Inputs from Canada – September 16th, 2019

Elements	Sub-elements	Proposed inputs	To be considered: (1) Commonly by the COP and the CMA or; (2) Specifically by the CMA.	Rationale for the inputs	Source of information / reference
Programme priorities	Technology	Welcomes the continued collaboration between GCF and CTCN, and notes the potential for strengthened collaboration to address high priority needs to support transformational change shared across numerous countries. Requests that the GCF consider addressing these needs through support for large multi-country projects sponsored by the CTCN through its country driven approach. Notes the benefit of CTCN engagement in readiness proposals in helping build the GCF project pipeline, and encourages greater engagement by the GCF of technology focal points in project decision-making.	(1)	 The GCF and CTCN were created simultaneously in 2010 and designed to work together. Closer cooperation between GCF and CTCN has been mandated by the COP, and increased GCF funding of technical assistance, in line with both GCF priorities and country requests to the CTCN, would be the best embodiment of that cooperation. CTCN and GCF collaboration has been increasing, such as through a number of readiness projects approved to date. The GCF has reported very positive experiences with the CTCN as a service delivery partner, due in part to the CTC's large Network as well as its experience and expertise in navigating the project development process. As such, collaboration with CTCN has also resulted in reduced transaction costs for the GCF. Both the GCF and the CTCN are placing increased priority on regional projects to enable greater impact and efficiency. The CTCN has the partnerships and processes in place to deliver help identify and deliver on multi-country projects addressing high priority needs on a regional level. The regional approach of the CTCN is already leading to multi-country thematically focused approach for GCF readiness proposals at a sub-regional level and has the potential of regional harmonisation and market aggregation which would lead to greater private sector 	N/A

Annotated inputs for the draft guidance to the Green Climate Fund (GCF)

Elements	Sub-elements	Proposed inputs	To be considered: (1) Commonly by the COP and the CMA or; (2) Specifically by the CMA.	Rationale for the inputs	Source of information / reference
				interest. This also ensures economies of scale for GCF along with adhering to the country driven approach.	
Policies	Review of the Gender Policy and Action Plan	<i>Urges</i> the GCF to adopt an Updated Gender Policy and Action Plan in order to align with the UNFCCC Gender Action Plan (GAP) and increase gender- responsiveness of climate financing	(1)	The GCF Secretariat, following the adoption of the Gender Policy in 2015 and per the decision of the Board at B.12/16 has engaged in the updating of the Gender Policy and Action Plan to strengthen the integration of gender mainstreaming. The Board has requested the Secretariat to build on the progress made in the consultations on the draft document so far, to assess implementation considerations and submit an Updated Gender Policy and Action Plan for approval by its Board.	2019 GCF Report to COP, Section 10. Mandate in decision B.09/11 Decision of the Board at B.12/16