DRAFT TEXT on

SBSTA 52–55 agenda item 11

SBI 52–55 agenda item 16

Matters relating to the forum on the impact of the implementation of response measures serving the Convention, the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement

Version <u>09/11/2021 20:12</u>09/11/2021 20:11

Draft conclusions proposed by the Chairs

1. The Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice (SBSTA) and the Subsidiary Body for Implementation (SBI) convened a meeting of the forum on the impact of the implementation of response measures (hereinafter referred to as the forum) in accordance with decisions 7/CP.24, 3/CMP.14, 7/CMA.1, 4/CP.25, 4/CMP.15 and 4/CMA.2.

2. <u>**T**</u>the SBSTA and the SBI considered the annual report of the Katowice Committee of Experts on the Impacts of the Implementation of Response Measures (KCI) for 2020-2021¹ with a view to making recommendations and considerations contained therein, and forward the recommendations to the COP, the CMP and the CMA .

3. The SBSTA and the SBI considered the technical discussions held during the informal events in 2020 and 2021² organized by the Chairs of the SBSTA and the SBI as initial input to the implementation of activities number 1, 2, 3 and 4 of the workplan of the forum on the impact of the implementation of response measures and its KCI (hereinafter referred to as the workplan)³.

4. The SBSTA and the SBI underscore the challenges experienced in participating in virtual informal and technical expert meetings in 2020 and 2021.

5. The SBSTA and SBI invite Parties and observers to submit by April 2022 their views on the midterm review of the workplan of the forum and its KCI⁴ and request the secretariat to prepare a synthesis report based on the submissions with a view to informing Parties' discussion on the midterm review of the workplan that will take place at the fifty-sixth sessions of the subsidiary bodies (June 2022)⁵.

6. The SBSTA and SBI request the forum and its KCI to submit a compilation of submissions by Parties] by February 2022, with the assistance of the secretariat, as inputs to the global stocktake technical assessment that starts at the fifty-sixth sessions of the subsidiary bodies (June 2022)⁶

7. The SBSTA and the SBI, having considered the implementation of the workplan by the forum and its KCI, recommended a draft decision on this matter for consideration and adoption by the Conference of the Parties at its twenty-sixth session, Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its sixteenth session and Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the third session.

¹ Document KCI/2021/4/8

² Decision 4/CP.25, 4/CMP.15, 4/CMA.2

³ <u>https://unfccc.int/topics/mitigation/workstreams/response-measures/workshops-and-events</u>

⁴ Decision 4/CP.25, 4/CMP.15, 4/CMA.2

⁵ Pursuant to decision 19/CMA.1, para. 24.

⁶ Pursuant to decision 19/CMA.1, para. 24.

Draft decision -/CP.26 Draft decision -/CMP.16 Draft decision -/CMA.3

Matters relating to the forum on the impact of the implementation of response measures

The Conference of the Parties, Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol, Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Paris Agreement

Recalling decisions 7/CP.24, 3/CMP.14, 7/CMA.1, 4/CP.25, 4/CMP.15 and 4/CMA.2,

1. *Welcomes* with appreciation the annual report of the Katowice Committee of Experts on the Impacts of the Implementation of Response Measures (KCI) for 2020–2021^{7,8} and its progress in supporting the work of the forum on the impact of the implementation of response measures;

2. *Welcomes* the informal event and technical expert meetings in 2020 and 2021⁹ organized by the Chairs of the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice (SBSTA) and the Subsidiary Body for Implementation (SBI) serving as preliminary input to the forum's work on implementing the forum and its KCI workplan;

3. Welcomes the progress made by the KCI in implementing relevant activities of the workplan of the forum on the impact of the implementation of response measures and its Katowice Committee of Experts on the Impacts of the Implementation of Response Measures (hereinafter referred to as the workplan)¹⁰;

4.<u>3.</u> *Notes* the limited progress on the implementation of certain activities of the workplan by the forum, and *requests* the secretariat to hold a two-day pre-session meeting in conjunction with SB 56 to further advance the implementation of activities number 1, 3, 4 and 11;

5. *Requests* the forum to [consider] the [other outstanding] annual report except for the KCI 2020 2021 annual report, including the recommendations and considerations contained therein, at the fifty sixth sessions of the subsidiary bodies (June 2022) with a view to providing recommendations for consideration and adoption by the Conference of the Parties, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Paris Agreement at their next sessions;

6. Adopts the following recommendations as forwarded by the forum on the 2020-2021 annual report of the KCI pertaining to the following activities of the workplan:

(a) Activity number 1: Explore approaches to informing the development and implementation of climate change mitigation strategies, plans, policies and programmes, including nationally determined contributions and/or long-term low greenhouse gas emission development strategies, that maximize the positive and minimize the negative impacts of response measures;

(i) Encourage Parties to engage relevant stakeholders at each step of the process of designing and implementing climate mitigation policies and policies to achieve sustainable development including through social dialogue, when possible and subject to national circumstances. The relevant stakeholders, among others, include workers,

¹⁰ Decision 4/CP.25, 4/CMP.15, 4/CMA.2

⁷ Document KCI/2021/4/8

⁸ Pursuant to decision 7/CMA.1, para. 5 and annex, para.4(j)

⁹ <u>https://unfccc.int/topics/mitigation/workstreams/response-measures/workshops-and-events</u> ⁴⁰ Devision 4/CD 25 4/CMD 15 4/CMA 2

employers, organizations, academia, public and private sectors, women, and civil society;

(ii) Encourage Parties to explore complementary policies, such as economic policies, social protection, and labour policies, to help strengthen the outcomes of the implementation of mitigation strategies, plans, policies and programmes including nationally determined contributions and low-emission development strategies;

(iii) Encourage Parties to strengthen international and regional cooperation as it contributes towards planning and implementation of mitigation policies with environmental and socio-economic benefits. For example, to help facilitate technology development and transfer in accordance with article 10 of the Paris Agreement, and strive towards harmonized regional approaches;

(iv) Encourage Parties to use existing qualitative and quantitative assessment methodologies and tools to understand social, economic and employment effects of proposed mitigation measures as a way to inform and guide climate policies and to maximize the positive and minimize the negative effects of the implementation of response measures. More analysis of impacts, including, inter alia, sectoral, national, sub-national, domestic, and cross border, would be useful for informing climate policies and understanding how to maximize the positive and minimize the negative effects of the implementation of response measures;

(v) Encourage the forum and its KCI to enhance the capacity of Parties, particularly developing country Parties, through training and capacity building efforts, including the existing initiatives. This will enable Parties to carry out their own assessments and analyses of the impacts of response measures;

(v)(vi) After considering the annual report decides that:

(vi)(vii) The need for adequate provision of enhanced support to developing country parties is key in implementing these recommendations;

(*viii*) In addressing the KCI recommendations there is the need to prioritize support to all developing countries to for ongoing analysis of the impacts of existing and new cross border response measures;

7. The SBSTA and SBI note of the party views on the technical discussions held during the informal events in 2020 and 2021, expressing the views/recommendations:

(i) Noting that one of the main challenges in the just transition process relates to the provision of funds and mechanisms to support just transitions in developing countries and in accordance with decision 2.1c. adequate financial flows should be made available to developing countries to support a just transition to a low carbon economy;

(ii) The provision of enhanced support to developing county parties is key in their just transition initiatives, ensuring an integrated and efficient approach to the social, economic and environmental dimensions;

(iii) Noting that the challenge of premature withdrawal of foreign investors and stranded assets as developing countries transition to low carbon economies comes with looming challenges like economic diversification and rebalancing the portfolio of national assets and possible barriers to sustainable development, there is the need for International cooperation to help reduce/ mitigate some of the possible adverse impacts. Parties should cooperate and find solutions that allow for sustainable development including measures to address the challenges of stranded assets and premature end to investment flows into key national sectors;

(iv) International cooperation can help in reducing/ mitigating some of the possible adverse impacts of unilaterally applied mitigation policies. Parties should cooperate on open trade accompanied with stringent climate policies to ensure sustainability as they address climate objectives. Parties should prioritize work in the KCI and Forum on how cooperation on open trade should be done;

(v) Data is one of the most crucial elements for constructing an accurate model. Most developing countries lack systems for collection and analysis of such data. This requires enhanced support in the form of capacity building, finance and technology;

(vi) Provision of enhanced support to developing country Parties is essential to enhance their capacities to carry out their own assessments, particularly when the cross-border impacts of response measures have not been adequately assessed by the implementing country;

(vii) Prioritized technical work and enhanced support to address the issue of stranded assets, risks of premature deindustrialization facing developing countries and enhanced support for economic diversification is urgently need as developing countries take ambitious steps to implement their NDCs;]

5.4. *Further requests* the secretariat to organize regional workshops for activity 3 of the workplan addressing the needs and gaps of the regions, and building on the work which has been carried out by the KCI, before SB 56 in collaboration with other relevant organizations;

6.5. Request the forum and its KCI to submit a compilation of submissions by Parties by February 2022, with the assistance of the secretariat, as inputs to the global stocktake technical assessment that starts at the fifty-sixth sessions of the subsidiary bodies (June 2022)¹¹

Annex II

Revised rules of procedure of the Katowice Committee of Experts on the Impacts of the Implementation of Response Measures

[copied from the annual report]

Document information	
Version	Description
Version 2	Revision of paragraph 7 and addition of paragraph 11
Version 1	Adopted by decisions 4/CP.25, 4/CMA.15 and 4/CMA.2

¹¹ Pursuant to decision 19/CMA.1, para. 24.