



## CALL FOR INPUT

<i>Name of submitter</i>	TBS Team
<i>Affiliated organization of submitter (if any)</i>	ALLCOT Limited
<i>Email of submitter</i>	
<i>Date of submission</i>	30/09/2025

Instruction: Enter your input in the table below.

<b>Document reference number and title:</b> <b>A6.4-MEP008-A01. Draft methodological tool: Investment analysis (version 01.0)</b>				
<b>Item</b>	<b>Section no.</b> (as indicated in the document)	<b>Paragraph/Table/Figure no.</b> (as indicated in the document)	<b>Comment</b> (including justification for change)	<b>Proposed change</b> (including proposed text)
1	5.2.3	18 (a)	<p>The abatement cost of the activity could be part of the confidential information of it and forcing the implementor to show that number could result indirectly in disclosing sensible information.</p> <p>We propose to eliminate paragraph 18 (a).</p>	We propose to eliminate paragraph 18 (a).
2	3	6	<p>This version of the tool is applicable to Article 6.4 activities implemented at the project level. The tool may be amended in the future to also cover activities implemented at other scales (e.g. programmes of activities, policies, sectoral approaches, etc).</p>	<p>This version of the tool is applicable to Article 6.4 activities implemented at the project level and component of activities to be included in a programme of activities. The tool may be amended in the future to also cover activities implemented at other scales (e.g. programmes of activities, policies, sectoral approaches, etc).</p>

**Document reference number and title:****A6.4-MEP008-A01. Draft methodological tool: Investment analysis (version 01.0)**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Section no.</b> (as indicated in the document)	<b>Paragraph/Table/Figure no.</b> (as indicated in the document)	<b>Comment</b> (including justification for change)	<b>Proposed change</b> (including proposed text)
3	5.2.4	19 Note 8	This applies, for example, to future electricity prices. In this case, a lower value within the plausible range of electricity prices shall be used if that leads to fewer revenues for the proposed Article 6.4 activity.	This applies, for example, to future electricity prices. In this case, a lower value within the plausible range of electricity prices shall be used if that leads to higher revenues for the proposed Article 6.4 activity.
4	7.6.2	51	The following paragraph needs to be modified because the two options which are mentioned are the same:  The inflation rate shall be obtained from the inflation forecast of the central bank of the host country for the duration of the crediting period. If this information is not available, the target inflation rate of the central bank shall be used.	The text should be modified to include another option if the inflation forecast of the central bank of the host country is not available.
5	6.4	32	The sentence contains an opening bracket but no closing one, which makes it unclear what part of the text is actually being considered.	The closing bracket should be included.
6	6.4	31.c	The acronym "FCAP" in paragraph 31(c) is not defined in the definitions. Its inclusion creates confusion in the investment analysis procedure.	"FCAP" should be deleted or, if relevant, added to the list of definitions.
7	6.3- 6.4	28.h)- 31.h)	The word "qualitative" is in footnotes 11 and 13.	Correct to "qualitative"
8	7.2	36	The inclusion of any barriers that can be monetized and quantified as an additional cost would not be considered conservative.	Clarification/examples for which barriers can be monetized and quantified as an additional cost.

**Document reference number and title:****A6.4-MEP008-A01. Draft methodological tool: Investment analysis (version 01.0)**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Section no.</b> (as indicated in the document)	<b>Paragraph/Table/Figure no.</b> (as indicated in the document)	<b>Comment</b> (including justification for change)	<b>Proposed change</b> (including proposed text)
9	7.6.2	49	Requiring the DOE to review the project developer's financial statements from the past three years may not guarantee representativeness of the current context or of the moment when the financial benchmark was defined. As such, this requirement may demand significant effort with potentially inconclusive results.	Delete "The validating DOE shall undertake a thorough assessment of the financial statements of the project developer to assess the past financial behavior of the entity during at least the last 3 years in relation to similar projects."