

## Session SBI49 (2018)

Session starts: 01-09-2018 00:00:00 [GMT+1]

Session ends: 30-11-2018 23:59:59 [GMT+1]



Exported from Session final result section

**Multilateral assessment**  
**Questions and answers Slovakia**

Question by Brazil at Monday, 01 October 2018

Category: Progress towards the achievement of its quantified economy-wide emission reduction target

Type: After 30 September

Title: Mitigation actions impact estimates

*CTF Table 3 Progress in achievement of the quantified economy-wide emission reduction target: information on mitigation actions and their effects* lists some mitigation actions. We would like to commend the Party for doing so. However, mitigation impacts were not estimated for the majority of the actions. We kindly request further information on:

- improvements compared to previous BRs on providing mitigation impact estimates for related actions; and
- estimates, if any, of mitigation impacts other than those related to 2020/2030; and
- why the Key notation "NE" was used for the majority of mitigation actions.

Answer by Slovakia, Thursday, 08 November 2018

Slovakia understands the importance of providing progress in achievement of the mitigation targets and the mitigation impacts of the actions.

Unfortunately, there are few policies and measures in place for which mitigation impact was not possible to be quantified during the preparation of the third BR of Slovakia due to the non-technical characteristics of the measures. Notation key "NA" was used for these categories (not "NE").

Compared to previous BR of Slovakia, an important improvement on reported mitigation impacts and effects and better description of policies and measures on national GHG emissions and trend may be observed. As the list of PAMs did not significantly changed compared to the second BR of Slovakia, better information on their potential were used in models and calculations. Therefore, emission projections published in the third BR of Slovakia have more realistic trend and reflect better policies and measures in place, and also planned PaMs.

Improvements in this area introduced in the third BR are based on new quantified information on existing measures. Some measures were similar in BR2 and BR3, but their projected effects are different. It is caused by new inputs of projected macro economical and sectoral trends, and others parameters in the models and calculations of emission projections.

-----

Question by China at Sunday, 30 September 2018

Category: Assumptions, conditions and methodologies related to the attainment of its quantified economy-wide emission reduction target

Type: Before 30 September

Title: enhanced ambition

According to Decision 1/CP.19, developed country Parties are urged to periodically evaluate the continuing application of any conditions associated with quantified economy-wide emission reduction target. As a member state of the European Union, which committed a conditional 2020 target, how did/will Slovenia implement this specific resolve of Warsaw decision?

[Answer by Slovakia](#), Thursday, 08 November 2018

In addition to its unilateral 20% reduction commitment, the EU made a conditional offer to move to a 30% reduction by 2020 compared to 1990 levels, as part of a global and comprehensive agreement for the period beyond 2012, provided that other developed countries commit themselves to comparable emission reductions and developing countries contribute adequately according to their responsibilities and respective capabilities.

While the conditions for the EU to move to a 30% reduction by 2020 compared to 1990 levels have not been met, the EU remains on track to reach its commitment for the Kyoto Protocol second commitment period and target of reducing GHG emissions by 20% from 1990 levels by 2020.

In 2017, EU GHG emissions were down by 21,9%, according to preliminary data (covering emissions from international aviation, but not emissions and removals from land use, land use change and forestry (LULUCF)). According to latest projections, the domestic greenhouse gas reductions in 2020 would be around 26% with existing measures and without the use of international credits (JI and CDM).

-----

**Session SBI49 (2018)**  
Session closes at 30-11-2018  
UNFCCC - LAST PAGE OF EXPORT