

G77 and China Submission

- We acknowledge the summary report synthesized by the secretariat titled "Elements of the midterm review of the workplan of the forum on the impacts of the implementation of response measures and its Katowice Committee on Impacts" to be used as a basis for our discussion on the Midterm Review of the KCI workplan. In this regard, we **request the Secretariat to make a short presentation on key elements presented in the summary report.**
- Overall, we recommend that the MTR of the KCI is conducted in a time-efficient, balanced and impactful manner, taking into consideration the needs and priorities of parties and relevant stakeholders, with a view to further enhance the KCIs work plan.
- In this regard, G77 requests/is of the view that the Mid-term review should conclude by/no later than COP 27.

-Efficiency: requests the forum to consider more time allocation for the KCI and provide adequate timings for their meetings to ensure effective implementation of its work plan. We are also of the view that these meetings are organized in a more robust manner, with no overlaps with other meetings, when in conjunction with COP/SB sessions. This is imperative in ensuring effective participation and contributions at the meetings. Therefore, we welcome more intersessional work.

- Effectiveness: We see the importance of enhancing and improving the work plan, to assess whether the KCI's work plan has produced concrete outputs and outcomes contributing to the enhancement of the capacity of countries, particularly the developing countries to respond to the negative, social and economic consequences of Response measures. In this regard, we seek to ensure that the KCI's work plan is impactful on the ground, with the practitioners. Furthermore, we would like case studies to support the KCI activities, specifically with options for country-specific case studies.

- Coherence/Relevance: The midterm review should include examining whether the work plan is aligned with Parties' climate change policies and actions and if there are any interlinkages between the workplan and other workstreams under the UNFCCC. In addition, the MTR should also be reviewed in terms of its relevance **to other workstreams, and processes under the UNFCCC**, including the relevance of its activities, modalities and outputs to the functions of the forum.