



CALL FOR INPUT

Name of submitter	Carmen Alvarez Campo
Affiliated organization of submitter (if any)	Sylvera
Email of submitter	carmen.alvarez@sylvera.io
Date of submission	24 September 2025

Instruction: **Enter your input in the table below.** Stakeholders **must** submit their comments by the established deadline and strictly use this commenting template to ensure their input is duly considered. The use of AI-generated content is **prohibited**, as such submissions frequently lack relevance and fail to address the specific issues presented in the published documents.

Document reference number and title: A6.4-MEP008-A03. Draft Standard: Addressing non-permanence and reversals (version 02.2)				
Item	Section no. (as indicated in the document)	Paragraph/Table/Figure no. (as indicated in the document)	Comment (including justification for change)	Proposed change (including proposed text)
1	Cover Note, Section 3.2	Paragraph 17(c)	The most relevant part of this standard is the length of the post-crediting monitoring period. For this reason, details on remediation measures for shortening the period—and how practical those conditions are—will be key to reaching an agreement.	Moving forward with an agreement requires defining the remedial actions. While the full details don't have to be completely worked out, there is currently no indication of what these actions will entail. Agreeing to the standard at this point would be a blind acceptance.
2	Cover Note, Section 3.4.1	Paragraph 24	The suggested definition of "negligible risk of reversals" is too limiting for certain activity types and needs a more robust foundation. The current range of 0.5-2.5 appears arbitrary and lacks a clear, evidence-based justification.	We recommend further exploration to determine if this range is applicable in reality across diverse project types, and to develop a more inclusive definition that is both grounded in data and adaptable to a wider range of activities. Rather than a one-size-fits-all approach to reversal risk, the definition could be tailored to each activity's nature. This allows for a stricter definition where reversal risk can be effectively mitigated, acknowledging that some activities have inherent characteristics that reduce this risk.

-- (Please add rows as required) -