



United Nations

FCCC/KP/CMP/2019/5



Framework Convention on  
Climate Change

Distr.: General  
20 September 2019

Original: English

---

**Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting  
of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol**

**Fifteenth session**

**Santiago, 2–13 December 2019**

Item x of the provisional agenda

**Annual report of the Compliance Committee to the  
Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the  
Parties to the Kyoto Protocol**


*Summary*

The fourteenth annual report of the Compliance Committee to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol covers activities undertaken from 1 September 2018 to 6 September 2019. The report provides a summary of the consideration by the enforcement branch of the questions of implementation with regard to Monaco and Kazakhstan, the continued work by the facilitative branch under its mandate to provide advice and facilitation in implementing the Protocol and the discussions of the plenary of the Compliance Committee.

GE.19-16204(E)



\* 1 9 1 6 2 0 4 \*

Please recycle 



## Contents

|  | <i>Paragraphs</i> | <i>Page</i> |
|--|-------------------|-------------|
| Abbreviations and acronyms .....   |                   | 3           |
| I. Introduction .....  | 1-4               | 4           |
| A. Mandate .....   | 1                 | 4           |
| B. Scope of the report.....  | 2                 | 4           |
| C. Possible action to be taken by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol..... | 3-4               | 4           |
| II. Organizational matters .....   | 5-15              | 4           |
| A. Membership of the Compliance Committee .....  | 8-12              | 5           |
| B. Transparency, communication and information .....   | 13-14             | 5           |
| C. Use of electronic means in decision-making .....  | 15                | 5           |
| III. Work undertaken in the reporting period .....   | 16-50             | 6           |
| A. Activities of the plenary .....   | 16-21             | 6           |
| B. Activities of the enforcement branch.....   | 22-39             | 7           |
| C. Activities of the facilitative branch.....  | 40-50             | 9           |
| IV. Budget for the work of the Compliance Committee.....   | 51-54             | 10          |

## Annexes

|  |    |
|--|----|
| I. Members and alternate members of the Compliance Committee whose terms expire on 31 December 2019 .....  | 12 |
| II. Decisions taken by the enforcement branch of the Compliance Committee during the reporting period..... | 13 |

---

## Abbreviations and acronyms

|                   |  |
|-------------------|--|
| Annex I Party     | Party included in Annex I  |
| ARR               | annual review report (report on the individual review of the annual submission of an Annex I Party)  |
| CMP               | Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol  |
| ERT               | expert review team   |
| IRR               | initial review report (report on the review of the report of an Annex I Party to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount for the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol) |
| non-Annex I Party | Party not included in Annex I  |

## **I. Introduction**

### **A. Mandate**

1. In accordance with section III, paragraph 2(a), of the “Procedures and mechanisms relating to compliance under the Kyoto Protocol” (annex to decision 27/CMP.1; hereinafter referred to as the procedures and mechanisms), the plenary of the Compliance Committee (hereinafter referred to as the plenary) is to report on the activities of the Compliance Committee (hereinafter referred to as the Committee) to each ordinary session of the CMP.

### **B. Scope of the report**

2. The fourteenth annual report of the Committee summarizes the work of and matters addressed by the Committee from 1 September 2018 to 6 September 2019.

### **C. Possible action to be taken by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol**

3. According to section XII of the procedures and mechanisms, the CMP is to consider the annual report of the Committee.

4. The CMP may also wish to:

(a) Invite the President of the CMP to undertake consultations on the nomination of members and alternate members of the Committee, as necessary (see paras. 8–12 below);

(b) Note the large body of work on facilitation and compliance that the Committee has undertaken over the 14 years of its existence and the related outputs (see para. 21 below);

(c) Invite Parties to make contributions to the Trust Fund for Supplementary Activities in order to support the work of the Committee in the biennium 2020–2021 (see para. 53 below);

(d) Ensure that adequate resources are provided for the work of the Committee in the biennium 2020–2021 to support its fulfilment of the mandates of the CMP (see para. 54 below).

## **II. Organizational matters**

5. During the reporting period, the enforcement branch met twice, for its 33<sup>rd</sup> meeting (held in Bonn on 29 and 30 April 2019) and its 34<sup>th</sup> meeting (held in Bonn on 5 September 2019); the facilitative branch met once, for its 22<sup>nd</sup> meeting (held in Bonn on 4 and 5 September 2019); and members and alternate members of the facilitative branch attending the 16<sup>th</sup> meeting of greenhouse gas inventory lead reviewers (held in Bonn on 13 and 14 March 2019 (see para. 18 below)) met informally to discuss preparations for the 22<sup>nd</sup> meeting of the branch.

6. The 21<sup>st</sup> meeting of the plenary was held on 5 and 6 September 2019 in Bonn.

7. The agenda and annotations, documentation, supporting agenda items and the Chairs’ reports for all meetings of the plenary and the facilitative and enforcement branches are available on the UNFCCC website.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://unfccc.int/process/bodies/constituted-bodies/compliance-committee-cc>.

## A. Membership of the Compliance Committee

8. In accordance with rule 3, paragraph 1, of the rules of procedure of the Committee,<sup>2</sup> the term of office of each member and alternate member of the Committee starts on 1 January of the calendar year immediately following his or her election and ends on 31 December four years thereafter. A list of the members and alternate members whose terms expire on 31 December 2019 is contained in annex I to this report.

9. In accordance with paragraph 2 of section IV, paragraph 2 of section V, and paragraph 5 of section II of the procedures and mechanisms, the plenary requests the CMP to elect five new members to serve in the facilitative branch, five new members to serve in the enforcement branch and an alternate member for each new member, all for terms of four years.

10. The plenary wants to draw the attention of the CMP to the two vacancies in the membership of the Committee that have remained unfilled since 2017. It requests the CMP, at its next session, to fill the two vacancies by electing an alternate member from the Latin American and Caribbean States to serve on the facilitative branch and an alternate member from the Latin American and Caribbean States to serve on the enforcement branch for the remainder of the respective terms, until 31 December 2021.

11. The plenary also wants to draw the attention of the CMP to a third vacancy remaining due to the resignation in 2019 of an alternate member of the facilitative branch. Pursuant to rule 3, paragraph 5, of its rules of procedure, the Committee requests the CMP, at its next session, to fill that remaining vacancy by electing an alternate member of the facilitative branch from Annex I Parties to serve for the remainder of the term, until 31 December 2021.

12. The plenary expresses its hope that Parties will keep in mind the issue of gender balance when making nominations for membership of the Committee.

## B. Transparency, communication and information

13. In accordance with rule 9, paragraph 1, of the rules of procedure, meetings of the plenary and of the facilitative and enforcement branches held in the reporting period were recorded and broadcast on the UNFCCC website, with the exception of the parts of those meetings that were held in private.

14. In accordance with rule 12, paragraph 2, of the rules of procedure, all documents prepared for the plenary and the meetings of the enforcement and facilitative branches have been made available on the UNFCCC website.<sup>3</sup>

## C. Use of electronic means in decision-making

15. Pursuant to rule 11, paragraph 2, of the rules of procedure, the Committee may elaborate and take decisions using electronic means. During the reporting period, the bureau of the Committee used electronic means to take a decision on the allocation of the questions of implementation with respect to Kazakhstan. The enforcement branch also used electronic means to take decisions on: the review and assessment of the plan submitted by Monaco under section XV, paragraph 2, of the procedures and mechanisms; a preliminary examination with respect to Kazakhstan; expert advice with respect to Kazakhstan; the final decision with respect to Kazakhstan; and the decision on whether to apply an adjustment to inventories under Article 5, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol.

<sup>2</sup> The rules of procedure of the Committee are contained in the annex to decision 4/CMP.2, as amended by decisions 4/CMP.4 and 8/CMP.9, available at [https://unfccc.int/files/kyoto\\_protocol/compliance/application/pdf/consolidated\\_rop\\_with\\_cmp\\_4&mp9\\_amend\\_2014feb03.pdf](https://unfccc.int/files/kyoto_protocol/compliance/application/pdf/consolidated_rop_with_cmp_4&mp9_amend_2014feb03.pdf).

<sup>3</sup> Documents relating to the plenary are available at <http://unfccc.int/3788.php>, documents relating to the facilitative branch are available at <http://unfccc.int/3786.php> and documents relating to the enforcement branch are available at <http://unfccc.int/3785.php>.

### **III. Work undertaken in the reporting period**

#### **A. Activities of the plenary**

##### **1. Reports of expert review teams under Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol and other information received by the plenary**

16. In accordance with section VI, paragraph 3, of the procedures and mechanisms, and decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 49, the secretariat forwarded to the Committee the following:

- (a) The ARR of Kazakhstan submitted in 2017;
- (b) ARRs submitted in 2018 of the following Parties: Austria, Belgium, Croatia, Denmark, Estonia, European Union, Finland, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Luxembourg, Norway, Portugal, Romania, Russian Federation and Slovenia;
- (c) IRR of Kazakhstan;
- (d) Reports on the technical review of the seventh national communications of the following Parties: Australia, Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Denmark, European Union, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Kazakhstan, Luxembourg, Malta, New Zealand, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russian Federation, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland;
- (e) Status report of the annual inventory submitted in 2018 of the Russian Federation;
- (f) Status reports of the annual inventories submitted in 2019 of the following Parties: Australia, Belarus, Cyprus, Czechia, France, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Italy, Kazakhstan, Lithuania, Malta, Monaco, Netherlands, New Zealand, Slovakia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.

17. At its 21<sup>st</sup> meeting, the plenary noted the information provided to it by the secretariat on the status of submission and review of reports under the Kyoto Protocol.

##### **2. Engagement with lead reviewers**

18. During the reporting period, at the suggestion of the facilitative branch made at its last meeting and also as discussed by the plenary,<sup>4</sup> members of the bureau of the Committee together with several members and alternate members of the facilitative branch attended the 16<sup>th</sup> meeting of greenhouse gas inventory lead reviewers on 13 and 14 March 2019. They held a dialogue with the lead reviewers on the complementary roles of the ERTs and the Committee in facilitating the implementation of the Kyoto Protocol, which also enhanced mutual understanding of the respective roles of the ERTs and the Committee (see paras. 40 and 41 below). A member of the bureau of the Committee also attended the 6<sup>th</sup> meeting of lead reviewers for the review of biennial reports and national communications, held on 11 and 12 March 2019.

19. On a proposal by the facilitative branch, the plenary encouraged the bureau of the Committee to explore, with the assistance of the secretariat, ways to continue engaging with lead reviewers, including at the lead reviewers meetings to be held in March 2020, subject to the availability of financial resources (see para. 49 below).

##### **3. Experience and lessons learned**

20. The Committee took note of the outcomes of the Katowice Climate Change Conference, including on the committee to facilitate implementation and promote compliance with the provisions of the Paris Agreement referred to in Article 15, paragraph 2, of the Paris Agreement.

---

<sup>4</sup> FCCC/KP/CMP/2018/6, para. 27.

21. The Committee wishes to draw attention to the large body of work on facilitation and compliance that it has undertaken over the 14 years of its existence and its related outputs. Fully aware of the differences in scope, mandate and procedures of different bodies as well as the different legal bases for their operation, the Committee sees value in sharing this experience, including the practical arrangements and methodologies used by its branches, and believes that other bodies may benefit from it.

## **B. Activities of the enforcement branch**

22. In the reporting period, the enforcement branch considered questions of implementation with respect to Monaco and Kazakhstan. In accordance with section III, paragraph 2(a), of the procedures and mechanisms, the decisions taken by the enforcement branch with respect to Monaco and Kazakhstan during the reporting period are listed in annex II to this report.

### **1. Question of implementation with respect to Monaco**

23. On 9 November 2018, using electronic means, the enforcement branch adopted the decision on the review and assessment of the plan submitted by Monaco under section XV, paragraph 2, of the procedures and mechanisms.<sup>5</sup> Monaco submitted its first<sup>6</sup> and second<sup>7</sup> progress reports on the plan on 6 March 2019 and 28 May 2019, respectively. The enforcement branch considered the reports at its 34<sup>th</sup> meeting.

24. The enforcement branch welcomed the timely submission by Monaco of the two progress reports. It noted with appreciation the progress by Monaco in the implementation of its compliance plan, including the measures carried out to address non-compliance. It also noted that some measures are still being implemented or finalized. The branch encouraged Monaco to provide more detailed information on its implementation of the plan in its next progress report and to complete the implementation in a timely manner.

25. The enforcement branch recalled its decision on the review and assessment of the plan submitted by Monaco pursuant to section XV, paragraph 2, and in particular that full implementation by Monaco of the measures set out in the plan will be required in order for the branch to consider whether the question of implementation has been resolved. The branch agreed that the report on the review of the 2019 annual submission of Monaco will serve as an input to its further consideration of this matter.

26. The branch invited the ERT conducting the review in 2019 to take into account the final decision with respect to Monaco,<sup>8</sup> the plan submitted by Monaco pursuant to section XV, paragraph 2, the decision of the branch on the review and assessment of the plan, and any progress reports on the implementation of the plan submitted by Monaco.

27. The enforcement branch agreed to review at its next meeting the progress by Monaco in remedying non-compliance on the basis of, inter alia, any further progress reports submitted by Monaco and taking into account the outcomes of the report on the review of Monaco's 2018 annual submission.

### **2. Questions of implementation with respect to Kazakhstan**

28. On 19 February 2019, the Committee received questions of implementation indicated in the IRR of Kazakhstan.<sup>9</sup> On the same day, the Committee received questions of implementation indicated in the 2017 ARR of Kazakhstan.<sup>10</sup>

<sup>5</sup> CC-2018-1-6/Monaco/EB.

<sup>6</sup> CC-2018-1-7/Monaco/EB.

<sup>7</sup> CC-2018-1-8/Monaco/EB.

<sup>8</sup> CC-2018-1-4/Monaco/EB.

<sup>9</sup> FCCC/IRR/2017/KAZ.

<sup>10</sup> FCCC/ARR/2017/KAZ.

29. The 2017 ARR included a disagreement on whether to apply an adjustment for carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide emissions from the consumption of coking coal in category 1.A fuel combustion for 2013, 2014 and 2015.

30. The bureau of the Committee, using electronic means, allocated the questions of implementation to the enforcement branch on 28 February 2019 for an expedited procedure. On 14 March 2019, the enforcement branch, using electronic means, conducted a preliminary examination and decided to proceed with the questions of implementation.

31. On 1 April 2019, the enforcement branch, using electronic means, adopted a decision on expert advice, inviting two experts drawn from the UNFCCC roster of experts to provide advice to it regarding the content and basis of the IRR and the 2017 ARR, and issues related to the questions of implementation and the disagreement on whether to apply an adjustment.<sup>11</sup>

32. The questions of implementation contained in the IRR relate to compliance with the annex to decision 19/CMP.1 in conjunction with decisions 3/CMP.11 and 4/CMP.11, and the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 in conjunction with decision 3/CMP.11. In particular, the ERT concluded that the national system of Kazakhstan fails to perform some of the general and specific functions required pursuant to the annex to decision 19/CMP.1, and that a national registry had not been established at the time of the submission of the Kazakhstan IRR or during the review. With regard to the availability of the national registry, the ERT also noted the requirement set out in paragraph 1(m) of annex I to decision 2/CMP.8 that Annex I Parties that did not have a quantified emission limitation and reduction target in the first commitment period should, in accordance with the provisions of decision 15/CMP.1 in conjunction with decision 3/CMP.11, include a description of the national registry mentioned in their reports in order to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount under the Kyoto Protocol.

33. The questions of implementation contained in the 2017 ARR relate to compliance with the methodological and reporting requirements contained in decisions 2/CMP.8, 3/CMP.11 and 15/CMP.1. In particular, the ERT concluded that Kazakhstan failed to provide the information to be included in annual greenhouse gas inventories on land use, land-use change and forestry activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4, of the Kyoto Protocol required under paragraphs 2(b), (d) and (e); 4(a) and (b); and 5(a–c) and (e) of annex II to decision 2/CMP.8. The ERT also concluded that Kazakhstan did not submit the standard electronic format tables for 2013 and 2016 and other related information on the accounting of Kyoto Protocol units under paragraphs 12–18 of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 in conjunction with decision 3/CMP.11, and in decision 3/CMP.11, in particular paragraph 13.

34. Kazakhstan did not make a written submission in accordance with paragraph 1 of section IX and paragraph 1(b) of section X of the procedures and mechanisms and rule 17 of the rules of procedure. It did, however, subsequently send a communication to the secretariat on 26 April 2019 that contained a section titled “Written submission”.

35. On 30 May 2019, during its 33<sup>rd</sup> meeting, in which Kazakhstan participated through electronic means, the enforcement branch adopted a preliminary finding<sup>12</sup> that Kazakhstan was not in compliance with the “Guidelines for the preparation of the information required under Article 7 of the Kyoto Protocol” (annex to decision 15/CMP.1 in conjunction with decision 3/CMP.11 and decision 2/CMP.8), the “Guidelines for national systems for the estimation of anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions by sources and removals by sinks under Article 5, paragraph 1, of the Kyoto Protocol” (annex to decision 19/CMP.1 in conjunction with decision 3/CMP.11), the methodological and reporting requirements contained in decisions 2/CMP.8, 3/CMP.11 and 15/CMP.1, and the national registry requirements contained in section II of decision 13/CMP.1 in conjunction with decision 3/CMP.11.

36. At the same meeting, the enforcement branch adopted a preliminary decision to apply an adjustment to inventories under Article 5, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol.<sup>13</sup> In adopting the preliminary decision, the branch noted the timelines for adopting decisions on

---

<sup>11</sup> CC-2019-1-3/Kazakhstan/EB.

<sup>12</sup> CC-2019-1-5/Kazakhstan/EB.

<sup>13</sup> CC-2019-1-4/Kazakhstan/EB.



adjustments set out in paragraph 5 of section X and paragraph 5 of rule 25 ter of the rules of procedure. At the same time, the branch recalled its decision to extend those timelines for this case in order to align the procedure with the consideration of the questions of implementation arising from the same review report, as set out in paragraph 7 of the decision on preliminary examination.<sup>14</sup>

37. The enforcement branch therefore agreed to adopt a preliminary decision on whether to apply an adjustment to inventories under Article 5, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol with respect to Kazakhstan and to afford Kazakhstan the possibility of providing its comments on the preliminary decision within the timeline referred to in paragraph 1(e) of section X, with a view to adopting the final decision on whether to apply the adjustment at the time of the adoption of the final decision on the questions of implementation.

38. Kazakhstan did not make a further written submission under paragraph 1(e) of section X or comment on the preliminary decision on whether to apply an adjustment to inventories under Article 5, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol.

39. On 26 June 2019, using electronic means, the enforcement branch adopted a final decision, confirming its preliminary finding with respect to Kazakhstan.<sup>15</sup> On the same day, the branch also adopted a decision to apply an adjustment to inventories under Article 5, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol,<sup>16</sup> confirming its preliminary decision on this matter.

### C. Activities of the facilitative branch

40. Following its deliberations and a proposal by the facilitative branch at its 21<sup>st</sup> meeting in August 2018,<sup>17</sup> members and alternate members of the branch participated in the annual meeting of greenhouse gas lead reviewers on 13 and 14 March 2019 and engaged in a dialogue on the complementary roles of ERTs and the Committee in facilitating implementation of the Kyoto Protocol (see para. 18 above). Among other things, the participants in the dialogue explored questions around possible underlying causes of unresolved issues and how they may be identified, any particular areas among frequently recurring problems that might benefit from assistance from the facilitative branch and possible ways of highlighting successes and improving reporting over time.

41. The participants found that, by enabling a face-to-face discussion with lead reviewers, the dialogue contributed to a better understanding of the respective mandates and roles of the ERTs and the Committee and gave facilitative branch members greater insight into how the ERTs work and the challenges they face in the review process.

42. The members and alternate members of the facilitative branch who participated in the dialogue also met informally to reflect on the dialogue and discuss preparations for the 22<sup>nd</sup> meeting of the branch. Some members and alternate members volunteered to undertake further analysis of some review reports with a view to suggesting possible actions for potential consideration by the branch at its 22<sup>nd</sup> meeting.

43. Accordingly, in the course of 2019, members and alternate members of the facilitative branch were invited to further analyse any ARR<sup>s</sup> forwarded to the Committee in accordance with section VI, paragraph 3, of the procedures and mechanisms, such as ARR<sup>s</sup> of 2018 annual submissions. To facilitate that task, a member of the branch developed, on the basis of an Excel spreadsheet, a tool for analysing review reports.

44. At the 22<sup>nd</sup> meeting of the facilitative branch, the branch considered the analyses of several ARR<sup>s</sup> carried out by some members and alternate members and discussed a range of issues identified and illustrated through examples.

45. Through that process, the facilitative branch explored possible ways to identify persistent or significant issues that might benefit from facilitation and advice by the branch. The branch agreed that in its future analysis of review reports, it may need to devote attention

<sup>14</sup> CC-2019-1-2/Kazakhstan/EB.

<sup>15</sup> CC-2019-1-6/Kazakhstan/EB.

<sup>16</sup> CC-2019-1-7/Kazakhstan/EB.

<sup>17</sup> FCCC/KP/CMP/2018/6, para. 27.

to issues that appear to present challenges for a number of Parties and that may be systemic. The branch also discussed possible actions it could take in accordance with its mandate and functions.

46. Furthermore, the facilitative branch thanked its member, Gao Xiang, for developing the “general analysis and overview” or “GAO” guiding tool (see para. 43 above), which can assist the branch in its analysis of review reports. The branch agreed to undertake further analysis of relevant review reports available in 2019 and 2020, including the ARR of the next review cycle and, to the extent possible, reports on the technical reviews of seventh national communications. It also agreed to use the guiding tool in this task with a view to ensuring a consistent methodology when analysing the review reports.

47. The facilitative branch intends to improve and refine the tool as necessary, including by adding explanations and clarifications, and developing a glossary of key terms, bearing in mind the need for consistency with terms used in relevant CMP decisions. The branch also aims to take into account any views and comments that review experts, including lead reviewers, and the secretariat may wish to contribute to the guiding tool.

48. In terms of practical arrangements for its work in 2019–2020, the facilitative branch:

(a) Agreed to form four internal working groups, with the composition to be suggested by the Chair and Vice-Chair of the branch in 2019, to be adjusted as necessary in 2020 to take into account any changes in the membership of the branch;

(b) Requested the secretariat to distribute the review reports to the working groups as the reports are made available to the Committee in accordance with section VI, paragraph 3, of the procedures and mechanisms;

(c) Requested the secretariat to continue to maintain an electronic platform, which the working groups may use to share their analyses and suggestions;

(d) Invited the working groups to explore ways to reach out to relevant review experts who may be interested in engaging with them in informal exchanges on their analysis of review reports;

(e) Invited its Chair and Vice-Chair to identify, on the basis of further analysis undertaken by the working groups and any suggestions by members or alternate members of the branch, what expert advice may be required to facilitate the work of the branch.

49. The facilitative branch considered that it would be useful for the bureau of the Committee to explore, with the assistance of the secretariat, ways to continue engaging with lead reviewers, including at the lead reviewers meetings to be held in March 2020, subject to the availability of financial resources.

50. Furthermore, the facilitative branch noted that it had made further progress in developing its methodologies and practice in identifying issues that may benefit from facilitation and advice. The branch discussed that certain methodologies could be further refined. It recommended that the plenary remind the CMP of the substantial body of work and lessons learned by the branch, including the 2017 document on its experience in providing advice and facilitation,<sup>18</sup> the lessons learned reflected in the annual report to CMP 14,<sup>19</sup> the guiding tool referred to in paragraphs 43 and 46 above and any refinements that may be made to it, including a glossary, and the branch’s methods of engagement with lead reviewers, its practical arrangements and methodologies used.

#### **IV. Budget for the work of the Compliance Committee**

51. For the biennium 2018–2019, an estimated EUR 705,300 from the Legal Affairs programme core budget was allocated for activities related to the Committee.<sup>20</sup> In addition,

---

<sup>18</sup> CC/FB/20/2017/2.

<sup>19</sup> FCCC/KP/CMP/2018/6, para. 23(a–e).

<sup>20</sup> This amount does not include secretariat-wide operating costs, programme support costs (overhead) or the working capital reserve as defined in decision 21/CP.23.

EUR 447,480 was approved for support to the Committee in the resource requirements for the Trust Fund for Supplementary Activities<sup>21</sup> to cover the cost of one of four mandated meetings of the Committee and any outstanding costs related to translating Committee decisions into the official languages of the United Nations. As at 1 August 2019, no contributions earmarked for the activities of the Committee had been made to this trust fund for the biennium.

52. The Committee notes that as part of the Legal Affairs programme budget, core budget funds have been foreseen for three meetings of the Committee in the biennium 2020–2021. In addition, a total of EUR 486,533 is foreseen in the resource requirements of the Trust Fund for Supplementary Activities.

53. The Committee urges the CMP to invite Parties to make contributions to the Trust Fund for Supplementary Activities for the biennium 2020–2021 in support of the work of the Committee, taking into consideration the importance of implementation and compliance for the achievement of the objectives of the Kyoto Protocol and the overall UNFCCC process and that the experience of the Committee could benefit the work of other constituted bodies under the Convention, the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement.

54. The Committee noted with concern the significant reduction in secretariat support for its work in the biennium 2020–2021 arising from the budget agreed by the Subsidiary Body for Implementation at its fiftieth session. The Committee also noted that this reduction would lead to the lowest level of secretariat support that the Committee has ever had and that this support would need to be further divided in order to serve both the Committee and the committee under the Paris Agreement referred to in paragraph 20 above. The Committee further reflected on the important role played by the secretariat in helping to fulfil the Committee's mandate and the need to preserve the same level of secretariat support. The Committee expressed strong concerns that the proposed reductions will have detrimental impacts on the timeliness and effectiveness of its work.

---

<sup>21</sup> Decision 21/CP.23, table 5.

**Annex I****Members and alternate members of the Compliance Committee whose terms expire on 31 December 2019****Enforcement branch**

| <i>Member</i>      | <i>Alternate member</i> | <i>Regional group</i>           |
|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Karoliina Anttonen | Sébastien Nguyen-Bloch  | Western Europe and other States |
| Joseph Aitaro      | Rueanna Haynes          | Small island developing States  |
| Iryna Rudzko       | Eva Adamova             | Eastern European States         |
| Gerhard Loibl      | Felix Zaharia           | Annex I Parties                 |
| Zhihua Chen        | Nauman Bashir Bhatti    | Non-Annex I Parties             |

**Facilitative branch**

| <i>Member</i>         | <i>Alternate member</i> | <i>Regional group</i>             |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Emanuela Sardellitti  | Ida Kärnström           | Western European and other States |
| Delano Bart           | Luis Paz Castro         | Small island developing States    |
| Siarhei Nikitsin      | Valeriy P. Sedyakin     | Eastern European States           |
| Delano Ruben Vervey   | Grzegorz Grobicki       | Annex I Parties                   |
| Albert K. Yenga-Yenga | Ramiro Ramirez          | Non-Annex I Parties               |

**Annex II****Decisions taken by the enforcement branch of the Compliance Committee during the reporting period****Monaco**

| <i>Title</i>  | <i>Compliance Committee document number</i> | <i>Date</i>     |
|---|---|-----------------|
| Decision on the review and assessment of the plan submitted under section XV, paragraph 2 | CC-2018-1-6/Monaco/EB                       | 9 November 2018 |

**Kazakhstan**

| <i>Title</i>   | <i>Compliance Committee document number</i> | <i>Date</i>    |
|--|---|----------------|
| Decision on preliminary examination  | CC-2019-1-2/Kazakhstan/EB                   | 14 March 2019  |
| Decision on expert advice  | CC-2019-1-3/Kazakhstan/EB                   | 1 April 2019   |
| Preliminary decision on a disagreement whether to apply an adjustment to inventories under Article 5, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol | CC-2019-1-4/Kazakhstan/EB                   | 30 April 2019  |
| Preliminary finding on questions of implementation with respect to Kazakhstan  | CC-2019-1-5/Kazakhstan/EB                   | 30 April 2019  |
| Final decision   | CC-2019-1-6/Kazakhstan/EB                   | 26 June 2019   |
| Final decision. Corrigendum  | CC-2019-1-6/Kazakhstan/EB/<br>Corr.1        | 19 August 2019 |
| Decision on a disagreement whether to apply an adjustment to inventories under Article 5, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol             | CC-2019-1-7/Kazakhstan/EB                   | 26 June 2019   |