



<sup>1</sup>World Meteorological Organization (<u>otarasova@wmo.int</u>, mpespi@wmo.int), <sup>2</sup>University of Maryland, USA (pdecola@umd.edu), <sup>3</sup>GNS Science, Wellington, New Zealand (j.Turnbull@gns.cri.nz), <sup>4</sup>CIRES, University of Colorado at Boulder, USA

## **IG<sup>3</sup>IS** Implementation principles

IG<sup>3</sup>IS is a common framework for provision of the systematic services to user **community** who intend to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions

- Support the use of atmospheric concentration data to improve emission estimates
- Consensus on a coherent set of good-practice methods and guidelines
- Quality control (benchmarking)
- Use greenhouse gas observations in the atmosphere
- Engage stakeholders from the initial phase
- Propagate consistent methods and standards
- Success-criteria is the use of provided information
- Concert matures with evolution of policy and technology

## **Engagement mechanism: regular user consultations**



at facilities.

There is a need to improve energy efficiency in the industry; quantify & reduce methane emissions; to have projections for short- and long-term decision-making for staying ahead of risks & opportunities; to reduce the emissions from transport sector; and to promote scalable actions.

## reports in Alberta region, Canada.

**Technical capabilities** 

Oil and gas sector was the most successful one in implementation of the observations-based method for identification of emissions associated with the sector. These data were used to strengthen sector related legislation in USA and Canada.

## **User requirements in the Integrated Global Greenhouse Gas Information System (IG<sup>3</sup>IS)** Oksana Tarasova<sup>1</sup>, Phil DeCola<sup>2</sup>, Jocelyn Turnbull<sup>3,4</sup>, Mario Peiro<sup>1</sup>



Š 0 Ċ

ds

### **Stakeholders Needs**

To reduce uncertainties in refrigeration sector, LULUCF, soil emissions, wastewater treatment, biogas facilities, waste incineration and industrial leakages.

**Develop a standard methodology** for emission estimates for different sectors and scales; Develop tools to measure mitigation efficiency.

# Stakeholder needs Geophysica about gaps

### **Technical capabilities**

**Observations** emission based recommended as a quality control tool in IPCC National **Emission Inventory Guidelines 2019 refinement, they** allow to reduce uncertainty of the national totals reporting (examples include UK and Switzerland).

### **General Uncertainties:**

To improve consistency between scales; better knowledge about the CH<sub>4</sub> emissions; improved mapping of urban sources; spatially scalable system; better understanding of public policy impacts.



cities that implement IG<sup>3</sup>IS Several managed to identify unknown emission in the cities through detailed mapping and supported identification of the efficient climate solution on urban scale.

### Specific

### **Uncertainties**:

emission estimates Improving vehicles; from on-road quantifying carbon sinks of tree planting initiatives; co-benefits to health sector related to air quality.

Stak



Los Angeles inverse model of 12 tower measurements shows methane hot spots at known & a large unknown source

### **Technical capabilities**