ABU intervention at 2Nd IC

10/6/2023

-Argentina on behalf of ABU, we align ourselves with the statement delivered by the G77

-We want to express that our group is disappointed with the text.

-We feel that the text includes a disconnected and contradictory set of phrases that certainly cannot capture the progress of the conversation on first IC, and of the adaptation community at this point about the GGA. We also need reassurances that we are building on the basis of work already adopted in Sharm by Decision 3/CMA.4.

-During the IC1 the G77 proposed an outline that allows to structure some of these paragraphs. Some of them are of a pre-ambulatory nature (2.1.1., 2.1.6.), others serve the purpose (1.1. and 1.2), others are already cross-cutting considerations in Decision 3/CMA.4 (2.1.8, 2.1.9, 2.1.10). Some of them are approaches to the framework such as bottom-up and top-down approaches (2.1.15, 2.1.16, 2.1.17; 3.3.3.5) and in some cases are operational considering the approach to targets (3.7 to 3.12).

-Our group is fully committed to deliver on a GGA framework for its adoption at COP28, including its action and support functions.

-We consider that this session is critical to beginning to draft the text of the decision that will be adopted in Dubai. However, we do not believe that this text brings us closer.

- We would like to see a new iteration of the text with the information being organized around the elements mentioned by the G77, section 7.1 of the current text, which represents the views of 134 developing country Parties, asking for urgent action and offering a way forward on this.

-ABU would like to provide additional views regarding those headings:

-On **preambular text**, there are many para on this text to use as the ones mentioned but we can also provide some text on a written basis that can be helpful.

-**Capturing progress of GlaSS** heading is a way for us to understand that this work is carried out under the umbrella of the work programme, to consider what happened in the workshops, and to plan modalities and approaches for the two remaining workshops.

-Section 3 on the **establishment of the framework** is for us the heart of the decision since it formally establishes the framework, including purpose, principles, the elements already adopted in decision 3/CMA4. (dimensions, themes, cross-cutting considerations and sources), consider any new substantial addition to the framework and set targets and indicators.

-ABU-AILAC position on **targets** is very well known and included in our submissions. Under it is possible to develop a short list of targets for action

and support linked to the four stages of the adaptation policy cycle. As our targets weren't captured in the first IC we are gonna read them:

In terms of ASSESSMENT:

Two possible targets for ACTION

-By 2030, the impact, risk and vulnerability assessments induced by climate change lay the foundations for the planning and subsequent implementation of actions to adapt to these risks and reduce the impacts.

OR

-By 2030, all countries have early warning systems for at least two critical risks and information for risk reduction at national level.

With regard to SUPPORT
-By 2030, all countries can access climate finance to carry out risk, impact and vulnerability assessments induced by climate change through the UNFCCC Financial Mechanism.

In terms of PLANNING

A target on ACTION

-By 2030, all countries have developed national policy instruments to address adaptation to climate change and have integrated it into their development strategies.

On SUPPORT

-By 2030, all countries can access climate financing through the Green Climate Fund and the Adaptation Fund for the preparation and implementation of NAPs.

In terms of IMPLEMENTATION

ACTION

-By 2030, increase the implementation, with respect to the 2023 baseline, of projects, plans, programs, adaptation actions in response to the impacts and risks of climate change identified by the countries in their adaptation documents.

SUPPORT

-By 2030, all countries have accessed funds from the GEF, GCF, Adaptation Fund, etc. for NAP implementation, and address the needs and priorities reported in AdCom, BTR and other climate planning instruments at the national level.
-By 2030, the capacities to prepare and implement NAPs and address the needs and priorities present in ADCOMs, BTRs and other climate planning instruments at the national level have been strengthened.

MEL
ACTION
-By 2030, all countries have designed and implemented a framework or system for monitoring, evaluation and learning of the adaptation component.

SUPPORT
-By 2030, all countries have accessed funds from the GEF, GCF, Adaptation Fund, etc. for the design and implementation of MEL frameworks or systems.

-On the heading related to the **Roadmap from first to the second cycle of ambition of the GST or way forward** it focuses on connecting the picture that we will adopt in Dubai with the digestion and implementation of that process by the parties until the second cycle. From our point of view, various things need to happen as of 2024 so that this framework is useful for countries in very different circumstances with respect to our national processes.

-Related to this, additional work will be needed and there are different interesting ideas around the table already. Our submission refers to entrusting work to different bodies and making reports and guidance that can help us incorporate the framework at the national level without generating additional reporting and communication charges.

-Then **linkages** refer to how to use current reporting instruments avoiding additional burden, the relationship between the framework and the GST process and of course finance. And **budgetary provisions** is the last point that probably is self-explanatory but it is important that the decision contains clear provisions on how to support developing countries to carry out this journey that involves digesting the framework and applying it to our national realities.

-Thus, we expect a new version of the text, organized through the G77 structure that includes text under each heading and subheading identifying options where appropriate. For example, when referring to methodological approaches, there are different views in the room and they are also in this text but in an unclear way.