



United Nations

FCCC/SRR.x/20xx/ISO



Framework Convention on
Climate Change

Distr.: General
xx Month 20xx

English only

Report on the simplified review of the national inventory report of **partyname** submitted in **20xx**

This report presents the results of the simplified review of the **20xx** national inventory report of **partyname**, conducted by the secretariat in accordance with the “modalities, procedures and guidelines for the transparency framework for action and support referred to in Article 13 of the Paris Agreement”.

Abbreviations and acronyms

AR5	IPCC Fifth Assessment Report
CH ₄	methane
CO ₂	carbon dioxide
CO ₂ eq	carbon dioxide equivalent
CRF	common reporting format
CRT	common reporting tables
GHG	greenhouse gas
GWP	global warming potential
HFC	hydrofluorocarbon
IEF	implied emission factor
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
LULUCF	land use, land-use change and forestry
mix_HFCs_PFCs	Unspecified mix of HFCs and PFCs
MPGs	modalities, procedures and guidelines for the transparency framework for action and support referred to in Article 13 of the Paris Agreement
N	nitrogen
NA	not applicable
NDC	nationally determined contribution
NE	not estimated
NIR	national inventory report
NO	not occurring
PFC	perfluorocarbon
TERT	technical expert review team
...	...

I. Introduction

1. This is a report on the simplified review of the national inventory report of **partyname** submitted in **20xx**. The review was conducted by the secretariat in accordance with the “modalities, procedures and guidelines for the transparency framework for action and support referred to in Article 13 of the Paris Agreement”, particularly “Part VI: Technical expert review” (annex to decision 18/CMA.1).
2. In accordance with the same decision, a draft version of this report was transmitted to **partyname** on [day month year] for its comments. [**partyname** provided comments to individual findings on [day month year], which were addressed by the secretariat and reflected in this version of the report][**partyname** did not provide comments to individual findings]. In addition, **partyname** [provided a general comment on the report, which is available in section III][did not provide a general comment on the report].
3. The findings reflected in annex I do not necessarily indicate discrepancies on completeness or consistency of the Party’s reporting with the MPGs. They are the product of automated checks.
4. This report together with the list of findings along with any comments provided by the Party will be made available to and considered further by the TERT in the subsequent technical expert review of **partyname**’s NIR.

II. Summary of the findings made during the simplified review

5. The secretariat conducted the simplified review as an initial assessment of completeness and consistency of **partyname**’s NIR submitted in **20xx** with the MPGs, in accordance with paragraphs 155 and 163 of the MPGs. Table 1 provides a summary of the findings of the initial assessment by the secretariat. A detailed list of each finding along with relevant information is included in annex.

Table 1

Summary of the initial assessment

Assessment		
Dates of submission ^a	Original submission:	NID, [day month year] CRT, [day month year]
	Revised submission:	[NA] [NID, day month year] [CRT, day month year]
	Previous submission used in the initial assessment	[year, day month]
Completeness		See annex, table I.2
Recalculations	Recalculations at subcategory level with impact of more than 2 per cent of total GHG emissions or removals, excluding LULUCF and above the threshold of significance	
	Threshold of significance for partyname in 20xx ^b	xx,xxx.xx kt CO₂ eq
	Recalculations in [ref year] (reference year for Party’s NDC) between two most recent NIR submissions	See annex, table I.1
	Recalculations in [20xx-3] between the two most recent NIR submissions	See annex, table I.1
Changes in notation keys	Changes in notation keys in [ref year] and [20xx-3] between the two most recent submissions	See annex, table I.1
New key categories	New key categories between the two most recent submissions	See annex, table I.2
Sectoral vs reference approaches	Discrepancies higher than 5 per cent in energy consumption or CO ₂ emissions per fuel type between reference and sectoral approaches in the latest reported year ([20xx-2])	[Discrepancy of xx.x per cent in [solid][liquid][gases] fuel was identified for [energy consumption][CO ₂ emissions] [No discrepancies were identified]
IEFs comparison	Comparison between IEFs reported for significant subcategories of key categories, as relevant, and the	See annex, table I.3

Assessment

Time-series consistency	range of IEFs by developed country Parties in the latest inventory year (20xx-2) year2], with inter-annual variation higher than xx per cent on emissions or removals that are above the threshold of significance for Party. Analysis was undertaken at the level of the sectoral reports for each sector in the CRT. The analysis considers each gas separately; for the energy sector all fuels in the given CRT category were included in the analysis.	See annex, table I.4
GWP	Comparison of GWP used by the Party with GWP from AR5 in accordance with paragraph 37 of the MPGs	[No discrepancies were identified] [Discrepancies were identified in GWP for [CO ₂][CH ₄][N ₂ O][...]]
Tier methods	Use of higher tiers for key categories	See annex, table I.5
Previous recommendations	Status of implementation of previous recommendations ^a	[NA]

Commented [PT1]: To be decided by the LRs
 Commented [PT2]: To be decided by the LRs
 Commented [PT3]: To be decided by the LRs

^a Unless otherwise specified, values from the most recent submission are included in this report.
^b Threshold above which a category/gas is considered significant in accordance with paragraph 32 of the MPGs, calculated by the secretariat.
^c This is not applicable for the simplified review of the 20xx GHG inventory submission of partyname as this is the first submission under the Paris Agreement and there are no previous recommendations

III. Comment by Party on the initial assessment¹

1. [redacted]
2. [redacted]
3. [redacted]
4. [redacted]

¹ Comments are presented verbatim, as provided by [redacted].

Annex

Findings of the initial assessment of **partyname's 20xx national inventory report**

Table I.1
Findings on recalculations

<i>ID</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>CRT</i>	<i>Gas</i>	<i>Inventory Year</i>	<i>Latest submission (20xx)</i>	<i>Previous submission (20xx-1)</i>	<i>Difference</i>	<i>Unit</i>	<i>Difference (%)</i>
I.1.1.									

Table I.2
Findings on completeness, changes in notation keys, and sectoral vs reference approach

<i>ID</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>CRT</i>	<i>Gas</i>	<i>Inventory Year</i>	<i>Latest submission (20xx)</i>	<i>Previous submission (20xx-1)</i>	<i>Finding type</i>
I.2.1.							

Table I.3
Findings on comparison between IEFs reported for key categories and the range of IEF from NIRs of developed country Parties in [20xx-2]

<i>ID</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>CRT</i>	<i>Gas</i>	<i>Inventory Year</i>	<i>Latest submission (20xx)</i>	<i>Unit</i>	<i>Finding type</i>
I.3.1.							

Table I.4
Findings on time-series consistency

<i>ID</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>CRT</i>	<i>Gas</i>	<i>Year1</i>	<i>Year2</i>	<i>Value1</i>	<i>Value2</i>	<i>Dif.</i>	<i>Unit</i>	<i>Dif. CO2eq</i>	<i>Dif. (%)</i>
I.4.1.											

Table I.5
Findings on use of higher-tier methods

<i>ID</i>
I.5.1.