



CALL FOR INPUT

<i>Name of submitter</i>	Eliana Ester Saissac. Director for Environmental Affairs - Argentina's National Focal Point for UNFCCC
<i>Affiliated organization of submitter (if any)</i>	Direction for Environmental Affairs, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Int. Trade and Worship
<i>Email of submitter</i>	digma@mrecic.gov.ar
<i>Date of submission</i>	24 September 2025

Instruction: **Enter your input in the table below.** Stakeholders **must** submit their comments by the established deadline and strictly use this commenting template to ensure their input is duly considered. The use of AI-generated content is **prohibited**, as such submissions frequently lack relevance and fail to address the specific issues presented in the published documents.

Document reference number and title: **A6.4-MEP008-A03. Draft Standard: Addressing non-permanence and reversals (version 02.2)**

Item	Section no. (as indicated in the document)	Paragraph/Table/Figure no. (as indicated in the document)	Comment (including justification for change)	Proposed change (including proposed text)
------	---	--	---	--

Item	Section no. (as indicated in the document)	Paragraph/Table/Figure no. (as indicated in the document)	Comment (including justification for change)	Proposed change (including proposed text)
1	Overall review process	n/a	The Argentine Republic welcomes the call for consultation and inputs on the Draft Standard: Addressing non-permanence and reversals. Nevertheless, it should be noted that the allocated consultation period is insufficient to conduct a detailed analysis of such a complex document, which also has significant implications for the implementation of Article 6.4 of the Paris Agreement. The aforementioned time limit, and the need for professional and technical human resources that countries, especially developing countries, must allocate, and the technical complexity of such a review, makes it necessary to request the Supervisory Body to hold dialogues and workshops including Parties and stakeholders to generate capacity for a thorough understanding of the main obligations that would arise from the approval of the document, as well as to provide the opportunity to present alternative proposals, if appropriate. In particular, it is in the interest of the country that issues related to the post-crediting monitoring period, the definition of negligible risk of reversal and the implications this could have for nature-based projects and REDD+ are reviewed and reassessed. To this end, it is requested that at least two additional calls for consultation be held to conduct a thorough review of the document, allocating additional time for this purpose.	
2				
3				
4				
5				

-- *(Please add rows as required)* --