Regional Climate Week

Nairobi, Kenya – 4-8 September 2023



United Nations Climate Change

National strategies for using international carbon markets for NDC achievement



United Nations Climate Change

Article 6 as tool for achieving NDC (Requirements!)

Article 6: 6.2, 6.4, 6.8



Cooperative approaches Articles 6.2 and 6.3 and decision 1/CP.21, paragraph 36

Bilateral/multilateral cooperation between interested countries, involving the use of internationally transferred mitigation outcomes towards NDCs

The mechanism

Articles 6.4 to 6.7 and decision 1/CP.21, paragraphs 37 and 38

Centralized UNFCCC baseline-and-credits mechanism for public and private sector actors, creates a new unit (A6.4ERs)

Framework for non-market approaches Articles 6.8 and 6.9 and decision 1/CP.21, paragraphs 39 and 40

Facilitates climate action through international cooperation focused on sustainable development and poverty eradication (and does not involve trading)





Article 6 as tool for achieving NDC (Requirements)

Cooperative implementation under the Paris Agreement

- Cooperative Approaches under Article 6, paragraph 2
 - Internationally transferred mitigation outcomes (ITMOs)
- Mechanism established in Article 6, paragraph 4
 - Article 6. paragraph 4 : Authorized A6.4 Emission Reductions (A6.4ERs) => ITMOs

Key concepts under Article 6 of the Paris Agreement (Quick reminder)

- What is an ITMO? (see Session 3 Day 1)
- Authorization
- "First Transfer"
- Tracking and Reporting
- 6.2 Accounting (emissions-based)



United Nations Climate Change

Article 6 as tool for achieving NDC (Requirements)

Article 6.2 Cooperative approaches

- It has to be a Party to the Paris Agreement
- It has prepared, communicated and is maintaining an NDC
- It has provided the most recent national inventory report required
- Its participation contributes to the implementation of its NDC and long-term low-emission development strategy, if it has submitted one, and the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement.

Arrangements in place for authorizing and tracking the use of ITMOs towards achievement of NDCs	Indicated to the SB how participation in the mechanism contributes to SD
ITMOs = Internationally Transferred Mitigation Outcomes	A6.4ERs = Emission Reduction
NIR (as part of BTR)	Has a DNA in place / indicated which types of activities it would consider approving
	Indicated to the SB how participation in the mechanism contributes to SD
	(Optional) Specified baseline approaches and crediting periods



United Nations Climate Change



Article 6.4 The Mechanism

NDC accounting implications for engaging in Article 6

- Article 6 allow countries to use "internationally transferred mitigation outcomes" (ITMOs) to achieve their NDCs.
- Call for robust accounting to avoid ITMO double counting toward the NDCs of multiple countries. => "corresponding adjustments"
- "accounting" : system that allows comparison of mitigation targets with the progress made
- Goal is to reflect real levels and changes to emissions as a result of mitigation actions by countries or other entities.





NDC accounting implications for engaging in Article 6 (how)

- Accounting for mitigation targets typically involves the following elements:
 - Defining mitigation targets : quantifiable indicators GHG (tCO2equivalent, scope, level, etc.)
 - Tracking progress towards NDC target(s) : methodologies and data sources
 - Accounting for international/domestic transfers :standards, procedures and rules to account (track and reconcile) transfers
 - International reporting, review and compliance: reporting and registries
- Accounting for mitigation targets requires action and information at different points in time:
 - Ex-ante information: defining the mitigation target and the methods
 - Regular information: progress made towards achievement of the mitigation target (incl. transfers)
 - Ex-post information: final accounting balance incl. corresponding adjustments





Example of key elements of accounting for mitigation targets

Element	Timing	Key issues	Provisions in the Paris Agreement		
Definition of mitigation targets, methods and accounting approaches	Ex-ante and regular	Clearly defined and quantitative mitigation targets Consistent and accurate methods to track progress (e.g. IPCC Guidelines) Transparent and robust accounting methods	Clarity, transparency and understanding of NDCs (Art. 4.8, para. 28) Common time frames for NDCs (Art. 4.10) Public registry of NDCs (Art. 4.12, para. 29) Accounting for NDCs, including promoting en vironmental integrity, transparency, accuracy completeness, comparability and consistency and ensuring the avoidance of double countin (Art. 4.13, para. 31)		
Tracking progress	Regular and ex- post	Transparent, accurate, complete, comparable and consistent reporting on progress made	Reporting of national inventory report and information necessary to track progress (Art. 13.7, para. 91-98)		
Accounting for Regular or ex- international transfers post		Robust accounting rules to avoid double counting and to account for the vintage of mitigation outcomes and time frames of mitigation targets Tracking transfers Appropriate design of mechanisms to quantify mitigation outcomes	Robust accounting to ensure, inter alia, the avoidance of double counting on the basis of corresponding adjustments (Art. 6.2, para. 36 Emission reductions only used by one Party towards NDC achievement (Art. 6.5, para. 37 38)		

Source: Table 1: Key elements of accounting for mitigation targets / Analysis report: implications for NDC accounting and transparency update 2021 @South Pole Spain, info@southpole.com

Example of key elements of accounting for mitigation targets

Element Timing		Key issues	Provisions in the Paris Agreement			
Accounting for domestic transfers	Regular or ex- post	Robust accounting rules Appropriate quantification of mitigation outcomes	Not specified			
Final assessment	Ex-post	Final accounting balance	Accounting for NDCs (Art. 4.13, para. 31)			
Review and compliance	Regular and ex-post	International technical expert review of information Compliance assessment	Technical expert review (Art. 13.11, para. 91- 98) Mechanism to facilitate implementation and promote compliance (Art. 15, para. 104)			



United Nations Climate Change



Source: Table 1: Key elements of accounting for mitigation targets / Analysis report: implications for NDC accounting and transparency update 2021 @South Pole Spain, info@southpole.com

Example of Accounting Applicable at Multiple Levels

	Accounting Adjustment Country A - Buyer	Accounting Adjustment Country B - Host		
Accounting at national Level (emission based)	+100	-100		
Accounting at International level (emission based)	+100	-100		
Accounting at ETS level (target based)	-100	+100		





Source: Analysis report: implications for NDC accounting and transparency update 2021 @South Pole Spain, info@southpole.com

REGIONAL CLIMATE

WEEKS

NDC accounting implications for engaging in Article 6 (solutions)

• A variety of (accounting) approaches could be used to address these issues:

- Eligibility requirements for the participation in international market mechanisms, such as requirements to have quantitative NDCs and a system in place to track progress towards NDCs;
- Ensuring clarity of NDCs, such as guidance on elements that countries could clarify when communicating their NDCs (e.g., the coverage of the NDC in terms of sectors, geographical area and GHGs);
- Ensuring that NDCs have common features, such as agreements between countries or on international level to use common time frames, common Global Warming Potential (GWP) values or IPCC methodologies;
- Appropriate design of market mechanisms, including standards and procedures to quantify mitigation outcomes or to avoid double issuance of units;
- Accounting rules for international transfers, including rules such as "corresponding adjustments" referred to in paragraph 36 of decision 1/CP.21;
- Tracking the transfer and use of mitigation outcomes, such as through registry systems
- **Procedures for reporting and review of relevant information**, such as biannual reports by countries on progress towards NDCs and international expert reviews of the submitted information.





Strategies for engaging in Article 6 to contribute achieving NDCs

- Benefits of participating in cooperative approaches:
 - NDC Implementation support
 - Increase National budget revenues
 - Financing high-cost mitigation measures
 - Sustainable development co-benefits
- Article 6 => voluntary cooperation among Parties to increase ambition and achieve NDCs.
- Authorized mitigation activities can be determined as part of a cooperative agreements
- Emissions reduction or removals programs or projects that may result in the transfer of mitigation outcomes from a transferring (or host) country to a receiving country.





Choosing priority sectors, activities to authorise as ITMOs

- The prioritization of preferred Art 6.4 activities may conclude on a defined strategy for Art. 6.4 participation that ensures activities go beyond the NDC targets and may respond to:
 - Which sectors will be targeted?
 - Which types of activities are preferable in these sectors?
 - Evaluate the potential of quantification of the Mitigation measures => engage in easy measurable activities
 - Evaluate the cost/effort to distribute Mitigation Outcomes use : High cost/effort => ITMOs / low cost/effort => NDC

This strategy will also support:

- Understanding the medium and long-term objectives => aligned with NDC (e.g., align crediting periods)
- Establish overall objectives that will guide participation, such as the number of MOs that the government intends to transfer.

• A detailed and even public country-level strategy is likely to provide confidence to

- donor countries and institutions and
- Sectoral ministries, government agencies and the private sector
- Once a strategy is in place, several implementation processes are closely related to this strategy it is the Art

6 Framework





Choosing priority sectors, activities to authorize as ITMOs

- Countries seeking to participate in Art. 6.2 should strategize on what activities can be part of cooperative approaches and how to prioritize them.
- The process to identify those mitigation activities which go beyond the NDC and can be part of cooperative approaches agreement with other counties is complex and require a deep understanding of:
 - NDC activities that are committed to being implemented domestically and are needed to achieve the NDC commitments (call out: some countries refer to these activities in their NDCs as unconditional activities).
 - Criteria for not over-transferring MO that may be needed for NDC compliance
 - Climate actions that are already supported by international climate finance (other bilateral agreements, MDBs financing, etc.) and hence, do not require Art. 6.2 support.
 - NDC implementation status. How well is the country on track to meet the combined (unconditional and conditional) NDC targets
- NB. Exported ITMO is not available for own NDC achievement => selling ITMOs compete with objectives of achieving an ambitious NDC





Choosing priority sectors, activities to authorize as ITMOs

- The strategy should provide orientation to choose between Article 6.2 approach and Article 6.4 mechanism according to country objectives, resources and experience :
 - Article 6.4 is a centralized mechanism (UNFCCC secretariat) like the Clean Development Mechanism of the Kyoto Protocol => balance in bilateral negotiations
 - Emission reductions credits can be generated and purchased by public and private sector actors => allowed for international transfer
 - A6.4 provide already established infrastructure => registries, baselines, methodologies and procedures etc.
 - A6.4 provide multilateral standardized instruments that can be used for national crediting
 - A6.4 provide orientation on additionality of the authorized activities





Choosing priority sectors, activities to authorise as ITMOs (identification of projects)

- Art.6 strategy => specific climate programs and projects identification
- Not one specific approach for a country to identifying specific activities. there are two main approaches that countries will have to decide:

	Top-down approach	Botto	m-up approach
•	Based on the Art. 6 strategy, the country further specifies what type of activities, sectors, gases, and for which time frame it will authorize Art. 6.4 activities. There are several options for the identification of preferred sectors and technologies, including the use of positive lists and negative lists . This approach requires robust technical capabilities to specify the activity types, a thorough understanding of domestic and international market opportunities, and continuous stakeholder involvement.	nd interested acquiring overnment, who will d ome in. his approach could me operative approaches omestic actors or an ir the most extreme cas o nothing more than w Article 6.4 activities a	nt agencies, ministries, private sector) g countries to approach the lecide on authorizations as proposals ean engaging in s based on interest expressed by nternational partner. se, the host country can choose to vait for requests for authorization and, at the point of the request, assess utcomes can be created and







Source reference. - Training on Article 6.2 of the Paris Agreement @UNDP - alexandra.soezer@undp.org

Choosing priority sectors, activities to authorise as ITMOs (assessment of projects)

• How does a country identify specific activities under each approach?

Top-down approach	Bottom-up approach			
 Issues activities calls for proposals periodically with detailed requirements. The call for proposals requirements may be elaborated in collaboration between the countries participating in the cooperative approach and include: <i>Priority activities types for the transferring country.</i> <i>Eligible activity types the receiving country is willing to support.</i> 	 Long-standing call for proposals with minimum requirements. Target any type of actor (mitigation activity proponent). 			

- To identify mitigation activities that go beyond the NDC, the host country needs to identify and assess the mitigation potential and mitigation plans until the NDC target end year, ideally disaggregated by sectors and technologies.
- The assessment can include criteria such as: domestic funding, conditional, International climate finance, NDC target & tracking



United Nations

Climate Change



Managing risks on non-NDC achievement when engaging in Article 6

- <u>Transparency (ETF)*</u>
 - **Miscalculation of mitigation targets and progress** towards mitigation targets
 - Miscalculation of mitigation outcomes
 - Risk of double counting of emission reductions : robust registries required
 - Miscalculation of the vintage of mitigation outcomes
 - Addressing any non-permanence of mitigation outcomes
- Price implications for corresponding adjustments:
 - Host countries may want to keep the cheapest, easiest mitigation and count it to meet their own NDC target. => not be traded (price would not cover the true cost of a corresponding adjustment – as the country would then need to resort to more expensive mitigation action)
 - Countries may either wish to trade "easy" mitigation activities at a higher price or prioritize or only trade "difficult" mitigation activities.
 - To limit the risk of overtrading => establish domestic criteria to limit the number of years, technology types, and/or sectors authorized for Article 6 trades (host country)**
- <u>Activity selection</u>
 - Attractiveness of sectors and activities authorized***





OVERVIEW OF NDCs IN WEST AND CENTRAL AFRICA

• Mitigation Priority sectors in West and Central Africa NDCs

Country	Energy	Agriculture	Forestry	Other Land Use (OLU)	Industry	Process and Product Use	Tourism and cricular economy	Infrastructure	Transport	Waste
Benin										
Burkina Faso										
Cabo Verde										
Côte d'Ivoire										
Gambia										
Ghana										
Guinea										
Guinea Bissau										
Liberia										
Mali										
Niger										
Nigeria										
Senegal										
Sierra Leone										
Тодо										
Burundi										
Cameroon										
Central African Republic										
Chad										
Congo										
Democratic repubic of Congo										
Equatorial Guinea										
Gabon										
Madagascar										
Sao Tome and Principe										
United Nations Climate ChangeREGIONAL CLIMATE WEEKS										

Case study Ghana Article 6 Framework

Ghana Carbon Market Office as Article 6.2 DNA under EPA Act 490 <u>https://cmo.epa.gov.gh/</u>

Ghana Article 6 framework => national arrangements for authorization, tracking of ITMOs and

reporting.

- ✓ Ghana latest NDC to the registry to be reviewed 2025
- ✓ Ghana aims to mitigate an absolute 64 MtCO2eq by 2030, with 24 MtCO2eq as the unconditional target and 39.4 MtCO2eq as the conditional target => Ghana wants to achieve the 64 MtCO2eq (88% of the total national total emission*) single-year target in nine years, starting from 2021 to 2030, with the possibility for review in 2025.

Types of activities, programs, projects etc.:

- ✓ Climate-smart Agriculture Practices for Sustainable Rice Cultivation
- ✓ National Clean Energy Access Program
- ✓ Transformation Cook Stove in Rural Ghana
- ✓ Landfill gas management project
- ✓ Market transformation through the Introduction of Green Split ACs





OVERVIEW OF NDCs IN EAST AND SOUTH AFRICA

- Table to be updated asap
- Example of Malawi Art.6 strategy





Country Experience. Guiding questions

- What are the priorities in your NDC/LT-LEDS and how did you identify them? How did you align NDC and LT/LEDS?
- What factors did you consider to choose between Art 6.2 approach and Art.6.4 mechanism to achieve your NDC?
- Did you encounter any challenges while establishing the Art.6 strategy? If so, how did you overcome them?
- What would you advise countries that are yet to develop their Art.6 strategies?







United Nations Climate Change



United Nations Climate Change