

Paris Committee on Capacity-building (PCCB) Call for submissions from Parties and non-Party stakeholders:

'Experience, best practices and lessons learned related to improving coherence and coordination of capacity-building efforts'

Background

The PCCB aims to address gaps and needs, both current and emerging, in implementing capacity-building in developing country Parties and further enhance capacity-building efforts. Current priority areas are:

- a) Enhancing coherence and coordination of capacity-building under the Convention;
- b) Identifying capacity gaps and needs, both current and emerging, and recommending ways to address them;
- c) Promoting awareness-raising, knowledge- and information-sharing and stakeholder engagement.

To learn more about the work of the PCCB, you can access its annual reports and other documents [here](#).

As part of its continuing efforts to respond to these priorities, the PCCB determined in its [2021-2024 workplan](#), to make a call for submissions from Parties and non-Party stakeholders on:

Experience, best practices and lessons learned related to improving coherence and coordination of capacity-building efforts.

Further information on the submission topic is provided below.

Who can submit?

The call is open to all UNFCCC Parties and non-Party stakeholders, such as public and private sector entities, government and non-government organizations, philanthropic organizations, academic and research organizations, international and regional organizations or initiatives.

This call for submissions primarily aims to gather information on capacity-building-related coherence and coordination efforts of bodies and under processes outside the Convention and the Paris Agreement, including, but not limited to, efforts of government agencies, civil society organizations, development cooperation agencies, United Nations agencies and international organizations, private sector organizations, universities and research institutions.

How will the inputs be used?

The inputs will feed into upcoming deliverables under Activity A.2 of the 2021-2024 PCCB workplan, including a synthesis report and recommendations to the COP and CMA on how to improve coherence and coordination of capacity-building and avoid duplication of efforts.

Submissions form

We thank you in advance for filling out this template with concise, evidence-based information and for referencing all relevant sources. There are 2 sections in this template:

- *Details about your organization*
- *Guiding questions about improving coherence and coordination of capacity-building efforts*

Further information:

You are welcome to provide any other information that your organization thinks would highlight suggestions made in response to this call for submissions.

Address for submission: pccb@unfccc.int

Deadline for submissions: 31 October 2022

Please only fill out sections that are relevant to the work of your organization. Please note that no section is mandatory.

Organization or entity name:

UNDRR – United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Redcution (Global Education & Training Institute)

Type of organization:

Please choose as appropriate:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Intergovernmental organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Development bank / financial institution |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UN and affiliated organization | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-governmental organization |
| <input type="checkbox"/> International network, coalition, or initiative | <input type="checkbox"/> Research organization |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Regional network, coalition, or initiative | <input type="checkbox"/> University/education/training organization |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Public sector entity | <input type="checkbox"/> Private sector entity |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Development agency | <input type="checkbox"/> Philanthropic organization |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Please specify) _____ |

Organization Location

City: Incheon

Country:South Korea

Scale of operation:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Regional |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local | <input type="checkbox"/> Subregional |
| <input type="checkbox"/> National | <input type="checkbox"/> Transboundary |

City(ies)/Country(ies) of operation (if appropriate):

Click or tap here to enter text.

The submissions topic

Enhancing coherence and coordination of capacity-building has always been a key element of the mandate of the PCCB. The PCCB began its work thereon in 2017 by establishing a dedicated working group, followed by a range of activities, including publishing a technical paper in 2019, hosting annual round tables and establishing the PCCB Network and the informal coordination group for capacity-building under the Convention and the Paris Agreement (ICG) in 2020 and 2021, respectively.

Through the ICG, the PCCB regularly interacts with representatives of the UNFCCC constituted bodies, operating entities of the Financial Mechanism and relevant processes under the Convention and the Paris Agreement to coordinate climate change related capacity-building plans and activities, to foster better sharing of information and greater coherence, and to seek recommendations related to enhancing coherence and coordination of capacity-building efforts under the Convention and the Paris Agreement.

In order to complement and expand on this work, this call for submissions primarily aims to gather information on capacity-building-related coherence and coordination efforts of bodies and under processes outside the Convention and the Paris Agreement, including, but not limited to, efforts of government agencies, civil society organizations, development cooperation agencies, United Nations agencies and international organizations, private sector organizations, universities and research institutions.

Of particular interest to the PCCB would be information related to the following aspects:

<p>a) For enhancing coherence and coordination of climate-related capacity-building efforts in developing countries , please give good examples of effective existing policies, arrangements (e.g. legal, institutional, operational, financial), processes, guidance, actions or tools</p> <p><i>Relevant transferable examples related to coherence and coordination of non-climate-related capacity-building efforts are also welcome to capture good practices and lessons learned from other areas of sustainable development.</i></p>
<p><i>i. at the international level</i></p> <p>We provide training to national and local governments on development which integrates disaster and climate risk reduction</p>
<p><i>ii. at the regional level</i></p> <p>Click or tap here to enter text.</p>
<p><i>iii. at the national level</i></p> <p>We provide training to national governments on development of plans and strategies which integrate disaster and climate risk reduction</p>
<p><i>iv. at the subnational level</i></p> <p>We provide training to local governments on development of action plans which integrate disaster and climate risk reduction using the Scorecard and the MCR2030 initiative.</p>
<p>b) For the examples provided under (a)</p>
<p><i>i. Please explain in concrete terms how greater coherence and coordination of the capacity-building effort(s) was achieved:</i></p> <p><i>The tools used in the training include the Disaster Resilience Scorecard for Cities, which promotes a multi sectoral and multi stakeholder approach, and advocates for comprehensive risk approach which covers</i></p>

all risks including climate risk, and addresses systemi risks as well. <https://mcr2030.undrr.org/disaster-resilience-scorecard-cities>

ii. What was the impact of the coherence and coordination improvements on the outcome and sustainability of the respective capacity-building intervention(s)?:

The local governments, and national governments developed coherent risk reduction strategies, aiming for reducing disaster risks and promoting climate adaptation.

c) Based on relevant practical experiences at the international, regional, national or subnational level, how do coordination- and coherence-related arrangements, mechanisms, platforms or tools need to be designed and set up, to ensure that:

- i. Local and national priorities and long-term climate and development strategies are adhered to in capacity-building efforts;
- ii. Financial and human resources available for capacity-building efforts are used more efficiently;
- iii. Systematic monitoring of progress, effectiveness and impact of capacity-building efforts is possible;
- iv. All stakeholders, including vulnerable and underrepresented groups, are well informed and meaningfully engaged in capacity-building efforts;
- v. Cross-cutting issues, such as gender responsiveness, human rights, indigenous peoples' knowledge and practices or youth-related issues, are well integrated in capacity-building efforts;
- vi. Capacity-building results become more sustainable?

If applicable, indicate the area(s) the response addresses (from the list above or other aspects); if possible, provide examples of coordination arrangements/mechanisms/platforms/tools that have achieved some or all of the above.

The MCR2030 (Making Cities Resilient 2030) initiative is supported by all key actors in the field of urban resilience. Today the initiative covers 1,353 cities, with a population of over 380 million, and over 280 partners which offer their services on disaster and climate risk reduction to the cities. <https://mcr2030.undrr.org/>

d) To further enhance the coherence and coordination of capacity-building efforts

i. What gaps need to be filled?

All capacity building actions should have government ownership, and commitment to ensure follow up.

ii. What actions need to be taken by capacity-building recipients and providers, respectively?

Engage with the government before starting, get their commitment, plan for follow up

e) What are useful sources relevant to this topic?

(e.g. webpages and portals, publications, fora, organizations working on this issue)

Strategic approach to capacity development

<https://www.undrr.org/publication/strategic-approach-capacity-development-implementation-sendai-framework-disaster-risk>