



Feedback: Group 1 - Round I

It was an insightful session

Ah not enough time! Great discussion but difficult to draft a new deliverable

Excellent. Engaging and respectful

Reflexive

Very important to still include SRHR, thank you for your work!

I think it would be very important to keep this deliverable as it would finally formally define the role of the focal points, this really requires its own session

Sensible suggestion to merge focal point workshops - curious on timing - perhaps SB64 given the recent adoption a new GAP?

Important to build focal point capacity in a sustainable way - e-learning module for inducing new focal points?

Feedback: Group 1 - Round I

I agree with group, GFP should be capacitated, regarded and participation the process in fundamental

Agree with avoiding duplication - how do we capture all this existing content into a compendium of gender focal point resources

I think it would be very important

Feedback: Group 2 - Round 1

Great proposition with
the needs assessment

The timing piece is
important - explore
accessible and on-demand
deliverables for supporting
women's leadership

Feedback: Group 3 - Round I

atelier technique format
hybride à organiser

Could we also include
"members of constituted
bodies, as appropriate"

Parties could also be
responsible/contributing
here as well - on how they
build coherent between
gender, climate, biodiversity,
etc.

Needs to have stronger
institutional structure to
coordinate stronger than
the existing one

Feedback: Group 4 - Round I

Could parties perhaps contribute to this deliverable? Given many parties utilise gender-budgeting in their national contexts?

Suggest to move D1, deliverable 3 to be moved to E. Does not fit the activity where it is now...

Could we use more plain language on what information that deliverable is hoping to capture?

Please include grassroots women in the frontline of climate crises as priority participants to the proposed annual/biannual roundtable

Feedback: Group 5 - Round I

Garder livrables comme telle en les reformulant de façon prise. Le 1er livrable est très importants à renseigner pour le changement souhaitée. Le livrable 2 doit être plus clarifié et rajouter

Important to ensure that data collected includes gender, age and disability at a minimum

Agree - disability should be captured

le niveau d'intervention doit être à l'international car le niveau national souvent ne seront dire avec exactitude les participants du pays

ADDITIONAL ADDITION: We could add an activity deliverable on 1. Analysis of gender issues reported in BTRs 2. Round table on analysis of the BTR Synthesis Report in 1 ,above

On doit ajouter d'autres catégories de participation hommes-et femmes à travers les femmes rurales qui ont un problème d'accès à la terre etc

les reporting ne sont pas toujours centralisés au niveau étatique

Could be great for the gender composition reports to capture historic data as well - for comparison. And potentially host historic gender statistics at all the sessions thus far easily on the website?

Feedback: Group 5 - Round I

E1.6: Presidency, HLC
and Youth Climate
Champion?

What's the timing on those syn
reports under E2.2? Annual at
each COP? Could that be
aligned with BTR process? Like
BTRs are due, and a year later
the reports highlight how
gender was considered?

Feedback: Group 1 - Round II

Greater reference to IPCC!

Definition of gender should be based on the common understanding

Most participants online were not familiar with the draft outcome from the SB62 GAP workshop and negotiation sessions.

Gender definition of gender should be based on UN understanding

Feedback: Group 2 - Round II

Could we include regional here - given some travel support and resourcing could come via regional mechanisms

I wonder if some of these reports could be wonderful online modules or infographics!

Feedback: Group 3 - Round II

Really great suggestion on
Presidencies - so much
scope for them to support
these deliverables

very relevant initiative for
the presidencies and the
mainstreaming of gender in
more agenda items

Some regional dialogue on
locally led technology for
women might be good for
this deliverable

Feedback: Group 4 - Round II

Feedback: Group 5 - Round II

Feedback: Group 1 - Round III

Feedback: Group 2 - Round III

Feedback: Group 3 - Round III

Feedback: Group 4 - Round III

Platsforms sounds like something that allready exist and not something that we should develop here

Feedback: Group 5 - Round III

For some parties it will not be possible/legal to report on more aspects than gender and age at registration

Feedback: Group 1 - Round VI

Agree that "communication lab" is not clear and too ambiguous. Also I don't think anyone can nor should instruct the media to do anything. They should be independent

I think important to acknowledge that communicating with different groups sometimes requires different approaches - there's some context needed

I think it is great that youth is included as target group in this deliverable, especially in regards to their role with media

Agreed that the virtual platform will be resource intensive and could be merged with the tools and guideline!

Feedback: Group 2 - Round VI

Feedback: Group 3 - Round VI

Feedback: Group 4 - Round VI

Feedback: Group 5 - Round VI

I think it would be difficult to establish additional reporting frameworks outside the BTRs. Exchanging on best practices for reporting on gender in BTRs could be repeated (there was exchange at COP29)

Best practise compendiums are always good.

Key recommendations in the analysis report would be a great addition.

Feedback: Group 1 - Round V

Include children in awareness, capacity building and literacy efforts related to emerging issues

include youth as well for capacity building and awareness

The other emerging issue is the role renewable energy will play in the energy transition and how industry partners can provide capacity building partnerships

Ensure that expert dialogues (or similar) entail a child rights perspective and child and youth engagement, where appropriate and safe

Feedback: Group 2 - Round V

Feedback: Group 3 - Round V

Seems to be overlap
between mobilisation of
funds for NGCCFPs in B3
and C8?

Feedback: Group 4 - Round V

Can we have SCF and O.E
as leading or are they rather
contributing? In the previous
GAP it was Sec leading and
"inviting.... "

Feedback: Group 5 - Round V

Ensure that capacity building efforts entail a child rights perspective and meaningful child and youth engagement, where appropriate and safe

Overall timeline

Overwhelming, it's a lot of activities

No mean feat to sequence deliverables out to 2034. Really hope the secretariat can help make sure we get it right!

heavily loaded in 2026-2027.

It would be good to get guidance from the secretariat on what's feasible in terms of amount of activities per year (perhaps based on the previous GAP)

I think it is a good idea to ask the secretariat to help us sort and sequence the deliverables.

It's overwhelming, and at times the activities are repetitive. There's a lot going on.

Too many deliverables overall

Great! Gender work stream will be busy and more visible

Overall timeline

it's hectic and overloaded,
but have a very good
activities to be achieved

Would be important to
revisit the budgetary
implications (already
presented at SB)

We should think about the
"headlines for gender" we want
each year and how these
deliverables help us achieve
them in each year - which will
have broader "climate"
headlines

The beginning of a
robust GAP! :)

Il faudra mettre
certaines activités
ensemble

Support from the
secretariat would be very
much appreciated for
sequencing guidance and
feasibility concerns

The recommendations
of ten secretariat will be
very useful

It will help for the Secretariat to
assist with timeline as I don't
think the current approach will
help us to contemplate and
cross focus on different issues
that have impact on
determining timelines

Overall timeline

I personally believe the secretariat *are* miracle workers, and we all owe them much thanks for all the hard work they do! They are a trusted, valued and appreciated partner in our shared journey.

Also support the secretariat to present a guidance on the timeline. It would also be easier with a streamlining of the activities and deliverables

Les dialogues au niveau national doit se faire avant les dialogues internationaux

A hypothetical timeline that aligns with other UNFCCC milestones produced by the Secretariat would be great

Would it be possible to further streamline the reports? What are the essential ones in terms of supporting gender mainstreaming into the key UNFCCC milestones and processes?

We need to innovate to have LOCAL LEVEL implementation of these great ideas. We need to be felt in the VILLAGES and communities.

alotof work we hope it will come to animplemtable GAp

Il y'a une cohérence dans le timing de façon globale

Overall timeline

Can the informative summary that will be shared include the previous GAP timeline?

It is great to have gender so active and so visible! impressed by the collaborative spirit.

Certains dialogues et ateliers en 2028 peuvent être tard par rapport aux objectifs attendus

“While travel support rightly prioritizes national focal points, it should also consider grassroots women and local actors who are directly implementing and innovating on climate solutions.

Timeline: A

Too many activities in the same year.

Should we spread these out? Terminology could be later - perhaps before the midterm review?

They would need to be sequenced

re-schedule the activities to guarantee the implementation with a good results that match to achieve goals e.x terminology

Move high level segment on men and boys to 2028 (so it follows the submissions on the topic to be received in 2027)

Agree on terminology can be moved

Prioritise activities that need immediate attention by order

I think sequence the men and boys submissions and report - and do the workshop afterwards.

Timeline: A

workshop on synthesis report to 2028 as a follow up to 2027 synthesis report

Submission on gender-differentiated impacts and the synthesis could be done in 2026 which could also encourage parties to bear that in mind for their BTRs if the work for both is happening in parallel

Terminology is very important. Kindly maintain it

Focal point trainings could be put into one deliverable over the entire timeframe with topics listed. That gives more flexibility

The future can be uncertain at times. Is there room in the timeline to introduce new activities in the future to reflect changes?

workshop on terminology should stay in 2026

Technology transference is important

For NGCCFPs trainings we could use the focal point meetings that happens several times per year

Timeline: A

HL segment can move later, terminology needs to be in the beginning to get a clear ground to continue a functional implementation on the GAP, Submission A5 can be put later

Terminology could happen later

Terminology has always been a major topic and should be addressed early on; it should not be postponed or moved.

We've just negotiated an extended work program and will agree a GAP. Is terminology going to drive implementation? Is that the purpose of the workshop being front loaded?

I think A.5 could be moved to later, around 2029, since there is no urgency to have these, while the NGCCFP deliverables are more important to have as soon as possible.

Need to ensure that it reflects our realities, diversity in our national set ups

Submissions under A.5 should stay in 2027

Since A is about capacity building and knowledge management it's important to have its activities early. Perhaps it's possible to take pressure of from the first few years in other priority areas

Timeline: A

Agree that focal point strengthening would be good to do early to help drive implementation.

Understanding terminology is important as it impacts on global understanding and engagement amongst different stakeholders. This dialogue needs to be prioritized.

Could we shift the focus for the HL segment on differentiated impact of climate change?

Submissions on gender differentiated impacts and emerging issues (A5 and A7) should be prioritized

I think it would be a priority to align with other UNFCCC processes

alt of activities

There are so many reports, maybe we need to find an alternative for an action oriented output

As we are discussing deliverables here, we could also think about the modality and clarity of the reporting, maybe visual commetries, zines, etc

Timeline: A

Thematic dialogue for my understanding was preceding guidelines for protection

Timeline: B

Is there a similar illustration for activities from the last GAP to see how many activities are feasible in one year?

Thematic dialogue can be every second year, beginning in 2027, to use less resources.

Can thematic dialogue not take place annually?

This will be a huge body of work in the form of reports over a decade - how does the secretariat feel about feasibility of collating all of this rich content and disseminating it?

Considering the amount of activities it seems fairly balanced over the years

Agree we should find alternatives to reports, which are action oriented but less heavy to produce and read.

Most of the activities are primarily dialogues. Could some of these be combined into a single setting rather than taking place multiple times in one year?

Considering the many dialogues,, can the dialogues not be reduced and the few focus on more than one priority area at a time?

Timeline: C

C5 Workshop could happen in 2028 - it looks like there won't be a workshop in any of the priority areas that year.

Maybe the compilation of good practices for integrating gender into the work of CBs and work programmes could happen 1 year after the dialogue so around 2028, so it gives some time for progress

I like that there's the multidimensional factors dialogue every year, as this is an ever-evolving topic.

workshop under C.5 should stay in 2026

the workshop under C.5 could rather be repeated than moved to cover evolving experiences and gender integration into (updated) plans & policies

Most of the activities are primarily dialogues. Could some of these be combined into a single setting rather than taking place multiple times in one year?

Good point from EU

Could I ask what the difference between a workshop and a dialogue is? Can dialogues be clustered during a session?

Timeline: C

In session work shop should be annual

Compilation of good practices must include local women's organizations and grassroots actors, as they hold practical knowledge on resilience and adaptation."

I wonder if we need to think through the practical delivery of this - do we just have a gender implementation forum at each June session? And cluster all these dialogues into that?

Do we have prior measures that indicate the success and impact of those dialogues?

Regional workshop Musa be held to discuss issues like the in session ones

Is there a framework for virtual workshops to ease the pressure on physical convening?

Agree! Many dialogues

Timeline: D

It feels difficult to have an overview of everything per year. Many dialogues!

NCQG has first report 2028. Maybe have one of the dialogues on finance in 2027?

Many submissions and reports also

Agree! Many dialogues

If we are considering a 10 year GAP, it may be good consider the dialogues and reports as being part of an continuum, not in isolation

I believe we could merge the dialogue to advance gender responsive climate finance with the cohosted dialogue on tracking finance flows

May be we can use AI to facilitate

Knowledge exchange and expert platforms should be continuous but dialogues minimized

Timeline: D

E4 the dialogues with IPCC are missing

Dialogues are not necessarily bad. The UNFCCC is a dialogue process

I feel it's a huge gap to not have gender-responsive implementation focused dialogues or workshops prior to NDCs, NAPs, etc. these are huge milestones in our process.

Good practices will be used for replication

agree with the point on the gender-responsive implementation focused dialogues prior to NDCs, NAPs

Perhaps a random question, but how will these deliverables in the form of 'dialogues' lead us to the implementation aspects of the GAP?

There seem to be more dialogues on finance and MoI rather than on implementation. MoI is important as the means to help us towards the goal, but there are different ways to get there

We could have ANNUAL (COPs) or BIENNIAL (SBs and COPs dialogues, with different themes and focus

Timeline: D

Dialogues are nice gateways to collaborations and cooperation to work together to deliver gender-responsive climate action, at all levels. But they need to translate into real world impacts.

Dialogues should not end at the international level. We design them so that national focal points are required to replicate a mini-dialogue back home with local women's groups

Great point about dialogues being replicated at the national level - we should be inspired by global conversations - think global, act local.

Absolute agreement with dialogue to be at local level

Second E4 dialogue is missing, one dialogue before the report and one after the report

We can package NATIONAL level activities separately from UNFCCC level activities for effective resource mobilization for IMPLEMENTATION

Timeline: E

Second IPCC dialogue (E4) is also missing - was planned one before the report and one after

Will we still have access to the Miro board after the workshop?

Obviously reporting deliverables, where appropriate, should sequence in with BTR process. I would also love to see more gender-focused questions during the FSV sessions!

Would be great also to align with 2030 and submissions of gender responsive NAPs

I think it might be better to move the guidelines for complementary voluntary party reporting and the online course accordingly to 2026

Question - did our extension of the ELWP also set up a workshop session for the midterm review? What year would that be in?

could there be a dialogue after the synthesis report on implementation to provide exchange, best practices, etc. to further enhance Parties' implementation?

There should be a deliverable on **LEARNING** from MEL perspective.

Timeline: E

Is the GST-related deliverable scheduled to be released at the time of the 2nd GST?

When are we getting the updated timeline? Some deliverables were merged and different timelines were put down, it would make sense to go over these then.

should E2 be moved to 2026 to align with 2nd BTRs?

Gender is a crosscutting area in IPCC AR7.. We may consider unpacking the AR7 outcome on gender as a deliverable.


help from the secretariat with the timeline would be much appreciated

Timeline guidance would be very helpful


Would be really helpful for the secretariat to suggest timing of events to maximise "impact" when considering the other milestones across the UNFCCC process. Could this be part of the summary?

Shouldnt E3 be ongoing as well


Feedback: Element 1



Feedback: Element 2



Feedback: Element 3



Feedback: Element 4

combine with 3

Get feed back from community and individuals through feedback network, may use profiling algorithms to select individuals, not everyone necessarily belong to any group

Feedback: Element 5

A proposal of basic indicators that should be taking into account for projects and policies. Or maybe strategic areas to work on.

Please reach out to girls and women with brief gripping, empowering informative videos in vernacular and please obtain feedback's and build consensus