

Katowice committee of experts on the impacts of the implementation of response measures

26 May 2023

Eighth meeting Bonn, 1-2 June 2023

> Draft technical paper on identifying and assessing the impacts of the implementation of response measures taking into account intergenerational equity, gender considerations and the needs of local communities, indigenous peoples, youth and other people in vulnerable situations

# I. Background

1. The Conference of the Parties (COP) at its twenty-fifth session, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP) at its fifteenth session, and the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Paris Agreement (CMA) at its second session agreed on workplan of the forum on impacts of the implementation of response measure (the forum) and its Katowice Committee on the Impacts of the Implementation of Response Measures (KCI).

2. As per activity 9 of the workplan for the forum and its KCI<sup>1</sup>, the KCI is to prepare a technical paper on identifying and assessing the impacts of the implementation of response measures taking into account intergenerational equity, gender considerations and the needs of local communities, indigenous peoples, youth and other people in vulnerable situations. KCI 6 considered the draft technical paper and provided comments and guidance for improvement.

3. The open-ended working group led by the task lead, with the support of the secretariat, revised the draft technical paper in response to the comments received at KCI7.

# II. Scope of note

4. This background note provides in its annex the revised draft technical paper.

# **III.** Expected action by the Katowice Committee on Impacts

5. The KCI will be invited to consider the revised draft technical paper with a view to finalise the technical paper.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Decision 4/CP.25, Decision 4/ CMP.15, Decision 4/CMA.2

## Annex

Katowice Committee of Experts on the Impacts of the Implementation of Response Measures

**Eighth Meeting** 

Identify and Assess the Impacts of the Implementation of Response Measures Taking into Account Intergenerational Equity, Gender Considerations and the Needs of local communities, Indigenous Peoples, Youth and Other People in Vulnerable Situations

Draft technical paper

Activity 9: Workplan to identify and assess the impacts of the implementation of response measures taking into account intergenerational equity, gender considerations and the needs of local communities, Indigenous Peoples, youth and other people in vulnerable situations.

## Version 6: Working Draft Amended to reflect comments provided during the seventh KCI Meeting

## Contents

## **Executive Summary**

- 1. The reviewed studies and stakeholder inputs on impacts of response measures confirm that the effects of response measures can have amplified impacts on people in vulnerable situations, and show that the negative impacts of response measures exacerbate the vulnerabilities of people in vulnerable situation by further exacerbating inequalities, for example, across generations and genders.
- 2. While the number of studies on impacts of response (particularly mitigation) measures is increasing rapidly and these point to the existence of various negative and positive impacts, and synergies and trade-offs, there is relatively limited research on assessing and quantifying the social and economic impacts of response measures on people in vulnerable situations with more coverage of women and the poor. The literature examined in this paper reveals that where this research exists it mainly focusses on policy making process and participation, is largely adaptation-centric, that is, emphasizing these groups' vulnerability and capacity to adapt.
- 3. The NDC Synthesis Report from 2021<sup>2</sup> notes that gender and youth related activities are most common in NDCs followed by the role of local communities and indigenous peoples.
- 4. The main messages from the existing literature and stakeholder impacts and co-benefits of the implementation of response measures on people in vulnerable situations can be summarized as following
  - a. People in vulnerable situations are often marginalised in the process of planning and implementing response measures.
  - b. Climate change mitigation policies can have various implications for intergenerational equity.
  - c. Women are generally more negatively impacted by mitigation policies than men when the impacts of these policies are erroneously viewed by policymakers as gender neutral Women can reap positive impacts, for example, from mitigation policies that reduce their domestic burden and fuel gathering activities, but negative impacts from policies that give them unequal land tenure rights or marginalise them in participation of workforce.
  - d. Local communities and Indigenous Peoples can be most impacted by mitigation measures, such as renewable energy and forestry projects that affect their land rights. and result in environmental degradation and possible displacement and loss of land. Positive impacts include socioeconomic and energy access benefits.
  - e. The youth is the group that is likely to be one of the most impacted in the future by both climate change and implementation of response measures.
  - f. The elderly and children are currently in many cases the most impacted by climate change, especially by increased heat and pollution from burning fossil fuels. Climate policies, for example involving energy transition and energy efficiency, can improve air quality and in-door temperature.
  - g. Disabled people are almost absent from the assessments of impacts of response measures on people in vulnerable situations. Climate policies that, for example increase electrification and automation, can reduce risks for the disabled and improve energy and transportion accessibility as well as reduce climate change impacts on health.
  - h. The poor feature in various studies of impacts of response, possibly because also the impacts of climate change fall to a large extent on them. Positive impacts include improved energy access, and negative impacts include exacerbation of poverty, losses of job and in well-being, especially among rural households.
- 5. Successful implementation of response measures requires engaging people in vulnerable situations in the process of designing and implementing response measures, and more targeted measures to minimize the negative and maximise the positive impacts on people in vulnerable situations.
- 6. There is an urgent need for further research for measuring impacts of response measures on the people in vulnerable situations, Stakeholder engagement at the national level and wider engagement are necessary to better understand the impacts of response measures on vulnerable peoples. Where quantitative data are missing, it should be based on qualitative analysis such as primary research based on direct input and engagement from the vulnerable groups based on their experiences and knowledge.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> FCCC/PA/CMA/2021/8/Rev.1, see Annex I.

## I. Introduction

 Assessing and analysing the impacts of the implementation of response measures is one of the four work areas of the response measures forum and its KCI. The technical paper contributes to the KCI 6-year workplan, activity number 9 (UNFCCC, 2020) by providing information about identifying and assessing the impacts of the implementation of response measures taking into account intergenerational equity, gender considerations and the needs of local communities, Indigenous Peoples, youth and other people in vulnerable situations. This technical paper is prepared in accordance with the strategy and agreed outline for the technical paper set out in Annex VI of the Fifth Meeting of the KCI.

## II. Objective

- 2. The objective of the paper is to identify and assess the impacts of the implementation of response measures taking into account intergenerational equity, gender considerations and the needs of local communities, Indigenous Peoples, youth and other people in vulnerable situations. It considers a selected set of mitigation policies consistent with 2°C pathways for holding the increase in the global average temperature to well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels, and with 1.5°C pathways to limit the temperature increase to 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels, taking into consideration intergenerational equity, gender considerations, local communities, Indigenous Peoples, youth, and other people in vulnerable situations. The paper includes a brief summary of studies that include concrete cases on people in vulnerable situations (including assessment methods used and description of possible socioeconomic impacts), references to relevant work in previous KCI technical papers and inputs received from stakeholders, and process and reasons of selecting particular policies and measures.
- 3. The approach of this technical paper includes:
  - (a) Identifying impacts of response measures through examining existing generic and case-specific qualitative and quantitative literature on effects of various selected policies consistent with 2°C pathways and 1.5°C pathways based on existing literature that is publicly available;
  - (b) Identifying methodologies used in existing research to assess impacts of response measures, where relevant;
  - (c) Reviewing inputs from the stakeholders and experts (including relevant UNFCCC constituencies and Constituted Bodies) to identify description of policies or measure consistent with 2°C pathways and 1.5°C pathways and to identify socioeconomic impacts of implementing strategies on different countries or groups identified through different forms of engagement;
  - (d) Inferring, where relevant and appropriate, effects and impacts on people in vulnerable situations from effects on a larger (sub)sets of the population which include them; and
  - (e) Reflecting comments from KCI Members and observers during the KCI Sixth Meeting.

## **III. Background**

## A. Context

- 4. Achieving the long-term temperature goal of the Paris Agreement requires reaching global peaking of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions as soon as possible, followed by a rapid reduction in net GHG, most notably anthropogenic carbon dioxide (CO2), emissions, reaching net zero early 2050s (IPCC, 2022).
- 5. Achieving climate change targets requires enhanced ambition and effective implementation of GHG mitigation policies and actions (IPCC, 2022; UNFCCC, 2022). Response measures are understood as policies, actions, and measures taken in response to climate change, such as mitigation policies and actions, taken by Parties to the UNFCCC, the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement. Under the Convention, the term "response measure" is usually associated with social, economic and environmental impacts of implementing climate change mitigation policies. Such impacts can be direct and/or indirect, intended and/or unintended, short, medium and/or long term. They can occur in the implementing and/or in other countries, also known as cross-border impacts (KCI, 2021a). The various potential inequality impacts of selected climate change mitigation policies are summarized in Markkanen & Anger-Kraavi (2019), emphasizing outcomes on health, wealth/income, gender, and ethnic equalities. Additional details on response measures and on analysis of the impacts of their implementation are

found in Technical Paper KCI/2021/5/4 (KCI, 2021a). Additional details on tools and methodologies for modelling and assessing these impacts are found in Technical Paper KCI/2021/5/5 (KCI, 2021b).

- 6. The nature and scale of the potential negative and positive impacts arising from the implementation of response measures vary across and between regions and countries but can be particularly pronounced for developing countries (UNFCCC, 2008; KCI, 2021a). Further, there is recognition that "[1]he risk of negative outcomes is greater in contexts characterized by high levels of poverty, corruption and economic and social inequalities, and where limited action is taken to identify and mitigate potentially adverse side-effects" (Markkanen & Anger-Kraavi, 2019), implying that the effects of response measures are more amplified in people in vulnerable situations. Climate change raises serious problems of justice between current and future generations in general, as well as current and future generations within people in vulnerable situations specifically (Government of Ghana, 2022). Vulnerability to climate change appears most likely to negatively affect poor people, particularly women, and to widen existing inequalities, both at the socioeconomic and energy access levels. Widening inequality in energy access has severe negative implications, because energy poverty remains a critical challenge facing a large subsection of people in vulnerable situations (notably women, children, elderly, and the poor), with over 770 million people living without access to electricity, mostly in Africa and Asia (IEA, 2020) and around 2.6 billion people lack access to clean cooking fuel (WHO, 2022).
- 7. To that end, it is important to identify the impacts of response measures on people in vulnerable situations, as these impacts, both direct and indirect, can exacerbate their vulnerability; and as alleviating the negative ones requires the implementation of targeted measures commensurate with the specific impacts.
- 8. The impacts of climate change on people in vulnerable situations are well documented in the literature on social science, and the examination of impacts of climate change mitigation is growing rapidly (IPCC, 2018, 2022; ILO, 2022b). Nevertheless, compared to the general population, there is limited research on quantifying the social and economic impacts of response measures on people in vulnerable situations who are subject of this paper—namely women, local communities, Indigenous Peoples, youth, other people in vulnerable situations including the elderly, disabled, and poor. Further, and as far as can be established, among existing research on impacts of response measures on people in vulnerable situations. As climate change mitigation policies are particularly concerned with balancing environmental protection with economic efficiency (Schuppert, 2011), the largest body of existing qualitative and quantitative studies on effects of response measures tends to more generic, examining country-wide economic impacts or effects on households.
- 9. Climate change has implications for intergenerational equity because its effects are temporally delayed, and, similarly, climate change mitigation policies have implications for intergenerational equity (Aldy et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2016; Vrontisi et al., 2018; IPCC, 2022b). Intergenerational equity is an important element in the literature on impacts of response measures given the widening disparity in which benefits and burdens of climate change are and will be distributed among present and future generations (Page, 1999; Yang & Suh, 2021). It has been established in the literature that effects of and attitudes towards climate change vary intergenerationally. Such disparity reflects the fact that more than half of the world's current populations are from older generations, while climate change effects will be felt most likely by the youth and children of today who will be elderly by midcentury and beyond. It is important to examine intergenerational implications of response measures as they impact people's incomes, energy access, and well-being, which in turn affects their behaviours and consumption patterns, welfare, health, political actions, and climate engagement (Albrecht et al., 2007; Clayton et al., 2015; Fritze et al., 2008; IPCC, 2022b). In the literature addressing impacts of these policies on the youth and elderly, there is a general focus on risk of job losses and livelihoods.
- 10. The rapid rise of youth climate mobilizations across the globe has succeeded in framing global climate inaction and inertia as a problem and in framing climate change in the perspective of justice and intergenerational equity (Han & Ahn, 2020). While the youth has taken various actions to combat climate change, they have constrained power due to limited effective participation in climate change governance and policymaking (UNDP, 2015; Sanson et al., 2019; Han & Ahn, 2020).
- 11. Women and girls can be vulnerable because, for example, they face high rates of child marriage, domestic violence, sexual violence, human trafficking, labour displacement— vulnerabilities that climate change can aggravate at social, economic and cultural levels (Osman-Elasha, 2020; CBCGDF, 2022; IPCC, 2022b).

- 12. At the intersection between gender and climate change policy, gender equality is mainly addressed through the Gender Justice lens (Wilson & Chu, 2020)., However, research on mitigation policies is "preoccupied with techno-economic transformations" that are perceived to be gender neutral (Michael et al., 2020).
  - a. A study examining impacts on women economic empowerment shows that including superficially gender issues in green economy perspectives perpetuates gender differences and inequality among climate policymakers (Wilson & Chu, 2020).
  - b. There is also a growing consensus that impacts of climate change and non-inclusive climate action have gendered effects and exacerbate gender inequalities in the workplace. These effects consequently harm women, who are the agents of change in building a just transition that can promote inclusive opportunities in a low-carbon economy (ILO, 2022b).
  - c. In qualitative assessments, there is evidence that climate change action that uses a gender lens to inform analysis and priorities can create rapid improvements in gender equality and women's empowerment, and that better climate and environmental outcomes can be achieved through addressing gender-specific barriers and enablers to women's empowerment and decent work (WOW, 2021, Di Persio, 2019).
- 13. Indigenous Peoples and local communities are connected to nature and possess deep traditional knowledge and historical practices that contribute to the protection of biodiversity and natural resources and that to the design of better climate change mitigation and adaptation policies (Bonilla-Moheno & García-Frapolli, 2012; Larsen, 2016; Iocca & Fidélis, 2021; IPCC, 2022b).. Only a residual number of research on climate change considers traditional communities, and that there is an uneven distribution of case studies across different regions (Iocca & Fidélis, 2021).
- 14. Studies tend to focus more on these communities' vulnerability to climate change and on how traditional practices can inform policy and practice, rather than on the impacts of response measures on them. Engaging with these communities is critical for the protection of traditional communities from climate change impacts and for the integration of their knowledge into resilient policymaking (Iocca & Fidélis, 2021; IPCC, 2022a, 2022b). Reducing negative effects of mitigation policies requires increased involvement of Indigenous Peoples and local communities as they can play a leading role in the global response to climate change, especially indigenous women who play a vital role as stewards of natural resources (UN Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues Seventh session, 2008).
- 15. Other groups of people in vulnerable situations namely the elderly, disabled, and poor are also largely affected by climate change and the effects of climate measures. Among studies on impacts of response measures on those identified as people in vulnerable situations, the poor are more represented than the other groups (examples available in KCI/2021/5/5), possibly because the largest share of climate impacts would fall on the poor (Muttitt & Kartha, 2020).
- 16. There is objection to accelerated mitigation policies that cause risk of job losses, increased inequality and gender inequality, diminish competitiveness, or have negative impacts on people in vulnerable situations as well as on vested interests (IPCC, 2022). In response, across all groups of people in vulnerable situations, there is evidence from the literature that there is increased climate activism among the people in vulnerable situations—especially the youth, women, leaders of local communities and Indigenous Peoples-to influence response measures and exert political influence (Claeys & Delgado Pugley, 2017; Grady-Benson & Sarathy, 2016; Helferty & Clarke, 2009; IPCC, 2022). These efforts contribute to raising awareness, strengthening climate leadership in many countries, changing broad social norms by raising knowledge of Indigenous governance systems which supported sustainable lifeways over thousands of years (Temper et al., 2020; IPCC, 2022b). In the context of climate policy making, there is a need for aligning the targets of NDCs with the needs for peoples in vulnerable situations, such as through the NDC Support Program which aims to harness gender equality during the NDC development and implementation process (UNDP, n.d.). As a large part of response measures and supporting just transition policies are implemented through the private sector, businesses are an important vehicle in implementing climate change mitigation as well as in the transmission of impacts, both positive and negative, on people in vulnerable situations. The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights that can give some guidance here was unanimously endorsed in 2011.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> <u>The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights : UN Guiding Principles Reporting Framework</u> (ungpreporting.org)

- 17. In some cases where there no specific literature on impacts of response measures on people in vulnerable situations was identified, this paper infers, to the extent possible, the effects on them with reference to studies on impacts of response measures on larger groups that include them. Such inference is possible if the people in vulnerable situations are included in the labour market, sectoral employments, gender, or larger populations.
- 18. Although people in vulnerable situations are impacted by both adaptation and mitigation policies to climate change, this paper focuses mainly on examining impacts of mitigation policies on people in vulnerable situations given limited data on impacts of adaptation policies on them.

## **B.** Definitions

- 19. **Gender equity**: Equity between women and men with regard to their rights, resources and opportunities. In the case of climate change, gender equity recognises that women are often more vulnerable to the impacts of climate change and may be disadvantaged in the process and outcomes of climate policy (IPCC, 2022b).
- 20. **Intergenerational equity**: Intergenerational equity articulates the concept of fairness amongst all generations in the use and conservation of the environment and its natural resources. In the context of climate change, it acknowledges that the effects of past and present emissions, vulnerabilities and policies impose costs and benefits for people in the future and of different age groups (IPCC, 2022b). The UNFCCC embeds intergenerational equity as a founding principle within the international climate change regime (Venn, 2019), framed as the need to "protect the climate system for the benefit of present and future generations of humankind" (UNFCCC, 1992, Article 3), which is further reinforced by the inclusion of sustainable development as a core principle within the UNFCCC framework and the Paris Agreement Preamble.
- 21. Local communities: There is no universally accepted definition for local communities, and they are at times defined in conjunction with Indigenous Peoples as vital custodians of the world's remaining natural landscapes (ICCA Consortium, 2020). More specifically, local communities represent a heterogenous group of people living in the same country, have a common interest or passion and include communities that hold collective knowledge, and whose livelihoods are tightly connected to a common ecosystem or a natural resources (Athaydea et al., 2021). They might or might not have formal recognition of specific rights over their lands, territories, and cultural identity.
- 22. **Indigenous Peoples**: Indigenous Peoples are inheritors and practitioners of unique cultures and ways of relating to people and the environment. They have retained social, cultural, economic and political characteristics that are distinct from those of the dominant societies in which they live. Despite their cultural differences, Indigenous Peoples from around the world share common problems related to the protection of their rights as distinct people (UN, n.d.a). They are usually rights holders with special rights formally recognized under some jurisdictions' constitutions or other laws. The Indigenous Peoples' rights are protected under of the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP, 2007).
- 23. **Youth**: There is no universally agreed international definition of the youth age group (UN, n.d.b); however, youth is best understood as a period of transition from the dependence of childhood to adulthood's independence. The UN defines youth as those persons between the ages of 15 and 24 years, as was endorsed by the General Assembly in Resolution 36/28 of 1981 (UN, n.d.b).
- 24. **People in vulnerable situations:** Groups and communities that have adversely been affected by climate hazards and having limited ability to recover by themselves. This definition would include vulnerable groups and communities that have severely been affected by droughts, floods, coastal inundation, and extreme temperatures (UNFCCC, 2018). According to a resource guide by the State of California (2018) for public agencies, there are various indicators used in vulnerability assessment tools under each of the following factors: existing inequities, institutionalized racism, or exclusion; physical states or conditions that increase vulnerability; poor environmental conditions, access to services, or living conditions; and lack of investment and opportunities. The guide further sets an additional set of indicators for analysing and defining vulnerable communities, including demographics, housing security, mobility, health services, environmental hazards, business/jobs, available public and private utilities, social services, governance, community, fiscal health, culture, and geography (which may include arid or semi-arid lands, mountain regions, or remote areas).

- 25. **Groups of people in vulnerable situations.** For purposes of this paper, people in vulnerable situations denote the collective set of the groups on whom the impact of response measures is examined in this paper, namely women, local communities, Indigenous Peoples, and other people in vulnerable situations. For purposes of this paper, other people in vulnerable situations are the following
  - a. **Elderly people**: There is no one universally accepted definition for elderly people. Traditionally, the UN (2019), policymakers and researchers have most commonly used measures and indicators of population ageing that are mostly or entirely based on people's chronological age, defining older persons as those aged 60 or 65 years or over (UN, 2019).
  - b. **Disabled people**: Disabled people are persons with disability. According to the UN Convention of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD, 2006), persons with disabilities include those who have long-term physical, mental, intellectual or sensory impairments which in interaction with various barriers may hinder their full and effective participation in society on an equal basis with others.
  - c. **Poor people**: While definitions vary across states and within countries, it is generally acceptable that poor peoples are members of groups, populations, households, or countries that suffer poverty. The UN Administrative Committee on Coordination defines, fundamentally, poverty as a denial of choices and opportunities, a violation of human dignity (UNACC, 1998), and means the following. It means lack of basic capacity to participate effectively in society. Poverty means not having enough to feed and clothe a family, not having a school or clinic to go to, not having the land on which to grow one's food or a job to earn one's living, not having access to credit. Poverty also means insecurity, powerlessness and exclusion of individuals, households and communities. It also means susceptibility to violence, and often implies living on marginal or fragile environments, without access to clean water or sanitation (UNACC, 1998). For purposes of this paper, unless otherwise specified, poor refers to low-income groups.

## IV. Selected policies and their impacts

- 26. Achieving the long-term temperature goal of the Paris Agreement requires the implementation of mitigation policies and response measures that help reduce and limit GHG emissions over the next decades to reach net zero by 2050. Policies consistent with 2°C pathways refer to pathways of policies and technologies that can reduce and limit GHG emissions to a level sufficient for holding the increase in the global average temperature to well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels. Policies consistent with 1.5°C pathways refer to those that can help reduce emissions to a sufficient level to pursue to limit the increase in the global temperature to 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels by 2050. Lower GHG emissions in 2030 can lead to a higher chance of keeping peak warming to 1.5°C (IPCC, 2022a; IPCC, 2022b). Measures consistent with 2°C and 1.5°C pathways include economic and fiscal instruments (such as taxes and subsidies), regulation, , research and development of technologies, government provision of public goods or services, and nature-based solutions including forestry (IPCC, 2022b; Government of Ghana, 2022) (see IPCC (2022b) for a detailed list of policies).
- 27. In assessing the literature on impacts of response measures on people in vulnerable situations, this paper selects the following response measures as key policies consistent with the 2°C and 1.5°C pathways:
  - a. General emissions reductions policies, such as carbon trading and energy efficiency;
  - b. Phasing down of coal and the removal of inefficient fossil fuel subsidies;
  - c. The adoption of renewable energy;
  - d. Increasing forestry.
- 28. The following sections describe each of the above policies and summarize their impacts on the people in vulnerable situations. Table 1 provides a summary of the impacts on each identified category of people in vulnerable situations as detailed in the following section.

## A. Emissions reduction policies

29. Within the wide range of emissions reduction policies, this technical paper selects as the first policy option the imposition of carbon taxes, or carbon prices generated as a result of the emissions cap associated with introduction of carbon trading scheme. It is selected because economic frameworks have generally accepted that carbon pricing (based on economic principles which extend to other GHG emissions) is the most cost-effective way to reduce emissions, notwithstanding various market failures which could limit its effectiveness (Stern, 2015).

Subsequently, the paper covers impacts of other emissions reduction policies—such as those related to urban planning and building, transport, and energy efficiency—as those are shown to impact specific groups within people in vulnerable situations, as summarized below.

- 30. Carbon taxes along with fossil fuel taxes are more prevalent among developed countries. (IPCC, 2022b). The implementation of carbon taxes on countries based on the emissions of products they produce does not account for where these products are consumed. Thus, it exacerbates inequalities among nations and their "carbon equity"– a concept proposed by the CBCGDF denoting people's equal carbon emission rights (CBCGDF, 2022).
- 31. Cap-and-trade schemes, carbon taxes, and personal ecological space quotas are shown to not be compatible with principles of intra- and intergenerational justice (Schuppert, 2011). This result suggests that existing proposals for the distribution of emission rights and climate change-related costs need to be supported by additional evidence for intergenerational justice.
- 32. Carbon pricing and taxes can have direct negative socioeconomic impacts on the poor and may exacerbate socioeconomic pressures on poorer households (Jakob et al., 2014 Maestre-Andrés et al., 2019). As carbon pricing may be regressive and perceived as additional costs by both households and industries, it could increase household energy expenses, especially for the poor which, in turn, reduce policy acceptability (Martinez & Viegas, 2017; McDonald et al., 2020; IPCC, 2022b), and would render green infrastructure investments politically unfeasible (Copland, 2020; Douenne & Fabre, 2020).
- 33. These distributional effects can be addressed by combining redistribution of revenues with support for low carbon innovation. Therefore, carbon pricing policies could receive higher acceptance if they explicitly reflect fairness and distributive consideration in revenue distribution. To that end, it is important to couple the implementation of carbon taxes with other fiscal instruments (such as the reduction of other taxes) to compensate people in vulnerable situations for the resulting negative impacts (IPCC, 2022b)
- 34. Beyond the aforementioned impacts on intergenerational equity and the poor, there are limited studies that quantifying effects of carbon pricing and carbon markets on the other groups of people in vulnerable situations. Therefore, this paper summarizes other studies that quantify household, economic, labour, or welfare effects of carbon-related policies in populations general. Given that, by definition, general populations include people in vulnerable situations, the results of these studies imply the expected minimum impacts on people in vulnerable situations, given that the impact on the latter is expected to be amplified by their vulnerabilities (per paragraph 6). Examples of the key literature on general populations follow.
  - a. A study quantifying the gains/costs and welfare implications of a 2°C pathways climate scenario with emissions trading in India and China finds that negative economic impacts of international climate policy are generally larger in China than in India, and that India can even gain economically (Johansson et al., 2015).
  - b. A study of the cross-border impacts of the implementation of carbon pricing response measures (namely a carbon tax, an energy input tax, and a quantity restriction instrument) on Senegal and Kenya uses a global CGE model that is soft-linked to a single-country CGE model. It finds that impacts depend greatly on the type of response measure implemented, with more muted effects under a carbon tax (McDonald et al., 2020). Impacts on rural households are likely to be greater than in their urban counterparts because the latter are systematically poorer. These results suggest an important conclusion that the cross-border effects of people in vulnerable situations vary depending on whether they form part of poorer households.
  - c. Another study on different options for transferring carbon taxes revenue in India, using a general equilibrium assessment, finds that welfare effects of an international climate regime vary by different household type and are affected by international price repercussions (Weitzel et al., 2015).
- 35. Redistributive measures could help ease impacts of carbon pricing and taxes on the poor. Such measurers vary from redistributing the tax revenue to favour of low-income groups, applying differentiated carbon taxes (Klenert & Mattauch, 2016; Metcalf, 2009; Stiglitz, 2019). An analysis of Latin America and the Caribbean finds that allocating 30% of carbon revenues would on average suffice to compensate poor and vulnerable households, leaving the rest for other uses (Vogt-Schilb et al., 2019). This study suggests that cash transfer programs ae some of the most efficient tools for poverty reduction in developing countries.
- 36. The implementation of carbon taxes or carbon trading mechanisms can result in unmeasured or inaccurately measured impacts on the industries employing people in vulnerable situations (Shehabi et al, 2021). This result is due to existing variations and regional and/or in-country inconsistencies in measuring carbon emissions per industry or product and, therefore, by country and per capita (ibid.). These inconsistencies can unintentionally

exacerbate existing inequalities and negative effects of carbon reduction policies on people in vulnerable situations.

- 37. As part of mitigating this problem, CBCGDF (2022) proposes the use of alternative methods of calculating emissions and apportioning corresponding responsibility to all nations based on their emissions through tracking each person's consumed carbon emissions.
- 38. Women, as other people in vulnerable situations, are negatively impacted by some mitigation policies, so various programs can be implemented to minimise negative gender impacts. Examples include programs specifically targeting underdeveloped areas with abundant carbon emissions, such as the Chinese Rural Revitalization program (CBCGD, 2022). Another example is the introduction of various indicators to measure and raise women's participation in skilled and management positions to a given level (15%) (Bonsucro Production Standard, 2022). Such indicators promote gender inclusion in management and skilled positions in Mill and Agriculture operations and offer community-based women's empowerment training and recruitment operations. Women, as well as racialised and marginalised groups, largely benefit from policies that increase their political access and participation which, in turn, increase their climate action and render climate mitigation policies more effective (IPCC, 2022b).
- 39. A positive correlation exists between the effective climate change policy and gender equality as well as between effective climate policy and the participation of Indigenous Peoples and women in decision making (IPCC, 2022b). Indigenous Peoples and women have, in general, lower carbon-footprints than other groups, and their increased participation can increase their influence on grassroots change (IPCC, 2022b).
- 40. Mitigation measures generally are shown to result in a disparity in the economic impacts (costs and benefits) across generations (Yang & Suh, 2021). The elderly generally experiences a net reduction in lifetime gross domestic product per capita, while youth will gain net benefits from climate change mitigation in most lower (lower-middle- and low-) income countries (Yang & Suh, 2021). By contrast, in many higher income countries, none of the age cohorts enjoys net benefits. The rise of the youth in climate movements across the World cannot be explained by economic self-interests in the short-term, although the youth benefit from climate change mitigation in the long-term.
- 41. Carbon sequestration and GHG emission reduction options have both co-benefits and risks pertaining to biodiversity and ecosystem conservation, food and water security, wood supply, livelihoods and land tenure and land-use rights of local communities, Indigenous Peoples, as well as small landowners (IPCC, 2022b). To increase carbon and economic equality and to minimise negative effects of response measures, projects like the UN-REDD (Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation) can aid in carbon data collection systems to identify and minimise effects on Indigenous Peoples and local communities (CBCGDF, 2022).
- 42. Other emissions-reducing mitigation policies include bottom-up industrial initiatives, such as building clean cookstoves with clay construction techniques to reduce solid fuel use. These clean cookstoves reduce firewood toxic fumes by 75%, improving the health and livelihood of women (Women Engage for a Common Future, 2022; IPCC, 2022b) and children (IPCC, 2022b). Such positive health improvements are likely to extend to other categories of people in vulnerable situations, especially the youth, children, elderly, and disabled. Beyond the health improvements, clean cookstoves also allow women and children to spend less time collecting firewood and cooking, thus increasing time available for rest, communication, education, and other productive activities (IPCC, 2022b), and empowering women to engage in local advocacy, gain technical skills, and join income generating activities (Women Engage for a Common Future, 2022; IPCC, 2022b).
- 43. Energy efficiency measures, especially in buildings, have positive effects on the elderly through alleviating energy poverty as well as reducing fuel consumption and, therefore, associated financial stress. Many elderly live in fuel poverty, especially in cold and damp houses, they suffer various health effects including excess winter mortality, increased morbidity rates (due to respiratory, cardiovascular, and arthritic and rheumatic diseases) (Camprubí et al., 2016; Lacroix & Chaton, 2015; Ormandy & Ezratty, 2016; Payne & Weatherall, 2015; Thema et al., 2017). Further, economic pressures associated with high energy bills exacerbate negative mental health outcomes, and high temperatures especially during summer can also be dangerous for those living in buildings with inadequate thermal insulation and inappropriate ventilation (Ormandy & Ezratty, 2016; Sanchez-Guevara et al., 2019; Thomson et al., 2019). As such, by reducing energy expenditures and increasing productive time for women and children, sufficiency and efficiency measures lead to poverty reduction especially in developing countries

(IPCC, 2022b). They also improve health conditions for the elderly through reducing effects to high temperature and improved indoor temperatures and comfort (IPCC, 2022b).

- 44. Downsizing dwelling through cohousing strategies is another mitigation policy with positive impacts on the elderly and intergenerational equity (IPCC, 2022b). These policies enable the construction of senior cooperative housing and eco-villages through repurposing existing or new building to convert them to multi-family, rather single-family buildings, with common shared spaces (for laundry, dining, or other purposes). These strategies reduce demand for materials in constructions and energy demand for heating (IPCC, 2022b), and can encourage inter-generational cohousing and interactions among people of different backgrounds (Lietaert, 2010; IPCC, 2022b).
- 45. Mitigation policies relating to urban planning, infrastructure, transport, and the automation of vehicles have positive effects on the disabled as well as the elderly. There is increased pressure to engage disabled individuals in the consultation and decision-making process of urban governance to create a more inclusive and effective urban development that avoids negative impacts on the disabled (Colenbrander et al., 2019; IPCC, 2022b). Improving the transport sector and road accessibility will enhance the welfare of disabled users by offering them a more inclusive, affordable, safe, and clean passenger and freight mobility (IPCC, 2022b). The automation of vehicles to become driverless could improve vehicle efficiency and reduce congestion and, consequently, emissions (Massar et al., 2021; Vahidi & Sciarretta, 2018), which could increase travel demand for the elderly (Harper et al., 2016) and make transit for the disabled and elderly more accessible and less risky (Auld et al., 2017; Sonnleitner et al., 2021). Similarly, pro-climate physical infrastructure designed to reduce carbon emissions and facilitate low-carbon mobility and energy can have positive impacts on children by making low-carbon mobility such as walking and cycling safer for them (IPCC, 2022b).
- 46. Increasing electrification can support and reduce costs of key elements of human development, such as education, health, and employment (IPCC, 2022b), which will largely affect women, the youth, and children. For example, increasing electrification offers greater access to irrigation opportunities for agricultural communities which in turn increases their incomes (Peters & Sievert, 2016). Coordinated electrification policies can improve enrolment for all forms of education (Kumar & Rauniyar 2018; López- González, et al. 2020) including for children through reducing time they spend on biomass collection and expanding time available for schooling (Khandker, et al. 2014).
- 47. Demand-side mitigation measures, such as energy efficiency, can also have multiple interacting and positive benefits on the poor (IPCC, 2022a; IPCC, 2022b). Improving energy services to meet energy and other needs can provide the poor and citizens of less-developed countries much needed access to safe and low-emissions energy sources necessary for decent living, as well as increase energy savings from service improvements by 20-25% (IPCC, 2022b).
- 48. Minimising impacts of response measures on people in vulnerable situations requires, first, incorporating actions on gender and people in vulnerable situations to enhance their resilience into climate actions and NDCs and to create national gender-responsive climate action (Government of Ghana, 2022; ILO, 2022b), and second, incorporating just transition concepts into climate policies and/or NDCs for their implementation (Climate Strategies, 2022). A just transition is key in minimising impacts of mitigation measures on people in vulnerable situations and can reduce occupational gender stereotypes that prevent women from benefiting from the economy (ILO, 2022b). A report from the ILO (2022b) that includes inputs from stakeholders finds that there is a significant risk that without a just transition, it will not be possible to achieve a low-carbon, environmentally sustainable economies with decent work and social justice. These goals are essential to the wellbeing of current and future generations as well as gender equality and inclusiveness in the workplace (ILO, 2022b).
- 49. Such incorporation actions on gender and just transition policies is a key to ensuring a well-planned and equitable just transition aligned with a country's development frameworks and priorities and the Paris Agreement and addressing key effects on vulnerable groups (Climate Strategies, 2022; Government of Ghana, 2022). Just transition policies need to also be accompanied by skills development and social protection policies to ensure women's safety and well-being as well as provide adequate conditions for women's engagement in the labour market (ILO, 2022b).
- 50. Some efforts have been implemented, to increase stakeholder engagement with government officials and different social groups such as gender, local communities and Indigenous Peoples, to aid in mainstreaming these groups into climate actions (ILO, 2022b; Climate Strategies, 2022; Government of Ghana, 2022; World Bank, 2022;

Women Engage for a Common Future, 2022). Efforts also include specified programs of actions expected to build the resilience of nationals, the majority of whom are the youth and women, while reducing GHG emissions and creating jobs, as well as targeted training to various institutions to better understand the social and employment implications of climate policies and the NDCs (Government of Ghana, 2022).

51. As businesses are the engine for job creating and the channel by which any mitigation measures are implemented, governments can develop industrial, sectoral, enterprise development and rights at work policies as channels within the just transition framework to facilitate optimal business environment that is consistent with the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UN OHCHR, 2012), ensure decent work and quality jobs that encompass healthy working conditions, and offer equal opportunity and treatment (OHCHR, 2022; ILO, 2022b). Just transition policies need to be based on statistical information grounded in international statistical standards and include information on vulnerability, including but not limited to gender, disability, age, and others (ILO, 2022b).

## B. Phasedown of coal and the removal of inefficient fossil fuel subsidies

- 52. The phasing down of coal and the removal of inefficient fossil fuels subsidies are key response measures that affect people in vulnerable situations. Fossil fuel subsidies are most commonly prevalent in developing countries, implemented for socioeconomic and development purposes to increase energy access and reduce energy poverty by reducing the cost of energy inputs, or as rent distributive mechanisms in countries that rely heavily on rents from fossil fuel exports (Shehabi, 2017). Fossil fuel subsidies incentivise increased use of fossil fuels, and they are regressive benefiting the rich more than the poor, distortionary, and inefficient (Lockwood, 2015). Nonetheless, they also provide access to modern energy sources to the poor (Kimemia & Annegarn, 2016).
- 53. The impacts of climate change and low carbon transition are experienced differently by different countries and social actors and vary across mitigation options (Sovacool, 2021). Within the social actors, indigenous communities face multiple threats and are subjected to unequal power dynamics (Sovacool, 2021) because the energy transition is dominated by interests of fossil fuel producers and investors who belong to powerful groups or companies that could be vocal if their interests are at risk (Lazarus & van Asselt, 2018). This reality implies that people in vulnerable situations, especially indigenous communities who are subject to unequal power relations, are at risk in the transition process. Social equality is thus at the heart of the transition process in general and in fossil fuel dependent economies in particular (IPCC, 2022b).
- 54. Within this context, studies on impacts on women are limited. Women and migrants tend to be over-represented in indirect or supportive roles to the energy sector, including lower-paid and unpaid services and care work. As such, they often do not access worker compensation and re-training policies that are proposed to mitigate negative effects of coal phaseout and fossil fuel subsidy reform (Bacchiocchi et al., 2022; Piggot et al., 2019).
- 55. Like other general energy transition projects, the phasing out of coal will cause job losses for those working in the coal sectors, rendering the expansion of jobs and support for the transition to low-carbon energy a key priority (IPCC, 2022b).
- 56. As far as can be established, there are no studies that measure directly impacts of phasing out of coal and inefficient fossil fuel subsidies on intergenerational equity, the youth, the elderly, and the disabled. Effects on their health are inferred from studies on general populations. For example, the phasing out of fossil fuels is likely to improve air quality and reduce emissions (IPCC, 2022a; IPCC, 2022b), which will improve health conditions of the people in vulnerable situations, especially those dealing with respiratory illnesses often common among the elderly and children.
- 57. A study on impacts of phasing out coal plants focusing on labour and economy-wide effects in general shows that phasing out of coal-powered plants in Chile would result in significative negative impact on the overall labour market, including the progressive disappearance of 4 thousand jobs in coal power plants by 2030 or 2050 (depending on the scenario), but that such effects are not significant when compared to Chile's labour markets and GDP (Vogt-Schilb & Feng, 2019).
- 58. The phasing out of coal and other fossil fuels and of their subsidies raises questions pertaining to climate justice. Poor households and poor people in vulnerable situations tend to allocate a larger share of their incomes to energy and to basic needs. Coal can also be a more affordable energy source than renewable energy. Implementing these response measures will have a larger impact on the livelihoods of poorer, guest workers, and vulnerable

populations (Shehabi, 2017; Timperley, 2021; Zimmer et al, 2021; KCI, 2021b, IPCC, 2022b) and, therefore, exacerbate their vulnerability. Therefore, a transition must respect and uphold the rights of groups harmed by the loss of affordable (and/or subsidized) coal and fossil fuels, including consumers and workers in extractive industries (Muttitt & Kartha, 2020).

- 59. In developing countries, various studies show that access to renewable energy sources, such as small-scale biofuel production, can aid Indigenous Peoples and other remote rural populations in securing greater energy security and higher standards of living standards, thereby reducing regional economic inequalities and even ethnic conflict (such Bhattacharyya, 2013; Gomez et al., 2015; da Silveira Bezerra et al., 2017; Renewable Energy Policy Network for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century, 2017).
- 60. In addition to mitigating climate change, the introduction of biofuels coupled with a careful selection of bioenergy feedstocks can also reduce negative impacts of response measures on people in vulnerable situations, especially those facing the risk of hunger (FAO, WFP, WHO, & UNICEF, 2017). Managing tradeoffs from balancing bioenergy demands with food and biodiversity, and with competition for land and water, will require targeted policies that stimulate changes in food systems in ways that reduce food poverty (Henry et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2019). Such ways include agricultural intensification, open trade, less consumption of animal-products, reduced food losses, advanced biotechnologies (Henry et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2019).
- 61. Negative impacts of eliminating fossil fuel subsidies can be alleviated if a subsidy reduction is accompanied with income transfers aimed at poor households or domestic production of petroleum products (Siddig et al., 2014; Vogt-Schilb et al., 2019). A study on options for mitigating adverse effects using a general equilibrium model suggests that removing subsidies on fossil fuels would create opportunities if done in tandem with complementary policies, such as agricultural productivity improvement and a reduction in trade transaction costs (Wesseh & Lin, 2017). Cash transfer programs which have been implemented in almost all countries (Beegle et al. 2018) are found central to the success of energy subsidy reforms (Rentschler & Bazilian, 2017).
- 62. In summary, examining the literature related to the phasedown of coal and the removal of inefficient fossil fuel subsidies shows these studies tend to focus on general populations or effects on poor households. Studies examining in-country effects focus largely on impacts on household income, livelihoods, and job losses, and poverty and, to a lesser degree, women. The scope of these studies reveals a gap in assessments on impacts of response measures on people in vulnerable situations.

## C. Renewable energy

- 63. The implementation of renewable energy technologies (e.g. solar (photovoltaic or concentrating solar power), wind, hydropower, but also from geothermal and biomass) is an integral component of mitigation policies consistent with 2°C and 1.5°C pathways (KCI, 2021a; IPCC, 2022b; ILO, 2022a). The energy transition away from fossil fuels has accelerated by the rapid rise in adopting renewable energy technology over the past decade partly due to the reduction of their production costs to levels competitive with those of fossil fuels in many jurisdictions (IPCC, 2022b). Models on future emissions pathways show the net-zero emissions target cannot be achieved without integrating renewable energy solutions along with other solutions (such as energy storage, energy efficiency, and others) (IEA, 2021; IPCC, 2022b). As such, international and local policymakers attempt to accelerate the transition to renewable energy through policies such as increasing renewable energy investments, implementing subsidies towards green jobs (re)training programmes; improving energy technology standards and emission regulations; and others (ILO, 2022a).
- 64. Expanding renewable energy can be a critical solution for reducing energy poverty and access to energy in various countries. Further, implementing renewable energy technologies as well as subsidies that encourage their production and adoption can result in various positive social and economic impacts, such as boosting jobs in the renewable energy sector and green jobs (OECD, 2011; ILO, 2022a; IPCC, 2022b), increasing imports of renewable energy products (if imported) and reducing electricity blackouts (KCI, 2022a).
- 65. Simultaneously, the expansion of renewables has negative effects as they also cause job losses in the industries they replace (KCI, 2022a), the displacement of communities out of land used for renewable energy production, and gender inequality (IRENA, 2019). Expanding renewables through hydropower and ground-based solar parks can cause dam-induced displacement (Kirchherr et al., 2019) and enclosure of lands designated as degraded,

displacing pastoral use by communities in vulnerable situations (Yenneti et al., 2016). These impacts constitute forms of spatial injustice (Yenneti et al., 2016).

- 66. Strategic and integrative spatial planning is thus required to ensure that land use for renewable energy does not displace households in vulnerable situations and address tradeoffs between using land for renewable energy and food production. Examples of such strategic special planning solutions include co-locating agriculture with solar photovoltaics (Barron-Gafford et al., 2019) or with wind power (Miller & Keith 2018a) and integrating renewable energy with mobility and housing (Hurlbert et al., 2019).
- 67. Renewable energy transitions in rural and impoverished locations can simultaneously reinforce and disrupt local power structures and inequalities (IPCC, 2022b), directly impacting local communities and Indigenous Peoples. Indigenous Peoples are often marginalised in development decisions on renewable energy because the burdens and risks placed on them are not properly integrated in the those decisions, while risk assessments fail to differentiate the burdens and risks of different groups, thereby reinforcing existing power imbalances (Healy et al. 2019; Kojola 2019).
- 68. The expansion of large-scale renewable energy projects (including wind and marine) can harm Indigenous Peoples and local communities because these projects are land intensive and often require access to tribal land. Also because these projects lack consultation in the set-up process (from planning to implementation stages) including on effects of women; and engage complex legal frameworks surrounding stakeholder consultation and involvement, tribal autonomy, and self-determination (Unger, 2009; Bacchiocchi et al., 2022; Kerr et al., 2015; QUNO-AIDA-GI ESCR-FWCC, 2022) that are complex for many members of local communities and Indigenous Peoples.
- 69. In a statement by the UN OHCHR (2017), indigenous communities whose lands are often used for these projects have no possibility to actively share their concerns or be heard in formal processes and often have not given their free, prior, and informed consent to the construction of projects on their lands (OHCHR, 2017; QUNO-AIDA-GI ESCR-FWCC, 2022). There are also findings that indigenous concerns are being co-opted or side-lined through formal and legal decision-making processes (Bacchiocchi et al., 2022), indicating that the formal consultation processes for assessing mitigation policies can fail to meet standards of energy justice by inadvertently giving outsize voice to lesser impacted communities because of the lack of "inclusive" processes and decision making.
- 70. Expanding large-scale renewable projects can also hurt women as they tend to have highly unequal land tenure rights and are commonly marginalized from processes of negotiation, consultation and compensation between project developers and local communities (GI-ESCR, 2020; QUNO-AIDA-GI ESCR-FWCC, 2022).
- 71. The expansion of off-grid, small-scale, decentralized, and community-based energy models can also enable households, and individuals to collectively meet their local energy needs at lower emissions levels while encouraging democratic control of new renewable energy systems. A study of impacts of small-scale solar power deployment from a gender-lens shows significant socioeconomic benefits resulting from improving access to renewable energy (Gray et al. 2019). Off-grid small-scale renewable energy also empowers women through lifting their domestic care burden and providing them leadership opportunities to learn how to install, use, and repair off-grid energy solutions (QUNO-AIDA-GI ESCR-FWCC, 2022).
- 72. Relating to impacts on women and gender equality, a study by IRENA (2019) estimates that the number of jobs in renewables could increase from 10.3 million in 2017 to nearly 29 million by 2050. Engaging women in consultation processes of renewable energy projects render them more prone to gain awareness, advocate for socioeconomic advancement (such as investments in schools, healthcare, and infrastructure) as part of compensation plans of large-scale development projects (QUNO-AIDA-GI ESCR-FWCC, 2022; IPCC, 2022b). Although the share of women in renewable energy labour (32%) is higher than their share in the total energy sector labour (22%), women might not occupy a substantial share of the new jobs in renewable energy because their participation in science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) jobs is far lower than in administrative jobs (IRENA, 2021) and the new job opportunities will thus be male-dominated (QUNO-AIDA-GI ESCR-FWCC, 2022). Measures that ensure equitable access to new technologies (such as financial support through subsidies or microcredit for poorer households) benefit women and the general population by improving access to overall energy in remote communities (Markanen & Anger-Kraavi, 2019),
- 73. Communication with local and indigenous communities is important to evaluate positive socioeconomic impacts of energy transition on them while mitigating negative impacts of on-grid energy as an imposition on self-determination (Fitzgerald & Lovekin, 2018; Mercer et al., 2020). Primary energy system concerns relate to heat

insecurity, and energy systems' dependence on external control, support, and imports. Privileging voices of indigenous community members enables the identification of community strengths associated with local energy systems while shifting focus to what issues considered most pressing energy-related challenges in their communities (Mercer et al., 2020, IPCC, 2022b). Opportunities for Indigenous inclusion reduce power imbalances between utilities and Indigenous power proponents caused by the lack of transparent information sharing and the utilities' authoritative advance (Fitzgerald & Lovekin, 2018). Motivations related to sustainable energy projects among some indigenous communities are linked to autonomy and self-determination and exerting sovereignty (Hasegawa et al., 2018; Jaffar, 2015).

- 74. Renewable energy technology is likely to reduce health risks of the elderly (IPCC, 2022b), particularly in buildings. The implementation of renewable energy-based electrification of the energy system reduces outdoor air pollution, improves indoor air quality through reducing smoke from heating and cooking (Kjellstrom & McMichael, 2013).
- 75. At a general level, it is likely that the expansion of renewable energy can increase energy access in energy-poor areas. At the same time, it can increase poverty in countries that depend on fossil fuel subsidies (Shehabi, 2022), for the following reasons.
- 76. Energy poverty is defined as the inability to attain a socially and materially necessitated level of domestic energy services<sup>4</sup>. This is caused by the interplay of three main factors, namely (a) no access (a) low affordability (low incomes and high energy prices); (b) high energy need (due to inefficient housing).
- 77. Energy poverty has been studied across EU and consider adequate levels of domestic energy services, such as space heating and cooling, water heating, lighting, cooking, usage of home appliances, and even ICT usage, are considered as essential for guaranteeing a decent standard of living for the citizens<sup>5</sup> Unlike in the EU, energy poverty studies in Asia and Africa have been limited in terms of availability, quality and quantity.
- 78. About 770 million people worldwide still live without access to electricity, mostly in developing countries in Africa and in Asia.<sup>6</sup> Energy poverty can be also a problem in developed countries, for example energy poor citizens in EU varies between 50 and 125 million people.<sup>7</sup>
- 79. Energy access issues are traditionally considered as the problem associated with access to fossil fuels, particularly where there is a lack of infrastructural development. A new form of energy access issue is now emerging in the case of low-carbon energy transition: access to low-carbon energy and low carbon systems or technology.<sup>8,9</sup>
- 80. Addressing energy poverty and climate change is critical specially to achieve SDG 7 ensuring access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for all. However, the relationship between energy access and climate actions is often overlooked in policy and practice. It is also important foreffective implementation of NDCs.<sup>10</sup> According to IRENA, to reach the sustainable development goal (SDG7) of 100% energy access by 2030, Africa would need to connect around 85 million people every year. Further, it is estimated that to address energy poverty in African countries, with increasing role of mini-grids, there is a need of about 210,000 mini-grids by 2030.<sup>11</sup>
- 81. It is estimated that in case of existing and planned climate policy measures are implemented (Stated Policy Scenario (STEP)), there will be about 670 million people remaining without access to electricity in 2030 and 2.1 billion without access to clean cooking.<sup>12</sup> IEA's landmark Net Zero Emissions by 2050 Scenario (NZE), charts a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0378778818324307</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See 'What is energy poverty?' in Ref. [2] (<u>https://www.energypoverty.eu/about/what-energypoverty</u>).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> <u>https://www.iea.org/reports/sdg7-data-and-projections/access-to-electricity</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> <u>https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/15567249.2020.1756689</u>

<sup>8 &</sup>lt;u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0305750X20302436?via%3Dihub</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2214629620303492?via%3Dihub</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> <u>https://irena.org/publications/2021/Aug/Bracing-for-climate-impact-2021</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> <u>https://www.e3g.org/news/clean-energy-access-at-scale-an-action-plan-for-governments-and-development-finance-institutions/</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> World Energy outlook, IEA 2021

narrow but achievable roadmap to a 1.5 °C stabilisation in rising global temperatures and the achievement of other energy-related sustainable development goals.<sup>13</sup>

- 82. Emerging debates linked to energy poverty include good governance, citizens' agency, new energy services, and new threats from the energy transition. In addition, there is also evidence that linked policies are helpful. For example, countries with a long tradition of addressing energy poverty, France and the UK integrate energy poverty to a greater extent in linked policies. Policy integration is reflected in EU efforts to include energy poverty in climate and energy policies.<sup>14</sup>
- 83. There is also a debate that new technologies are not always properly designed to cover the required needs of the most vulnerable people. As energy demand increases with age<sup>15,16</sup>, elderly people are likely to retain outdated and energy-inefficient home appliances because of their familiarity, even if they can afford to buy new and efficient ones.<sup>17</sup> Thus, in addition to technological focus, there is also a need to promote human-centric transition.<sup>18</sup>. Further, if an affordability-based definition of energy poverty is applied, many climate policy proposalsrisk raising the number of energy poor if not accompanied with complementary measures. <sup>19,20,21</sup> Income-targeted revenue recycling schemes are necessary as the alternative climate policy designs, to benefit households in bringing them out of energy poverty.<sup>22,23,24</sup>. A study in Japan concluded that promoting the use of 'solar energy' among those households in energy poverty, regardless of their own capacity of solar deployment, could considerably help alleviate energy poverty, when policies are designed adequately.<sup>25</sup>

## **D.** Increasing forestry

- 84. Increasing forestry is a part of nature-based mitigation policies aimed at increasing forests to develop and preserve carbon sinks. These policies have become important solutions to the high rate of build-up of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere because land use, land-use change, and forestry (LULUCF) activities increase forests and trees which are natural carbon "sinks." They absorb atmospheric carbon dioxide from the atmosphere, then accumulate it as carbon in trees, vegetation, and soils in terrestrial ecosystems, then release oxygen into the atmosphere (UNFCCC, 2017; IPCC, 2022b). This carbon sequestration ability of forests has attracted much interest as a relatively inexpensive means of addressing climate change with immediate solutions. Policies to increase forestry include Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation (REDD), Reducing Emissions from Deforestation in Developing Countries (REDD+), and payments for ecosystem services (PES) schemes which promote biodiversity conservation in forests.
- 85. Although a very important and effective mitigation measure, forestry requires access to land often inhabited and/or used by populations, particularly local communities and Indigenous Peoples, especially women. The effects of forestry of these groups have been documented in existing literature and inputs from stakeholders, as follows.
- 86. At a general level, increasing forestry schemes such as REDD and REDD+ can exacerbate income inequalities and increase risks of conflict when their ensuing financial benefits are not equally distributed, property rights are not granted to selective local beneficiaries, and people in vulnerable situations or distant forest users<sup>26</sup> are not

<sup>15</sup> <u>https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s42495-021-00069-y</u>

- <sup>20</sup> <u>https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/13/13/3389</u>
- <sup>21</sup> <u>https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/14/4/858</u>
- <sup>22</sup> https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-023-32705-2
- <sup>23</sup> <u>https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/13549839.2022.2104829</u>
- <sup>24</sup> <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0301421522004025</u>
- <sup>25</sup> https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1364032121002963#fn1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> <u>https://www.iea.org/reports/global-energy-and-climate-model/net-zero-emissions-by-2050-scenario-nze</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0301421522004025</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2214629618309629</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Proceedings of the 35th Conference on Energy, Economy, and Environment, 292-, 2019

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> <u>https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/frsc.2021.691236/full</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> <u>https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-023-32705-2</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Distant foreign users are those whose participation may be constrained by informal rules, customary laws, social norms, and bias.

provided opportunities to engage in the decision making pertaining to these schemes (Khatun et al., 2015; Nhantumbo & Camargo, 2015; Bee, 2017; Duker et al., 2018; IPCC, 2022b).

- 87. Valuing, managing, and minimising these tradeoffs and maximizing synergies can be done through specific practices, such as increased involvement of local communities and Indigenous Peoples and through benefit sharing, as well as emphasizing capacity building, finance, governance, technology transfer, investments, and development and social equity considerations with meaningful participation of Indigenous Peoples and vulnerable populations (IPCC, 2022b).
- 88. Mitigation programs such as the UN-REDD and Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (AFOLU) can respond to the needs and perspectives of multiple stakeholders to maximize co-benefits while limiting tradeoffs (IPCC, 2022b). Further, beyond reducing emissions, the regeneration of millions of trees through agroforestry systems has significant other positive impacts. It decreases erosion, provides animal fodder, recharges groundwater, generates nutrition and income benefits, thereby serving as safety nets for rural households in vulnerable situations during climate (or other) shocks (Bayala et al., 2014, 2015; Binam et al., 2015; Sinare & Gordon, 2015; Ilstedt et al., 2016). Ensuring co-benefits from land-based mitigation and other policies that reduce emissions from food systems requires greater planning and coordination among policymakers (IPCC, 2022b).
- 89. The effects on women of policies that promote increasing forestry feature in different studies. Women routinely experience discrimination and harmful outcomes in their use of land and natural resources and in gaining rights to them (World Bank, 2022). In some instances, building REDD+ strategy upon the operational framework of existing schemes and programmes (such as the Joint Forestry Management Program (JFM)) emphasizes compulsory representation of women yet lack recognition of gendered forms of injustices manifested in the way men and women access forest resources and participate in decision making processes (Michael et al., 2020). Field assessments for countering deforestation can contribute to local decisions on water technologies and develop a gender-responsive emergency preparedness program targeted at women (Women Engage for a Common Future, 2022).
- 90. The implementation of a waste recovery organic materials (such as cassava peelings and other household waste instead of firewood) to produce biogas contributes to reforestation and reduction in burn pollutions, thereby reducing respiratory and skin diseases for women and the elderly (NGO Africa Hope, 2022; IPCC, 2022b).
- 91. Afforestation or production of biomass crops, when poorly implemented, can result in negative socioeconomic and environmental impacts, and can harm local livelihoods and the rights of Indigenous Peoples if implemented at large scales and where land tenure is insecure (IPCC, 2022b).
- 92. Literature on REDD+ and increasing forestation and conservation suggests that there is little attention to the wellbeing of populations in rural and agricultural areas (Kongsager & Corbera, 2015), and that there are ensuing negative impacts on indigenous communities and indigenous women, as follows.
  - a. Indigenous and/or local rural communities and indigenous women are found at risk of deprivation of rights (Larson et al., 2013). In many cases, authorities are being empowered to arrest and prosecute people for illegal logging and encroachment of land, and confiscate land and destroy crops (Larson et al., 2013). In countries examined in the available literature, forests are primarily public land and usually formally administered by the state, which increases potential risks of land grabbing by outsiders and loss of local user rights to forests and forest land for indigenous and local rural communities (Larson et al., 2013). Within the indigenous groups, women's voices are often marginalised due to a common tendency to view members of local communities undifferentiated (Larson et al., 2013; IPCC, 2022b). Failures to recognise gender differences connected to social structures of forest communities and forest resource distribution and uses result in greater hardships for women (Killian & Hyle, 2020; Larson et al., 2015).
  - b. At a general level, initiatives for forest conservation can harm socioeconomic equality among different local communities and ethnicities, as the use of land can displace these communities' members and cause loss in their livelihoods (Bhattacharya et al., 2010; Jindal et al., 2012; Khatun et al., 2015; Robinson, Holland, & Naughton-Treves, 2014; Smith et al., 2014). Yet, these effects can be mitigated, and equality increased if communal land rights are formally acknowledged and the financial and other benefits from project participation exceed any negative impacts.
  - c. Efforts to manage and increase forestry have prevented some Indigenous Peoples and local communities from carrying out traditional environmental management practices, including rotational agriculture and animal grazing, (Haenssgen et al., 2022). Some local regulations under forestry plans continue to deprive

Indigenous Peoples of their rights by empowering authorities to confiscate their land and destroy their crops or even arrest and prosecute them for "illegal logging and encroachment of land" (UPR, 2016; Phongchiewboon et al., 2020).

- d. Policies to halt deforestation can force forest-dwelling communities and some Indigenous Peoples into a precarious existence, and undermined forest conservation goals (Henssgen et al., 2022). These impacts are due to misunderstanding Indigenous Peoples' land-tenure rights, governance autonomy, human dignity, material livelihood and cultural production (Henssgen et al., 2022). Policies of reforestation and conservation in South America, Asia and Africa have worked to legitimise state control of ancestral lands and interfere with local (often sustainable) forest management practices, thereby creating artificial pressures on land that accelerate deforestation and land degradation (Phongchiewboon et al., 2020).
- e. Some forestry and forest policies have been very successful in generating economic benefits, for example in Chile, but to the detriment of local and traditional communities (Reyes & Nelson, 2014). Creation of some exotic plantation or monocrop forests have had negative socioeconomic and environmental impacts on local communities and Indigenous Peoples, and consequently raised inequalities and conflicts at local levels (Reyes & Nelson, 2014).
- 93. The literature offers various ways in which negative impacts on local communities and Indigenous Peoples and women can be reduced. For example, the documentation of rights to land and the processes for titling or certifying rights should be accessible to men and women and should address specific barriers facing women in minority ethnic groups (World Bank, 2022c). Increasing indigenous women's access to land tenure rights allows women farmers to develop agroforestry activities while promoting ancestral knowledge, and empowers indigenous women by increasing their food security and incomes which promote gender equality (Women Engage for a Common Future, 2022). Institutionalizing payments on carbon sequestration and biodiversity conservation values of ecosystems services from global to local indigenous communities has been conceptualized as a 'win-win-win' for climate mitigation, the protection of biodiversity, and conserving indigenous culture (IPCC, 2022b). Such institutionalization occurred through the UNFCCC and UN-REDD focus on REDD+ and the UNEP program focusing on TEEB (a global initiative concerning the economics of ecosystems and biodiversity).
- 94. A study examining effects on youth and migration in the Global South shows that community forestry largely increases migration among the youth out of these areas (Brown, 2021). Those who remain are often highly dependent on forests for goods and services for their livelihood. As such, community forestry to be an effective strategy for sustainable forest management and livelihoods. However, youth have often been marginalised in benefiting from or participating in decision-making about community forests due to local, cultural, and traditional norms that give priority to older generations in decision-making (Brown, 2021).
- 95. Polices that expand urban forestry green infrastructure are likely to have positive health impacts on all people in vulnerable situations, especially the elderly. Forests and green infrastructure reduce heat stress (Kim & Coseo, 2018; IPCC, 2022b), and improve air quality by absorbing pollutants and sequestering carbon emissions (De la Sota et al., 2019; Scholz et al., 2018). In turn, these effects improve health conditions aggravated by climate change of people in vulnerable situations, particularly the elderly.

Policy or	Intergeneration	Women and	Local Communities	Youth	Elderly	Disabled	Poor				
measure	equity	gender	& Indigenous Peoples								
	↓ Carbon taxes exacerbate vulnerabilities and inequalities.										
	↓ Carbon taxes lead to higher welfare and socioeconomic losses in rural households than in urban counterparts.										
Emissions reduction mitigation policies	↓ Increased	↑ Building clean	↓ Losses in land tenure	↑ Building clean	↑ Energy	↑ Building clean	↓ Exacerbates				
	disparity in the	cookstoves	and land-use rights and	cookstoves	efficiency	cookstoves	poverty.				
	economic	empowers women	livelihood from these	improves air	alleviates energy	improves air					
	impacts (costs	as it frees them	lands.	quality and will	poverty, reduces	quality and will	↑ Urban planning,				
	and benefits)	from collecting		have health	fuel consumption	have health	transport				
	across	firewood and	↓ Increases power	benefits that	and associated	benefits that	infrastructure, and				
	generations.	cooking and other	imbalances.	extend to the	financial stress,	extend to the	automation reduce				
		domestic activities		youth.	improves health	elderly.	risk of travel and				
	↑ Downsizing	and enables their			resulting from		increases demand				
	dwelling	learning of		↑ Downsizing	health and in-door	↑ Urban	for travel.				
	through	technical skills and		dwelling through	pollution.	planning,					
	cohousing	improves health.		cohousing		transport					
	projects			projects increases	↑ Automation of	infrastructure,					
	improves			interactions of the	vehicles reduces	and automation					
	intergenerational			youth with the	risk of travel and	reduce risk of					
	equity.			elderly and	increases demand	travel for					
				peoples of other	for travel.	disabled and					
				backgrounds.		increases their					
						inclusion.					
	↓ Decreases jobs and employments in fossil fuel industries.										
	↑ Increases jobs in renewable energy and green jobs.										
	$\downarrow$ Social equality.			ſ	1	1	1				
Phasedown			↑ Small-scale biofuel				↓ Decreases				
of coal and			production can aid in				socioeconomic				
removal of			securing greater				welfare, raises				
inefficient			energy security, higher				prices of goods,				
subsidies			standards of living,				reduces energy				
			reducing regional				access,				
			economic inequalities				exacerbates				
			and ethnic conflict.				inequality				
Renewable	↓Decreases jobs a	↓Decreases jobs and employments in fossil fuel industries.									
energy	L Causes displacement of communities.										

 Table 1: Examples of impacts of response measures on people in vulnerable situations and intergenerational equity and gender considerations

 (Arrows indicate positive or negative effects)

Policy or	Intergeneration	Women and	Local Communities	Youth	Elderly	Disabled	Poor				
measure	equity	gender	& Indigenous Peoples								
	↑ Boosts jobs in renewable energy and green jobs.										
	↑ Increases imports of renewable energy which in turn, can have positive economic impacts.										
		↑ Reduces	↓ Large-scale		↑ Renewable	↑ Renewable	↑ Off-grid, small-				
		domestic burden	renewable impact land		energy-based	energy-based	scale,				
		and empowers	tenure rights if		electrification	electrification	decentralized, and				
		women in	marginalised		reduces air	reduces air	community-based				
		leadership and	marginalized from		pollution and	pollution and	energy projects				
		political	decision processes.		quality and	quality and	improve energy				
		opportunities.			improves health.	improves health.	access and have				
			↑ Some socioeconomic				significant				
		↓ Large-scale	contributions such as				socioeconomic				
		renewable projects	employment,				benefits.				
		impact land tenure	reliability, and								
		rights	community-resilience.								
			↑ Reduced								
			environmental								
			degradation and the								
			risk of fuel spills								
			affecting livelihoods.								
	↑ Improves health conditions, especially for the elderly.										
	+ Forest conservation harms socioeconomic equality among different local communities and ethnicities and the use of land displaces communities and										
	causes loss in their livelihoods.										
	↑ Reduces poverty and welfare losses in countries that depend on exports of fossil fuels.										
Increasing forestry		↑ Engagement in	↓ Land losses	†/↓ Increases							
		the consultation	$\downarrow$ Not engaged in the	migration among							
		process offers	consultation process.	the youth.							
		socioeconomic									
		advancements.	↓ Unbalanced power	↓Socioeconomic							
			↑ Engagement in the	impacts,							
			consultation process	especially if							
			reduce power	implemented at							
			imbalances and	large scales and							
			utilities authoritative	where land tenure							
			advances	18 insecure.							

## V. Conclusions

- 97. This technical paper reiterates previous conclusions of KCI technical papers on the impacts of response measures, recognising the importance of evaluating both the positive and negative socioeconomic impacts of climate change response measures on people in vulnerable situations.
- 98. While studies on impacts of mitigation measures are rising rapidly and point to the existence of various positive and negative impacts, there is relatively limited research on assessing and quantifying the social and economic impacts of response measures on people in vulnerable situations. Where the literature exists here is more focus on policy making processes and participation rather than on assessing impacts of the implementation of existing policies on people in vulnerable situations. There is also a dearth in the literature that examines impacts of proclimate actions of enterprises and business on people in vulnerable situations.
- 99. In the literature reviewed there is an evident variation and unequal coverage across and within the groups of people in vulnerable situations. The literature that engages with social identities, like gender and other identities of people in vulnerable situations, is largely adaptation-centric, t, with limited focus on mitigation. The reviewed literature can be summarized as follows.
  - a. Across all selected mitigation policies and for all identified groups of people in vulnerable situations, there are various positive as well as negative impacts and co-benefits of the implementation of response measures on them, yet there is general agreement in the literature that response measures exacerbate these groups' vulnerability.
  - **b.** People in vulnerable situations are consistently marginalised from the process of planning and implementing response measures.
  - c. Among the groups of people in vulnerable situations identified, women and the poor are groups most examined in assessments of response measures.
  - d. Climate change mitigation policies have implications for intergenerational equity, and attitudes towards them vary intergenerationally as well. For example, carbon-related mitigation policies—such as cap-and-trade schemes, carbon taxes, and personal ecological space quotas—are shown to be incompatible with principles of intra- and intergenerational justice. Another example is that policies that support downsizing dwellings through cohousing strategies can improve intergenerational equity.
  - e. Women are generally more negatively impacted by mitigation policies than men when the impacts of these policies are erroneously viewed by policymakers as gender neutral, because that results in ignoring negative impacts on women and therefore exacerbating them. Response measures that require land sue, such as the expansion of renewable energy, negatively impact women as they tend to have highly unequal land tenure rights and are commonly marginalized from decision making processes. Energy transition policies that generate new job opportunities disproportionately benefit more men than women, given that women are less represented in these industries or hold low paid or unpaid work. By contrast, women reap empowerment and socioeconomic benefits from energy transition policies that reduce their domestic burden and fuel gathering activities, as implementing these policies enables them to participate in revenue-generating activities and increase their power and political participation. Just transition policies are necessary to reduce occupational gender stereotypes, especially in the emerging green economy, and to ensure women can benefit from the created new jobs.
  - f. Local communities and Indigenous Peoples are also examined in the context of impacts of mitigation measures that affect usage and rights of their land, such as for renewable energy projects and forestry. There can be a imbalance of power in the policies impacting local communities and Indigenous Peoples, which renders their needs often ignored or represented by individuals who are not members of their communities. They can reap some socioeconomic benefits from mitigation policies that expand energy access and security but also suffer environmental degradation and possible displacement and loss of land. Indigenous knowledge can play a critical role in the success of response measures implementation.
  - g. The youth is the group that will be most impacted in the future by both climate change and implementation of response measures. The rapid rise of youth climate mobilizations globally has succeeded in showing global climate inaction as a problem change framed in the perspective of justice and intergenerational equity. Yet the youth remain marginalised from participating in decision-making pertaining to mitigation policies.

- h. The elderly and children are currently the most impacted by climate change, especially by increased heat and pollution. Mitigation policies involving energy transition and energy efficiency can improve air quality and in-door pollutions, thereby improving climate-related health condition affecting the elderly and children.
- i. Disabled people are almost absent from the assessments of impacts of response measures on people in vulnerable situations or larger populations. Mitigation policies that increase electrification and automation can reduce risks for the disabled and improve energy and transport accessibility as well as health conditions.
- j. The poor feature in various studies of impacts of response measures on them, possibly because the largest impacts of climate change would fall on them. The implementation of response measures such as carbon taxes, phasing out of coal and reduction of fossil fuel subsidies can affect poor households and particularly rural ones (which tend to be poorer than their urban counterparts), by raising energy and non-energy prices and exacerbating their poverty and welfare losses. Labour working in those industries will also be negatively affected through job and welfare losses, although some would benefit from retraining and opportunities in new clean energy sectors.
- 100. Two common themes emerge in the studies and inputs from stakeholders reviewed in this technical paper. First, that stakeholder engagement at the national level and wider engagement are necessary to better understand the impacts of response measures on vulnerable peoples. Second, that the success of the implementation of response measures requires engaging people in vulnerable situations in the process of designing and implementing response measures, The examined literature shows that the success and effectiveness of mitigation policies increases by incorporating diverse knowledge and input from stakeholders from different groups within the groups of people in vulnerable situations and by implementing just transition policies.
- 101. The analysis and conclusions of this technical paper point to an urgent need for further research for measuring potential and actual impacts of response measures on the people in vulnerable situations, for incorporating the ensuing research results in the design of response measures, and for designing policies that reduce negative impacts of response measures on people in vulnerable situations.
- 102.Response measures need to be framed, understood, and implemented from a lens that prioritises concepts of gender justice and equality, intergenerational equity, energy democracy, as well as the rights of local communities and Indigenous Peoples and of the youth, elderly, and disabled.
- 103. As data on people in vulnerable situations are not always readily available in economic and labour force data, the research needs to also include qualitative analysis as well as primary research based on direct input and engagement from the vulnerable groups on their experiences and knowledge.
- 104. The private sector can also be a channel for such meaningful engagement and for creating jobs that benefit people in vulnerable situations, government policies on enterprise and business development play a critical role in successful climate action. These policies can support businesses to implement mitigation policies in a manner that extends meaningful engagement to actions that minimise the negative and maximise the positive impacts of these measures on people in vulnerable situations.
- 105.Although gender, educational activities related to youth and the role of indigenous peoples are included into a number of NDCs the other groups in vulnerable groups still need attention while updating NDCs.

#### **VI. References**

- Albrecht, G., Sartore, G.-M., Connor, L., Higginbotham, N., Freeman, S., Kelly, B., Stain, H., Tonna, A., & Pollard, G. (2007). Solastalgia: The Distress Caused by Environmental Change. *Australasian Psychiatry*, 15(1\_suppl), S95–S98. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10398560701701288</u>
- Aldy, J., Pizer, W., Tavoni, M., Reis, L. A., Akimoto, K., Blanford, G., Carraro, C., Clarke, L. E., Edmonds, J., Iyer, G. C., McJeon, H. C., Richels, R., Rose, S., & Sano, F. (2016). Economic tools to promote transparency and comparability in the Paris Agreement. *Nature Climate Change*, 6(11), 1000–1004. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3106
- Auld, J., Sokolov, V., & Stephens, T. S. (2017). Analysis of the Effects of Connected–Automated Vehicle Technologies on Travel Demand. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, 2625(1), 1–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.3141/2625-01</u>
- Bacchiocchi, E., Sant, I., & Bates, A. (2022). Energy justice and the co-opting of indigenous narratives in U.S. offshore wind development. *Renewable Energy Focus*, *41*, 133–142. ISSN 1755-0084. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ref.2022.02.008
- Barron-Gafford, G. A., Pavao-Zuckerman, M. A., Minor, R. L., Sutter, L. F., Barnett-Moreno, I., Blackett, D. T., Thompson, M., Dimond, K., Gerlak, A. K., Nabhan, G. P., & Macknick, J. E. (2019). Agrivoltaics provide mutual benefits across the food–energy–water nexus in drylands. *Nature Sustainability*, 2(9), 848–855. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0364-5</u>
- Bayala, J., Sanou, J., Teklehaimanot, Z., Kalinganire, A., & Ouédraogo, S. (2014). Parklands for buffering climate risk and sustaining agricultural production in the Sahel of West Africa. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 6, 28–34. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2013.10.004</u>
- Bayala, J., Sanou, J., Teklehaimanot, Z., Ouedraogo, S. J., Kalinganire, A., Coe, R., & Noordwijk, M. van. (2015). Advances in knowledge of processes in soil-tree-crop interactions in parkland systems in the West African Sahel: A review. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 205, 25–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2015.02.018
- Binam, J. N., Place, F., Kalinganire, A., Hamade, S., Boureima, M., Tougiani, A., Dakouo, J., Mounkoro, B., Diaminatou, S., Badji, M., Diop, M., Babou, A. B., & Haglund, E. (2015). Effects of farmer managed natural regeneration on livelihoods in semi-arid West Africa. *Environmental Economics and Policy Studies*, 17(4), 543–575. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10018-015-0107-4
- Bonilla-Moheno, M., & García-Frapolli, E. (2012). Conservation in Context: A Comparison of Conservation Perspectives in a Mexican Protected Area. Sustainability, 4(9), 2317–2333. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/su4092317</u>
- Bonsucro (2022). *Inputs from Bonsucro to the Sixth Meeting of the KCI-Activities 5, 9 and 11*. London. Bonsucro Ltd. Retrieved from: Bonsucro Input-to-activities-5-9-11-of-KCI.pdf (unfccc.int).
- Brown, C. P. (2021) Youth, migration and community forestry in the Global South, *Forests, Trees and Livelihoods*, 30:3, 213-225, DOI: 10.1080/14728028.2021.1958065
- Camprubí, L., Malmusi, D., Mehdipanah, R., Palència, L., Molnar, A., Muntaner, C., & Borrell, C. (2016). Façade insulation retrofitting policy implementation process and its effects on health equity determinants: A realist review. *Energy Policy*, 91, 304–314. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2016.01.016</u>
- CBCGDF (2022). Inputs from China Biodiversity Conservation and Green Development Foundation (CBCGDF) to the Sixth Meeting of the KCI-Activity 9. Retrieved from: Inputs from BCGDF Observer China Act.9.pdf (unfccc.int).
- Claeys, P., & Delgado Pugley, D. (2017). Peasant and indigenous transnational social movements engaging with climate justice. *Canadian Journal of Development Studies / Revue Canadienne d'études Du Développement*, 38(3), 325–340. https://doi.org/10.1080/02255189.2016.1235018
- Clayton, S., Devine-Wright, P., Stern, P. C., Whitmarsh, L., Carrico, A., Steg, L., Swim, J., & Bonnes, M. (2015). Psychological research and global climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, *5*(7), 640–646. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2622</u>
- Climate Strategies (2022. *Inputs from Climate Strategies to the Sixth Meeting of the KCI-Activity 9*. Retrieved from: Inputs from Climate Strategies\_Act.9.pdf (unfccc.int).
- Colenbrander, S., Sudmant, A., Chilundika, N., & Gouldson, A. (2019). The scope for low-carbon development in Kigali, Rwanda: An economic appraisal. *Sustainable Development*, 27(3), 349–365. https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.1906

- Copland, S. (2020). Anti-politics and Global Climate Inaction: The Case of the Australian Carbon Tax. *Critical Sociology*, *46*(4–5), 623–641. https://doi.org/10.1177/0896920519870230
- De la Sota, C., Ruffato-Ferreira, V. J., Ruiz-García, L., & Alvarez, S. (2019). Urban green infrastructure as a strategy of climate change mitigation. A case study in northern Spain. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 40, 145–151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2018.09.004
- De Maio, L., Stewart, F., & Hoeven, R. (1999). Computable General Equilibrium Models, Adjustment and the Poor in Africa. World Development, 27, 453–470. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X(98)00143-0
- Di Persio, J. (2019). ERP Gender Table Costa Rica. Unpublished internal document, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Djoudi, H., & Brockhaus, M. (2011). Is adaptation to climate change gender neutral?: Lessons from communities dependent on livestock and forests in northern Mali. *International Forestry Review*. <u>https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/20856</u>
- Douenne, T., & Fabre, A. (2020). French attitudes on climate change, carbon taxation and other climate policies. *Ecological Economics*, *169*, 106496. <u>https://doi</u>.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2019.106496
- FAO, WFP, WHO, & UNICEF (2017). The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2017: Building Resilience for Peace and Food Security. Rome: FAO. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.fao.org/3/I9553EN/i9553en.pdf</u>
- Fitzgerald, E., & Lovekin, D. (2018). Renewable energy partnerships and project economics: research supporting indigenous-utility partnerships and power purchase agreements. Retrieved from: Pembina Institute website: <u>https://www.pembina.org/reports/re-partnerships-and-project-economics.pdf</u>.
- Fritze, J. G., Blashki, G. A., Burke, S., & Wiseman, J. (2008). Hope, despair and transformation: Climate change and the promotion of mental health and wellbeing. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*, 2(1), 13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-4458-2-13</u>
- Fujimori, S., Hasegawa, T., Rogelj, J., Su, X., Havlik, P., Krey, V., Takahashi, K., & Riahi, K. (2018). Inclusive climate change mitigation and food security policy under 1.5\hspace0.167em°C climate goal. *Environmental Research Letters*, 13(7), 074033. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aad0f7
- GI-ESCR (Global Initiative for Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Renewable Energy and Gender Justice) (2020). *Renewable Energy and Gender Justice*. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.gi-escr.org/publications/renewable-energy-and-gender-justice-briefing-paper</u>.
- Government of Ghana (2022). Inputs from Ghana to the Sixth Meeting of the KCI-Activities 5, 9 and 11. Ghana. Retrieved from:

https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/SUBMISSION%20OF%20GHANA%20WORK%20ACTIVITY %209.pdf

- Grady-Benson, J., & Sarathy, B. (2016). Fossil fuel divestment in US higher education: Student-led organising for climate justice. *Local Environment*, 21(6), 661–681. <u>https://doi</u>.org/10.1080/13549839.2015.1009825
- Haenssgen, M. J., Lechner, A. M., Rakotonarivo, S., Leepreecha, P., Sakboon, M., Chu, T.-W., Auclair, E., & Vlaev, I. (2022). Implementation of the COP26 declaration to halt forest loss must safeguard and include Indigenous Peoples. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 6(3), 235–236. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-021-01650-6</u>
- Han, H., & Ahn, S. W. (2020). Youth Mobilization to Stop Global Climate Change: Narratives and Impact. Sustainability, 12(10), 4127. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/su12104127</u>
- Harper, C. D., Hendrickson, C. T., Mangones, S., & Samaras, C. (2016). Estimating potential increases in travel with autonomous vehicles for the non-driving, elderly and people with travel-restrictive medical conditions. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 72, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2016.09.003
- Hasegawa, T., Fujimori, S., Havlík, P., Valin, H., Bodirsky, B. L., Doelman, J. C., Fellmann, T., Kyle, P., Koopman, J. F. L., Lotze-Campen, H., Mason-D'Croz, D., Ochi, Y., Pérez Domínguez, I., Stehfest, E., Sulser, T. B., Tabeau, A., Takahashi, K., Takakura, J., van Meijl, H., Witzke, P. (2018). Risk of increased food insecurity under stringent global climate change mitigation policy. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(8), 699–703. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0230-x
- Helferty, A., & Clarke, A. (2009). Student-led campus climate change initiatives in Canada. International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education, 10(3), 287–300. <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/14676370910972594</u>

- Henry, R. C., Engström, K., Olin, S., Alexander, P., Arneth, A., & Rounsevell, M. D. A. (2018). Food supply and bioenergy production within the global cropland planetary boundary. *PLOS ONE*, 13(3), e0194695. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194695</u>
- Hurlbert, M. et al. (2019). "Risk management and decision making in relation to sustainable development." In: *Climate Change and Land An IPCC Special Report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems* [Shukla, P.R. et al., (eds.)], IPCC.
- IEA [International Energy Agency] (2022). SDG 7 Data and Projections: Access to Electricity. Retrieved from: https://www.iea.org/reports/sdg7-data-and-projections/access-to-electricity
- ILO (2022a). Inputs from International Labour Office (ILO) to the Sixth Meeting of the KCI-Activity 9. Retrieved from: Inputs from ILO\_Act.9.pdf (unfccc.int).
- ILO (2022b). Just transition: An essential pathway to achieving gender equality and social justice. https://www4.unfccc.int/sites/SubmissionsStaging/Documents/202204141910---ILO%20submission%20-%20Just%20transition%20-%20An%20essential%20pathway%20to%20achieving%20gender%20equality%20and%20social%20justice. pdf
- Ilstedt, U., Bargués Tobella, A., Bazié, H. R., Bayala, J., Verbeeten, E., Nyberg, G., Sanou, J., Benegas, L., Murdiyarso, D., Laudon, H., Sheil, D., & Malmer, A. (2016). Intermediate tree cover can maximize groundwater recharge in the seasonally dry tropics. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1), 21930. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep21930
- IPCC (2018). Global warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty [V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, H. O. Pörtner, D. Roberts, J. Skea, P.R. Shukla, A. Pirani, W. Moufouma-Okia, C. Péan, R. Pidcock, S. Connors, J. B. R. Matthews, Y. Chen, X. Zhou, M. I. Gomis, E. Lonnoy, T. Maycock, M. Tignor, T. Waterfield (eds.)]. In Press.
- IPCC (2022a). Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [H.-O. Pörtner, D.C. Roberts, M. Tignor, E.S. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Craig, S. Langsdorf, S. Löschke, V. Möller, A. Okem, B. Rama (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press. In Press.
- IPCC (2022b). Climate Change 2022 Mitigation of Climate Change: Working Group III Contribution to the -Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Sixth Assessment Report. Retrieved from: https://report.ipcc.ch/ar6wg3/pdf/IPCC\_AR6\_WGIII\_FinalDraft\_FullReport.pdf.
- IRENA. (2019). Renewable Energy: A Gender Perspective. IRENA, Abu Dhabi.
- Iocca, L. & Fidélis, T. (2021). Traditional communities, territories and climate change in the literature case studies and the role of law, *Climate and Development*, DOI: 10.1080/17565529.2021.1949573
- Jaffar, A. (2015). Establishing a clean economy or strengthening Indigenous sovereignty: conflicting & complementary narratives for energy transitions (Master's thesis). Retrieved from<u>https://atrium.lib.uoguelph.ca/xmlui/bitstream/handle/10214/9230/Jaffar\_Atiya\_201509\_MA.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y.</u>
- Jakob, M., Steckel, J. C., Klasen, S., Lay, J., Grunewald, N., Martínez-Zarzoso, I., Renner, S., & Edenhofer, O. (2014). Feasible mitigation actions in developing countries. *Nature Climate Change*, 4(11), 961–968. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2370</u>
- KCI (2021a). Enhancing the capacity and understanding of Parties, through collaboration and input from stakeholders, on the assessment and analysis of the impacts of the implementation of response measures to facilitate the undertaking of economic diversification and transformation and just transition. KCI/2021/5/4.
- KCI (2021b). Facilitating development, enhancement, customization and use of tools and methodologies for modelling and assessing the impacts of implementation of response measures, including identifying and reviewing existing tools and approaches in data-poor environments, in consultation with technical experts, practitioners and other relevant stakeholders. KCI/2021/5/5.
- Kerr, S., Colton, J., Johnson, K., & Wright, G. (2015). Rights and ownership in sea country: Implications of marine renewable energy for indigenous and local communities. *Marine Policy*, 52, 108–115. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2014.11.002</u>
- Khandker, S. R., Samad, H. A., Ali, R., & Barnes, D. F. (2014). Who Benefits Most from Rural Electrification? Evidence in India. *The Energy Journal*, *35*(2). <u>https://doi.org/10.5547/01956574.35.2.4</u>

- Killian, B., & Hyle, M. (2020). Women's marginalization in participatory forest management: Impacts of responsibilization in Tanzania. Forest Policy and Economics, 118, 102252. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2020.102252</u>
- Kim, G., & Coseo, P. (2018). Urban Park Systems to Support Sustainability: The Role of Urban Park Systems in Hot Arid Urban Climates. *Forests*, 9(7), 439. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/f9070439</u>
- Kimemia, D., & Annegarn, H. (2016). Domestic LPG interventions in South Africa: Challenges and lessons. *Energy Policy*, 93, 150–156. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2016.03.005</u>
- Kirchherr, J., Ahrenshop, M.-P., & Charles, K. (2019). Resettlement lies: Suggestive evidence from 29 large dam projects. World Development, 114, 208–219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2018.10.003
- Kjellstrom, T., & McMichael, A. J. (2013). Climate change threats to population health and well-being: The imperative of protective solutions that will last. *Global Health Action*, 6(1), 20816. https://doi.org/10.3402/gha.v6i0.20816
- Klenert, D., & Mattauch, L. (2016). How to make a carbon tax reform progressive: The role of subsistence consumption. *Economics Letters*, *138*, 100–103. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2015.11.019</u>
- Kumar, S., & Rauniyar, G. (2018). The impact of rural electrification on income and education: Evidence from Bhutan. *Review of Development Economics*, 22(3), 1146–1165. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/rode.12378</u>
- Lacroix, E., & Chaton, C. (2015). Fuel poverty as a major determinant of perceived health: The case of France. *Public Health*, 129(5), 517–524. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2015.02.007</u>
- Larson, A. M., Dokken, T., Duchelle, A. E., Atmadja, S., Resosudarmo, I. A. P., Cronkleton, P., Cromberg, M., Sunderlin, W., Awono, A., & Selaya, G. (2015). The role of women in early REDD+ implementation: Lessons for future engagement. *International Forestry Review*, 17(1), 43–65. https://doi.org/10.1505/146554815814725031
- Lazarus, M., & van Asselt, H. (2018). Fossil fuel supply and climate policy: Exploring the road less taken. *Climatic Change*, *150*(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-018-2266-3
- Lietaert, M. (2010). Cohousing's relevance to degrowth theories. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *18*(6), 576–580. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2009.11.016
- Liu, J.-Y., Fujimori, S., & Masui, T. (2016). Temporal and spatial distribution of global mitigation cost: INDCs and equity. *Environmental Research Letters*, 11(11), 114004. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/11/114004
- Lockwood, M. (2015). Fossil Fuel Subsidy Reform, Rent Management and Political Fragmentation in Developing Countries. *New Political Economy*, 20(4), 475–494. https://doi.org/10.1080/13563467.2014.923826
- López-González, A., Domenech, B., & Ferrer-Martí, L. (2020). The gendered politics of rural electrification:
- Education, indigenous communities, and impacts for the Venezuelan Guajira. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 70, 101776. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2020.101776</u>
- Maestre-Andrés, S., Drews, S., & van den Bergh, J. (2019). Perceived fairness and public acceptability of carbon pricing: A review of the literature. *Climate Policy*, *19*(9), 1186–1204. https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2019.1639490
- Markkanen, S., and A. Anger-Kraavi, (2019): Social impacts of climate change mitigation policies and 50 their implications for inequality. *Climate Policy*, 19(7), 827–844, 51 doi:10.1080/14693062.2019.1596873.
- Martinez, L. M., & Viegas, J. M. (2017). Assessing the impacts of deploying a shared self-driving urban mobility system: An agent-based model applied to the city of Lisbon, Portugal. *International Journal of Transportation Science and Technology*, 6(1), 13–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijtst.2017.05.005
- Massar, M., Reza, I., Rahman, S. M., Abdullah, S. M. H., Jamal, A., & Al-Ismail, F. S. (2021). Impacts of Autonomous Vehicles on Greenhouse Gas Emissions—Positive or Negative? *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(11), 5567. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18115567
- McDonald, S., Shutes, L, Thierfelder, K., Shehabi, M. (2020). Assessing impacts of the implementation of response measures: The case study of Senegal and Kenya: A Computable General Equilibrium Analysis. Report published with the UNFCCC.
- Mercer, M., Parker, P., Hudson, A., Martin, D. (2020) Off-grid energy sustainability in Nunatukavut, Labrador: Centering Inuit voices on heat insecurity in diesel-powered communities, *Energy Research & Social Science* 62:101382.
- Metcalf, G. E. (2009). Market-Based Policy Options to Control U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 23(2), 5–27. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.23.2.5
- Michael, K., Shrivastava, M. K., Hakhu, A., & Bajaj, K. (2020). A two-step approach to integrating gender justice into mitigation policy: examples from India, *Climate Policy*, 20:7, 800-814, DOI: 10.1080/14693062.2019.1676688

- Miller, L. M., & Keith, D. W. (2018). Observation-based solar and wind power capacity factors and power densities. *Environmental Research Letters*, 13(10), 104008. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aae102
- Muttitt, G., & Kartha, S. (2020). Equity, climate justice and fossil fuel extraction: Principles for a managed phase out. *Climate Policy*, 20(8), 1024–1042. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2020.1763900</u>
- NGO Africa Hope (2022). *Inputs from NGO Africa Hope to the Sixth Meeting of the KCI-Activity 9*. Retrieved from: <u>Inputs from NGO Africa Hope Act.9.pdf (unfccc.int)</u>.
- Osman-Elasha, B. (2020). *Women...In The Shadow of Climate Change*. UN Chronicle. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.un.org/en/chronicle/article/womenin-shadow-climate-change</u>
- Ormandy, D., & Ezratty, V. (2016). Thermal discomfort and health: Protecting the susceptible from excess cold and excess heat in housing. *Advances in Building Energy Research*, *10*(1), 84–98. https://doi.org/10.1080/17512549.2015.1014845
- Page, E. (1999). Intergenerational Justice and Climate Change. *Political Studies*, 47(1), 53–66. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9248.00187
- Payne, J., & Weatherall, D. (2015). *Capturing the "multiple benefits" of energy efficiency in practice: The UK example.*
- Peters, J., & Sievert, M. (2016). Impacts of rural electrification revisited the African context. *Journal of Development Effectiveness*, 8(3), 327–345. https://doi.org/10.1080/19439342.2016.1178320
- Phongchiewboon, A., Holland, J., Hytten, K., & Farrelly, T. (2020). Political ecology, privation and sustainable livelihoods in northern Thailand's national parks. *Journal of Political Ecology*, 27(1). https://doi.org/10.2458/v27i1.23753
- Piggot, G., Boyland, M., Down, A., Raluca Torre, A. (2019): *Realizing a just and equitable transition away from fossil fuels*. SEI discussion brief January 2019, Stockholm Environment Institute. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.sei.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/realizing-a-just-and-equitable-transition-away-from-fossil-fuels.pdf</u>
- Reyes, R., & Nelson, H. (2014). Tale of Two Forests: Why Forests and Forest Conflicts are Both Growing in Chile. International Forestry Review. <u>https://doi.org/10.1505%2F146554814813484121</u>
- QUNO-AIDA-GI ESCR-FWCC (2022). Inputs from the Quaker United Nations Office (QUNO), the Interamerican Association for Environmental Defense (AIDA), The Global Initiative for Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (GI ESCR) and the Friends World Committee for Consultation (FWCC), Inputs from the Department of Finance of the Philippines to the Sixth Meeting of the KCI-Activity 9. Retrieved from: Inputs from QUNO-AIDA- GI-ESCR FWCC\_Act.9.pdf (unfccc.int).
- Sahn, D., Dorosh, P. & Younger, S. (1996). Exchange rate, fiscal and agricultural policies in Africa: Does adjustment hurt the poor? World Development, 24 (4), 719-748.
- Sanchez-Guevara, C., Núñez Peiró, M., Taylor, J., Mavrogianni, A., & Neila González, J. (2019). Assessing population vulnerability towards summer energy poverty: Case studies of Madrid and London. *Energy and Buildings*, 190, 132–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2019.02.024
- Sanson, A. V., Van Hoorn, J., & Burke, S. E. L. (2019). Responding to the Impacts of the Climate Crisis on Children and Youth. *Child Development Perspectives*, *13*(4), 201–207. https://doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12342
- Scholz, T., Hof, A., & Schmitt, T. (2018). Cooling Effects and Regulating Ecosystem Services Provided by Urban Trees—Novel Analysis Approaches Using Urban Tree Cadastre Data. *Sustainability*, 10(3), 712. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10030712
- Schuppert, F. (2011). Climate change mitigation and intergenerational justice. *Environmental Politics*, 20(3), 303–321. https://doi.org/10.1080/09644016.2011.573351
- Sinare, H., & Gordon, L. J. (2015). Ecosystem services from woody vegetation on agricultural lands in Sudano-Sahelian West Africa. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 200, 186–199. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2014.11.009</u>
- Shehabi, M. (2017). Assessing Kuwaiti Energy Pricing Reforms. Oxford Institute for Energy Studies. https://doi.org/10.26889/9781784670931
- Shehabi, M. (2022). Modeling long-term impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and oil price declines on Gulf oil economies. *Economic Modelling*, *112*, 105849. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2022.105849</u>
- Shehabi, M., Luciani, G., Mansouri, N., Westphal, K., Fattouh, B., & Shihab-Eldin, A. (2021). International Cooperation to Accelerate Development and Deployment of Circular Carbon Economy, Policy Brief T20 Italy- Task Force 2: Climate Change, Sustainable Energy & Environment. T20: Rome. https://www.t20italy.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/TF2-7bis.pdf

- Shi, X. (2013). The spillover effects of carbon footprint labelling on less developed countries. *Development Policy Review*. 31, 239–254.
- Siddig, K., Aguiar, A., Grethe, H., Minor, P., Walmsley, T. (2014). Impacts of removing fuel import subsidies in Nigeria on poverty, *Energy Policy*, Volume 69, June 2014, Pages 165-178.
- Sonnleitner, J., Friedrich, M., & Richter, E. (2021). Impacts of highly automated vehicles on travel demand: Macroscopic modeling methods and some results. *Transportation*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11116-021-10199-z</u>
- Sovacool, B. K. (2021). Who are the victims of low-carbon transitions? Towards a political ecology of climate change mitigation. *Energy Research and Social Science*, 73, a101916 1-16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2021.101916
- State of California (2018). Governor's Office of Planning and Research- State of California. *Defining Vulnerable Communities in the Context of Climate Adaptation.*
- Stefanelli, R.D.; Walker, C.; Kornelsen, D.; Lewis, D.; Martin, D.H.; Masuda, J.; Richmond, C. A.; Root, E.; Neufeld, H. T.; & Castleden, H. (2018). Renewable energy and energy autonomy: how Indigenous Peoples in Canada are shaping an energy future. *Environmental Reviews*, 27 (1) (2018), pp. 95-105
- Stiglitz, J. E. (2019). Addressing climate change through price and non-price interventions. *European Economic Review*, *119*, 594–612. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroecorev.2019.05.007</u>
- Temper, L., Avila, S., Bene, D. D., Gobby, J., Kosoy, N., Billon, P. L., Martinez-Alier, J., Perkins, P., Roy, B., Scheidel, A., & Walter, M. (2020). Movements shaping climate futures: A systematic mapping of protests against fossil fuel and low-carbon energy projects. *Environmental Research Letters*, 15(12), 123004. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abc197</u>
- Thomson, H., Simcock, N., Bouzarovski, S., & Petrova, S. (2019). Energy poverty and indoor cooling: An overlooked issue in Europe. *Energy and Buildings*, 196, 21–29. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2019.05.014</u>
- Timperley, J. (2021). The fight to end Fossil Fuel Subsidies.
- UN (2019). World Population Ageing 2019. UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/publications/pdf/ageing/WorldPopulationAgeing2019-</u> <u>Highlights.pdf</u>
- UN (n.d.a). *Indigenous Peoples at the United Nations*. UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.un.org/development/desa/indigenouspeoples/about-us.html</u>
- UN (n.d.b). Global Issues: Youth. Retrieved from: https://www.un.org/en/global-issues/youth.
- UN Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues Seventh session (2008). *Issue paper on Indigenous Peoples and climate change*. (E/C.19/2008/CRP.2 7). New York.
- UNACC (1998). Statement of Commitment for Action to Eradicate Poverty Adopted by Administrative Committee on Coordination. ECOSOC/5759. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.un.org/press/en/1998/19980520.eco5759.html</u>
- UNCRPD (2006). United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities.
- UNDP (2015). Fast Facts: Youth and Climate Change. Retrieved from:
  - https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/FF-Youth-Engagement-Climate%20Change\_ Nov2015.pdf
- UNDP (n.d.). *Harnessing gender equality within NDC Process for accelerating developmental outcomes*. NDC Support Program. Retrieved from:

https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/02.%20Session%20IV.%20OLBRISCH%2C%20Susanne.pdf

- UNDRIP (2007). United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples. Retrieved from: https://www.un.org/esa/socdev/unpfii/documents/DRIPS\_en.pdf
- UNFCCC (1992). United National Framework Convention on Climate Change. Retrieved from: https://unfccc.int/resource/docs/convkp/conveng.pdf
- UNFCCC (2022). Response Measures. Retrieved from:

https://unfccc.int/topics/mitigation/workstreams/response-measures

- UNFCCC (2008). Consideration of information on potential environmental, economic and social consequences, including spillover effects, of tools, policies, measures and methodologies available to Annex I Parties (FCCC/KP/AWG/2008/L.17).
- UNFCCC (2015). Report of the Conference of the Parties on its twenty-first session, held in Paris from 30 November to 13 December 2015, Addendum.

https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/docs/2015/cop21/eng/10a01.pdf

- UNFCCC (2018). Report on Considerations regarding the vulnerable groups, communities and ecosystems in the context of the national adaptation plans, Least Development Countries Expert Group. Retrieved from: <u>https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/Considerations% 20regarding% 20vulnerable.p</u> df
- UNFCCC (2021b). Report on the 5th meeting of the Katowice Committee of Experts on the Impacts of the Implementation of Response Measures, <u>Meeting Report\_KCI5\_for\_publication.pdf (unfccc.int)</u>
- UNFCCC (2021a, March 02). UN Chief Calls for Immediate Global Action to Phase Out Coal. Retrieved from: https://unfccc.int/news/un-chief-calls-for-immediate-global-action-to-phase-out-coal
- UN OHCHR (2012). Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights: Implementing the United Nations "Protect, Respect and Remedy" Framework. Retrieved from:
  - https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/Documents/Publications/GuidingPrinciplesBusinessHR\_EN.pdf
- UN OHCHR (2017). End of mission Statement by the Special Rapporteur on the rights of Indigenous Peoples on her mission to Mexico.
- Unger, K.R. (2009). Change is in the wind: Self-determination and wind power through tribal energy resource agreements. *Loyola Los Angeles Law Rev.* 43, 329–372.
- Vahidi, A., & Sciarretta, A. (2018). Energy saving potentials of connected and automated vehicles. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 95, 822–843. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2018.09.001
- Venn, A. (2019). 24—Social justice and climate change. In T. M. Letcher (Ed.), *Managing Global Warming* (pp. 711–728). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814104-5.00024-7
- Vogt-Schilb, A., Walsh, B., Feng, K., Di Capua, L., Liu, Y., Zuluaga, D., Robles, M., & Hubaceck, K. (2019). Cash transfers for pro-poor carbon taxes in Latin America and the Caribbean. *Nature Sustainability*, 2(10), 941– 948. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0385-0
- Vrontisi, Z., Luderer, G., Saveyn, B., Keramidas, K., Lara, A. R., Baumstark, L., Bertram, C., Boer, H. S. de, Drouet, L., Fragkiadakis, K., Fricko, O., Fujimori, S., Guivarch, C., Kitous, A., Krey, V., Kriegler, E., Broin, E. Ó., Paroussos, L., & Vuuren, D. van. (2018). Enhancing global climate policy ambition towards a 1.5°C stabilization: A short-term multi-model assessment. *Environmental Research Letters*, 13(4), 044039. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aab53e
- Wesseh, P. K., & Lin, B. (2017). Options for mitigating the adverse effects of fossil fuel subsidies removal in Ghana. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 141, 1445–1453. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.09.214
- WECF (2022). Inputs from Women Engage for a Common Future (WECF) to the Sixth Meeting of the KCI-Activity 9. Retrieved from: Inputs from WECF\_Act.9.pdf (unfccc.int).
- WHO [World Health Organization] (2022, January 20). WHO publishes new global data on the use of clean and polluting fuels for cooking by fuel type, Retrieved from: <u>https://www.who.int/news/item/20-01-2022-who-publishes-new-global-data-on-the-use-of-clean-and-polluting-fuels-for-cooking-by-fuel-type#:~:text=One%20third%20of%20the%20global,%2D%20and%20middle%2Dincome%20countries.</u>
- Wilson, J. & Chu, E. (2020). The embodied politics of climate change: analysing the gendered division of environmental labour in the UK, *Environmental Politics*, 29:6, 1085-1104, DOI: 10.1080/09644016.2019.1629170
- World Bank (2022a). World Bank Open Data. Retrieved from: https://data.worldbank.org/indicator

World Bank (2022b). *Tanzania Has Much to Gain by Expanding Women's Access to Opportunities*. Washington D. C.: World Bank. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/press-</u>

release/2022/03/01/tanzania-has-much-to-gain-by-expanding-women-s-access-toopportunities

World Bank (2022c). *Gender Equity in Land and Forest Tenure in REDD+ Programming*. Washington D. C.: World Bank. Retrieved

from: <u>https://www.forestcarbonpartnership.org/sites/fcp/files/2022/MArch/gender\_equity\_i</u> n\_land\_and\_forest\_tenure\_deepdivecountryscans.pdf

WOW [Work and Opportunities for Women] (2021). Women's Economic Empowerment and Climate Change: A Primer.

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_d ata/file/980912/Guidance3-WEE-Climate-Change-Primer.pdf

- Xu, Y., & Ramanathan, V. (2017). Well below 2 °C: Mitigation strategies for avoiding dangerous to catastrophic climate changes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(39), 10315–10323. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1618481114</u>
- Yang, H., & Suh, S. (2021). Economic disparity among generations under the Paris Agreement. Nature Communications, 12(1), 5663. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25520-8</u>
- Yenneti, K., Day, R., & Golubchikov, O. (2016). Spatial justice and the land politics of renewables: Dispossessing vulnerable communities through solar energy mega-projects. *Geoforum*, 76, 90–99. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2016.09.004</u>

## Annex I. Relevant information in the NDC synthesis report (FCCC/PA/CMA/2021/8/Rev.1), dated 25 October 2021

\* The synthesis report synthesizes information from the 165 latest available NDCs recorded in the interim NDC registry as at 12 October 2021. Paragraphs 20-23 are relevant for this Technical Paper

20. Many Parties referred to formal arrangements in place for **domestic stakeholder consultation**. Most of them indicated that they conducted consultations and engagement in an inclusive and participatory manner, with some Parties specifically referencing **gender sensitive consultations**.

21. Parties are increasingly recognizing gender integration as a means to enhance the ambition and effectiveness of their climate action. Most Parties provided information related to gender in their NDCs and many affirmed that they will take gender into account in implementing them. 10 Of the Parties that referenced gender in their previous NDCs, most elaborated more on the topic in their new or updated NDCs. Some included information on how gender had been or was planned to be mainstreamed in NDC implementation.

22. Some Parties described the role of **local communities and the role, situation and rights of indigenous peoples** in the context of their NDCs, describing the specific vulnerabilities of indigenous peoples that are particular to their circumstances, the importance of drawing on indigenous and local knowledge to strengthen climate efforts, and arrangements to enable greater participation in and contributions to climate action by indigenous peoples.

23. Almost all Parties provided information on using one or more **ACE elements to promote implementation of mitigation and adaptation activities**, and in their new or updated NDCs Parties generally communicated more clearly and in more detail on general principles, past achievements, future commitments, and needs and gaps in relation to ACE.

(ACE denotes work under Article 12 of the Paris Agreement; its objective is to empower all members of society to engage in climate action through education, training, public awareness, public participation, public access to information, and international cooperation on these issues (the six ACE elements).)