

Guidelines in providing the inputs:

- “Sub-elements”: Describe the specific area of the proposed input (e.g. Policies relating to access, gender, environment & social safeguards, etc.);
- “Proposed inputs”: Propose the inputs in draft decision text format;
- “To be considered by”: Choose whether the proposed inputs need to be considered: (1) Commonly by the COP and the CMA or; (2) specifically by the CMA;
- “Rationale for the inputs”: Annotate the reason for proposing the inputs, so that the rationale can be clearly understood;
- “Source of information / reference”: Provide reference to the annual reports of the operating entities or decision(s) of the GEF Council / GCF Board.
- Some examples from the previous years can be found in the annexes of the following documents:
 - https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/SCF19_draft%20guidance.pdf#page=3
 - https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/draft_scf_bn_draft_guidance_scf16_13sept_1700.pdf#page=5
 - https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/draft_scf_bn_draft_guidance_28sept.pdf#page=4

Annotated inputs for the draft guidance to the Green Climate Fund (GCF)

<i>Elements</i>	<i>Sub-elements</i>	<i>Proposed inputs</i>	<i>To be considered: (1) Commonly by the COP and the CMA or; (2) Specifically by the CMA.</i>	<i>Rationale for the inputs</i>	<i>Source of information / reference</i>
Policies	Access	Recognizing the capacity and data limitations of LDCs and SIDS, the COP requests the GCF Board, in evaluation of the climate rationale in project proposals, to consider both the ‘traditional knowledge, knowledge of indigenous peoples and local knowledge systems’ of SIDS and LDCs, as well as relevant information from IPCC reports.	(1)	SIDS are concerned about the detailed need for ‘science’ in GCF funding proposals. Small islands do not have the architecture and resources required to collect the data required as support for project proposals. In many instances, local and indigenous knowledge are the sole source of information.	Statements from developing country Member States at the GCF Global Programming Conference 2019; Article 7(5) of the <i>Paris Agreement 2015</i>
	Access	The COP urges the GCF Board to ensure that Direct Access Accredited Entities from SIDS and their respective funding proposals are able to utilize the simplified and improved access to funding.	(1)	The recent evaluation of the GCF has indicated that this is not being operationalized. There is active preferential treatment to larger international entities.	Forward-Looking Performance Review of the Green Climate Fund (FPR), Final Report 2019; Paragraph 31 of the GCF Governing Instrument

<i>Elements</i>	<i>Sub-elements</i>	<i>Proposed inputs</i>	<i>To be considered: (1) Commonly by the COP and the CMA or; (2) Specifically by the CMA.</i>	<i>Rationale for the inputs</i>	<i>Source of information / reference</i>
	Access	AOSIS requests the GCF to follow up on the recommendations of its Performance Review in order to ensure that GCF procedures are reflective of the urgency of the climate crisis and to remove bureaucratic barriers for access to GCF resources.	(1)	Recommendations of the Forward-Looking Performance Review of the Green Climate Fund	Forward-Looking Performance Review of the Green Climate Fund (FPR), Final Report 2019
	Access	AOSIS requests the GCF Board to build in flexibilities into the proposal approval process to take into account changes to national circumstances that may occur during the approval process that impact initial project design and implementation.	(1)	The timeline for the proposal approval process is lengthy resulting in changes to national circumstances which may impact project design and implementation. There is no provision to take into consideration these changes and to allow for updating of project proposals. This is important to ensure that projects when approved are still practical and applicable.	
Programme priorities					
Eligibility criteria					
Others					

Annotated inputs for the draft guidance to the Global Environment Facility (GEF)

<i>Elements</i>	<i>Sub-elements</i>	<i>Proposed inputs</i>	<i>To be considered: (1) Commonly by the COP and the CMA or; (1) Specifically by the CMA.</i>	<i>Rationale for the inputs</i>	<i>Source of information / reference</i>
Policies					
Programme priorities					
Eligibility criteria					
Others					
