

Informal technical workshop on transparency under the SBSTA.
Part III: Possible options for the CTF of FTC support

Presentation

Summary of Parties' submissions on the CTFs for the FTC support

May 7 2021



Timeline of plan for informal activities by the SBSTA Chair (part III)

March: Plan for informal activities 2021



April: Submissions



May: Informal workshop




June: Informal consultations

- The Bureau of the COP at its meeting on 25 February 2021 requested the presiding officers to make available a plan of upcoming activities in preparation for COP 26,
- 12 submissions by Parties and Groups of Parties
- Three-part informal technical workshop on matters relating to methodological issues under the Paris Agreement (Transparency)
- Informal work under agenda item 14(c): CTFs for the electronic reporting of the information on FTC support provided and mobilized, as well as support needed and received, under Articles 9–11 of the Paris Agreement



Structure of the synthesis of submissions



Synthesis of submission and possible elements for discussion

General considerations

- Follow the MPGs, no reopening issues or placing additional requirements on Parties
- Informal note by the Co-facilitators from COP25 provides useful information and a good basis for further discussion under SBSTA



Synthesis of submission and possible elements for discussion



Number of tables

- Summary table on finance provided and/or finance needed and received
- Option to integrate support mobilized through public interventions within bilateral, regional or other channels
- Separate tables on financial support received through bilateral, regional and other channels, and multilateral channels
- Table on support needed and received for implementation of Article 13 of the Paris Agreement in:
 - 1 table with separate subheadings;
 - 2 tables for needed and received respectively;
 - 5 tables for support received for BTR, for support received in reports in progress, for support needed for future reports, and for transparency-related capacity building needed and received

Synthesis of submission and possible elements for discussion

Structure and content of tables

- Footnotes, documentation boxes and notation keys
- Explanations on core/general and climate-specific amounts

Synthesis of submission and possible elements for discussion



Technical information

- Year: in title of table or as parameter within table
- Recipient: split country/region and programme information, include in technology and capacity building tables.
- Inflows/outflows: two columns in form of tick box or one column
- Channel: Multi-bilateral as option only in bilateral, regional and other table
- Use, impact and estimated results
- Type of support: inclusion of loss and damage
- New and additional



Synthesis of submission and possible elements for discussion

**Differentiating
information
within specific
parameters**

- Type of support: option to input proportion of mitigation and adaptation for cross-cutting projects
- Grant equivalent value and face value



Consistency and comparability across tables

- Linkages across FTC tables: autopopulating technology and capacity building tables if relevant tick box is used in financial tables or technology and capacity building tables should only include additional information
- Consistent classifications across tables e.g. sector, subsector, type of technology, status of measure or activity.
- Comparability with other reporting systems

Thank you

