

A6.4-SBM022

Meeting report

Twenty-second meeting of the Article 6.4 mechanism Supervisory Body

Version 01.0

Date of meeting: 27 to 30 July 2026

Place of meeting: Bonn, Germany



United Nations
Framework Convention on
Climate Change

TABLE OF CONTENTS**Page**

AGENDA ITEM 1.	AGENDA AND MEETING ORGANIZATION	3
Agenda item 1.1.	Opening.....	3
Agenda item 1.2.	Adoption of the agenda.....	4
AGENDA ITEM 2.	GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT MATTERS	4
Agenda item 2.1.	Membership issues	4
Agenda item 2.2.	Strategic planning and direction	4
Agenda item 2.3.	Performance management	4
Agenda item 2.4.	Matters related to the Supervisory Body and its support structure	5
Agenda item 2.5.	Designated national authorities	5
AGENDA ITEM 3.	REGULATORY AND PROCEDURAL MATTERS.....	5
Agenda item 3.1.	Governance.....	5
Agenda item 3.2.	Activity cycle.....	7
Agenda item 3.3.	Methodologies.....	7
Agenda item 3.4.	Registry	8
Agenda item 3.5.	Policy matters.....	8
AGENDA ITEM 4.	RULINGS	9
Agenda item 4.1.	Accreditation	9
Agenda item 4.2.	Registration	9
Agenda item 4.3.	Issuance	9
Agenda item 4.4.	Other rulings.....	9
AGENDA ITEM 5.	RELATION WITH FORUMS AND OTHER STAKEHOLDERS.....	9
AGENDA ITEM 6.	OTHER MATTERS	10
AGENDA ITEM 7.	CONCLUSION OF THE MEETING	10
ANNEXES TO THE REPORT		11
LIST OF DOCUMENTS THAT HAVE BEEN EDITED OR ISSUED SINCE THE LAST MEETING		12

Agenda item 1. Agenda and meeting organization

Agenda item 1.1. Opening

1. Mr. Mkhuthazi Steleki, Chair of the Supervisory Body of the mechanism established by Article 6, paragraph 4, of the Paris Agreement (Article 6.4 mechanism), opened the meeting and asserted that the quorum requirement was met.
2. The table below shows the attendance of members and alternate members at this meeting.¹

Table. Attendance

Members	Presence	Alternates	Presence
Mr. Michel Ardohain ^b	In-person	Mr. Dexter Lee ^b	In-person
Mr. Eduardo Calvo ^a	In-person	Mr. German Obando Vargas ^a	Absent
Mr. Piotr Dombrowicki ^{a, 1}	In-person	Mr. Imre Bányász ^{a, 1}	In-person
Mr. Gebru Jember Endalew ^a	In-person	Mr. Olivier Ishimwe ^a	In-person
Ms. Olga Gassan-zade ^{b, 2, 3}	Virtual	VACANT ^b	
Ms. Eunhae Jeong ^{b, 4}	In-person	Mr. Mohammed AlNahas ^{b, 5}	In-person
Mr. Richard Muyungi ^b	Absent	Ms. Mominata Elola Compaore ^b	Virtual
Mr. Shao Hua Ng ^b	Absent	Mr. Sumeet Betancourt ^b	In-person
Ms. Marina Moreira Costa Pittella ^b	In-person	Ms. Adriana Gutierrez ^b	In-person
Ms. Jacqui Ruesga ^a	Virtual	Mr. Simon Fellermeier ^a	In-person
Mr. Mkhuthazi Steleki ^a	In-person	Mr. Alick Muvundika ^a	Absent
Mr. Arif Goheer ^{a, 6}	In-person	Mr. Kentaro Takahashi ^a	Virtual

Members and respective alternate members are listed in alphabetical order by member's surname.

^a Term: 2 years, i.e. ending prior to the first meeting in 2027.

^b Term: 2 years, i.e. ending prior to the first meeting in 2028.

¹ Nomination pending from CMA² 6 (Baku). Current member remains in office until nomination is received.

² Nomination pending from CMA 7 (Belém). Current member remains in office until nomination is received.

³ Nomination pending from CMA 5 (Dubai). Current member remains in office until nomination is received.

⁴ The Chair of the Asia Pacific Group (APG) informed the secretariat on 22 November 2025 that APG has agreed on a seat sharing arrangement for the position of member as follows:

¹ For detailed information on nominations and agreements made within each regional group, please refer to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) election and membership page, available at: <https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/bodies/election-and-membership>.

² Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Paris Agreement at its sixth session.

Ms. Eunhae Jeong (Republic of Korea) in 2026 and Mr. Mohammed AlNahas (Kingdom of Saudi Arabia) in 2027

⁵ The APG informed the secretariat on 22 November 2025 that APG has agreed on a seat-sharing arrangement for the position of alternate member as follows: Mr. Mohammed AlNahas (Kingdom of Saudi Arabia) in 2026 and Mr. Ahmed Masoon Hassan (Maldives) in 2027.

⁶ Ms. Khalida Bashir resigned effective 18 May 2026.

Agenda item 1.2. Adoption of the agenda

3. The Supervisory Body adopted the agenda of the meeting, which was published as document A6.4-SBM022-AA.³

Agenda item 2. Governance and management matters

Agenda item 2.1. Membership issues

4. Members and alternate members of the Supervisory Body made available statements of actual, potential or perceived conflicts of interest with respect to the issues on the agenda of this meeting, as reflected on the UNFCCC website.⁴

Agenda item 2.2. Strategic planning and direction

5. The Supervisory Body considered the draft annual report of the Supervisory Body to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Paris Agreement at its eighth session (CMA 8) and requested the secretariat to:

- (a) Streamline the draft report by avoiding repetition, taking into account the feedback provided by the Supervisory Body at this meeting;
- (b) Further elaborate and clearly communicate the financial status of the Article 6.4 mechanism, including potential recommendations to the CMA;
- (c) Prepare a revised draft report taking into account the feedback provided at this meeting, in consultation with the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Supervisory Body and present it to the Supervisory Body for its consideration at its next meeting.

Agenda item 2.3. Performance management

6. The Supervisory Body took note of the update on the financial status of the 2026-2027 Business and Resource Allocation Plan, acknowledged the funding gap for the 2027 budget, acknowledged the efforts by the secretariat to limit expenditures and seek additional efficiency gains and requested for continued prudent financial management. The Supervisory Body requested the secretariat to continue providing regular updates on the income and expenditures. The Supervisory Body also took note of the information on alternative sources of funding of Article 6.4 mechanism and requested the secretariat to provide additional information on this matter at its next meeting.

³ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A64-SBM022-AA.pdf>.

⁴ Twenty-second meeting of the Article 6.4 Supervisory Body, available at <https://unfccc.int/event/Supervisory-Body-22>.

7. The Supervisory Body adopted its updated workplan 2026 as contained in annex 1 to this report, including new mandates provided at this meeting, noting that the expected timeline for them is to be defined. The Supervisory Body also requested the secretariat to include any new mandates arising from future meetings in subsequent iterations of the workplan, and to provide an update on the status of work on a regular basis.
8. The Supervisory Body adopted the updated workplan of the Methodological Expert Panel (MEP) for 2026 as contained in annex 2 to this report, including new mandates provided at this meeting, noting that the expected timeline for them is to be defined. The Supervisory Body also requested the secretariat to include any new mandates in future versions of the workplan, and to provide an update on the status of work on a regular basis.

Agenda item 2.4. Matters related to the Supervisory Body and its support structure

9. The Supervisory Body took note of the report of the eighth meeting of the Accreditation Expert Panel (AEP).⁵ The report summarized the work of the AEP, including an update on applications received from applicant entities (AEs) for accreditation under the Article 6.4 mechanism.
10. The Supervisory Body took note of the reports of the fourteenth and fifteenth meeting of the MEP.⁶ The reports summarize the work of the MEP, including the products related to requirements for the methodologies, activities involving removals, and the revision of clean development mechanism methodologies and methodological tools.

Agenda item 2.5. Designated national authorities

11. The Supervisory Body took note that 129 designated national authorities were established as of 29 July 2026, and 69 countries submitted information on the fulfilment of the host Party participation requirements.⁷

Agenda item 3. Regulatory and procedural matters

Agenda item 3.1. Governance

12. The Supervisory Body considered the concept note “Governance arrangements for the Article 6.4 Supervisory Body and its expert panels” and requested the secretariat to prepare a revised version of the concept note taking into account the feedback provided at this meeting and to present it together with the revised relevant procedures to the Supervisory Body for consideration at a future meeting in 2027.
13. The Supervisory Body took note of the information on actions implemented by the secretariat in support of the MEP.

⁵ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-AEP007.pdf>.

⁶ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-MEP012.pdf> and https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4_MEP013.pdf.

⁷ See <https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement/article-64-mechanism/national-authorities>.

14. The Supervisory Body considered the concept note on the Evaluation of the implementation of the share of proceeds (SOP) and agreed to the following:
- (a) Administrative SOP:
 - (i) Inform the CMA, due to lack of practical implementation experience the Supervisory Body agreed to postpone consideration of this matter with a view to undertaking an assessment and making recommendations for consideration by the CMA at its ninth session, and to report this in its annual report to the CMA;
 - (ii) Request the secretariat to prepare a revised “Procedure: Article 6.4 Activity Cycle Procedure for Programmes of Activities” regarding the exemption from administrative fees, limiting the exemption only to component projects hosted in least developed countries or small island developing States, and to present the revised procedure to the Supervisory Body for its consideration at a future meeting.
 - (b) Adaptation SOP:
 - (i) Took note of the complexities associated with the implementation of the SOP for adaptation and of the proposed solutions presented in the concept note and agreed to inform the CMA through its annual report;
 - (ii) Request the secretariat to seek feedback from the Adaptation Fund Board on the identified complexities and possible solutions and to report back to the Supervisory Body;
 - (iii) Considering the lack of practical implementation experience gained from the operation of the Article 6.4 mechanism, it agreed to postpone consideration of this matter with a view to undertaking an assessment and making recommendations for consideration by the CMA at its ninth session, and to report this in its annual report to the CMA; and
 - (iv) Agreed to deem the Adaptation Fund (or its trustee) an authorized entity for the Article 6.4 mechanism cooperative approach (CA 0001), thereby avoiding the need for each participating Party to separately authorize the Adaptation Fund (or its trustee) as an authorized entity, and to report this in its annual report to the CMA.
15. The Supervisory Body also considered the information on the “Evaluation of the implementation and possible improvements to optimize the delivery of overall mitigation in global emissions” set out in Chapter VIII of the annex to decision 3/CMA.3. Considering the lack of practical implementation experience gained from the operation of the Article 6.4 mechanism, it agreed to postpone consideration of this matter with a view to undertaking an assessment and making recommendations for consideration by the CMA at its ninth session, and to report this in its annual report to the CMA.
16. The Supervisory Body considered the recommendation of the AEP and agreed to launch the first regular surveillance assessment, for those designated operational entities (DOEs) and AEs which have been assessed based on the accreditation standard (version. 01.0) within one year after accreditation is granted to ensure compliance with

the Accreditation Standard (version. 02.0). Furthermore, the Supervisory Body requested the secretariat to:

- (a) Establish a declaration form to be submitted by DOEs along with related implementation evidence in compliance with the Accreditation Standard (version 02.0);
- (b) Indicate in the declaration form that any DOE that submits false, misleading or inaccurate information, or conceals relevant information, during the declaration process, shall immediately be liable for withdrawal of Article 6.4 accreditation as per section 14 of the Accreditation Procedure (version 02.0);
- (c) Ensure on-site assessments of the first regular surveillance assessments to be conducted after 10 October 2026;
- (d) Summarize declaration and implementation evidence provided in assessment workplans for assessment teams to review prior to conducting office visits of the regular surveillance assessments as per paragraph 145 of the Accreditation Procedure (version 02.0).

Agenda item 3.2. Activity cycle

- 17. The Supervisory Body took note of the information on “Incorporation of Know-Your-Customer (KYC) process within the Article 6.4 mechanism regulations”. The Supervisory Body also requested the secretariat, subject to availability of resources, to proceed with a coordinated approach to developing the SOP and risk management policy and report back as needed at a future meeting.

Agenda item 3.3. Methodologies

- 18. The Supervisory Body considered and adopted the “A6.4-AMM-003: Mechanism methodology: Electricity generation from renewable sources connected to an electricity system”, as contained in annex 3 to this report.
- 19. The SBM further requested the MEP to review the methodology, as appropriate, taking into account the experience gained through the application, scientific analysis of activities undertaken under this methodology, improved data availability, and relevant methodological developments; including the common-practice assessment, the appropriateness of the applicable thresholds, restrictions and technology-specific provisions, and to recommend any revision of the methodology that may be warranted at a future meeting. In doing so, the MEP should consider whether greater differentiation among comparable activities, indicators, and thresholds could better reflect relevant technological, national, and market circumstances, while ensuring consistency, environmental integrity, and practical applicability.
- 20. The Supervisory Body requested the MEP to conduct further work on the GHG emissions from hydropower plants reservoirs and to make recommendations for the inclusion of provisions to estimate these emissions in the methodology referred to in paragraph 19 above.
- 21. While considering the methodology referred to in paragraph 19 above, the Supervisory Body took note that the approach of a relative downward adjustment was applied in the mechanism methodology and requested the MEP to reflect such approach in a future

revision of the "Standard: Setting the baseline in mechanism methodologies" (A6.4-STAN-METH-004) once the standard will be reviewed.

22. The Supervisory Body considered the "Draft mechanism methodology: Energy efficiency measures in household cooking" and requested the MEP to review its recommendation and provide its views on the following questions for its consideration at its next meeting.
- (a) Benefits and drawbacks of considering reversal risk and the application of non-permanence provisions for improved cookstove activities, independent of the existing Article 6.4 rules and regulations, taking into consideration the best available science and practical implications;
 - (b) The rationales on the 4.5% threshold of "households in the target population with a functional cooking technology that is assessed to have the same or a higher efficiency as the project cookstoves (i.e., equivalent to the project cookstoves)" as set out in paragraph 13(j) of the proposed methodology and whether this is possible under Article 6.4 rules and regulations. The MEP should further consider: (i) the benefits and drawbacks of expanding the scope of exclusions in paragraph 46(a) and (ii) whether the assumptions take into account potential non-linear growth rates of autonomous adoption;
 - (c) Whether the approach in paragraph 57(a), associated with paragraph 52(i) of the baseline standard, is appropriate in specific instances where data exhibits heavily skewed distributions, and whether alternative approaches such as calculating "the median performance of all the best performing comparable activities including and beyond the selected percentile" may be a more representative metric.
23. The Supervisory Body agreed to request the secretariat to consider ways, including exploring publishing the "A6.4-FORM-METH-001: New baseline and monitoring methodology or methodological tool proposal form" as soon as it is received by the secretariat, to allow stakeholders to collaborate with proponents of proposed mechanism methodologies, should they wish.

Agenda item 3.4. Registry

24. The Supervisory Body adopted the revision to "Procedure: Article 6.4 mechanism registry", as contained in annex 4 to this report with the understanding that the procedure will be further revised. The Supervisory Body requested the secretariat to continue work on matters requiring further policy discussion, including, post issuance authorization, matters relating to the first transfer from a Party that is not the host Party, and present a revised procedure to the Supervisory Body for its consideration at a future meeting.
25. The Supervisory Body considered the information on "Considerations for repurposing the voluntary cancellation platform" and agreed not to repurpose the platform for operations under Article 6.4 mechanism registry.

Agenda item 3.5. Policy matters

26. The Supervisory Body took note that no policy matters were considered at this meeting.

Agenda item 4. Rulings

Agenda item 4.1. Accreditation

27. The Supervisory Body considered the recommendation of the AEP and agreed to accredit for five years the applicant entity A6.4E-0019 Limited Liability Company Small Innovative Enterprise “NES Profexpert” for validation, verification and certification functions for sectoral scopes 1–15 in accordance with paragraph 86 of the “Procedure: A6.4 accreditation” (version 02.0).
28. The Supervisory Body took note of notifications from the AEP on the completion of performance assessments of two DOEs in accordance with paragraph 125 of the “Procedure: A6.4 accreditation” (version 02.0).

Agenda item 4.2. Registration

29. The Supervisory Body noted that no transition requests were considered at this meeting.

Agenda item 4.3. Issuance

30. The Supervisory Body noted that no issuance rulings were considered at this meeting.

Agenda item 4.4. Other rulings

31. The Supervisory Body noted that no other rulings were considered at this meeting.

Agenda item 5. Relation with forums and other stakeholders

32. The Supervisory Body interacted with registered observers during the meeting. The observers presented views in relation to items on the agenda of this meeting. The Supervisory Body took note of the inputs and thanked the observers for their interactions. The list of registered observers to this meeting is contained in annex 5 to this report.
33. The Supervisory Body also interacted with Mr. Javier Castro, Chair of the DOE/AE Coordination Forum during its meeting and took note of the Forum’s input. The Supervisory Body thanked the Chair for his input.
34. The Supervisory Body considered the letter submitted by stakeholders and agreed to respond in accordance with the “Procedure: Direct communication with stakeholders”.
35. The Supervisory Body considered public comments on the documentation of this meeting, which were made publicly available on the UNFCCC website, and thanked stakeholders for their comments.⁸
36. Observers to SBM 023 shall register with the secretariat three weeks before the start of that meeting. Any change to the meeting date will be communicated as appropriate.

⁸ See <https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement/article-64-mechanism/calls-for-input>.

Agenda item 6. Other matters

37. The Supervisory Body agreed to the provisional agenda for SBM 023, to be held in Bonn, from 5 to 9 October 2026, as contained in annex 6 to this report.

Agenda item 7. Conclusion of the meeting

38. The Chair summarized the main conclusions.
39. The proceedings of the open sessions of the meeting can be accessed via on-demand broadcast.

Annexes to the report

- Annex 1 - Information note: Workplan of the Supervisory Body 2026 (version 02.0)
- Annex 2 - Information note: Workplan of the Methodological Expert Panel 2026 (version 03.0)
- Annex 3 - A6.4-AMM-003: Mechanism methodology: Electricity generation from renewable sources connected to an electricity system (version 01.0)
- Annex 4 - Procedure: Article 6.4 mechanism registry (version 03.0)
- Annex 5 - Information note: List of registered observers at the twenty-second meeting of the Supervisory Body (version 01.0)
- Annex 6 - Provisional agenda: 23rd meeting of the Supervisory Body (version 01.0)

List of documents that have been edited or issued since the last meeting

This list sets out the regulatory documents issued or revised since the last meeting (SBM 020), in accordance with the “Decision and Documentation Framework” ([A6.4-INFO-GOV-005](#)), to enhance transparency and facilitate access to regulatory information under the Article 6.4 mechanism.

Users are encouraged to consult the [Article 6.4 rules and regulations](#) webpage to ensure that they are using the most recent versions of the documents, particularly forms required for submission processes. For ease of reference, click on each type of document link below to access these and other regulatory documents.

[Information notes](#)

- A6.4-INFO-GOV-029: Workplan of the Methodological Expert Panel 2026 (version 02.0)
- A6.4-INFO-GOV-030: Calendar of meetings of the Supervisory Body for 2026 (version 03.0)

[Forms](#)

- A6.4-FORM-METH-005: New baseline and monitoring methodology or methodological tool expert review form (version 02.0)

- - - - -

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.0	30 July 2026	A6.4-SBM 022 meeting report. Initial publication.
Decision Class: Operational Document Type: Meeting report Business Function: Governance Keywords: Article 6.4 Supervisory Body, reporting procedures		