

! **A few steps before you start:**

1. Nominate a NGCCFP as facilitator for your group. They will facilitate the discussion and also provide a reportback to the larger group. They - together with the group - are responsible to ensure the Miro reflects the discussions.

2: Ensure someone takes responsibility to populate this Miro board (support rapporteur). You may consider asking Observers to support this work.

3: Consider the discussion questions below.

General guidance:

- ◆ This group work is focused on NGCCFPs, observers are asked to take a listening role and to support
- ◆ Focus on having a conversation and listening to each other
- ◆ This group work builds on previous mapping - the goal is not to compile a complete list - rather the group is asked to debate and

Group work structure:

There are two discussion tasks where you need to rank by priority using the icons below and using sticky notes for comments.

Task 1: Rank the survey activities **Task 2:** Rank the institutional elements

You will find more detailed information below.



Use this icon under the priority level when the group **converges**



Use this icon under the priority level when there is **individual preferences**.

Task 1:



Consider the key activities identified through the survey listed below. Each activity is found in the leftmost column in the below table

How core vs optional are these to the role of NGCCFP?

Rank activities in order of priority (from 1-Optional to 5-Core) using the icons on the side.

Use the column to the right to leave comments or reflections regarding why you chose this prioritization using the sticky notes.

Task 2:



Consider now the list below of key institutional elements for the role as NGCCFP.

Does the below list reflect the key institutional elements for the role?

Rank each institutional element in order of priority (from 1-Optional to 5-Core) using the icons on the side.

Use the column to the right to leave comments or reflections regarding why you chose this prioritization using the sticky notes.

Group 1 (in-person)

A few steps before you start:

- Nominate** a NGCCFP as facilitator for your group. They will facilitate the discussion and also provide a report back to the larger group. They - together with the group - are responsible to ensure the Miro reflects the discussions.
- Ensure** someone takes responsibility to populate this Miro board (support rapporteur). You may consider asking Observers to support this work.
- Consider** the discussion questions below.

General guidance:

- This group work is focused on NGCCFPs, observers are asked to take a listening role and to support
- Focus on having a conversation and listening to each other
- This group work builds on previous mapping - the goal is **not** to compile a complete list - rather the group is asked to debate and understand each other's views on what they consider core vs optional
- Documentation should focus on capturing where there is convergence or diversity in perspectives

Group work structure:

There are two discussion tasks where you need to rank by priority using the icons below and using sticky notes for comments.

Task 1: Rank the activities identified through the survey **Task 2:** Rank the institutional elements

You will find more detailed information below.

Task 1:



Consider the key activities identified through the survey listed below. Each activity is found in the leftmost column in the below table

How core vs optional are these activities to the role of NGCCFP?

Rank activities in order of priority (from 1-Optional to 5-Core) using the icons on the side. Use the column to the right to leave comments or reflections regarding why you chose this prioritization using the sticky notes.

Anything missing? You can add rows to the table by clicking to the + button on the left of each row. However please remember this exercise builds on previous mapping exercises.



Use this icon under the priority level when the group **converges on it**



Use this icon under the priority level when there is **individual preferences**.

Choose an icon from this box

Activity	1-Optional	2	3	4	5-Core	Explanatory comments
Mainstreaming gender in climate policies, strategies and action plans (including NDCs, NAPs, BTRs, among others), including with regards to the implementation of the GAP at national level						We agree that this is critical for climate policy to guarantee that gender is spread across climate policies
Cross-department/ministerial coordination/collaboration & stakeholder engagement (i.e. civil society, national and international partners, regional bodies, etc.)						
Participation in UNFCCC processes and other relevant forums on gender and climate change						
Capacity-building and knowledge exchange with ministries, civil society, and communities to raise awareness of gender-climate linkages. (i.e. organizing training sessions)						
Resource mobilization for national gender and climate change initiatives						
Monitoring and reporting on gender and climate change						
Contribution to gender-sensitive climate projects (i.e. mitigation and adaptation projects) through monitoring and reporting, gender analysis, etc.						
Communication on gender-responsive actions and plans						

Task 2:



Consider now the list below of key institutional elements for the role as NGCCFP.

Does the below list reflect the key institutional elements for the role?

Rank each institutional element in order of priority (from 1-Optional to 5-Core) using the icons on the side. Use the column to the right to leave comments or reflections regarding why you chose this prioritization using the sticky notes.

Anything missing? You can add rows to the the table by clicking to the + button on the left of each row.



Use this icon under the priority level when the group **converges on it**



Use this icon under the priority level when there is **individual preferences**.

Table 2

Activity	1-Optional	2	3	4	5-Core	Explanatory comments
Official national mandate						Should be non-prescriptive
Defined coordination function within other processes						
Access to (other) senior decision-makers						
Minimum operational resources						
Engagement in cross-sector engagement mechanisms						

Group 2 (in-person)

A few steps before you start:

- General guidance:**

- Group work structure:**

Task 1: Rank the activities identified through the survey **Task 2:** Rank the institutional elements

You will find more detailed information below.

How core vs optional are these to the role of NGCCFP?

Anything missing? You can add rows to the the table by clicking to the + button on the left of each row. However, please remember this exercise builds on previous mapping exercises.

Use this icon under the priority level when there is **individual preferences**.

Does the below list reflect the key institutional elements for the role?

Anything missing? You can add rows to the the table by clicking to the + button on the left of each row.

Use this icon under the priority level when there is **individual preferences**.

Add any additional

Choose an icon from

Table

Activity	1-Optional	2	3	4	5- Core	Explanatory comments
Official national mandate						idea of being fully committed; without an official mandate, th NGCCSP may be a diplomat wit other priorities; without the mandate, NGCCSPs may be confused about how/with wh authority they can make meetings, have resources may have different understandings of what this really means, as NGCCSPs were nominated by their Parties the mandate is official because you are recognised, recognised within government circles, some silent expectations but for other processes, role is undefined how do you occupy your space as focal point, sometimes you have to push your way (Mangun examples)
Defined coordination function within other processes						other people need to know what you are doing; minim
Access to (other) senior decision-makers						
Minimum operational resources						you need interns to help you assign emails; resources are very minimal
Engagement in cross-sector engagement mechanisms						need to have a talk with different sectors civil society invites to be a part of their fora

Are you new to Miro?
Check this video for insights on how to use it.

Group 3 (in-person)

A few steps before you start:

- 1. Nominate** a NGCCFP as facilitator for your group. They will facilitate the discussion and also provide a reportback to the larger group. They - together with the group - are responsible to ensure the Miro reflects the discussions.
- 2. Ensure** someone takes responsibility to populate this Miro board (support rapporteur). You may consider asking Observers to support this work.
- 3. Consider** the discussion questions below.

General guidance:

- This group work is focused on NGCCFPs, observers are asked to take a listening role and to support
- Focus on having a conversation and listening to each other
- This group work builds on previous mapping - the goal is not to compile a complete list - rather the group is asked to debate and understand each other's views on what they consider core vs optional
- Documentation should focus on capturing where there is convergence or diversity in perspectives

Group work structure:

There are two discussion tasks where you need to rank by priority using the icons below and using sticky notes for comments.

Task 1: Rank the activities identified through the survey **Task 2:** Rank the institutional elements

You will find more detailed information below.

Task 1:

Consider the key activities identified through the survey listed below. Each activity is found in the leftmost column in the below table

How core vs optional are these to the role of NGCCFP?

Rank activities in order of priority (from 1-Optional to 5-Core) using the icons on the side. Use the column to the right to leave comments or reflections regarding why you chose this prioritization using the sticky notes.

Anything missing? You can add rows to the table by clicking to the + button on the left of each row. However, please remember this exercise builds on previous mapping exercises.



Use this icon under the priority level when the group **converges on it**



Use this icon under the priority level when there is **individual preferences**.

Task 2:

Consider now the list below of key institutional elements for the role as NGCCFP.

Does the below list reflect the key institutional elements for the role?

Rank each institutional element in order of priority (from 1-Optional to 5-Core) using the icons on the side. Use the column to the right to leave comments or reflections regarding why you chose this prioritization using the sticky notes.

Anything missing? You can add rows to the table by clicking to the + button on the left of each row.



Use this icon under the priority level when the group **converges on it**



Use this icon under the priority level when there is **individual preferences**.

Activity	1-Optional	2	3	4	5-Core	Explanatory comments
Mainstreaming gender in climate policies, strategies and action plans (including NDCs, NAPs, BTRs, among others), including with regards to the implementation of the GAP at national level		★				Agreement - Optional. In practice it's optional but ideally it should be core. NGCCFPs could be consulted but might not necessarily have competence or capacity since it is a huge undertaking. In some countries, NGCCFPs are consulted at the final stage. Interests expressed to be engaged more but time constraints and lack of institutional support is a limiting factor. In a nutshell, good but not practical.
Cross-department/ministerial coordination/collaboration & stakeholder engagement (i.e. civil society, national and international partners, regional bodies, etc.)						Mixed responses based on current roles and scope. For some NGCCFPs, it is included in core functions (integrated on job description) and for others, it is optional.
Participation in UNFCCC processes and other relevant forums on gender and climate change				★		Agreement - It's a core. Some concerns expressed - lack of funding has limited participation of some NGCCFPs. Diverse perspectives - Participation is important but translation of these outcomes into national context is even more critical. Without active participation, effective engagement isn't possible. With 2 NGCCFP - maybe one has a national focus and does not need to cover UNFCCC as much
Capacity-building and knowledge exchange with ministries, civil society, and communities to raise awareness of gender-climate linkages. (i.e. organizing training sessions)						Divergent views. It would be great but NGCCFPs have limited capacity and resources. Ideal but perhaps not practical. If capacity building is strengthened nationally, other stakeholders can take co-ownership. For some NGCCFPs, a core function of their role is knowledge exchange but sometimes, especially in regard to civil society, the roles can be reversed (more to learn from civil society partners)
Resource mobilization for national gender and climate change initiatives						Divergent views. Perhaps NGCCFP can raise awareness with the Ministry/Department, however it is outside of the scope of FPs to raise resources. NGCCFPs can facilitate the role and relationships but the efforts must be led at the institutional level.
Monitoring and reporting on gender and climate change		★				Agreement. Since M&R is such a huge undertaking, the efforts must be led at an institutional level. The NGCCFP can be consulted on the design of the M&R. NGCCFPs can feed into the M&R system. Different countries have different contexts, for example some countries have streamlined institutional processes such as the statistics office in charge of data, including M&R and it maynot always be possible to make contributions. It is an added responsibility.
Contribution to gender-sensitive climate projects (i.e. mitigation and adaptation projects) through monitoring and reporting, gender analysis, etc						Divergent views. For some NGCCFPs, it doesn't fall within their scope of work and do not engage in projects directly. In such cases, there is usually be a dedicated team leading projects and accountable towards it. In some cases, it is desirable to make contributions example with the GCF projects. Different country and contextual experiences and relevance. In some cases, each ministry has their gender focal point who ensures gender mainstreaming within their respective ministries/departments. The roles and scope differ, could be a coordinating role sometimes whileas mainstreaming in other cases.
Communication on gender-responsive actions and plans		★				Agreement on optional. Differing understanding on communications to which audiences. Communication may be concentrated to the government level (ex. with other ministries) but not necessarily media or other stakeholder groups. Usually there is a dedicated agency/department for communications.

Activity	1-Optional	2	3	4	5-Core	Explanatory comments
Official national mandate					★	
Defined coordination function within other processes				★		
Access to (other) senior decision-makers				★		
Minimum operational resources					★	
Engagement in cross-sector engagement mechanisms				★		

"Operational" can be contextual in itself since some NGCCFPs are focussed on normative aspects and coordination role while others might aspire to do dedicated projects.

Are you new to Miro?
Check this video for insights on how to use it.

Group 1 (online)

A few steps before you start:

1. **Nominate** a NGCCFP as facilitator for your group. They will facilitate the discussion and also provide a reportback to the larger group. They - together with the group - are responsible to ensure the Miro reflects the discussions.
2. **Ensure** someone takes responsibility to populate this Miro board (support rapporteur). You may consider asking Observers to support this work.
3. **Consider** the discussion questions below.

General guidance:

- This group work is focused on NGCCFPs, observers are asked to take a listening role and to support
- Focus on having a conversation and listening to each other
- This group work builds on previous mapping - the goal is not to compile a complete list - rather the group is asked to debate and understand each other's views on what they consider core vs optional
- Documentation should focus on capturing where there is convergence or diversity in perspectives

Group work structure:

There are two discussion tasks where you need to rank by priority using the icons below and using sticky notes for comments.

Task 1: Rank the activities identified through the survey **Task 2:** Rank the institutional elements

You will find more detailed information below.

Task 1:

Consider the key activities identified through the survey listed below. Each activity is found in the leftmost column in the below table

How core vs optional are these to the role of NGCCFP?

Rank activities in order of priority (from 1-Optional to 5-Core) using the icons on the side. Use the column to the right to leave comments or reflections regarding why you chose this prioritization using the sticky notes.

Anything missing? You can add rows to the table by clicking to the + button on the left of each row. However, please remember this exercise builds on previous mapping exercises.



Use this icon under the priority level when the group **converges on it**



Use this icon under the priority level when there is **individual preferences**.

Task 2:

Consider now the list below of key institutional elements for the role as NGCCFP.

Does the below list reflect the key institutional elements for the role?

Rank each institutional element in order of priority (from 1-Optional to 5-Core) using the icons on the side. Use the column to the right to leave comments or reflections regarding why you chose this prioritization using the sticky notes.

Anything missing? You can add rows to the table by clicking to the + button on the left of each row.



Use this icon under the priority level when the group **converges on it**



Use this icon under the priority level when there is **individual preferences**.

Go through each activity in this column

Choose an icon from this box

Activity	1-Optional	2	3	4	5-Core	Explanatory comments
Mainstreaming gender in climate policies, strategies and action plans (including NDCs, NAPs, BTRs, among others), including with regards to the implementation of the GAP at national level						Gender mainstreaming should not be optional in National Plans. Take a sticky note
Cross-department/ministerial coordination/collaboration & stakeholder engagement (i.e. civil society, national and international partners, regional bodies, etc.)						in order for gender mainstreaming to work it needs to be integrated in Action Plans Collaboration between several entities is useful Take a sticky note
Participation in UNFCCC processes and other relevant forums on gender and climate change						3 Not optional - try to be a part of the discussion at core times. For example at COP and SBs UNFCCC providing platforms is useful Take a sticky note
Capacity-building and knowledge exchange with ministries, civil society, and communities to raise awareness of gender-climate linkages. (i.e. organizing training sessions)						So that everyone can understand the importance of Take a sticky note
Resource mobilization for national gender and climate change initiatives						Without resources it's difficult to take on the activities Finding other/unusual type of donors. Alternative finance mechanisms is a focus. -Green bonds look at gender mainstreaming -> alternative funding source. -> look at low-hanging fruits - Find entry points within Government interests & agendas to incorporate GM Take a sticky note
Monitoring and reporting on gender and climate change						It is not very easy to integrate gender dimension in Adaptation Plans Take a sticky note
Contribution to gender-sensitive climate projects (i.e. mitigation and adaptation projects) through monitoring and reporting, gender analysis, etc						A lot of projects lack monitoring and reporting part. Gender analysis is a work in progress. Stakeholder approbation Take a sticky note
Communication on gender-responsive actions and plans						Goes back to projects. Where communication happens. Take a sticky note

Activity	1-Optional	2	3	4	5-Core	Explanatory comments
Official national mandate						Take a sticky note
Defined coordination function within other processes						Take a sticky note
Access to (other) senior decision-makers						A lot of the influence starts at the technical level. Decision makers take a lot of consideration in the technicalities. Take a sticky note
Minimum operational resources						Take a sticky note
Engagement in cross-sector engagement mechanisms						It goes back to the individual level. Take a sticky note