

Enhanced Transparency Framework: Fundamentals, reviews and resource implications

Xuehong Wang

10.06.2023

#Together4Transparency



United Nations
Climate Change

Table of Contents


- Fundamentals of the ETF
- Reviews under ETF, challenges and resource implications
- Wrap-up




Fundamentals of the ETF



Architecture of the Paris Agreement - linking ETF, NDC and GST



Building Transparency



Principles

**Building on and
enhancement**

**Continuous
improvement**

**Different
starting points**

Flexibility

Support

Core Elements of the ETF

Reporting

Biennial Transparency Report

- GHG inventory (*all shall*)
- Progress in NDCs (*all shall*)
- Support provided / mobilized (*developed shall*)
- Support needed / received (*developing should*)
- Adaptation (*all should*)

Review

Technical Expert Review

- Consistency of information reported
- Consideration of progress with and achievement of NDC
- Support provided (*developed shall, and other Parties at their discretion*)
- Identification of improvements
- Identification of capacity-building needs

Consideration

FMCP

- Online Q&A
- In session presentation and Q&A (*all shall*)

Reviews under ETF, challenges and resource implications



**Verified data and insights
to inform policy and
action**

**Improved tracking of
progress**

**Mobilization of resources
and support for climate
action**

**Mutual learning and
collaboration**

**Build trust and
accountability to enhance
the effectiveness of the
Paris Agreement**

Reviews under existing MRV

- ❑ 44 BRs every 2 years
- ❑ 44 NCs every 4 years
- ❑ 44 GHG inventories per year
- ❑ 155 BURs since 2014
- ❑ 84 FREL and 30 REDD+ results since 2014

Reviews under ETF

- ❑ 195 BTRs every 2 years
 - ✓ Each BTR includes GHG inventory, progress in NDC implementation, FTC support, adaptation etc.
 - ✓ REDD+ annex
 - ✓ FREL/ FRL
- ❑ 44 GHG inventories per year

- Limited financial and human resources
 - ✓ Need for sufficient funding to cover 195 BTR reviews every 2 years and simplified reviews each year
 - ✓ Need for a significant increase in review expert resources
 - Design of the review procedures and tools that is cost-efficient, yet effective in informing GST and NDC while help Parties improve their reporting overtime
- Implement a common framework for reporting and review for all Parties with diverse national capacities and circumstances
 - ✓ Limited technical and institutional capacity of developing country Parties in data collection and analysis, and reporting
 - Review approach should take into account the respective national capacities and circumstances of developing country Parties

**Consistent with the
MPGs**

**Pay particular attention
to national capacities
and circumstances of
developing countries**

**Contains essential
list of issues**

User friendliness

**Existing good practices
will continue being
applied**

Evolving nature

Wrap-up



#Together4Transparency

- Development of ETF review procedures and tools/templates
- Develop IT review tools
- Test the new review procedures and tools
 - ✓ For early submissions prepared following the MPGs
- Support new ETF reviewers training and testing of the new reporting software
- Expand review expert resources


We are committed to addressing the challenges and maximizing the opportunities.

We aim to design the new review procedures and tools, so that the review under the ETF is fit for purpose – helping identify CB needs, facilitating improved reporting overtime, and providing solid and rigorous technical verification as meaningful inputs to GST and next round of NDCs.



We invite **all Parties** to actively participate in the ETF and contribute to its success. Together, we can enhance the transparency and accountability of climate actions, and thus **drive greater ambition**.

Key resources for ETF implementation



Thank you!

Visit the T4T
main web page



Join our
Transparency
LinkedIn group



#Together4Transparency

