

#### 2<sup>nd</sup> Glasgow Dialogue

# Update on the work of the Transitional Committee

8 June 2023



# COP 27 Outcomes - Funding arrangements for responding to loss and damage

#### **Decisions 2/CP.27 and 2/CMA.4 established:**



New funding arrangements for assisting developing countries that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change, in responding to loss and damage, including with a focus on addressing loss and damage



A fund for responding to loss and damage whose mandate includes a focus on addressing loss and damage



A transitional committee on the operationalization of the new funding arrangements for responding to loss and damage and the fund



## **Transitional Committee - Mandate**

The Transitional Committee is mandated to make recommendations for consideration and adoption by COP 28 and CMA 5 with a view to operationalize the funding arrangements and the fund. These recommendations will consider:

品 Institutional **Elements** of the new arrangements, funding arrangements; modalities, structure, governance and TORs of the fund ¶₀© **Coordination and Sources** of funding complementarity with existing funding arrangements



## **Transitional Committee - Mandate**

#### The Transitional Committee will be informed by, inter alia:





# **Transitional Committee – Related activities**

The Transitional Committee's recommendations will be informed by the following activities:



Two workshops conducted by the secretariat in 2023 relevant to addressing loss and damage



#### Synthesis reports prepared by the secretariat on existing funding arrangements and innovative sources relevant to addressing loss and damage, and outcomes of the various activities and deliverables



#### **Submissions:**

- Parties and relevant organizations invited to submit views on topics for and the structure of the 2nd Glasgow Dialogue
- UN agencies, IGOs, bilateral, multilateral and international financial institutions invited to submit inputs on how they might enhance access to and/or the speed, scope and scale of availability of finance for activities relevant to addressing loss and damage, including potential limitations and barriers and options for addressing them

### **2023 Milestones – Tentative dates**



United Nations Climate Change

 $\langle \mathbb{C} \rangle$ 



# Insights from the first workshop

Roles of different stakeholders and of institutions funding activities related to addressing loss and damage, at different levels

- There is a wide range of institutions and stakeholders involved in funding activities to address loss and damage, including at the international, regional, national, and subnational levels
- There are existing coordination mechanisms in place among some subsets of these actors at the international level, including among multilateral development banks and among humanitarian actors.



# Insights from the first workshop

#### Sources, instruments, and modalities of funding

- There is a wide range of sources and instruments of funding relevant to addressing loss and damage that were referenced in the workshop.
- These range from national budgets and contingency funds, to various types of insurance instruments and debt instruments, to multilateral funds, and many more.
- There are also innovative sources and modalities that can contribute to funding activities relevant to addressing loss and damage





## Insights from the first workshop: Gaps and challenges

#### Several gaps and challenges relate to the nature of existing funding



Adequacy of funding e.g. limited grants, concessional finance, insufficiency of insurance, and gaps in funding for low severity, high frequency events



#### **Delivery of funding**

e.g. challenges in funding in volatile contexts; reaching vulnerable communities



#### Access to funding

e.g. complex access procedures, insurance gaps due in part to cost of products and gaps in reaching specific populations (such as displaced people or those in fragile and conflict-affected States) Thematic coverage of funding e.g. noneconomic losses, migration and resettlement, insurance gaps



# Insights from the first workshop: Gaps and Challenges

Other gaps and challenges relate to factors that may affect the ability to efficiently and effectively estimate, access, or otherwise take advantage of funding



assessments are limited in their ability to capture noneconomic losses, some economic losses such as knockon production losses, and the compounding impacts of slow onset events and extreme weather events

ability to develop new models and financial products

spatial silos undermine integrated approaches to address loss and damage

warning to early action: lack of capacity to develop project proposal requirements; lack of recognition of indigenous and local knowledge in early warning

responses to disasters





# Insights from the workshop: III. Summary of Discussions

#### Entry points to address gaps and challenges

- Through the workshop, several entry points to address these gaps and challenges were also identified.
- These related to areas including adequacy of funding; insurance; funding modalities, mechanisms, and instruments; innovative sources of funding; capacity and knowledge; preparation and anticipatory action; tools and methodologies; data; risk and hazard types; local-level funding and action; actions to address loss and damage; and vulnerable populations and contexts





# Insights from the synthesis report: Gaps in existing funding arrangements

- Financial gaps across relevant landscape domains
- Grant financing gap to address debt sustainability, esp. recovery/ resilient reconstruction
- Structural gaps limiting some countries to access finance
- Uptake of risk insurance/ micro-insurance limited; no mechanism for insurable scenarios
- Domestic funds/ trusts are country needs-driven; potential for scale-up and faster access
- Data, knowledge, capacity and technology gaps in addressing loss and damage
- Innovation gap in the management of assets/ donor contributions
- Coherence/ coordination with consistent L&D policy framework
- Inclusive governance gap compared to newer models/ institutions
- Innovative sources potential includes debt swaps (may require negotiation mechanism) and solidarity levies (requires strong political will)



# Second meeting of the Transitional Committee

#### **Examples of questions discussed**

- Which **governing body** will the fund be accountable to?
- What are the legal implications of establishing new funding arrangements and a fund for responding to loss and damage?
- Who is **eligible** to access the fund and what are the **access and delivery modalities**?
- What constitutes funding arrangements in the context of decisions 2/CP.27 and 2/CMA.4? What specific elements of the new funding arrangements should the TC focus on?
- What are the existing sources of funding for loss and damage and what are the gaps in finance?
- What does ensuring coordination and complementarity with existing funding arrangements mean in practice?



**United Nations** Framework Convention on Climate Change

# Thank you!

#### TCsec@unfccc.int

**Transitional Committee**