

Name of submitter: Elisa Derby

Affiliated organization of the submitter (if any): Clean Cooking Alliance (CCA), on behalf of the Clean Cooking and Climate Consortium (4C)

Contact email of submitter: ederby@cleancooking.org

Date: July 31, 2024

Legend for Columns

1 = Section Number in the document

2= Paragraph number

3 = Comment – the actual feedback or observation, including justification for what needs changing

4 = Proposed change – suggest the text if possible

A6.4-MEP002-A01 (v.01.0)			
1	2	3	4
Section no.	Para. no.	Comment	Proposed change (Include proposed text)
3.2	14	Add “enforced and/or implemented”	Mechanism methodologies shall ensure that the provisions to demonstrate additionality consider all enforced and/or implemented national or sub-national policies that are applicable to the relevant mitigation activity and its alternatives. This shall include legal requirements, subsidies, taxes, and fees. This may also include any specific national or sub-national goals for the sector or the type of mitigation activity but not general goals (e.g., a national emissions target) that are not specific to the sector or type of mitigation activity (see section # below).
3.2	16 (new)	Include a provision for additionality to be determined at the national level for a class of desirable advanced technologies through positive listing.	Mechanism methodologies may waive additionality tests for mitigation activities that employ specific advanced technologies in a location where those technologies appear on a national “positive list.”
5.1	28	Given widespread non-enforcement of policies in LDCs, and goals and targets that are not implemented or funded, Option 2 makes most sense for LDCs/SIDS.	Keep Option 2 for LDCs/SIDS.
5.4	45 (c)	Add a sentence to exclude carbon-financed activities from the assessment toward the threshold	The assessment toward the common practice threshold should not include technology installed as a result of any carbon crediting activity.