Session 3: Planning and Financing Climate Change Actions for Sustainable Cities

De-risking: An Enabler for Unlocking Climate Finance

2019 Forum of Standing Committee on Finance

"Climate Finance and Sustainable Cities"

Thursday September 12, 2019

Vahakn Kabakian

Climate Change Advisor/Portfolio Manager

Ministry of Environment

Lebanon



DREI - Derisking Renewable Energy Investment

Methodology and importance – global view Results from the DREI Lebanon work

- DREI Derisking Renewable Energy Investment is at the heart of economic development
 - →capacity building towards policies in favor of clean technologies
 - \rightarrow enabling cost-effective selection of public measures
 - \rightarrow improving the climate finance readiness of governments, including local authorities
 - →leveraging public funds through private sector engagement
 - \rightarrow more reliable, affordable and clean power for citizens



Derisking Renewable Energy Investment The issue with RE investments





→ For RE investments: More up-front finance is needed (less cash-flow finance)
 → Risks and the associated costs of finance matter much more

Derisking Renewable Energy Investment The issue with RE investments (cont.)

- The **objective**: to make RE investment cost competitive with the business-as-usual investment, typically fossil-fuel based energy
 - The challenge: the high financing cost (cost of capital) in developing countries
 - A project's specific risks drive the cost of capital:





DREI's **Theory of Change**: policymakers to derisk as much as possible, before paying for the remaining incremental costs via incentive mechanisms

Derisking Renewable Energy Investment The issue with RE investments (cont.)





The question: What is the most effective public instrument package?





Derisking Renewable Energy Investment DREI's approach: 1) Quantify the risk environment

- Reach out to investors active in the target country and perform structured interviews
- Aggregate perceived risk environment into Financing Cost Waterfalls





Derisking Renewable Energy Investment

DREI's approach: 2.1) Select public instrument package





Derisking Renewable Energy Investment DREI's approach: 2.2) Quantify instruments' effectiveness

- (Reach out to investors active in the target country and perform structured interviews)
- Aggregate perceived effectiveness of public instrument package into Post-Derisking Waterfalls

6.6%

Post-derisking CoD

Ourrency Risk

Political Risk



Financing cost waterfalls



Best in Class developed country

Pre derisking

Post derisking

Public Instruments

Risk Category	Policy Derisking Instruments	Financial Derisking	
		Instruments	
Power Market Risk	 Long-term, legally-binding RE targets Enabling regulatory framework FIT/PPA tender (standardized PPA) Independent regulator for power sector 	NA	
Permits Risk	 Streamlined process for RE permits Contract enforcement, recourse mechanisms 	NA	
Social Accep-tance Risk	Awareness-raising campaignsStakeholder outreach	NA	
Developer Risk	 Capacity building for resource assessment Technology and O&M assistance 	NA	
Grid/Trans-mission Risk	 Strengthen EDL's grid management capacity Transparent, up-to-date grid code Policy support for grid infrastructure development 	• Take-or-pay clause in PPA	
Counterparty Risk	 Strengthen EDL's management and operational performance 	 Government guarantee for PPA payments Concessional public loans to IPPs 	
Financial Sector Risk	 Fostering financial sector reform towards green infrastructure investment Strengthening financial sector's familiarity with renewable energy and project finance 	• Concessional public loans to IPPs	
Political Risk	NA	 Political risk insurance for equity investments 	
• Currency/Macro- economic Risk	NA	NA	



Derisking Renewable Energy Investment DREI's approach: 3) Levelised cost

• Perform LCOE modelling under transparent set of assumptions, incl. about RE target, operating parameters, country specifications, etc.





Public Instruments and LCOE Modeling

Risk Category	Policy Derisking Instruments	Financial Derisking Instruments	
Power Market Risk	 Long-term, legally-binding RE targets Enabling regulatory framework FIT/PPA tender (standardized PPA) Independent regulator for power sector 	NA	
Permits Risk	 Streamlined process for RE permits Contract enforcement, recourse mechanisms 	NA	
Social Accep-tance Risk	Awareness-raising campaignsStakeholder outreach	NA	
Developer Risk	 Capacity building for resource assessment Technology and O&M assistance 	NA	
Grid/Trans-mission Risk	 Strengthen EDL's grid management capacity Transparent, up-to-date grid code Policy support for grid infrastructure development 	 Take-or-pay clause in PPA 	
Counterparty Risk	 Strengthen EDL's management and operational performance 	 Government guarantee for PPA payments Concessional public loans to IPPs 	
Financial Sector Risk	 Fostering financial sector reform towards green infrastructure investment Strengthening financial sector's familiarity with renewable energy and project finance 	 Concessional public loans to IPPs 	
Political Risk	NA	 Political risk insurance for equity investments 	
Currency/Macro- economic Risk	NA	NA	



- Theory of change:Policymakers to derisk as much as
possible, before paying for the remaining
incremental costs.
 - The question: What is the most efficient public instrument package?

Key performance metrics



Source: DREI Lebanon





Theory of change: Policymakers to derisk as much as possible, before paying for the remaining incremental costs.
 The question: What is the most efficient public instrument package?



Derisking Renewable Energy Investment DREI's approach: 4) Evaluation

Use DREI's financial modelling tools to evaluate four key performance metrics

How does the deployment of the selected public instrument package

- 1. ... catalyse **private sector investment**?
- 2. ... generate economy-wide savings?
- 3. ... increase the **affordability** of RE for end-users?
- 4. ... benefit the **environment**?
- On top: Perform **sensitivity analyses** on key inputs and assumptions
 - to explore robustness of the modelling exercise
 - to explore scenarios, e.g. alternative sets of public instruments



Key performance metrics



DREI Lebanon study Foreshadowing some results

	Wind	PV	Total
2030 Targets (MW)	450	300	750
Cost of Public Instruments (USD)	98 million	46 million	144 million
Private sector investment (USD)	635 million	279 million	914 million
Economy-wide savings (USD 20 yrs)	- 221 million	- 97 million	- 318 million
Affordability (LCOE) US¢/kWh	From 11.4 to 9.4	From 10 to 8.2	
CO ₂ (million t 20 yrs)	- 10	- 5.2	-15.2





Empowered lives. Resilient nations.

www.undp.org/DREI







Renewable Energy





CONTACT:

UNDP DREI New York:

Oliver Waissbein

European Commission

Leader UNDP DREI work

UNDP Bureau for Policy and Programme Support 304 E 45th Street, FF-924 New York, NY 10017, USA

Phone: +1 212 906 3637 oliver.waissbein@undp.org

MoE Lebanon:

Vahakn Kabakian Climate Change Advisor/portfolio manager

Ministry of Environment Beirut Central District, Lazarieh Center PO Box 11-2727, Beirut, Lebanon

Phone: +961 1 97 65 55 ext. 475 vahakn@moe.gov.lb



	Sustainable use of resources	Nationally		
		Bus Rapid Transit	Determined Contribution	
Political Ris	k Clean	Capital Expenditure	Susta	ainable agriculture
	industrializ	ation Paris	. Waste stra	tegy
Public transport	Sustainable cities	Agreemer	nt	
		Combatting	Sola	r power
Wind power	Job creation	climate change	Greenhouse g emission reduc	gas ction
Derisking	Climate Finance	Decoupled	economic	Sustainable mobility
	1.5 degree	goal grow	<i>r</i> th	
		Climate leadership		dership
Reforestation afforestation	nd	Fuel-efficient fleet		Counterparty
	Energy efficien	cy Private sector	Local authorities	risk
Integrated Solid				
Waste I	Management			