

Session 3.2 Approaches for estimating soil organic carbon

Elisa Bruni and Bertrand Guenet Ecole Normale Supérieure – Laboratoire de Géologie, Paris UNFCCC Webinair

Agenda

50'	25'	15'	
Focus on model-based Tier 3 methods	Q&A	Break	

Agenda

50 '	25'	15'	
Focus on model-based Tier 3 methods	Q&A	Break	



Learning objectives

- Data need for model-based Tier 3 methods
- Understand advantages and limitations
- See examples of inventory report with Tier 3



Q&A









7

Annual carbon stock changes in AFOLU

$$\Delta \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{AFOLU}} = \Delta \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{FL}} + \Delta \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{CL}} + \Delta \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{GL}} + \Delta \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{WL}} + \Delta \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{SL}} + \Delta \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{OL}}$$

- AFOLU = Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use
- FL = Forest Land, CL= Cropland, GL = Grassland,
 WL=Wetlands, SL= Settlements, OL = Other Land

Eq 2.1 IPCC Guidelines 2006

For a given land-use category

$$\Delta \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{LU}} = \Delta \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{AB}} + \Delta \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{BB}} + \Delta \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{DW}} + \Delta \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{LI}} + \Delta \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{SO}} + \Delta \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{HWP}}$$

- AB = above-ground biomass
- BB = below-ground biomass
- DW = deadwood
- LI= litter
- SO = soils
- HWP = harvested wood products

Eq 2.3 IPCC Guidelines 2006

For a given land-use category

$$\Delta \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{LU}} = \Delta \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{AB}} + \Delta \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{BB}} + \Delta \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{DW}} + \Delta \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{LI}} + \Delta \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{SO}} + \Delta \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{HWP}}$$

AB = above-ground biomass

Soil organic carbon stock changes

1

- BB = below-ground biomass
- DW = deadwood
- LI= litter
- SO = soils
- HWP = harvested wood products

Eq 2.3 IPCC Guidelines 2006



Soil organic carbon stock changes

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{C}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \boldsymbol{Input} - \boldsymbol{Output}$



Soil organic carbon stock changes





Soil organic carbon stock changes



Soil organic carbon stock changes



1

Discretization of the soil in compartments



1

Discretization of the soil in compartments



A two-pool model



$$\frac{dC_{1}(t)}{dt} = Input_{1} - Output_{1}$$
$$\frac{dC_{2}(t)}{dt} = Input_{2} - Output_{2}$$
$$C_{1}(t = 0)$$
$$C_{2}(t = 0)$$



Other possible model structures



Sierra et al., 2012

1

Effect of the structure on the predictions



Models used for national C inventories

1

Models used for national C inventories



¹Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, 2017

1

Models used for national C inventories



¹ Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, 2017; ²Nielsen et al., 2017

1

Models used for national C inventories



¹ Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, 2017; ²Nielsen et al., 2017; ³Pipatti et al., 2017

1

Yasso07 adapted to tropical conditions



Guendehou et al., 2013; 2014

Yasso07 adapted to tropical conditions



Yasso07 adapted to tropical conditions

YASSO07 in Tanzania

fao forestry paper 168

Soil carbon monitoring using surveys and modelling

General description and application in the United Republic of Tanzania



Mäkipää et al., 2012 - FAO

Century adapted to semi-arid conditions



1

Parton et al., 1988

Century adapted to semi-arid conditions





Parton et al., 1988

Century adapted to semi-arid conditions

Need of **long-term** experimental research to constrain decomposition dynamics



Araújo Neto et al., 2021

Parton et al., 1988

QUESTIONS?





Tier 3 methods

Measurement-based

Model-based

Advantages and limitations

Tier 3 methods

Measurement-based

Extensive measurements networks to **calculate** SOC stock changes



2

Jolivet et al., 2018

Advantages and limitations

Tier 3 methods

•Model-based

Field data to evaluate model performance



Advantages and limitations

2

Advantages



Advantages

• Capture **complexity** of the system and diversity of practices, e.g., climate and disturbances effects




• Higher spatial and temporal resolution





 Improved completeness: coverage of land areas and/or carbon pools





• **Cost-efficient** compared to Tier 2 (which may need extensive data collection)



Advantages and limitations

2

Advantages

Improved uncertainty assessment



40

Advantages and limitations

2

Advantages

• **Predict**: better assessment of the impacts of mitigation efforts and policy measures





41



 Improved time-series consistency for past and future projections



Advantages and limitations

2

Limitations



• *"Models are a way to increase the power of data"*: they still need a lot of **data** as input and for evaluation



• *"Models are a simplified representation of reality":* they are still associated with **uncertainty** and errors



• Uncertainty IN \rightarrow uncertainty OUT



MODEL





• Incorrect use can lead to high errors and biases (e.g., application outside their domain, incorrect evaluation)





• Technical difficulties to calibrate and implement



QUESTIONS?

Advantages and limitations

2

When to use a Tier 3 approach?











1) Select/develop a model

- Evaluate with calibration data
 - Identify model input
 - Quantify uncertainties
 - Implement the model
 - Evaluate with independent data

8 7

Reporting and documentation



¹ Select/develop a model

Model selection



1) Select/develop a model

Model selection

More than 200 soil carbon models are available



Le Noe et al., 2023 55



Select/develop a model

Model selection

Validated for the desired land-use



Garsia et al., 2023 56



Select/develop a model

Model selection

Validated for the desired pedo-climatic conditions





Select/develop a model

Model selection

Availability of the necessary resources for implementation

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = i - rk_1 Y$$

$$\frac{dO}{dt} = hrk_1 Y - rk_2 O,$$

$$Contract A relation of the form of the effect of the ef$$

Key words: carbon budgets; global change; mathematical model; soil carbon.

3

¹ Select/develop a model

Model selection

Availability of the data required (see Step 3)

Climate data	Soil data	Land use- management data
 Monthly rainfall(mm) Average monthly mean air temperature (°C) Monthly open pan evaporation (mm)/evapotranspiration (mm) 	 Total Initial 0–30cm SOC stocks (t C ha⁻¹) Initial C stocks of the different pools (t C ha⁻¹): DPM, RPM, BIO, HUM, IOM Clay content (%) at simulation depth. 	 Monthly Soil cover (binary: bare vs. vegetated) Irrigation (to be added to rainfall amounts) Monthly Carbon inputs from plant residue (aboveground + roots + rhizodeposition), (t C ha⁻¹) Monthly Carbon inputs from organic fertilizers and grazing animals' excretion (t C ha⁻¹) DPM/RPM ratio, an estimate of the decomposability of the incoming plant material



¹ Select/develop a model

Model adaptation

3

1) Select/develop a model

Model adaptation Arid Factors that influence SOC Temp (seas.) persistence depend on pedoclimatic conditions Tropical (seas.) Temp (humid) Trop (humid) 2:1 clays Cultivation GPP SOC Mox Erosion 20 40 60 Explained variation (%) 1:1 clays Clay content Feldspars Pedogenic oxides Quartz 61 Von Fromm et al., 2023



Select/develop a model

Model adaptation

Some human activities may be important in some countries, not in other





(1) Select/develop a model

- ² Evaluate with calibration data
 - Identify model input
 - Quantify uncertainties
 - Implement the model
 - Evaluate with independent data



Reporting and documentation



² Evaluate with calibration data

Demonstrate that the model **effectively simulates measured trends** for a variety of conditions in the category of interest

3

² Evaluate with calibration data

Evaluation with statistical and graphical tests



3

² Evaluate with calibration data

Evaluation with statistical and graphical tests



3

² Evaluate with calibration data

Evaluation with statistical and graphical tests





² Evaluate with calibration data

Examples of statistics for evaluation

- Root mean squared error, RMSE
- Coefficient of determination, R^2
- Willmott index of agreement, d

² Evaluate with calibration data

Examples of statistics for evaluation

- Root mean squared error, RMSE
- Coefficient of determination, R^2
- Willmott index of agreement, d

The model effectively simulates measured trends



3

3

² Evaluate with calibration data

- ➢If the evaluation is not satisfactory, re-calibrate or change model
- Model evaluation needs to be in the reporting documentation to justify the use of a particular model

QUESTIONS?






³ Identify model input

Spatial and temporal data on:

- Climate
- Soil
- Vegetation
- Land-management
- Disturbances



3



3 Identify model input

- Climate
- Soil
- Vegetation
- Land-management
- Disturbances

3

3 Identify model input

- Climate
 - Temperature (surface or soil)
 - Precipitation
 - Potential evapotranspiration
 - Soil moisture

3

3 Identify model input

- Climate
 - Temperature (surface or soil)
 - Precipitation
 - Potential evapotranspiration
 - Soil moisture



3 Identify model input

- Climate
- Soil
- Vegetation
- Land-management
- Disturbances



3 Identify model input

- Climate
- Soil
 - Clay content
 - Initial SOC stocks in the soil pools
 - pH
 - C:N ratio
 - CaCO₃ content



3 Identify model input

Spatial and temporal data on:

- Climate
- Soil

Vegetation

- Land-management
- Disturbances



3 Identify model input

- Climate
- Soil
- Vegetation
 - Litter input
 - Woody debris
 - Animal manure



3 Identify model input

- Climate
- Soil
- Vegetation
- Land-management
- Disturbances



3 Identify model input

- Climate
- Soil
- Vegetation
- Land-management
 - Agricultural practices (e.g., tillage, cover cropping)
 - Forest practices (e.g., clear-cut, thinning)



3 Identify model input

- Climate
- Soil
- Vegetation
- Land-management
- Disturbances



3 Identify model input

- Climate
- Soil
- Vegetation
- Land-management
- Disturbances
 - Fires
 - Insects outbreaks



3 Identify model input

Spatial and temporal data on:

- Climate
- Soil
- Vegetation
- Land-management
- Disturbances

Consistent with spatial-temporal scale of the model!







4 Quantify uncertainties

- Uncertainty measures the confidence of the model estimate
- Imperfect knowledge of activities and processes

 \rightarrow uncertainties in the structure, parametrization and inputs

Methods to conduct these analyses: see IPCC Guidelines (2006) Volume 1 Chapter 3

Steps of modeling 3 Quantify uncertainties 4 εις Initial conditions ε_{FV} ε_M ε_S Model Data input Simulated SOC stocks structure ε_P εΟ **Parameters** Observed SOC stocks

3

4 Quantify uncertainties





4 Quantify uncertainties

Model structure



3

4 Quantify uncertainties

Model structure





4 Quantify uncertainties

Model structure

Multi-modeling approaches can help assessing the effect of model structure on the simulations

3

4 Quantify uncertainties





4 Quantify uncertainties

Data input







3

4 Quantify uncertainties





4 Quantify uncertainties

Initial conditions



3

4 Quantify uncertainties

Initial conditions



Bruni et al, in prep.

3

4 Quantify uncertainties



3

4 Quantify uncertainties

Parameters



99

Steps of modeling 3 Quantify uncertainties 4 εις Initial conditions ε_{FV} ε_M ε_S Model Data input Simulated SOC stocks structure ε_P εΟ **Parameters** Observed SOC stocks

QUESTIONS?



Select/develop a model

- 2 Evaluate with calibration data
 - 3 Identify model input
 - Quantify uncertainties
 - Implement the model
 - Evaluate with independent data



5

Reporting and documentation



5 Implement the model

• Run the model code on a computer





5 Implement the model

• Run the model code on a computer











⁶ Evaluate with independent data

- Independent database means a database <u>other than</u> the one that was used for calibration of the parameters
- Database of observations based on a monitoring network or research sites (~ similar to measurementbases Tier 3, but with a lesser density)

3

⁶ Evaluate with independent data



107

3

6 Evaluate with independent data

RMSE, R², d


Steps of modeling

3

Evaluate with independent data

RMSE, R², d f_{0}^{0} f_{0}^{0} f

If evaluation is not satisfactory, go back to step 2, 3 or 1!

109





3

7 Reporting and documentation

Transparency: information needed to <u>understand</u> the model and <u>assess</u> its outputs

- Model description from **literature**
- Model assessment from the **evaluation**

QUESTIONS?



4

Example of a Tier 3 application



FAO, 20<u>20</u>

Example of a Tier 3 application

FAO guidelines of Roth-C model application to produce **national maps** of SOC sequestration potential in croplands under different sustainable soil management practices



FAO, 2020

4

Example of a Tier 3 application

➢ Procedure steps

Limitation of this case-study



FAO, 20<u>20</u>

ROTH-C **C INPUT** ▼ ▼ DPM RPM ¥ Ň ▼ ◄ BIO HUM IOM

4 Case study

Coleman and Jenkinson, 1996



Modeling procedure

Gather the data input

- Determine the initial conditions
- Run the model for different management scenarios
- Generate SOC sequestration maps

4

Data requirements for Roth-C

Climate data		Soil data	Land use- management data
Climate da 1. Month 2. Avera air ter 3. Month evapo (mm)/ (mm)	mate data Monthly rainfall(mm) Average monthly mean air temperature (°C) Monthly open pan evaporation (mm)/evapotranspiration (mm)	 Soil data Total Initial 0–30cm SO stocks (t C ha⁻¹) Initial C stocks of the different pools (t C ha⁻¹) DPM, RPM, BIO, HUM, IOM Clay content (%) at simulation depth. 	Land use- management data C 1. Monthly Soil cover (binary: bare vs. vegetated) 2. Irrigation (to be added to rainfall amounts) 3. Monthly Carbon inputs from plant residue (aboveground + roots + rhizodeposition), (t C ha ⁻¹) 4. Monthly Carbon inputs from
			organic fertilizers and grazing animals' excretion (t C ha ⁻¹) 5. DPM/RPM ratio, an estimate of the decomposability of the incoming plant material

Modeling procedure

• Gather the data input

- Determine the initial conditions
- Run the model for different management scenarios
- Generate SOC sequestration maps



4

4

Modeling procedure

- Gather the data input
- Determine the initial conditions



- Run the model for different management scenarios
- Generate SOC sequestration maps





Modeling procedure

- Gather the data input
- Determine the initial conditions
- Run the model for different management scenarios
- Generate SOC sequestration maps



FAO, 2020



•WHAT ARE THE LIMITATIONS OF THIS CASE STUDY?

•CAN YOU IDENTIFY AN IMPORTANT MISSING STEP?

• Select a model adapted to the ecosystem you want to study

- Select a model adapted to the ecosystem you want to study
- Adapt the model to your local conditions

- Select a model adapted to the ecosystem you want to study
- Adapt the model to your local conditions
- Gather required **data** to implement the model

- Select a model adapted to the ecosystem you want to study
- Adapt the model to your local conditions
- Gather required **data** to implement the model
- Evaluate the performance of the model with observational data

- Select a model adapted to the ecosystem you want to study
- Adapt the model to your local conditions
- Gather required **data** to implement the model
- Evaluate the performance of the model with observational data
- Assess the **uncertainty** of the predictions, e.g., multi-model ensembles

- Select a model adapted to the ecosystem you want to study
- Adapt the model to your local conditions
- Gather required **data** to implement the model
- Evaluate the performance of the model with observational data
- Assess the **uncertainty** of the predictions, e.g., multi-model ensembles

"Models are a way to increase the power of data"



Thank you for your attention!

bruni@geologie.ens.fr



Following:

Q&A

Demonstration

References

- Andrén, Olof, and Thomas Kätterer, "ICBM: THE INTRODUCTORY CARBON BALANCE MODEL FOR EXPLORATION OF SOIL CARBON BALANCES," Ecological Applications 7, no. 4 (November 1997): 1226–36. https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761(1997)007[1226:ITICBM]2.0.CO:2.
- De Araújo Neto, Renato Américo, Stoécio Malta Ferreira Maia, Tiago Diniz Althoff, Carlos Eduardo Pellegrino Cerri, Andre Luiz De Carvalho, and Romulo Simões Cezar Menezes. "Simulation of Soil Carbon Changes Due to Conventional Systems in the Semi-Arid Region of Brazil: Adaptation and Validation of the Century Model." Carbon Management 12, no. 4 (July 4, 2021): 399–410. https://doi.org/10.1080/17583004.2021.1962978.
- Bruni, Elisa, Claire Chenu, Rose Z. Abramoff, Guido Baldoni, Dietmar Barkusky, Hugues Clivot, Yuanyuan Huang, et al. "Multi-modelling Predictions Show High Uncertainty of Required Carbon Input Changes to Reach a 4‰ Target." European Journal of Soil Science 73, no. 6 (November 2022). https://doi.org/10.1111/eiss.13330
- IPCC. "2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programm." Hayama: Institute for Global Environmental Strategies, 2006.
- IPCC 2011, Use of Models and Facility-Level Data in Greenhouse Gas Inventories (Report of IPCC Expert Meeting on Use of Models and Measurements in Greenhouse Gas Inventories 9-11 August 2010, Sydney, Australia) eds: Eggleston H.S., Srivastava N., Tanabe K., Baasansuren J., Fukuda M., Pub. IGES, Japan 2011
- Guendehou, G H Sabin, Jari Liski, Mikko Tuomi, Mansourou Moudachirou, Brice Sinsin, and Raisa Mäkipää. "Decomposition and Changes in Chemical Composition of Leaf Litter of Five Dominant Tree Species in a West African Tropical Forest," 2014
- Peralta, G., L. Di Paolo, I. Luotto, C. Omuto, M. Mainka, K. Viatkin, and Y. Yigini. "Global Soil Organic Carbon Sequestration Potential Map GSOCseq v.1.1." Rome: FAO, March 21, 2022. http://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cb9002en.
- Le Noë, Julia, Stefano Manzoni, Rose Abramoff, Tobias Bölscher, Elisa Bruni, Rémi Cardinael, Philippe Ciais, et al. "Soil Organic Carbon Models Need Independent Time-Series Validation for Reliable Prediction." Communications Earth & Environment 4, no. 1 (May 8, 2023); 158. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-023-00830-5.
- Garsia, Agata, Antoine Moinet, Carmen Vazquez, Rachel E. Creamer, and Gabriel Y. K. Moinet. "The Challenge of Selecting an Appropriate Soil Organic Carbon Simulation Model: A Comprehensive Global Review and Validation Assessment," Global Chanae Bioloay, August 12, 2023, gcb.16896, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16896,
- Von Fromm, Sophie F., Sebastian Doetterl, Benjamin M. Butler, Ermias Aynekulu, Asmeret Asefaw Berhe, Stephan M. Haefele, Steve P. McGrath, et al. "Controls on Timescales of Soil Organic Carbon Persistence across SUB-SAHARAN Africa." Global Change Biology 30, no. 1 (January 2024): e17089. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.17089.
- Sierra, C. A., M. Müller, and S. E. Trumbore. "Models of Soil Organic Matter Decomposition: The SoilR Package, Version 1.0." Geoscientific Model Development 5, no. 4 (August 24, 2012): 1045–60. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-5-1045-2012.
- Nielsen, U. N., E. Avres, D. H. Wall, and R. D. Bardgett. "Soil Biodiversity and Carbon Cycling: A Review and Synthesis of Studies Examining Diversity–Function Relationships." European Journal of Soil Science 62, no. 1 (February 2011): 105–16. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2010.01314.x.
- Claudy Jolivet, Dominique Arrouavs, Line Boulonne, Céline Ratié, and Nicolas Saby. "Le Réseau de Mesures de La Qualité Des Sols de France (RMQS). Etat d'avancement et Premiers Résultats." Etude et Gestion Des Sols. Association Française Pour l'Etude Des Sols 13, no. 3 (2006): 149-64.
- Coleman, K., and D. S. Jenkinson. "RothC-26.3 A Model for the Turnover of Carbon in Soil." In Evaluation of Soil Organic Matter Models, edited by David S. Powlson, Pete Smith, and Jo U. Smith, 237–46. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1996. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-61094-3 17.