

**Name of submitter:** Diego de Paula Toledo; Fernando Ravanini Gardon;  
Demetrius Lira Martins

**Affiliated organization of the submitter (if any):** re.green

**Contact email of submitter:** [REDACTED]

**Date:** 29 September 2023

**Legend for Columns**

- 0** = Main document or Appendix (provide Appendix number)
- 1** = Section Number in the document or Appendix
- 2** = Paragraph, table or figure number
- 3** = Nature of input is general, technical or editorial
- 4** = Comment – the actual feedback or observation, including justification for what needs changing
- 5** = Proposed change – suggest the text if possible
- 6** = Assessment of comment – secretariat to document response/action taken to comment

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
M or A#	Section no.	Para., table or figure no.	Type of input G = general T = technical E = editorial	Comment	Proposed change (Include proposed text)	Assessment of comment (Completed by secretariat)
M	6.2	12 – (d)	G	Exclude item (d). The project type may not be part of the activities indicated by the host Party, but should continue to be eligible according to UNFCCC project types. Therefore, the projects should be acceptable independently of the activities indicated by the host Party.	Exclude item (d)	
M	6.2	12	T	Include information about AR projects in item (f). The CDM-AR-PDD-FORM should be used as reference of the technologies/measures to be employed and/or implemented by the project.	(a) A list of the vegetation species and varieties selected for the project activity; (b) Planting density/spacing; (c) Nursery activities (e.g. establishment of nursery infrastructure, raising of seedlings); (d) Site preparation activities (e.g. soil working/tillage, soil/moisture conservation structures, fencing for protection); (e) Plantation management activities (e.g. fertilization, weeding, pest management, fire management, thinning, harvesting); (f) Year-wise areas to be planted; (g) Expected plant survival rates; (h) A list of the facilities, systems and equipment that will be installed and/or modified by the project activity, where applicable; (i) The arrangement of the facilities, systems and equipment, where applicable.	

Call for public input – Template for input				<a href="#">Draft Standard: Article 6.4 mechanism activity standard for projects (ver. 03.0)</a>		
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
M or A#	Section no.	Para., table or figure no.	Type of input G = general T = technical E = editorial	Comment	Proposed change (Include proposed text)	Assessment of comment (Completed by secretariat)
M	6.3	16	T	We suggest the use of Option 2		
M	6.3	17	T	In item (b), (i) include a mention for “two years” for AR projects. The AR projects may take more time for request registration	If the crediting period is renewable, the request for registration shall be submitted by the validating DOE within one year of the end date of the crediting period valid for the CP at the time of the exclusion, noting that missing the deadline no longer allows the submission of the request for registration. For AR projects, the request for registration shall be submitted by the validating DOE within two years of the end date of the crediting period valid for the CP at the time of the exclusion;	
M	6.5.3	38	T	Exclude the paragraph 38. It's difficult to determine when a project activity will be considered a specific contribution to an NDC. Including the NDC in the baseline scenario may disincentivize project developers, as their activities may have a higher baseline due to the host country's ambition. Furthermore, an NDC may encounter enforcement challenges in the future. Consequently, considering hypothetical future NDC scenarios in the baseline may affect the project's feasibility	Exclude the paragraph 38.	
M	6.5.4	41	T	Consider to be addition the activities that are required by law or regulations when that law mentions that payment for environment services, including carbon credits, may be used to contribute to the law enforcement.	Additionality shall be demonstrated using a robust assessment that shows the project would not have occurred in the absence of the incentives from the mechanism, taking into account all relevant national policies, including legislation, and representing mitigation that exceeds any mitigation that is required by law or regulation, and taking a conservative approach that avoids locking in levels of emissions, technologies or carbon-intensive practices incompatible with paragraph 33 of the RMPs. When the law or regulation mentions the use of environmental service payments, the project activity should be considered additional.	
M	6.5.6	47	G	The parameters fixed ex-ante should have the option to be available at first verification. Some fixed parameters may be defined to considering the availability of been monitoring or not. So the final definition should have more time to be analysed by the project developer.	The activity participants shall, in accordance with the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the other applied methodological regulatory documents, provide the data and parameters that will not be monitored but are determined before the registration of the proposed A6.4 project and remain fixed throughout the first crediting period. These data and parameters shall be available at the time of the validation of the project for registration or at first verification of the project.	

Call for public input – Template for input				<a href="#">Draft Standard: Article 6.4 mechanism activity standard for projects (ver. 03.0)</a>		
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
M or A#	Section no.	Para., table or figure no.	Type of input G = general T = technical E = editorial	Comment	Proposed change (Include proposed text)	Assessment of comment (Completed by secretariat)
M	6.5.7	54 - (b)	T	Kept and archive all data monitored during the crediting period should be unfeasible for AR projects because they may have 45 years of duration. So, we suggested to kept and archived the data monitored for 5 years after the verification of the data.	Provisions to ensure that data monitored and required for verification of GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals and issuance of A6.4ERs are kept and archived for at least five years after the verification of the data.	
M	6.9	66	G	We suggest the use of Option 2		
M	7.3.5	83	G	We suggest the use of Option 2		