Strengthening Climate Implementation Capacity: Community Ownership, Mission-Driven Finance, and Institutional Innovation

Submission to the Belém Mission to 1.5 Call for Inputs

Author: Dr. Dilek Sayedahmed
Associate Professor, NYU Paris
Waterloo Institute for Social Innovation & Resilience (WISIR)

Executive Summary

As countries move from climate target-setting toward implementation, a growing challenge is no longer identifying solutions but mobilizing the capacity required to deliver them at scale.

Significant progress has been made in advancing climate science, deploying clean technologies, and establishing increasingly ambitious Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) and National Adaptation Plans (NAPs). Yet many countries continue to face implementation barriers related to financing, coordination, public acceptance, local capacity, and long-term resilience.

Experience from Canada's co-operative, mutual, social finance, Indigenous, and social innovation sectors suggests that countries possess an important but underutilized source of implementation capacity. Community ownership models, mission-driven finance, and locally embedded institutions help mobilize investment, strengthen public participation, retain economic value within communities, and build resilience before crises occur.

These institutions operate across sectors central to climate mitigation and adaptation, including renewable energy, housing, food systems, finance, insurance, transportation, and community development. Their significance lies not only in the services they provide, but also in their ability to generate multiple benefits from a single intervention. Evidence from Canada demonstrates that investments in these approaches can contribute simultaneously to emissions reductions, adaptation, economic development, social inclusion, and community resilience.

In an environment of increasing climate risk and constrained public resources, governments face growing pressure to maximize the effectiveness of public and private climate investments.

Community ownership models, social finance mechanisms, and institutional innovations offer practical opportunities to improve implementation outcomes, mobilize additional capital, reduce long-term vulnerabilities, and strengthen returns on public investment.

As governments seek to accelerate the implementation of NDCs and NAPs, greater attention to implementation capacity, institutional innovation, and community-based delivery models can help unlock new opportunities for climate action while supporting broader economic and social objectives.

1. High-Impact Opportunity: Expanding Climate Implementation Capacity for a Win-Win Returns Mechanism

Many climate strategies focus on technologies, infrastructure, and financing mechanisms. These remain essential. Their effectiveness, however, depends on institutions capable of translating investment and policy ambition into action on the ground.

Implementation requires organizations that can:

- mobilize local investment and participation;
- coordinate diverse actors;
- build public trust and legitimacy;
- adapt solutions to local contexts;
- sustain action over long-time horizons; and
- generate benefits that extend beyond a single policy objective.

Across Canada and internationally, co-operatives, mutuals, social enterprises, Indigenous organizations, community investment funds, and other mission-driven institutions already perform many of these functions.

These organizations operate across sectors that are central to climate mitigation and adaptation, including renewable energy, housing, food systems, insurance, finance, transportation, community development, and resilience-building initiatives.

Recognizing and strengthening these forms of implementation infrastructure represents a significant opportunity to accelerate climate action while improving economic and social outcomes.

Rather than creating entirely new delivery systems, governments can strengthen implementation by partnering with and investing in institutions that already possess local legitimacy, trusted relationships, implementation experience, and the capacity to mobilize communities around shared objectives.

2. Key Barrier: Underinvestment in Social and Institutional Infrastructure

One of the most significant barriers to climate implementation is the tendency to focus primarily on technological and financial solutions while overlooking the institutions that enable those solutions to succeed.

Communities require more than physical infrastructure. They require governance structures, trusted organizations, local leadership, financing mechanisms, and collaborative networks capable of sustaining collective action.

As a result, many community-based and mission-driven initiatives remain undercapitalized despite demonstrating strong environmental, social, and economic outcomes.

This creates an implementation gap. Proven approaches often struggle to access financing, technical assistance, policy support, procurement opportunities, and investment vehicles needed to scale their impact.

Recognizing social and institutional infrastructure as a core component of climate implementation would help close this gap while improving the effectiveness of climate investments already being made.

3. Improving the Effectiveness of Climate Investment

Public and private climate investments are increasingly expected to generate benefits across multiple policy objectives simultaneously.

Community ownership models, social finance mechanisms, and locally embedded institutions are particularly valuable because they can improve implementation outcomes while generating economic, social, and environmental returns.

Reducing implementation risk

Community-owned and community-supported initiatives often experience higher levels of public acceptance and participation. This can reduce delays, strengthen stakeholder buy-in, improve project durability, and lower implementation risks.

Mobilizing additional capital

Co-operatives, credit unions, community investment funds, and social finance intermediaries can mobilize private, philanthropic, and community capital alongside public investment, increasing the overall pool of resources available for climate action.

Strengthening local economic development

Community ownership models help retain economic value within regions and communities. Revenues generated through renewable energy, housing, and other climate-related investments can support local employment, business development, and community priorities.

Supporting prevention and resilience

Adaptation investments are often evaluated through the lens of disaster recovery and emergency response. Community-based institutions contribute to resilience before crises occur by strengthening social cohesion, local capacity, food security, preparedness, and adaptive capacity.

This preventative function carries important fiscal implications. Investments that reduce vulnerability and improve adaptive capacity can help lower future recovery costs, reduce pressures on public systems, and strengthen long-term economic resilience.

Generating multiple returns from a single investment

A single investment can contribute simultaneously to emissions reductions, resilience, economic development, social inclusion, job creation, and community wealth retention. This ability to generate multiple public benefits from a single intervention strengthens the overall return on public investment and improves long-term policy effectiveness.

4. Illustrative Action Areas

Community Ownership and Renewable Energy

Community-owned renewable energy projects demonstrate how climate mitigation and local economic development can reinforce one another. These models increase community participation, improve public acceptance, mobilize local capital, and create opportunities for communities to share directly in the benefits of the energy transition.

Social Finance and Climate Investment

Social finance organizations provide patient capital to projects that conventional markets often overlook despite their climate and social benefits. These investments can support renewable energy, affordable housing, local food systems, and resilience-building initiatives while attracting additional sources of capital.

Co-operative and Mutual Models for Adaptation

Co-operatives and mutuals operating in food systems, insurance, housing, and northern and rural communities help strengthen adaptive capacity by maintaining essential services, supporting local decision-making, and building resilience to climate-related disruptions.

Indigenous and Community-Led Approaches

Indigenous and community-led organizations have developed place-based approaches to stewardship, adaptation, and resilience that integrate environmental, social, cultural, and economic objectives. These experiences highlight the importance of local governance, long-term stewardship, and community leadership in achieving durable climate outcomes.

5. Strengthening International Cooperation

International cooperation remains essential to accelerating climate action and improving implementation outcomes.

Alongside technology transfer and climate finance, there is a significant opportunity to strengthen cooperation around institutional innovation and implementation practice.

Knowledge Exchange

Expand international learning networks focused on community ownership, social finance, adaptation, resilience-building, and implementation models that have demonstrated measurable results.

Capacity Building

Support governments, local authorities, Indigenous communities, civil society organizations, and community-based institutions in adapting successful implementation approaches to local contexts.

Investment Partnerships

Develop financing mechanisms capable of supporting community-based and mission-driven climate initiatives that generate environmental, economic, and social returns. Blended finance approaches, public-private partnerships, and innovative investment vehicles can help scale successful models across jurisdictions.

Many countries face similar implementation challenges. Greater collaboration around practical delivery models can accelerate learning, reduce duplication, improve investment effectiveness, and strengthen implementation outcomes across diverse contexts.

Recommendations

1. Recognize community ownership, mission-driven finance, and locally embedded institutions as strategic components of national climate implementation capacity within NDCs and NAPs.

2. Expand climate investment frameworks to include social and institutional infrastructure alongside physical infrastructure.
3. Increase support for community ownership models in renewable energy, housing, food systems, and resilience-building initiatives.
4. Strengthen social finance ecosystems capable of mobilizing additional capital for climate mitigation and adaptation.
5. Incorporate measures of resilience, adaptive capacity, community wealth retention, social inclusion, and implementation effectiveness into climate evaluation frameworks.
6. Expand international cooperation focused on implementation models, institutional innovation, capacity building, and community-based climate solutions.

Conclusion

The next phase of climate action will be determined less by the availability of solutions than by the capacity to implement them at scale.

Countries have already identified many of the technologies, policy tools, and investment opportunities needed to achieve climate goals. Accelerating progress now requires strengthening the institutions that connect those resources to communities, businesses, and local economies.

Community ownership models, mission-driven finance, Indigenous institutions, co-operatives, mutuals, and other locally embedded organizations represent a significant and often overlooked source of implementation capacity. They help mobilize investment, strengthen resilience, build public participation, and generate multiple returns from a single intervention.

Investing in these forms of institutional infrastructure offers a practical opportunity to accelerate climate action while supporting economic development, resilience, and social inclusion. In doing so, countries can strengthen their ability to implement ambitious climate commitments, improve the effectiveness of climate investments, and build a transition that is both effective and durable.