Concept note by the Chairs of the Subsidiary Bodies on the mandated workshop under the UAE-Belém work programme on indicators

05 June 2025

I. Introduction

1. The subsidiary bodies, at their sixtieth session, requested their Chairs to organize, with the support of the secretariat, a hybrid workshop to take stock of the progress of work by the technical experts under the UAE-Belem work programme on indicators, to be held in conjunction with SB 62.

2. The workshop is scheduled for 16 June 2025, the first day of SB 62. This concept note outlines arrangements for the workshop, including the proposed agenda. It is prepared by the Chair of the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice (SBSTA) and the Chair of the Subsidiary Body for Implementation (SBI).

3. As Chairs, we request participants to familiarise yourselves with the outputs from the experts and the consolidated list of indicators listed in the section below (and linked in the footnotes). We urge you to come to the workshop fully prepared and ready to engage productively. It is critical to make to make the best use of the time available at SB 62 to ensure a pathway is set for a decision at CMA 7 in Belem on this important topic.

4. As Chairs, we extend our thanks to the experts for their active engagement and hard work on the indicator options to date, and we look forward to supporting Parties to advance work swiftly at SB 62.

II. Mandate

5. Decision 2/CMA 5¹ adopted the UAE Framework for Global Climate Resilience, including seven thematic targets and four targets in relation to the dimensions of the iterative adaptation cycle. It also launched a two-year UAE–Belém work programme on indicators for measuring progress achieved towards the targets with a view to identifying and, as needed, developing indicators and potential quantified elements for those targets, to be carried out jointly by the Subsidiary Bodies (SBs).

6. The subsidiary bodies and the CMA have provided guidance for convening of, and work by technical experts to support this work programme. The technical experts were invited to produce a consolidated list of indicator options for Parties, including metadata, where available, and a progress report, to be published no later than four weeks prior to the sixty-second sessions of the subsidiary bodies for consideration at those sessions, and to Finalize and submit their inputs to the technical reports, including their recommendations on the use of indicators

7. The following documents were published prior to SB 62 to ensure adequate time for review ahead of the session:

- (a) A consolidated list of indicator options² and a progress report³
- (b) The experts' reports⁴

¹ Available at: <u>https://unfccc.int/documents/637073</u>

² Available at: <u>https://unfccc.int/documents/647049</u>

³ Available at: <u>https://unfccc.int/documents/645725</u>

⁴ Available at: <u>https://unfccc.int/topics/adaptation-and-resilience/the-big-picture/introduction-to-</u>

(c) A technical report providing an overview of the technical work of experts⁵

III. Workshop: Approach and Proposed Agenda

8. As mandated, the workshop will take stock of the progress of the work of the experts on indicator options. The proposed agenda is divided into three sessions:

(a) First: Updates from the experts on their work, highlighting their findings to date, and remaining gaps, and challenges to overcome, with an opportunity for participants to ask questions of the experts.

(b) Second: a structured discussion among participants, on the consolidated list of indicator options, and what further refinements are required to advance the work in order to agree a final list at CMA 7. Participants will be asked to focus on guiding questions listed below in this session.

(c) Third: an initial discussion on how to best advance the work at SB 62 and during the intersessional period, to allow a strong decision at CMA 7.

9. Guiding questions for session 2:

(a) Having reviewed the consolidated list of indicator options, what are your views on it, and what do you see as the strengths and weaknesses of the current list?

(b) What are your views on the approach of having headline indicators that represent components of targets, supported by sub-indicators providing more disaggregation? Do you think this approach is suitable from a reporting perspective?

| Day 1 | |
|---------------|--|
| 08:00 - 10:00 | Parties' coordination meetings |
| 10:00 - 10:15 | Opening remarks by SB (vice) Chairs |
| Session 1: | |
| 10:15 - 12:00 | High-level updates from experts (hybrid) |
| | Consolidated list of indicators and technical reports |
| | Identified gaps and challenges |
| 12:00 - 13:00 | Questions and answers, clarifications, plenary discussion |
| 13:00 - 15:00 | Lunch break and Parties' coordination meetings |
| Session 2: | |
| 15:00 - 16:30 | Structured discussion on consolidated list of indicators (presentations or panel) Moderated sessions with guiding questions (provided above) |
| Session 3: | |
| 16:30 - 17:45 | Next steps Noting the limited time between SB 62 and CMA 7, what steps could be best support delivery of a final outcome that is both practical and robust? |
| 17:45 - 18:00 | Closing remarks |

IV. Draft Agenda of the workshop

adaptation-and-resilience/loss-and-damage/reports-by-technical-experts-uae-belem-work-programmemay-2025

⁵ Available at: <u>https://unfccc.int/documents/647061</u>