

Name of submitter: IMPERATIVE GLOBAL SOLUTIONS

Affiliated organization of the submitter (if any): IMPERATIVE GLOBAL SOLUTIONS

Contact email of submitter: projects@imperativeinc.com

Date: 25/09/2023

Legend for Columns

- 0** = Main document or Appendix (provide Appendix number)
- 1** = Section Number in the document or Appendix
- 2** = Paragraph, table or figure number
- 3** = Nature of input is general, technical or editorial
- 4** = Comment – the actual feedback or observation, including justification for what needs changing
- 5** = Proposed change – suggest the text if possible
- 6** = Assessment of comment – secretariat to document response/action taken to comment

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
M or A#	Section no.	Para., table or figure no.	Type of input G = general T = technical E = editorial	Comment	Proposed change (Include proposed text)	Assessment of comment (Completed by secretariat)
	6.3	16	G	Due to the delayed implementation and uncertainty regarding the full operationalisation of the Article 6.4 mechanism, project developers are left with a time gap between the CDM and the new 6.4 mechanism. Excluding projects that have pursued, or registered, under other crediting programmes during this gap, would potentially limit the effectiveness and uptake of the new 6.4 mechanism once operational.	Adopt Option 2, deleting 15 (a) and (b) as well as 16. (a) to clarify and streamline the process.	
	6.4	23	T	A lot of methodologies exist in the carbon market and have been developed by well know standards such as Goldstandard or Verra. The Supervision Body should consider the inclusion of those methodologies in the list of approved method		
	6.6	59	T	For projects fighting against deforestation and degradation (REDD+), how do you expect to manage the permanence of the reduction over 100 years if the maximum crediting period is 15 years?		

Call for public input – Template for input				Draft Standard: Article 6.4 mechanism activity standard for projects (ver. 03.0)		
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
M or A#	Section no.	Para., table or figure no.	Type of input G = general T = technical E = editorial	Comment	Proposed change (Include proposed text)	Assessment of comment (Completed by secretariat)