

**From:** Tim Isaksson <timisaksson@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Monday, 22 May, 2023 11:00

**To:** Supervisory-Body <Supervisory-Body@unfccc.int>

**Subject:** Input to SB005 annotated agenda and related annexes

Dear Supervisory Body for Article 6.4 of the Paris Agreement,

It has come to my attention that the UNFCCC secretariat's most recent information note pertaining to your work treats engineered carbon removal methods in what seems to be an unbalanced and incomplete way. As a concerned world citizen as well as climate strategist, I am convinced by the data – especially the IPCC AR6 WG3 report – that such methods need to be fully part of any framework for mitigation going forward, so as to ensure that we do not leave out valuable tools for stabilizing our climate. And, of course, to create safeguards that work to make their use as safe and equitable as possible.

For more information, I would like to point you to this blog post by the CDR industry insider Eva Tamme, who is a CDR policy expert both within the UN sphere and the EU context. <https://evetamme.com/2023/05/21/challenges-for-carbon-removal-under-the-un-standard/>

Thank you for your important work  
/Tim Isaksson, Malmö, Sweden