

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Duration of the cooperative approach	18.G.3	No
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below 'business as usual' emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below 'business as usual' emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>		
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	18.I.2	No
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IRTERR.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 16

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0027, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of the Philippines”

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Duration of the cooperative approach	18.G.3	No
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below 'business as usual' emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below 'business as usual' emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>		
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IRTErr.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 17

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0028, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of Senegal”

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>		
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below ‘business as usual’ emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below ‘business as usual’ emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>	Not provided	NA
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IR.TERR.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 18

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0015, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of Tunisia”

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>		
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below ‘business as usual’ emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below ‘business as usual’ emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>	Not provided	NA
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IRTERR.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 19

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0029, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of Azerbaijan”

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	18.G.2	No
	Duration of the cooperative approach	18.G.3	No
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	18.G.4	No
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below 'business as usual' emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below 'business as usual' emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>		
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	18.I.2	No
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IRTErr.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 20

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0030, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of Moldova”

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>		
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below ‘business as usual’ emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below ‘business as usual’ emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>	Not provided	NA
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IRTERR.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 21

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0031, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and Georgia”

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>		
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below 'business as usual' emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below 'business as usual' emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>	Not provided	NA
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IR.TERR.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 22

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0032, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka”

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>		
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below ‘business as usual’ emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below ‘business as usual’ emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>	Not provided	NA
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IRTERR.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 23

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0033, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of Uzbekistan”

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including	18.H.2	Yes

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below 'business as usual' emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)		
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDs, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below 'business as usual' emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>		
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IR.TERR.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 24

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0034, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Independent State of Papua New Guinea”

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Duration of the cooperative approach	18.G.3	No
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below 'business as usual' emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below 'business as usual' emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>		
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IRTErr.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 25

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0035, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the United Arab Emirates”

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	18.G.2	No
	Duration of the cooperative approach	18.G.3	No
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	18.G.4	No
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below 'business as usual' emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below 'business as usual' emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>		
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	18.I.2	No
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IR.TERR.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 26

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0036, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Kyrgyz Republic”

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>		
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below 'business as usual' emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below 'business as usual' emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>	Not provided	NA
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IRTERR.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 27

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0037, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Republic of Kazakhstan”

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>		
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below 'business as usual' emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below 'business as usual' emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>	Not provided	NA
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IRTErr.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 28

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0038, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and Ukraine”

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	18.G.2	No
	Duration of the cooperative approach	18.G.3	No
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	18.G.4	No
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below 'business as usual' emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below 'business as usual' emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>		
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	18.I.2	No
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IRTERR.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

Table 29

Review of the consistency of the information included in Japan's updated initial report with the requirements in paragraph 18(g–i) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3 and, as relevant, with the supplementary elements of information listed in annex I to decision 4/CMA.6 for cooperative approach CA0039, “Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the United Republic of Tanzania”

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
Provide information on each cooperative approach (para. 18(g))	Copy of the authorization by the participating Party	18.G.1	No
	Description of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Duration of the cooperative approach	18.G.3	No
	Expected mitigation for each year of the duration of the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Participating Parties involved in the cooperative approach	18.G.5	No
	Authorized entities for the cooperative approach	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	<i>Description of any arrangements for authorizing using participating Parties and authorized entities, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Type of cooperative approach, if applicable</i>	Not provided	NA
Describe how each cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity (para. 18(h))	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including that there is no net increase in global emissions within and between NDC implementation periods	18.H.1	Yes
	<i>How the cooperative approach avoids locking in emission levels, technologies or practices that are inconsistent with achieving the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the mitigation outcomes from the cooperative approach are shared between the participating Parties</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How it is ensured that decision-making processes in an underlying policy or regulatory framework, and relevant activities, are transparent and inclusive, and whether they include opportunities for public participation and scrutiny, including the standards and procedures for conducting stakeholder consultation, including with civil society organizations, Indigenous Peoples and local communities</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How participation in the cooperative approach and the combination of all cooperative approaches in which the Party participates contributes to the implementation of the Party's NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, and the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including through robust, transparent governance and the quality of mitigation outcomes, including through conservative reference levels, baselines set in a conservative way and below 'business as usual' emission projections (including by taking into account all existing policies and addressing uncertainties in quantification and potential leakage)	18.H.2	Yes
	<i>How any baseline or reference levels have been established, including how the participating Party has taken into account its NDC and LT-LEDS, if it has submitted one, as well as any relevant climate policies, laws, regulations or targets</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How baseline and reference levels are established to ensure they are conservative and below 'business as usual' emission projections, and information on what assumptions have been made</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>Methodological approaches used for quantifying the mitigation outcomes derived from the cooperative approach</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How uncertainties in the quantification of emission reductions and removals are assessed and how such uncertainties are taken into account</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How the risk of leakage is assessed, and how leakage is prevented or minimized, and how any remaining leakage will be quantified and deducted in the quantification of mitigation outcomes</i>	Not provided	NA
	How the cooperative approach ensures environmental integrity, including by minimizing the risk of non-permanence of mitigation across several NDC periods, and how, when reversals of emission reductions or removals occur, the cooperative approach will ensure that these are addressed in full	18.H.3	No
	<i>How the risk of non-permanence is assessed under the cooperative approach and minimized across several NDC periods, including the frequency and timing of risk assessments, the methodological approaches used for those assessments, the types of risk identified, the likelihood, scale and potential impacts of such risks, and the time-horizons considered</i>	Not provided	NA
	<i>How reversals are monitored and quantified, and, when they occur, how</i>	Not provided	NA

<i>Requirement in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3</i>	<i>Element of information to be reported^a</i>	<i>ID# for area for improvement^b</i>	<i>Significant inconsistency related to the updated initial report</i>
	<i>they are addressed in full, including which methodological approaches are used to address reversals, how the responsibility for addressing reversals is assigned, the duration and frequency of monitoring for reversals, and the measures envisaged in case of failures in monitoring</i>		
Provide additional description of each cooperative approach (para. 18(i))	How the cooperative approach will:		
	Minimize and, where possible, avoid negative environmental, economic and social impacts	18.I.1	No
	Reflect the eleventh preambular paragraph of the Paris Agreement, which acknowledges that climate change is a common concern of humankind and notes that Parties should, when taking action to address climate change, respect, promote and consider their respective obligations on human rights, the right to health, the rights of Indigenous Peoples, local communities, migrants, children, persons with disabilities and people in vulnerable situations and the right to development, as well as gender equality, empowerment of women and intergenerational equity	No inconsistencies identified	NA
	Be consistent with the sustainable development objectives of the Party, noting national prerogatives	18.I.3	No
	Apply any safeguards and limits set out in further guidance from the CMA pursuant to chapter III.D (Safeguards and limits to the transfer and use of ITMOs) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3	NA ^c	NA
	Contribute resources for adaptation pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.5	No
	Deliver overall mitigation in global emissions pursuant to chapter VII (Ambition in mitigation and adaptation actions) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, if applicable	18.I.6	No

^a Supplementary elements of information, which are requested but not required to be reported as per para. 18 of decision 4/CMA.6, are written in italics. Where such information was not provided by the Party, this is indicated as such in the next column.

^b For further information, see document FCCC/A6/IRTERR.2/2025/JPN/Add.1.

^c This reporting requirement was not applicable at the time of the review as the CMA has not provided further guidance setting out any safeguards or limits.

B. Capacity-building needs relating to areas for improvement

14. The Article 6 TERT, in consultation with Japan, did not identify any capacity-building needs related to implementing the guidance contained in the annex to decision 2/CMA.3.

III. Conclusions and recommendations

15. The Article 6 TERT conducted a technical expert review of the information submitted by Japan in its updated initial report in accordance with chapter V (Review) of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3, the Article 6 TER guidelines and chapter VII (Process for identifying, notifying and correcting inconsistencies) of decision 4/CMA.6.

16. The Party reported information on 27 cooperative approaches (see para. 9 above).

17. On the basis of the review of Japan's updated initial report, the Article 6 TERT found that the Party reported information in relation to all of the requirements set out in paragraph 18 of the annex to decision 2/CMA.3. The Article 6 TERT also found that the Party did not report information in relation to supplementary elements. Some of the information reported was found to be inconsistent with the requirements (see tables 2–29), and some of these inconsistencies are considered to be significant (see table 1). The Article 6 TERT notes that providing information in relation to supplementary elements could help the Party to address the recommendations of the Article 6 TERT and improve the transparency of its reporting.

18. The areas for improvement of consistency and related recommendations identified by the Article 6 TERT are presented in the assessment tables referred to in paragraph 2 above.

19. The Article 6 TERT did not identify any capacity-building needs during the review in consultation with Japan.

Annex

Documents and information used during the review

I. Reference documents

“Guidance on cooperative approaches referred to in Article 6, paragraph 2, of the Paris Agreement”. Annex to decision 2/CMA.3. FCCC/PA/CMA/2021/10/Add.1. Available at <https://unfccc.int/documents/460950>.

“Guidelines for the Article 6 technical expert review referred to in decision 2/CMA.3, annex, chapter V (Review)”. Annex II to decision 6/CMA.4. FCCC/PA/CMA/2022/10/Add.2. Available at <https://unfccc.int/documents/626570>.

Updated initial report of Japan. Available at https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/Annex%20%E2%85%A2_Initial%20report_Japan_241031_0.pdf.

“Matters relating to cooperative approaches referred to in Article 6, paragraph 2, of the Paris Agreement”. Decision 4/CMA.6. FCCC/PA/CMA/2024/17/Add.1. Available at <https://unfccc.int/documents/644937>.

“Modalities, procedures and guidelines for the transparency framework for action and support referred to in Article 13 of the Paris Agreement”. Annex to decision 18/CMA.1. FCCC/PA/CMA/2018/3/Add.2. Available at <https://unfccc.int/documents/193408>.

II. Additional information provided by the Party

Responses to questions during the review were received from Kentaro Takahashi (Ministry of the Environment), including additional material. The following references were provided by Japan and may not conform to UNFCCC editorial style as some have been reproduced as received:

“Authorization of the JCM as a cooperative approach”. Available at https://submissions.unfccc.int/api/files/download?serverRelativeFilePath=/submissions/Archive/202510150749---Authorization_Japan.pdf.

“Common Specifications of the JCM Registry (Mongolia)”. Available at https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/JCM_MN_RG_ver02.0.pdf.

“Guideline on Gender Equality for the Joint Crediting Mechanism (JCM)”. Available at https://gcc.jp/jcm/jp/kobo/r04/mp/jcmsbsdR4_gender_en.pdf.

“Guidelines for Addressing and Respecting Safeguards for REDD-plus and Afforestation/Reforestation (Philippines, ver01.0)”. Available at https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/JCM_PH_GL_SG_Forest_ver01.0.pdf.

“Guidelines for Developing Proposed Methodology (Moldova, ver02.0)”. Available at https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/JCM_MD_RG_ver02.0.pdf.

“Guidelines for Developing Proposed Methodology (Thailand, ver02.0)”. Available at https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/JCM_TH_GL_PM_ver02.0.pdf.

“Guidelines for Developing Proposed Methodology (Maldives, ver02.0)”. Available at https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/JCM_MV_RG_ver02.0.pdf.

“Guidelines for Developing Proposed Methodologies for Carbon Capture and Storage and Carbon Capture, Utilization and Storage (CCS and CCUS) (Indonesia, ver01.0)”. Available at https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/JCM_ID_GL_PM_CCS-CCUS_ver01.0.pdf.

“Guidelines for Developing Proposed Methodology for REDD-plus (Cambodia, ver01.0)”. Available at https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/JCM_KH_GL_PM_REDD_ver01.0.pdf.

“Guidelines for Developing Proposed Methodology for REDD-plus (Lao PDR, ver01.0)”. Available at https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/JCM_LA_GL_PM_REDD_ver01.0.pdf.

“Guidelines for Developing Proposed Methodology for REDD-plus and Afforestation/Reforestation (Philippines, ver01.0)”. Available at https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/JCM_PH_GL_PM_Forest_ver01.0.pdf.

“Guidelines on Respecting Human Rights in Responsible Supply Chains”. Available at <https://www.meti.go.jp/policy/economy/business-jinken/guidelines/provisionalenglishtranslation.pdf>.

“JCM–Project registers”. Available at <https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/projects>.

“JCM rules and guidelines (Bangladesh–Japan)”. Available at <https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/bd-rules-and-guidelines>.

“JCM rules and guidelines (Cambodia–Japan)”. Available at <https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/kh-rules-and-guidelines>.

“JCM rules and guidelines (Chile–Japan)”. Available at <https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/cl-rules-and-guidelines>.

“JCM rules and guidelines (Costa Rica–Japan)”. Available at <https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/cr-rules-and-guidelines>.

“JCM rules and guidelines (Ethiopia–Japan)”. Available at <https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/et-rules-and-guidelines>.

“JCM rules and guidelines (Georgia–Japan)”. Available at <https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/ge-rules-and-guidelines>.

“JCM rules and guidelines (Indonesia–Japan)”. Available at <https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/id-rules-and-guidelines>.

“Joint Crediting Mechanism Guidelines for Developing Project Design Document and Monitoring Report for Carbon Capture and Storage and Carbon Capture, Utilization and Storage (Indonesia, ver01.0)”. Available at https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/JCM_ID_GL_PDD_MR_CCS-CCUS_ver01.0.pdf.

“Joint Crediting Mechanism Guidelines for Developing Project Design Document and Monitoring Report (Mongolia, ver02.0)”. https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/JCM_MN_RG_ver02.0.pdf#page=33.

“JCM rules and guidelines (Kazakhstan–Japan)”. Available at <https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/kz-rules-and-guidelines>.

“JCM rules and guidelines (Kenya–Japan)”. Available at <https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/ke-rules-and-guidelines>.

“JCM rules and guidelines (Kyrgyz–Japan)”. Available at <https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/kg-rules-and-guidelines>.

“JCM rules and guidelines (Lao PDR–Japan)”. Available at <https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/la-rules-and-guidelines>.

“Joint Crediting Mechanism Guidelines for Addressing and Respecting Safeguards for Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation, and the Role of Conservation, Sustainable Management of Forests and Enhancement of Forest Carbon Stocks in Developing Countries (REDD-plus) (Laos, ver01.0)”. Available at https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/JCM_LA_GL_SG_REDD_ver01.0.pdf.

“JCM rules and guidelines (Maldives–Japan)”. Available at <https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/mv-rules-and-guidelines>.

“JCM rules and guidelines (Mexico–Japan)”. Available at <https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/mx-rules-and-guidelines>.

- “JCM rules and guidelines (Moldova–Japan)”. Available at <https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/md-rules-and-guidelines>.
- “JCM rules and guidelines (Mongolia–Japan)”. Available at <https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/mn-rules-and-guidelines>.
- “JCM rules and guidelines (Palau–Japan)”. Available at <https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/pw-rules-and-guidelines>.
- “JCM rules and guidelines (Papua New Guinea–Japan)”. Available at <https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/pg-rules-and-guidelines>.
- “JCM rules and guidelines (Philippines–Japan)”. Available at <https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/ph-rules-and-guidelines>.
- “JCM Promotion and Utilization Council–establishment document”. Available at <https://www.env.go.jp/content/000060591.pdf>.
- “JCM Registry (Japan) – registry homepage”. Available at <https://www.jcmregistry.go.jp/>.
- “JCM rules and guidelines (Senegal–Japan)”. Available at <https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/sn-rules-and-guidelines>.
- “JCM rules and guidelines (Sri Lanka–Japan)”. Available at <https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/lk-rules-and-guidelines>.
- “JCM rules and guidelines (Thailand–Japan)”. Available at <https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/th-rules-and-guidelines>.
- “JCM rules and guidelines (Tunisia–Japan)”. Available at <https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/tn-rules-and-guidelines>.
- “JCM rules and guidelines (Uzbekistan–Japan)”. Available at <https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/uz-rules-and-guidelines>.
- “JCM rules and guidelines (Viet Nam–Japan)”. Available at <https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/vn-rules-and-guidelines>.
- “Joint Crediting Mechanism Rules and Guidelines between the United Republic of Tanzania and Japan”. Available at https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/JCM_TZ_RG_ver01.0.pdf.
- “Memorandum of Cooperation on the Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Government of the Republic of Azerbaijan”. Available at <https://www.env.go.jp/content/000072016.pdf>.
- “Memorandum of Cooperation on the Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Government of the Independent State of Papua New Guinea on the Joint Crediting Mechanism”. Available at https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/JCM_MoC_Japan_and_PNG.pdf.
- “Memorandum of Cooperation on the Joint Crediting Mechanism between the Government of Japan and the Government of Ukraine”. Available at <https://www.env.go.jp/content/000199772.pdf>.
- “Procedures for corresponding adjustments regarding JCM”. Available at <https://www.env.go.jp/content/000060562.pdf>.
- “Project Cycle Procedure (Indonesia, ver06.0)”. Available at https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/JCM_ID_PCP_ver06.0.pdf.
- “Project Cycle Procedure (Mongolia, ver02.0)”. Available at https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/JCM_MN_RG_ver02.0.pdf#page=9.
- “Project Cycle Procedure for REDD-plus and Afforestation/Reforestation (Philippines, ver01.0)”. Available at https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/JCM_PH_PCP_Forest_ver01.0.pdf.
- “Rules of Implementation for the JCM (Philippines, ver01.0)”. Available at https://www.jcm.go.jp/jc/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/JCM_PH_RG_ver01.0.pdf.

“SDGs Implementation Guiding Principles (2016)”. Available at
<https://www.mofa.go.jp/files/000252819.pdf>.

“SDGs Implementation Guiding Principles (Revised Edition, 2023)”. Available at
https://www.mofa.go.jp/mofaj/gaiko/oda/sdgs/pdf/kaitei_2023_en.pdf.
