

## DRAFT TEXT

on

### SBI 62 agenda item 10 SBSTA 62 agenda item 10

### Sharm el-Sheikh joint work on implementation of climate action on agriculture and food security

Version 21/6/2025 22:00

---

[

1. The Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice (SBSTA) and the Subsidiary Body for Implementation (SBI) continued consideration of the Sharm el-Sheikh joint work on implementation of climate action on agriculture and food security.<sup>1</sup>
2. The SBSTA and the SBI welcomed the first annual synthesis report on work undertaken by constituted bodies and financial and other entities under the Convention, as well as by relevant international organizations, on activities related to the Sharm el-Sheikh joint work.<sup>2</sup> They noted that the reporting period starts from 2013 in order for the synthesis to encompass activities related to implementing the outcomes of the Koronivia joint work on agriculture and previous activities addressing issues related to agriculture.<sup>3</sup>
3. The SBSTA and the SBI noted that the report referred to in paragraph 2 above does not provide clear and specific information on how constituted bodies and financial and other entities under the Convention, as well as relevant international organizations, have taken into account decision 3/CP.27.
4. The SBSTA and the SBI recalled the invitation to relevant international organizations to submit information on their activities related to the joint work via the Sharm el-Sheikh online portal<sup>4</sup> by 31 December and the request to the secretariat to prepare the annual synthesis report referred to in paragraph 15(a) of decision 3/CP.27 taking into account that information.<sup>5</sup>
5. The SBSTA and the SBI requested the secretariat to invite the constituted bodies and financial and other entities under the Convention to submit information to the secretariat, as well as to invite relevant international organizations, representatives of initiatives of Presidencies of the Conference of the Parties and the high-level champions, to submit information via the Sharm el-Sheikh online portal by 31 December 2025 on activities related to the Sharm el-Sheikh joint work taking into account the guiding questions in annex I, as well as information on how the objectives of the Sharm el-Sheikh joint work<sup>6</sup> have informed or been considered in their work.
6. The SBSTA and the SBI requested the secretariat to include in the annual synthesis report referred to in paragraph 4 above information relevant to the Sharm el-Sheikh joint work contained in the submissions to the Sharm el-Sheikh online portal.
7. [The SBSTA and the SBI also requested the secretariat to take the following elements into considering for the preparation of the synthesis report: financial flows, barriers to access climate finance, in particular for small-scale farmers and vulnerable groups.]
8. The SBSTA and the SBI welcomed the secretariat's organization of the in-session workshop held at these sessions<sup>7</sup> on systemic and holistic approaches to implementing climate action on agriculture, food systems<sup>8</sup> and food security, understanding, cooperation

---

<sup>1</sup> See decision 3/CP.27.

<sup>2</sup> FCCC/SB/2025/4, prepared in accordance with decision 3/CP.27, para. 15(a).

<sup>3</sup> In accordance with documents FCCC/SBSTA/2024/7, para. 111, and FCCC/SBI/2024/13, para. 58.

<sup>4</sup> <https://unfccc.int/topics/land-use/workstreams/agriculture/sharm-el-sheikh-online-portal>.

<sup>5</sup> FCCC/SBSTA/2024/7, para. 111, and FCCC/SBI/2024/13, para. 58.

<sup>6</sup> Set out in decision 3/CP.27, para. 14.

<sup>7</sup> In accordance with decision 3/CP.27, para. 15(b), and documents FCCC/SBSTA/2024/7, para. 112, and FCCC/SBI/2024/13, para. 59. The workshop took place in hybrid format to facilitate both virtual and in-person participation.

<sup>8</sup> This does not preclude other approaches.

---

and integration into plans. They also welcomed the submissions from Parties and observers as input to the workshop.<sup>9</sup> They noted that the report on the workshop will be prepared for consideration at SB 63 (November 2025).<sup>10</sup>

9. The SBSTA and the SBI welcomed the keynote speeches and presentations<sup>11</sup> that took place, and the views expressed by observer organizations, financial entities and constituted bodies, during the workshop referred to in paragraph 8 above, as well as that the workshop presented an opportunity for participants to exchange information pertaining to the Sharm el-Sheikh joint work, while noting that the duration of the workshop and the time allocated for presentations by Parties was insufficient to allow for a meaningful exchange of views among participants.

10. The SBSTA and the SBI requested the secretariat to ensure that sufficient time is allocated to the exchange of views and interactions among participants in the future in-session workshop under the Sharm el-Sheikh joint work.<sup>12</sup>

11. The SBSTA and the SBI noted with concern the low participation of international organizations in the workshop referred to in paragraph 8 above and recalled the invitation<sup>13</sup> for relevant international organizations to participate in in-session workshops under the Sharm el-Sheikh joint work.

12. The SBSTA and the SBI requested the secretariat to design the second workshop in a more interactive way including world café like in the first technical dialogue of the global stocktake and break-out session and invite observers to facilitate those discussions. The SBSTA and the SBI requested the secretariat to prepare a concept note and guiding questions pertaining to the workshop under the Sharm el-Sheikh joint work to be held at SB 64 (June 2026) for consideration at SB 63.

13. The SBSTA and the SBI reiterated the request<sup>14</sup> to the secretariat to dedicate time during in-session workshops under the Sharm el-Sheikh joint work to coordinate in relation to the joint work. They requested the secretariat to structure the time dedicated to coordination of the workshop referred to in paragraph 12 above considering the guiding questions in annex II.

14. The SBSTA and the SBI invited the Standing Committee on Finance to provide an oral report on the outcomes of the 2025 SCF Forum at SB 63.

15. The SBSTA and the SBI welcomed with appreciation the financial contributions of the Governments of Australia and Germany that enabled the preparation of the report referred to in paragraph 2 above and the organization of the workshop referred to in paragraph 8 above.

16. The SBSTA and the SBI also welcomed the template for submissions to the Sharm el-Sheikh online portal developed by the secretariat<sup>15</sup> and the further development of the online portal by the secretariat to enable submissions to be further categorized by project, initiative or policy.<sup>16</sup> They recalled their request to the secretariat<sup>17</sup> to further develop the structure, functionalities and accessibility of the online portal taking into account the key points from Parties' presentations and discussions on this matter at SB 61.<sup>18</sup>

---

<sup>9</sup> Invited in documents FCCC/SBSTA/2024/7, para. 114, and FCCC/SBI/2024/13, para. 61. The submissions are available at <https://www4.unfccc.int/sites/submissionsstaging/Pages/Home.aspx> (in the search field, type "agriculture, food").

<sup>10</sup> In accordance with documents FCCC/SBSTA/2024/7, para. 113, and FCCC/SBI/2024/13, para. 60.

<sup>11</sup> Available at <https://unfccc.int/event/workshop-on-systemic-and-holistic-approaches-to-implementation-of-climate-action-on-agriculture-food>.

<sup>12</sup> As referred to in decision 3/CP.27, para. 15(b), and documents FCCC/SBSTA/2024/7, para. 112, and FCCC/SBI/2024/13, para. 59.

<sup>13</sup> As referred to in decision 3/CP.27, para. 15(b).

<sup>14</sup> FCCC/SBSTA/2024/7, para. 109, and FCCC/SBI/2024/13, para. 56.

<sup>15</sup> Available at <https://unfccc.int/documents/647124>, as requested in documents FCCC/SBSTA/2024/10, para. 64, and FCCC/SBI/2024/25, para. 68.

<sup>16</sup> As requested in documents FCCC/SBSTA/2024/10, para. 63, and FCCC/SBI/2024/25, para. 67.

<sup>17</sup> FCCC/SBSTA/2024/10, para. 65, and FCCC/SBI/2024/25, para. 69.

<sup>18</sup> Captured in annexes I–II to documents FCCC/SBSTA/2024/10 and FCCC/SBI/2024/25.

- 
17. The SBSTA and the SBI requested the secretariat to provide an update at SB64 on the usage and traffic to the Sharm el-Sheikh online portal to monitor its use.
18. The SBSTA and the SBI invited Parties and relevant international organizations to provide financial support for the implementation of the Sharm el-Sheikh joint work.
19. The SBSTA and the SBI invited Parties, United Nations organizations and other relevant institutions, agencies and entities, the research community, the private sector, civil society and farmers organizations, among others and as appropriate, to submit via the Sharm el-Sheikh online portal information on their activities aimed at strengthening cooperation, collaboration and partnerships in relation to the Sharm el-Sheikh joint work.<sup>19</sup>
20. The SBSTA and the SBI agreed to continue consideration of this matter at SB 63.
21. The SBSTA and the SBI took note of the estimated budgetary implications of the activities to be undertaken by the secretariat referred to in paragraph[s] [X] above.
22. They requested that the actions of the secretariat called for in these conclusions be undertaken subject to the availability of financial resources.

---

<sup>19</sup> See decision 3/CP.27, para. 19.

### **Guiding questions for the annual synthesis report on work on activities related to the Sharm el-Sheikh joint work on implementation of climate action on agriculture and food security**

1. Describe your activities relevant to implementation of climate action in agriculture and food security.
2. How have the outcomes of the Koronivia joint work on agriculture and previous activities addressing issues related to agriculture been implemented and considered in current support for agriculture, including the regional focus, thematic areas and resulting impacts of XX?
3. How does your work consider or relate to the outcomes of KJWA and prior related activities and the objectives of SJWA?
4. What are the financial flows for agriculture and food security, including allocations for technology development and transfer, and capacity-building?
5. What challenges and barriers are limiting access to, approval of, or disbursement of support for finance, technology development and transfer, and capacity-building in agriculture and food security, particularly for vulnerable groups, including small-scale farmers, Indigenous Peoples, local communities, women, youth and children, and what measures have been taken address these challenges?
6. How can submissions made on the projects, initiatives and policies to the Sharm el Sheikh online portal be used to enhance access to finance, technology development and transfer and capacity building for agriculture and food security?

---

## **Annex II**

### **Guiding questions for the coordination sessions**

1. What are the key takeaways for implementation of the best practices and the specific actions identified in the Sharm el-Sheikh joint work on implementation of climate action on agriculture and food security and workshop topics?
2. What are the potential modalities for interlinkages, synergies, collaborations and partnerships to effectively implement the actions, measures and pledges to support the identified actions, measures and needs?

]

---