

**A6.4-SBM016-A06**

## Standard

---

# Article 6.4 activity standard for projects

Version 02.0



**United Nations**  
Framework Convention on  
Climate Change

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## Page

<b>1. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1. Background .....	4
1.2. Objectives.....	5
<b>2. SCOPE AND ENTRY INTO FORCE.....</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1. Scope .....	5
2.2. Entry into force .....	5
<b>3. TERMS AND DEFINITIONS .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4. PRINCIPLES .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>5. GENERAL REQUIREMENTS.....</b>	<b>6</b>
5.1. Use of applicable forms.....	6
5.2. Use of applicable global warming potentials.....	6
<b>6. DESIGN OF PROJECT .....</b>	<b>7</b>
6.1. Demonstration of prior consideration of the Article 6.4 mechanism.....	7
6.2. Description of project .....	7
6.3. Double or revived registration .....	8
6.4. Selection of methodologies and standardized baselines.....	11
6.5. Application of methodologies and standardized baselines.....	12
6.5.1. General requirements .....	12
6.5.2. Project boundary, sources, sinks and greenhouse gases.....	12
6.5.3. Baseline scenario.....	13
6.5.4. Business-as-usual scenario or benchmark.....	13
6.5.5. Demonstration of additionality .....	13
6.5.6. Addressing non-permanence for projects involving removals and emission reduction projects with reversal risks .....	14
6.5.7. Estimation of emission reductions or net removals .....	15
6.5.8. Monitoring plan.....	17
6.6. Start date, crediting period type and duration.....	19
6.7. Environmental, social and sustainable development impacts, social impacts and sustainable development benefits .....	20

6.8.	Integrity safeguards.....	21
6.9.	Local stakeholder consultation.....	21
6.10.	Global stakeholder consultation.....	22
6.11.	Modalities of communication.....	22
<b>7.</b>	<b>POST-REGISTRATION ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>22</b>
7.1.	Continuous engagement of stakeholders .....	22
7.2.	Integrity safeguards.....	22
7.3.	Post-registration changes .....	22
7.3.1.	General requirements .....	22
7.3.2.	Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool forms (A6.4 Environmental and social safeguards risk assessment form, the A6.4 Environmental and social management plan form, and the A6.4 Sustainable development impact form), applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other applied methodological regulatory documents, including the A6.4 SD Tool .....	23
7.3.3.	Permanent changes .....	24
7.3.4.	Registration under or overlap with other crediting scheme .....	28
<b>7.4.</b>	<b>Reversals related actions for projects involving removals and emission reductions projects with reversal risks .....</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>8.</b>	<b>IMPLEMENTATION AND MONITORING.....</b>	<b>29</b>
8.1.	General requirements .....	29
8.2.	General information.....	31
8.3.	Avoidance of double issuance .....	31
8.4.	Description of implemented registered project .....	31
8.5.	Description of monitoring system.....	32
8.6.	Data and parameters .....	32
8.7.	Calculation of emission reductions or net removals .....	34
<b>9.</b>	<b>RENEWAL OF CREDITING PERIOD .....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>10.</b>	<b>POST-CREDITING MONITORING AND REPORTING.....</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>11.</b>	<b>VOLUNTARY DEREGISTRATION OF PROJECT .....</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>APPENDIX 1.</b>	<b>GLOBAL WARMING POTENTIALS.....</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>APPENDIX 2.</b>	<b>MODALITIES OF LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION .....</b>	<b>39</b>

# 1. Introduction

## 1.1. Background

1. The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Paris Agreement (CMA), at its third session, adopted rules, modalities and procedures (RMPs) for the mechanism established by Article 6, paragraph 4, of the Paris Agreement (the Article 6.4 mechanism)<sup>1</sup> and requested the Supervisory Body, among others, to develop provisions for the development and approval of methodologies, validation, registration, monitoring, verification and certification, issuance, renewal, first transfer from the mechanism registry, voluntary cancellation and other processes pursuant to chapters V.B–L and VIII of the RMPs.<sup>2</sup>
2. The CMA, at its fourth session, elaborated some elements of the RMPs relating to the operation of the activity cycle of the Article 6.4 mechanism.<sup>3</sup>

2<sup>bis</sup>. The Supervisory Body, at its ninth meeting, adopted the “Article 6.4 activity standard for projects” (hereinafter referred to as this standard) that set out the requirements relating to the design, implementation and other attributes of Article 6.4 mechanism projects (A6.4 projects).

2<sup>ter</sup>. The Supervisory Body, at its fourteenth meeting, adopted the “Standard: Application of the requirements of Chapter V.B (Methodologies) for the development and assessment of Article 6.4 mechanism methodologies” (hereinafter referred to as the methodologies standard), the “Standard: Requirements for activities involving removals under the Article 6.4 mechanism” (hereinafter referred to as the removals standard) and the “Tool: Article 6.4 sustainable development tool” (hereinafter referred to as the A6.4 SD Tool). The two standards set out the requirements for the development and assessment of Article 6.4 mechanism methodologies and the requirements for activities involving removals and emission reduction activities with reversal risks under the Article 6.4 mechanism, whereas the A6.4 SD Tool provides means for activity participants to demonstrate that they have met the mandatory requirements for identifying and addressing social and environmental risks, as well as for assessing and enhancing the contributions of A6.4 activities to sustainable development in line with sustainable development objectives and priorities of the host Party and the sustainable development goals (SDGs).

2<sup>quater</sup>. The Supervisory Body, at its fifteenth meeting, adopted the “Standard: Demonstration of additionality for mechanism methodologies” (hereinafter referred to as the additionality standard) that sets out the requirements for mechanism methodologies with regard to demonstrating additionality.

---

<sup>1</sup> Decision 3/CMA.3, annex A available at:  
[https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/cma2021\\_10\\_add1\\_adv.pdf#page=25](https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/cma2021_10_add1_adv.pdf#page=25).

<sup>2</sup> Decision 3/CMA.3, paragraph 5(a).

<sup>3</sup> Decision 7/CMA.4, annex I, chapters III–VI. A available at:  
[https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/cma2022\\_10a02\\_adv.pdf#page=33](https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/cma2022_10a02_adv.pdf#page=33).

## 1.2. Objectives

3. The objective of the “Article 6.4 activity standard for projects” (hereinafter referred to as this standard) is to set out requirements relating to the design, implementation and other attributes of A6.4 projects.

## 2. Scope and entry into force

### 2.1. Scope

4. This standard provides activity participants of a proposed or registered A6.4 project with minimum requirements for requesting registration under the Article 6.4 mechanism, requesting approval of post-registration change, requesting issuance of Article 6, paragraph 4, emission reductions (A6.4ERs), renewal of the crediting period and deregistration from the Article 6.4 mechanism.

### 2.2. Entry into force

5. This document enters into force on 01 January 2024 16 May 2025.

## 3. Terms and definitions

6. The following terms apply in this standard:
- (a) “Shall” is used to indicate requirements to be followed;
  - (b) “Should” is used to indicate that among several possibilities, one course of action is recommended as particularly suitable;
  - (c) “May” is used to indicate what is permitted;
  - (d) “Activity participant” is a public or private entity that participates in an A6.4 project.

## 4. Principles

7. The following principles<sup>4</sup> shall guide the design and implementation of an A6.4 project and the monitoring of greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reductions or net GHG removals, and contribute to enhancing the environmental integrity of A6.4 projects:

---

<sup>4</sup> This text is adapted to the Article 6.4 mechanism and is taken from ISO 14064-2:2006 – Greenhouse gases – Part 2: Specification with guidance at the project level for quantification, monitoring and reporting of greenhouse gas emission reductions or removals; it is reproduced with the permission of the International Organization for Standardization (ISO). This standard can be obtained from any ISO member and from the website of the ISO Central Secretariat at the following address: <<http://www.iso.org>>. Copyright remains with ISO.

\* For ease of identification of the background of the revisions, the changes to reflect the inclusion of requirements from the methodologies standard, the removals standard, the additionality standard, the A6.4 SD Tool and other additional substantive changes are highlighted in yellow, whereas the changes to reflect the structured legal and editorial review are highlighted in green.

- (a) Select the GHG sources, GHG sinks, GHG reservoirs, data, methodologies and all other information that is appropriate to **meet** the needs of the intended user;<sup>5</sup>
- (b) Include all relevant GHG sources and sinks, and information to support compliance with all **Article 6.4** requirements;
- (c) Enable meaningful comparisons **of** project-related information;
- (d) Reduce bias and uncertainties as far as it is practical/cost-effective, or otherwise use conservative assumptions, values and procedures to ensure that GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals are not overestimated;
- (e) Disclose sufficient and appropriate project-related information in a truthful manner to allow intended users to make decisions with reasonable confidence. **Proprietary or confidential information may be marked as such to prevent public disclosure by the designated operational entity (DOE), the Supervisory Body or the secretariat without the provider's written consent. Do not disclose proprietary or confidential information marked as such by activity participants without the written consent of the provider of the information,** except as required by national law. In this context, information used to determine additionality, to describe the baseline methodology and its application, and to support assessments of environmental impacts, social impacts and sustainable development benefits shall not be considered **as** proprietary or confidential.

## 5. General requirements

### 5.1. Use of applicable forms

- 8. The activity participants **wishing to have seeking validation of** a proposed A6.4 project **validated** by a designated operational entity (DOE) shall prepare a project design document (PDD) using the valid version of the applicable PDD form and following **ing** the instructions therein.<sup>6</sup>
- 9. The activity participants wishing to have the GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals achieved by the implemented registered A6.4 project verified by a DOE shall prepare **for each monitoring period,** a monitoring report **for each monitoring period** using the valid version of the monitoring report form and following **ing** the instructions therein.

### 5.2. Use of applicable global warming potentials

- 10. The activity participants shall use the 100-year time-horizon global warming potentials (GWPs) from the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) as contained in Appendix 1, or 100-year time-horizon GWP values from a subsequent IPCC assessment report as agreed upon by the CMA, to calculate aggregate GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals achieved or expected to achieve by the proposed or registered A6.4 project **in the period** from 1 January 2021 **onward**, expressed in tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent.

<sup>5</sup> "Intended users" include activity participants, designated operational entities (DOEs), the Supervisory Body, the UNFCCC secretariat, designated national authorities (DNAs) and local and other stakeholders.

<sup>6</sup> All types of PDD forms are available on the UNFCCC website.

## 6. Design of project

### 6.1. Demonstration of prior consideration of the Article 6.4 mechanism

11. ~~The activity participants shall, prior to proceeding with requesting registration through a DOE, the activity participants shall~~ demonstrate that the Article 6.4 mechanism benefits were considered necessary in the decision to undertake the project as an A6.4 project by submitting a “prior consideration notification” to the secretariat, containing a summary of the project information in accordance with the “Article 6.4 activity cycle procedure for projects” (hereinafter referred to as the activity cycle procedure).

### 6.2. Description of project

12. The activity participants shall describe the proposed A6.4 project in the PDD to provide an understanding of the nature and the outline of the project by providing, inter alia, the following information:
- (a) The title and ~~the~~ UNFCCC reference number of the project, consistent with those indicated in, and assigned to, the prior consideration notification referred to in paragraph 11 above;
  - (b) The sectoral scopes linked to the methodologies applied and relevant to the project;
  - (c) The purpose and a general description of the project;
  - (d) The confirmation that the project is within the types of A6.4 activities indicated by the host Party that it would consider approving in accordance with paragraph 26(c) of the RMPs;
  - (e) The geographical location of the project;<sup>7</sup>
  - (f) The technologies ~~and/or~~ measures to be employed and/or implemented by the project, including:
    - (i) A list of the facilities, systems, practices and/or equipment that will be installed and/or modified by the project;
    - (ii) The types and levels of services<sup>8</sup> provided by the facilities, systems, practices and/or equipment and their relation, if any, to other facilities, systems and equipment outside the project boundary;
    - (iii) The arrangement of the facilities, systems, practices and/or equipment;
    - (iv) The age and average lifetime of any equipment based on the manufacturer’s specifications and industry standards;
    - (v) The installed capacities, load factors and efficiencies;

---

<sup>7</sup> The geographical location includes the project boundary excluding the location of non-project-specific equipment such as electricity grid and district heating. It does not apply to distributed unit projects in which the project boundary consists of a region.

<sup>8</sup> Examples of “types and levels of services” include the amount of a certain type of cement produced or the amount of electricity fed into the electricity grid.

- (vi) The energy and mass flows and balances of the facilities, systems and equipment, if necessary;
    - (vii) The monitoring equipment and **their its** location **within** the systems;
  - (g) The technologies **and/or** measures existing prior to the implementation of the project at the same site, as applicable, including the equivalent information listed in subparagraph (f) above on the facilities, systems and equipment;
  - (h) A summary of the baseline scenario as established in accordance with section 6.5.3 below, including the equivalent information listed in subparagraph (f) above.
13. The activity participants shall identify in the PDD:
- (a) The host Party and other Parties participating in the proposed A6.4 project (hereinafter referred to as other participating Parties);
  - (b) The activity participants of the proposed A6.4 project.

### 6.3. Double or revived registration

14. The activity participants shall confirm that the proposed A6.4 project is none of the following:
- (a) Already registered as an A6.4 project;
  - (b) Already included as a component project (CP) in a registered Article 6.4 mechanism programme of activities (A6.4 PoA);
  - (c) Previously deregistered from the Article 6.4 mechanism.
15. **The Additionally, the** activity participants shall **additionally** confirm one of the following:
- (a) The proposed A6.4 project is not currently registered or being pursued for registration, or covered by a programme, under any other international, regional, national, subnational or sector-wide GHG mitigation crediting scheme;
  - (b) The proposed A6.4 project was previously registered under or covered by a programme under any other international, regional, national, or subnational or sector-wide GHG mitigation crediting scheme but deregistered or excluded from the other crediting scheme before fully **exhausting consuming** the crediting period under the other crediting scheme;
  - (c) The proposed A6.4 project is currently registered or covered by other international, regional, national, subnational or sector-wide GHG mitigation crediting scheme.
16. For the cases **referred to described** in paragraph 15(b) above, the activity participants shall obtain a confirmation **offrom** the other crediting scheme of the effective date of deregistration or exclusion **from the other crediting scheme** and **the** remaining crediting period under the other crediting scheme at the time of deregistration or exclusion.
17. For the cases **referred to described** in paragraph 15(c) above, the activity participants shall obtain a confirmation **from of** the other crediting scheme of the effective date of the registration or coverage, the start and end dates of the crediting period, and the monitoring periods for which credits have been issued under the other crediting scheme.



18. If the proposed A6.4 project was a CP that has been excluded from a registered A6.4 PoA, the activity participants shall ensure that:
- (a) The PDD transparently declares that the project was a CP that has been previously excluded from a registered A6.4 PoA, either voluntarily or due to erroneous inclusion;
  - (b) The crediting period type (i.e., renewable or fixed) and the end date of the crediting period are the same as those of the crediting period valid for the CP at the time of the exclusion, ~~noting that~~ A6.4ERs may be claimed for the period only after the effective date of registration as an A6.4 project, as per the activity cycle procedure, and:
    - (i) If the crediting period is renewable, the request for registration shall be submitted by the validating DOE within one year of the end date of the crediting period valid for the CP at the time of the exclusion, ~~noting that~~ ~~Missing this deadline precludes no longer allows~~ the submission of the request for registration;
    - (ii) If the crediting period is fixed, the request for registration shall be submitted by the validating DOE prior to the end date of the crediting period valid for the CP at the time of the exclusion, ~~noting that~~ ~~Missing the this deadline precludes no longer allows~~ the submission of the request for registration;
  - (c) The project meets all relevant ~~registration~~ requirements ~~for registration~~ of projects valid at the time of submission of the request for registration as an A6.4 project;
  - (d) If the project applies a methodology that potentially accrues negative GHG emission reductions, GHG emission reductions have been continuously monitored in accordance with the monitoring plan as described in the CP design document (CP-DD) and verified by a DOE since the end of the monitoring period in the last published monitoring report that covered the CP, including the exclusion period. For such project, if ~~there were~~ net negative GHG emission reductions ~~occurred~~ during the period before the registration as an A6.4 project, the ~~equivalent~~ amount shall be deducted from the first requests for issuance of A6.4ERs after the registration;
  - (e) If the CP has been excluded ~~as a result of due to~~ erroneous inclusion and if A6.4ERs ~~have been were~~ issued for the CP, an equivalent amount of A6.4ERs have been compensated by the DOE that included the CP, to the Article 6.4 mechanism registry in accordance with the “Article 6.4 activity cycle procedure for programmes of activities”.
19. The activity participants shall declare, if applicable, the existence of a registered A6.4 project, CP under a registered A6.4 PoA or an activity under any other international, regional, national or subnational GHG mitigation crediting scheme whose crediting period has or has not expired (hereinafter referred to as former project) in the same geographical location as that of the proposed A6.4 project.
20. If the activity participants identify that the proposed A6.4 project is in the same geographical location as that of a former project, they shall declare that the proposed A6.4 project will not lead to the discontinuation or modification of the former project and does

not decrease the levels of GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals by the former project, and that the proposed A6.4 project complies with the following conditions:

- (a) It utilizes both different measure and a different technology(ies), technique(s) and/or resource(s) from those of the former project;
- (b) It does not share or utilize any of the assets of the former project;
- (c) It utilizes a different resource type compared to the former project.

21. The following definitions shall apply for paragraph 20 above:

- (a) Measure: fuel/feedstock switch, technology switch, methane destruction and methane avoidance;
- (b) Technology: equipment or conversion process used for the production of goods or provision of services. Two technologies are considered to be the same technologies if they:
  - (i) Provide the same kind of output and use the same kind of equipment and conversion process; or
  - (ii) Undertake the same course of action that results in the same kind of effect;
- (c) Assets: resources with economic value that an individual, corporation or country owns or controls with the expectation that it will provide future benefit; the assets could be physical, such as project equipment, or non-corporeal such as permits and exclusive position in legislation. The definition of assets in this context excludes land;
- (d) Output: amount of goods or services produced by a technology;
- (e) Resource: a source of supply or support needed for the production of an output. It may include categories of goods, energy and energy carriers that are supplied into the project location and are required for the implementation of the project /CP, such as fossil fuel, by-product of a process, biomass, solar, wind and geothermal heat.

22. If the proposed A6.4 project involves the implementation of distributed units in households and the conditions in paragraph 20(a)–(c) above are not met, the activity participants shall request a DOE to validate and confirm by other means that the project will not lead to the discontinuation or modification of the former project, and does not decrease the GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals by the former project, in accordance with the “Article 6.4 validation and verification standard for projects” (hereinafter referred as the validation and verification standard).

23. In all other cases, the activity participants may submit a communication to the Supervisory Body to request clarification prior to the submission of a request for registration.

#### 6.4. Selection of methodologies and standardized baselines

24. The activity participants shall select **valid**<sup>9</sup> methodologies that have been approved by the Supervisory Body ~~and that are valid~~<sup>9</sup>, applicable to the proposed A6.4 project and, if applicable, are compatible with the methodological requirements that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(a) of the RMPs.
25. In selecting a methodology for the proposed A6.4 project, the activity participants may, **in accordance with the "Procedure: Development, revision and clarification of methodologies and methodological tools"**:
- (a) ~~Propose a new methodology in accordance with the "Procedure for development, revision and clarification of methodologies and methodological tools" (hereinafter referred to as the methodologies procedure);~~
  - (b) ~~Propose a revision to an approved methodology or methodological tool in accordance with the procedure referred to in subparagraph (a) above;~~
  - (c) ~~Seek clarification on the applicability of an approved methodology or methodological tool in accordance with the procedure referred to in subparagraph (a) above;~~ or
  - (d) ~~Request approval of deviation from an approved methodology or methodological tool in accordance with the procedure referred to in subparagraph (a) above.~~
26. The activity participants may select a standardized baseline that has been approved by the Supervisory Body if it is valid<sup>10</sup> and applicable to the proposed A6.4 project and to the selected methodology in accordance with the applicability section of the standardized baseline (hereinafter referred to as selected standardized baseline).
27. Notwithstanding paragraph 26 above, the activity participants shall select an approved standardized baseline for the proposed A6.4 project if the standardized baseline is valid, applicable to the proposed A6.4 project and to the selected methodology, and the selection of the standardized baseline is mandatory in accordance with the applicability section of the standardized baseline<sup>11</sup> or required by the host Party in accordance with ~~the~~ paragraph 27(a) of the RMPs.<sup>12</sup>

---

<sup>9</sup> The valid version of a methodology is its latest version, or a previous version if the submission of the request for registration of the proposed A6.4 project to the secretariat in accordance with the activity cycle procedure is still within the grace period of the previous version, **for use in accordance with the "Procedure for development, revision and clarification of methodologies and methodological tools"**.

<sup>10</sup> The valid version of a standardized baseline is its latest version, or a previous version if the submission of the request for registration of the proposed A6.4 project to the secretariat in accordance with the activity cycle procedure is still within the grace period of the previous version for use in accordance with the **"Procedure for development, revision, clarification and update of standardized baselines"**.

<sup>11</sup> The date when the selection of a standardized baseline becomes mandatory is to be determined in accordance with the **"Procedure for development, revision, clarification and update of standardized baselines"**.

<sup>12</sup> Such standardized baselines may, for example, state in their applicability section that the latest approved and valid values of the standardized baseline are the only values of the carbon dioxide emission factor(s) that shall be applied for the project electricity system.

28. In selecting a standardized baseline for the proposed A6.4 project, the activity participants may, in accordance with the “Procedure for development, revision, clarification and update of standardized baselines” (hereinafter referred to as the standardized baseline procedure):
- (a) Propose a new standardized baseline;
  - (b) Propose a revision to an approved standardized baseline;
  - (c) Seek clarification of an approved standardized baseline; or
  - (d) Propose an updated standardized baseline.

## **6.5. Application of methodologies and standardized baselines**

### **6.5.1. General requirements**

29. The activity participants shall provide the references (titles, versions and UNFCCC reference numbers) of the selected methodologies and the selected standardized baselines that are applied to the proposed A6.4 project, including any other methodologies or methodological tools to which the selected methodologies refer.
30. The activity participants shall demonstrate why the selected methodologies, standardized baselines, and any other standards, methodologies, methodological tools and guidelines applied in accordance with the selected methodologies (hereinafter, “any other standards, methodologies, methodological tools and guidelines (to be) applied in accordance with the selected (applied) methodologies” are collectively referred to as the other applied methodological regulatory documents) are applicable to the proposed A6.4 project. **This shall be done** by showing that the project meets all applicability conditions of these regulatory documents and, if applicable, **are** compatible with the methodological requirements that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(a) of the RMPs.
31. The activity participants shall ensure that the proposed A6.4 project complies with all the requirements of the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and **the** other applied methodological regulatory documents and, if applicable, the methodological requirements that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(a) of the RMPs.

### **6.5.2. Project boundary, sources, sinks and greenhouse gases**

32. The activity participants shall describe the project boundary of the proposed A6.4 project, including the physical delineation of the project using GPS coordinates **as where** appropriate. **The activity participants shall further specify and** which sources, sinks and GHGs are included **within** the project boundary, in accordance with the applied methodologies and the applied standardized baselines. In this context, project boundary is the physical delineation or geographical area that encompasses **sources, sinks and GHGs** ~~and sources~~ under the control of the activity participants, **which and that** are significant and reasonably attributable to the project in accordance with the applied methodologies and, where applicable, the applied standardized baselines.
33. If the applied methodologies or the applied standardized baselines allow the activity participants to choose whether a source, sink or GHG is to be included in the project boundary, the activity participants shall explain and justify their choice.

### 6.5.3. Baseline scenario

34. The activity participants shall establish and describe the baseline scenario for the proposed A6.4 project in accordance with: the applied methodologies; standardized baselines; a more ambitious baseline requirement determined by the host Party and approved by the Supervisory Body; other applied methodological regulatory documents; and the provisions outlined in paragraphs 35–40 below and, where applicable, the baseline approaches specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(a) of the RMPs.
35. The activity participants shall provide information on the facilities, systems and equipment to be operated both under the proposed A6.4 project and in the baseline scenario.
36. When establishing the baseline scenario, if the activity participants foresee that future anthropogenic emissions by sources are projected to rise above current levels due to the specific circumstances of in the host Party, they may follow the guidance on suppressed demand in the applied methodology, or propose a revision to an approved methodology to cover such scenario if it is not covered in the methodology.
37. In case of replacement of existing equipment, the activity participants shall estimate the point in time when the existing equipment would be replaced in the absence of the proposed A6.4 project in accordance with the guidance on determining the remaining lifetime of equipment in the applied methodology if applicable.
38. If the proposed A6.4 project is registered under or covered by another international, regional, national, subnational or sector-wide GHG mitigation crediting scheme, the most conservative baseline scenario between that is required by the applied methodology and that is set under such other crediting scheme, in accordance with guidance to be provided by the Supervisory Body.
- ~~39. [As a general principle, the nationally determined contribution (NDC) of the host Party submitted and maintained in accordance with Article 4, paragraph 2, relevant national and/or sectoral policies, regulations and circumstances shall be taken into account in the establishment of the baseline scenario, without creating perverse incentives that may impact host Parties' contributions to the objective of the Paris Agreement.]~~
40. Notwithstanding paragraphs 34–38 above, if the proposed A6.4 project applies an approved standardized baseline that standardizes baseline scenario, the activity participants shall describe the baseline scenario as per the applied standardized baseline.

### 6.5.4. Business-as-usual scenario or benchmark

- 40<sup>bis</sup>. The activity participants shall identify and describe the business-as-usual (BAU) scenario or reference benchmark emissions for the proposed A6.4 project in accordance with the applied methodologies or other applied methodological regulatory documents.

### 6.5.5. Demonstration of additionality

41. The activity participants shall demonstrate, in accordance with the applied methodologies, the other applied methodological regulatory documents, and the requirements related relating to prior consideration of the Article 6.4 mechanism contained in section 6.1 above, that the proposed A6.4 project would not have occurred in the absence of the incentives from the Article 6.4 mechanism.

41<sup>bis</sup>. Activity participants shall ensure that additionality is demonstrated for an A6.4 project in its entirety and is not separately demonstrated for different parts of an A6.4 project,<sup>13</sup> unless the different parts can be separately implemented at one site and do not affect each other.<sup>14</sup>

42. Additionality shall be demonstrated following the requirements for demonstration of additionality included in the applied methodologies, using a robust assessment that shows the project would not have occurred in the absence of the incentives from the mechanism. ~~This assessment should be made,~~ taking into account all relevant national policies, including legislation, and representing mitigation that exceeds any mitigation that is required by law or regulation, and taking a conservative approach that avoids locking in levels of emissions, technologies or carbon-intensive practices incompatible with paragraph 33 of the RMPs.

42<sup>bis</sup>. Activity participants shall, in accordance with the applied methodologies, demonstrate additionality using the appropriate approaches for demonstration of additionality, including regulatory analysis, analysis of lock-in risk, investment analysis, barrier analysis, common practice analysis and performance-based approach.

42<sup>ter</sup>. For transparency purposes, activity participants may specify the abatement costs in the PDD as part of the investment analysis.

#### **6.5.6. Addressing non-permanence for projects involving removals and emission reduction projects with reversal risks**

43. ~~For projects involving removals and emission reduction projects with reversal risks, the activity participants shall, in accordance with the applied methodologies and other applied methodological regulatory documents, assess the risk of non-permanence of GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals that will be achieved by the proposed A6.4 project over multiple NDC implementation periods and, where such risk exists, develop and implement planned monitoring and measures to address reversals in full., in the PDD, identify and assess the risks of reversals, aligned with the provisions of the removals standard and other applied methodological regulatory documents approved by the Supervisory Body, and conduct the risk assessment in accordance with the applied methodologies and other applied methodological regulatory documents.~~

43<sup>bis</sup>. The risks of reversals may be related, inter alia, to:

- (a) Activity finance and management, asset ownership, and rising opportunity costs;
- (b) Regulatory uncertainty and social instability, political, governance and legal risks, acts of terrorism, crime and war;
- (c) Natural disturbances and extreme events such as fires, pests, droughts, hurricanes, floods, landslides, earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, and geological faults and fractures;
- (d) Climate change impacts exacerbating any of the above risks.

---

<sup>13</sup> For example, if a project involves the capture of landfill gas combined with use of the landfill gas for energy generation, additionality should be demonstrated for both parts together, and not separately for the landfill gas capture part and for the energy generation part.

<sup>14</sup> For example, if the project involves the implementation of energy efficiency improvements and the catalytic abatement of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions at a nitric acid production plant.



43<sup>ter</sup>. The risk assessment shall include:

- (a) A risk mitigation plan using the reversal risk assessment tool to be developed by the Supervisory Body to identify, assess and mitigate reversal risks; and
- (b) The calculation of an overall percentage-based risk rating (hereinafter referred to as risk rating) that accounts for both avoidable and unavoidable reversals, taking into account, inter alia, the nature, magnitude, likelihood and duration of the risks.

43<sup>quater</sup>. The risk assessment shall be reviewed and revised by activity participants and verified by a DOE every five years from the start of the first crediting period and in any of the circumstances referred to in paragraph 57<sup>bis</sup> below.

#### 6.5.7. Estimation of emission reductions or net removals

44. The activity participants shall describe how they will undertake the ex post calculation of baseline, BAU, project and leakage GHG emissions or removals, as well as GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals, to be achieved by the proposed A6.4 project. The activity participants shall also, and provide the ex ante calculation of those them for each year of the crediting period, in accordance with the applied methodologies and, the applied standardized baselines and other applied methodological regulatory documents. If the proposed A6.4 project contains more than one component, the activity participants shall apply this requirement for each component separately. The activity participants shall describe all steps to be undertaken for these calculations and provide all results.

44<sup>bis</sup>. For projects involving removals that also result in emission reductions, the estimation of removals and emission reductions shall be separated in the PDD in accordance with the methodology(ies) applicable to the project.

44<sup>ter</sup>. The activity participants shall calculate the difference between baseline emissions and BAU emissions for each year of the crediting period and the total amount over the crediting period to determine a downward adjustment based on BAU.

44<sup>quater</sup>. The activity participants shall determine whether the baseline must be adjusted downward as per the guidance below:

- (a) The activity participants shall describe in the PDD the factors and quantitative methods for downward adjustment and update them at each renewal of the crediting period in accordance with paragraphs 44<sup>ter</sup> above and 44<sup>quater</sup>(b) below. Activity participants shall also apply more stringent factors or quantitative methods determined by the host Parties for downward adjustment, according to their circumstances;
- (b) The downward adjustment shall be undertaken in a manner that considers the economic viability of critical mitigation activities, large-scale transformation and decarbonization technologies, and negative emission approaches while ensuring that methodologies are aligned with the long-term temperature goal of the Paris Agreement, and may be operationalized through:

- (i) Factors or quantitative methods for activities included in methodologies approved by the Supervisory Body that may be proposed by activity participants or stakeholders;<sup>15</sup>
  - (ii) Factors or quantitative methods jointly developed by the Supervisory Body and the host Party;
  - (iii) Factors or quantitative methods developed by the host Party that are specified to the Supervisory Body for approval;
- (a) If the downward adjustment based on the BAU referred to in paragraph 44<sup>ter</sup> above is greater than the downward adjustment determined based on paragraphs 44<sup>quater</sup>(a) and 44<sup>quater</sup>(b) above, no further adjustment to the baseline is required; otherwise further adjustment is required to align with the result of paragraphs 44<sup>quater</sup>(a) and 44<sup>quater</sup>(b) above to ensure consistency with the requirements of paragraph 33 of the RMPs, in accordance with other applied methodological regulatory documents.
- 44<sup>quinquies</sup>. The activity participants shall determine the leakage emissions in the PDD as follows:
- (a) Identify all potential sources of leakage that may reasonably be attributable to the activity following the applicable methodology and other applied methodological regulatory documents. If any of the sources of leakage is excluded from consideration, proper justification shall be provided;
  - (b) Describe how each of the sources of leakage identified above will be avoided or minimized and accounted for, following the applicable methodology and other applied methodological regulatory documents;
  - (c) Take into account relevant information from the designated national authority (DNA) of the host Party on leakage, where available and as per the application of other applied methodological regulatory documents.
45. If the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines or other applied methodological regulatory documents include different scenarios or cases, or provide different options and/or default values to choose from, the activity participants shall justify their choice.
46. To determine the performance of the equipment used in the proposed A6.4 project, if when required for the calculation of calculating GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals, the activity participants shall use:
- (a) The appropriate values, or the values calculated based on using the methods, specified in the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the other applied methodological regulatory documents;

---

<sup>15</sup> Activity participants or stakeholders may propose factors or quantitative methods for the consideration of the Supervisory Body in consultation with a relevant DNA should it indicate an interest in being involved. If a country specific factor or quantitative method is to be developed, the "Procedure: Development, revision, clarification and update of standardized baselines" shall be applied. See here <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-PROC-METH-002.pdf>



- (b) The national standard for the performance of the equipment type, ~~with the identification of identifying~~ the standard used, if the values referred to in subparagraph (a) above ~~is are~~ not available;
  - (c) An international standard for the performance of the equipment type, such as ~~International Standardization Organization (ISO) and or~~ International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) standards with the identification of the standard used, if the values referred to in subparagraphs (a) and (b) above are not available;
  - (d) The manufacturer's specifications, provided ~~that~~ they are tested and certified by national or international certifiers, if the values referred to in subparagraphs (a)–(c) above are not available;
  - (e) Performance data from test results conducted by an independent entity for the equipment to be installed under the project, if the values referred to in subparagraphs (a)–(d) above are not available.
47. The activity participants shall use the valid version of the norms, specifications, standards and test procedures referred to in the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and ~~the~~ other applied methodological regulatory documents, as available at the time of submission of the request for registration.
48. The activity participants shall ~~in accordance with the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the other (applied) methodological regulatory documents,~~ provide the data and parameters that will not be monitored but are determined before the registration of the proposed A6.4 project and remain fixed throughout the first crediting period, ~~in accordance with the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and other applied methodological regulatory documents.~~ These data and parameters shall be available at the time of the validation of the project for registration.
49. The activity participants shall ensure that the application of default data in the estimation of GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals for the proposed A6.4 project results in conservative estimates.
50. The activity participants may use sampling for the determination of parameter values for calculating GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals if the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines or the other applied methodological regulatory documents allow this. In such cases, the activity participants shall develop and describe a sampling plan in accordance with the standard for sampling and surveys for Article 6.4 mechanism activities to be developed by the Supervisory Body.

#### 6.5.8. Monitoring plan

51. The activity participants shall develop and describe a monitoring plan for the proposed A6.4 project in accordance with the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the other applied methodological regulatory documents, ~~as well as~~ all other applicable Article 6.4 mechanism rules and requirements, and the provisions ~~outlined~~ in paragraphs 52–55 below.
- 51<sup>bis</sup>. For projects involving removals, activity participants shall carry out the monitoring of removals based on data derived from measurements, sampling, remote-sensing, third-party sources and published literature that are robust and statistically representative, conservative and take appropriate account of the associated uncertainties.

- 
52. In developing a monitoring plan for the proposed A6.4 project, the activity participants shall apply the following requirements, unless specified otherwise in the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines or the other applied methodological regulatory documents state otherwise:
- (a) Data variables that continuously impact the GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals continuously (e.g. quantity of fuel inputs, amount of heat or electricity produced, gas captured) shall be measured continuously and recorded at appropriate intervals;
  - (b) Measuring equipment shall be certified to national or IEC standards;
  - (c) The calibration of measuring equipment shall be carried out by an accredited person or institution;
  - (d) Measured data with high levels of uncertainty shall be compared with data from other sources to check the ensure consistency.
53. For The monitoring plan shall include the following, for the parameters to be measured in accordance with the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the other applied methodological regulatory documents, the monitoring plan shall include the following:
- (a) The measurement methods and procedures, including accepted industry, standards, or national or international standards, that will be applied; the measuring equipment that will be used; how the measurements will be undertaken; the accuracy of the measurement methods; the measurement intervals; and the responsible person/entity who/that will undertake the measurements;
  - (b) The calibration procedures to be applied and the responsible person/entity who/that will performing the calibration.
54. The monitoring plan shall include all data, parameters and related information required by the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the other applied methodological regulatory documents, including:
- (a) Quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) procedures;
  - (b) Uncertainty levels, methods and the associated accuracy level of measuring instruments to be used for various parameters and variables;
  - (c) Specifications of the calibration frequency for the measuring equipment. If neither no requirements for calibration frequency for measuring equipment is specified in the applied methodologies, nor the applied standardized baselines, nor the other applied methodological regulatory documents, nor the Supervisory Body's guidance specify any requirements for calibration frequency for measuring equipment, the activity participants shall ensure that the equipment is calibrated in accordance with the local/national standards or the manufacturer's specifications. If local/national standards or the manufacturer's specifications are not available, international standards may be used.

55. The monitoring plan shall also include a description of the monitoring management system, including the following elements:
- (a) The operational and management structure to be put in place to implement the monitoring plan;
  - (b) Provisions to ensure that data monitored and required for verification of GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals and issuance of A6.4ERs **are is** kept and archived for at least two years after the end of the final crediting period or the last issuance of A6.4ERs, whichever occurs later;
  - (c) Definitions of responsibilities and institutional arrangements for data collection and archiving.
56. ~~The activity participants may, unless the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines or the other applied methodological regulatory documents state otherwise, choose to make the activity participants may opt for~~ a delayed submission of the monitoring plan; ~~that is, which can occur~~ after the registration of the proposed A6.4 project and:
- (a) At any time prior to the submission of the request for issuance of A6.4ERs for the first monitoring period; or
  - (b) Together with the request for issuance of A6.4ERs for the first monitoring period.
57. If the activity participants choose to **make a delayed the** submission of the monitoring plan in accordance with paragraph 56 above, they shall clearly state in the PDD that the submission of the monitoring plan is delayed and therefore the PDD submitted for registration of the proposed A6.4 project does not contain information related to the monitoring plan.
- 57<sup>bis</sup>.** For projects involving removals and for emission reduction projects with reversal risks, the monitoring plan and the risk assessment shall be reviewed and updated during the crediting period and verified by a DOE if:
- (a) The DOE or the Supervisory Body identifies the need to revise the monitoring plan based on any concerns identified with the monitoring plan and the risk assessment plan;
  - (b) Additional risk factors are identified following a reversal that are not included or are not adequately addressed in the monitoring plan and the risk assessment plan;
  - (c) The applicable national or regional regulations require the consideration of risk factors that are not included or are not adequately addressed in the monitoring plan and the risk assessment plan.

## **6.6. Start date, crediting period type and duration**

58. The activity participants shall determine the start date of the proposed A6.4 project as the date on which ~~they the activity participants~~ commit to making expenditures for ~~the constructing construction or modifying modification of~~ the main equipment or facility (e.g., a wind turbine), or for ~~the providing provision or modifying modification of~~ a service (e.g., distribution of energy-efficient light bulbs, change of transport management system) under the proposed A6.4 project. ~~Where If a contract is signed~~ for such expenditures (e.g. for procurement of a wind turbine) **is signed**, the start date is the date on which the contract

is signed. In other cases, it is the date on which such expenditures are incurred. If the proposed A6.4 project involves more than one of such contracts or incurred expenditures, the start date is the earliest of the respective dates. Activities incurring minor pre-project expenses (e.g., feasibility studies, preliminary surveys) are not considered in the determination of the start date.

**58<sup>bis</sup>. The start date of the proposed A6.4 project shall be on or after 01 January 2021.**

59. The activity participants shall specify the expected operational lifetime of the proposed A6.4 project and adjust the crediting period(s) accordingly in cases where the DNA has specified conditions that ensure that the total length of the crediting period(s) is shorter than the lifetime of the technology implemented, including any replacements undertaken during the crediting period.

60. The activity participants shall indicate in the PDD the same crediting period type (renewable or fixed), start date and duration of the crediting period for the proposed A6.4 project as approved by the host Party in accordance with the activity cycle procedure, which is:

(a) For GHG emission reduction projects:

(i) Each renewable crediting period shall be maximum five years and may be renewed maximum twice, for a maximum total length of 15 years, or any shorter crediting period that may be specified by the host Party pursuant to paragraph 27(b) of the RMPs or by the applied methodologies; or

(ii) A fixed crediting period shall be maximum 10 years, or any shorter crediting period that may be specified by the host Party pursuant to paragraph 27(b) of the RMPs or by the applied methodologies;

(b) For GHG removal projects, each renewable crediting period shall be maximum 15 years and may be renewed maximum twice, for a maximum total length of 45 years, or any shorter crediting period that may be specified by the host Party pursuant to paragraph 27(b) of the RMPs or by the applied methodologies.

61. The start date of the crediting period may be as early as 1 January 2021, but no later than two years from the date of the submission of the PDD for global stakeholder consultation in accordance with the activity cycle procedure.

62. A project that has been registered as an A6.4 project may not be re-registered after the expiration expiry of its final crediting period.

## **6.7. Environmental, social and sustainable development impacts, social impacts and sustainable development benefits**

63. The activity participants shall carry out an analysis of the environmental and, social impacts and the sustainable development benefits-impacts of the proposed A6.4 project, and provide, in the PDD, a summary of the analysis and a monitoring plan of such impacts and planned remedial measures of negative impacts, if any, during and after the implementation and operation of the project in accordance with the "Article 6.4 sustainable development tool" (hereinafter referred to as the A6.4 SD Tool), and the filled in forms required by the A6.4 SD Tool as follows:

- (a) Provide the identification, evaluation and avoidance of environmental and/or social risks that may be caused by a proposed A6.4 activity in the A6.4 Environmental and social safeguards risk assessment form;
- (b) Provide the relevant mitigation and minimization measures and monitoring to be implemented in the A6.4 Environmental and social management plan form in cases where risks are identified in the A6.4 Environmental and social safeguards risk assessment form;
- (c) Provide the evaluation of sustainable development impacts and the measuring, monitoring and reporting methodology in the A6.4 Sustainable development impact form.

64. In addition, if an environmental impact assessment and/or social impact assessment were carried out for the proposed A6.4 project as required by and in accordance with the relevant procedures of the host Party, the activity participants shall provide all conclusions of such assessments and references to all related documentation.

64<sub>bis</sub>. If unavoidable negative impacts are identified, either by activity participants or by the DOE during the validation, that exceed the environmental and social safeguard elements and criteria and cannot be remediated by consultation or mitigation, activity participants may request a deviation through the DOE and shall revise the respective A6.4 SD Tool forms accordingly.

## 6.8. Integrity safeguards

65. The development, implementation and operation of a proposed A6.4 project in its development, implementation and operation shall not involve any illegal activities, including money laundering, tax evasion, fraud, bribery and criminal activities. For this purpose, the activity participants shall prepare a declaration and submit it to the DOE as part of the request for registration of the proposed A6.4 project in accordance with the activity cycle procedure.

## 6.9. Local stakeholder consultation

66. The activity participants shall conduct a local, and where appropriate, subnational stakeholder consultation (hereinafter collectively referred to as local stakeholder consultation) on the proposed A6.4 project. The local stakeholder consultation shall be conducted in accordance with applicable host Party rules but also in line and align with the modalities contained in Appendix 2 and any additional elements for consultation required by the A6.4 SD Tool, at minimum. In this context:

- (a) If any elements of the modalities contained in Appendix 2 and any additional elements for consultation required by the A6.4 SD Tool, require more than those required by the host Party rules, the activity participants shall follow the former, by additionally conducting the "gap" of the two covering any gaps between the two;
- (b) If any elements of the modalities contained in Appendix 2 and any additional elements for consultation required by the A6.4 SD Tool, and those required by the host Party rules are not compatible where it is not possible to follow the requirement in subparagraph (a), the activity participants shall describe the modalities chosen and justify the choice.

## 6.10. Global stakeholder consultation

67. The activity participants shall initiate a global stakeholder consultation on the proposed A6.4 project by submitting a draft PDD to the secretariat through the UNFCCC website in accordance with the activity cycle procedure.
68. The activity participants shall provide in the PDD a summary of comments received **as part of the global stakeholder consultation**, and describe how they were taken into account.

## 6.11. Modalities of communication

69. The activity participants shall define **for the proposed A6.4 project** their modalities of communication with the Supervisory Body and the secretariat **for the proposed A6.4 project**, and **include present** them in a modalities of communication (MoC) statement in accordance with the activity cycle procedure.

# 7. Post-registration activities

## 7.1. Continuous engagement of stakeholders

70. ~~The activity participants shall, after the registration of the project under the Article 6.4 mechanism, the activity participants shall create and maintain until the end of the valid crediting period of the project~~ a window for local stakeholders to comment on the implementation or the operation of the project, **until the end of the valid crediting period of the project**, taking into account any additional elements for consultation required by the A6.4 SD Tool.
71. The activity participants shall also review **any the** comments on the registered A6.4 project submitted **by from** Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC-admitted observer organizations and published on the UNFCCC website in accordance with the activity cycle procedure, if any.
72. The activity participants shall address the issues raised in the comments referred to in paragraphs 70 and 71 above as appropriate and **provide describe** a summary of how they have addressed the comments in the next monitoring report.

## 7.2. Integrity safeguards

73. The activity participants shall continue to ensure that the development, implementation and operation of the registered A6.4 **activity-project** do not involve any illegal activities, including money laundering, tax evasion, fraud, bribery and criminal activities.

## 7.3. Post-registration changes

### 7.3.1. General requirements

74. If there **is are** any changes **s to regarding** the modalities or information in the MoC statement referred to in paragraph 69 above after the request for registration has been submitted, the activity participants shall revise the MoC statement and obtain approval of the changes **s** from the secretariat in accordance with the activity cycle procedure.
75. The activity participants shall identify any proposed or actual changes to the implementation, operation or monitoring of the registered A6.4 project.



76. The activity participants shall determine whether the proposed or actual changes referred to in paragraph 75 above are temporary deviations, as referred to in section 7.3.2 below, or permanent changes, as referred to in section 7.3.3 below.
77. For temporary deviations, the activity participants are not required to prepare a revised PDD but shall describe the actual changes in the monitoring report. For permanent changes, the activity participants shall prepare a revised PDD, the revised A6.4 Environmental and social safeguards risk assessment form, the revised A6.4 Environmental and social management plan form and the revised A6.4 Sustainable development impact form (both in both track-change and clean versions) that reflects reflecting the proposed or actual changes, using the valid version of the applicable PDD form. The activity participants shall also provide a summary of the changes, including the reasons for the changes and any additional information relating to the changes to the PDD.

**7.3.2. Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool forms (A6.4 Environmental and social safeguards risk assessment form, the A6.4 Environmental and social management plan form, and the A6.4 Sustainable development impact form), applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other applied methodological regulatory documents, including the A6.4 SD Tool**

78. If the activity participants are temporarily unable to monitor the registered A6.4 project in accordance with the monitoring plan outlined in the registered PDD (hereinafter referred to as the registered monitoring plan), the A6.4 Environmental and social management plan form and the A6.4 Sustainable development impact form, the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines or the other applied methodological regulatory documents, including the A6.4 SD Tool, the activity participants shall describe the nature, extent and duration of the non-conforming monitoring period in the monitoring report, and:
- (a) Propose alternative monitoring arrangements for the non-conforming monitoring period. In this case, the activity participants shall apply conservative assumptions or discount factors to the calculations to the extent required to ensure that GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals will not be over-estimated as a result of the deviation; or
  - (b) Apply the following most conservative values approach when alternative monitoring arrangements are not proposed:
    - (i) If the A6.4 project is to achieve GHG emission reductions, apply zero for baseline GHG emissions for the entire non-conforming monitoring period; or
    - (ii) Apply the values assuming that the source of GHG emissions is operated at the maximum capacity for the entire non-conforming monitoring period. In the case of project GHG emissions related to the consumption of electricity, add 10 per cent to account for transmission and distribution losses.

**78bis.** If the monitoring of environmental, social and sustainable development parameters results in negative impacts that exceed the risks identified in the A6.4 Environmental and social safeguards risk assessment form and the indicators defined in both the A6.4 Environmental and social management plan form and in the A6.4 Sustainable development impact form and cannot be remediated by conducting additional consultation with stakeholders or by employing mitigation measures, the activity participants shall describe the reasons for the negative impact and the measures that will be employed to prevent the negative impact in the future.

### 7.3.3. Permanent changes

#### 7.3.3.1. Corrections

79. If the activity participants make any corrections to the project information or parameters fixed at the registration of the A6.4 project, as described in the registered PDD, the activity participants shall document these corrections in a revised PDD.<sup>16</sup>

#### 7.3.3.2. Changes to the start date of the crediting period

80. The activity participants of a registered A6.4 project may request a change to the start date of the crediting period of the project if no request for issuance for the project has been submitted yet and if the host Party approves the change in accordance with the activity cycle procedure. In this context:
- (a) The new start date shall not be later than two years from the date of submission of the PDD for global stakeholder consultation conducted prior to before registration in accordance with the activity cycle procedure;
  - (b) Delaying the start date by up to one year or bringing forward the start date shall not require any review and change to the application of methodologies and standardized baselines;
  - (c) Delaying the start date by more than one year shall require full review and possible changes to the selection and the application of methodologies and standardized baselines.

#### 7.3.3.3. Inclusion of monitoring plan

81. The activity participants may submit the monitoring plan of an A6.4 project after its registration, in accordance with paragraph 56 above. In this case, if, at the time of submission of the monitoring plan, there is a newer version of any of the methodologies applied at the registration of the project, the activity participants shall prepare the monitoring plan and revise other sections of the PDD by applying the latest valid version of the methodology(ies).

#### 7.3.3.4. Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, the A6.4 SD Tool forms (A6.4 Environmental and social safeguards risk assessment form, the A6.4 Environmental and social management plan form and the A6.4 Sustainable development impact form), or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other applied methodological regulatory documents, including the A6.4 SD Tool

82. If the activity participants are unable to implement the registered monitoring plan, or if the monitoring would permanently deviate from the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines or the other applied methodological regulatory documents, including the A6.4 SD Tool, the activity participants shall describe the nature and extent of the non-conforming monitoring and the proposed alternative monitoring for the project in a revised PDD.

---

<sup>16</sup> This provision does not allow the parameter values fixed ex ante at the time of the registration of the A6.4 project to be updated, as it is not regarded as a correction.



82<sup>bis</sup>. If the monitoring would permanently deviate from the A6.4 Environmental and social safeguards risk assessment form, the A6.4 Environmental and social management plan form and the A6.4 Sustainable development impact form, the activity participants shall describe the nature and extent of the non-conforming monitoring and the proposed alternative monitoring for the project in the revised A6.4 Environmental and social safeguards risk assessment form, the A6.4 Environmental and social management plan form and the A6.4 Sustainable development impact form.

82<sup>ter</sup>. If the monitoring plan and risk assessment plan need to be reviewed and updated as per paragraph 57<sup>bis</sup> above, the activity participants shall describe the changes to the monitoring plan and risk assessment plan in a revised PDD.

83. The activity participants shall apply conservative assumptions or discount factors to the calculations in the proposed alternative monitoring, to the extent required, to ensure that GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals will not be over-estimated as a result of the permanent change or deviation.

#### 7.3.3.5. Changes to project design

84. Where there are changes to the project design of a registered A6.4 project, the activity participants shall prepare a revised PDD that describes describing the nature and extent of the proposed or actual changes.

85. Changes to a registered A6.4 project may include:

(a) Increasing the capacity<sup>17</sup> specified in the registered PDD, subject to with the following conditions:

(i) Increasing An increase of the capacity that would result in additional result in the increase of GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals up to the same level as the materiality thresholds for verification as defined in the "Article 6.4 validation and verification standard for projects", which are that is:

- a. 0.5 per cent of the emission reductions or removals for projects achieving a total emission reduction or removal of equal to or more than 500,000 tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent or more per year;
- b. 1 per cent of the emission reductions or removals for projects achieving a total emission reduction or removal of between 300,000 and 500,000 tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent per year;
- c. 2 per cent of the emission reductions or removals for projects achieving a total emission reduction or removal of 300,000 tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent or less per year or less;

(ii) An increase of the capacity Increasing the capacity that would result in additional the increase of GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals exceeding more than the respective thresholds referred to in subparagraph (i), subject to the approval of such increase by the host Party;

(b) Decreasing the capacity specified in the registered PDD;

---

<sup>17</sup> Installed/rated capacity that directly impacts GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals.

- (b<sub>bis</sub>) Updating the inputs to the investment analysis if the PDD was submitted for validation prior to the start date of the project based on information and data that was available at the start date of the project. This is only applicable for projects that have demonstrated additionality through an investment analysis;
- (c) Adding new components or extending/adding technologies/measures, subject to the approval of the host Party, that introduces:
- (i) Complementary technologies/measures involving mass and/or energy transfer to/from the technologies/measures specified in the originally registered PDD;<sup>18</sup> or
  - (ii) More advanced version of the same technologies, as per the definition of “the same technologies” in paragraph 21(b) above (e.g. improved performance in GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals, efficiency, health, safety and durability evidenced according in reference to a relevant national or international standard);<sup>19</sup>
- (d) Removing a component or technology/measure specified in the registered PDD;
- (e) Changing the technologies/measures to those that result in the same technologies/measures as the ones technologies/measures in the originally registered PDD as per the definition of “the same technologies” in paragraph 21(b) above;<sup>20</sup>
- (f) Removing from or adding one or more site to of the project registered with multiple sites;
- (g) Changing the actual operational parameters that are within the control of the activity participants, differing that differ from the expected parameters;
- (h) Any consequential changes to the application of methodologies, standardized baselines and/or other methodological regulatory documents resulting from the changes referred to in subparagraphs (a)–(e) above, including change to or addition of other methodologies, other standardized baselines and/or other methodological regulatory documents, or application of a baseline scenario that is more appropriate as a result of the proposed or actual modifications to the project;
- (i) Voluntarily updating the applied methodologies or the other applied methodological regulatory documents to a later valid version of them, or voluntarily changing to other methodologies, provided all requirements in the updated/changed

---

<sup>18</sup> Examples include:

- (a) A landfill gas capture and electricity generation activity using three electricity generating units adds an additional electricity generating unit without altering the quantity of landfill gas extracted (i.e. the capacity of the landfill gas extraction system remained the same);
- (b) Addition of a back-up electricity generating source to supply electricity during exigencies when the project fails to meet the demand or is not available due to operational reasons.

<sup>19</sup> Examples include a project disseminating lamps with useful life of two years changing to distribute lamps with useful life of seven years.

<sup>20</sup> Examples include changing the mode of utilization of recovered methane from a landfill, such as shifting from flaring to heat generation or from heat generation to electricity generation.

methodologies and the other applied methodological regulatory documents are met.

86. The activity participants shall report ~~in the revised PDD~~ the impacts of the proposed or actual changes to the registered A6.4 project on the following ~~in the revised PDD~~:

- (a) The applicability and application of the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the other applied methodological regulatory documents with which the project has been registered;
- (b) The project boundary and any implications on the inclusion or exclusion of emissions sources and leakage emissions;
- (c) ~~The c~~Compliance of the monitoring plan with the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the other applied methodological regulatory documents;
- (d) The level of accuracy and completeness in the monitoring of the project compared with the requirements contained in the registered monitoring plan;
- (e) The additionality of the project;

~~(e<sub>bis</sub>)~~ The compliance with the A6.4 SD Tool.

87. ~~The following applies if~~ the proposed or actual changes affect the additionality of the registered A6.4 project, as referred to in paragraph 86(e) above:

- (a) For changes referred to in paragraph 85(b<sub>bis</sub>) above, additionality shall be reassessed based on data and information that were available at the start date of the A6.4 project, and subject to validation by a DOE through a post-registration change process either prior to or as part of the first verification of emission reductions or net removals;
- (b) For all other changes, the demonstration of the impacts of the changes on the additionality shall be based on the original input data for all other elements ~~other~~ than the changes.<sup>21</sup> ~~In addition, [Placeholder for additionality requirements at renewal].~~

87<sub>bis</sub>. If the proposed or actual changes referred to in paragraph 85(a)–(i) above affect the identification, assessment or monitoring of environmental, social or sustainable development impacts, the activity participants shall reassess, based on paragraph 86(e<sub>bis</sub>) above, the impact caused by the changes by providing a revised version of the A6.4 Environmental and social safeguards risk assessment form, the A6.4 Environmental and social management plan form (if applicable) and the A6.4 Sustainable development impact form. These revised forms shall be subject to validation by a DOE prior to or as part of the first verification of emission reductions or net removals.

88. Where the activity participants cannot demonstrate compliance with the requirements of the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines or the other applied

---

<sup>21</sup> If a proposed or actual modification adversely impacts the additionality of the project, subsequent requests for issuance of A6.4ERs based on such modifications will be rejected.

methodological regulatory documents with which the A6.4 project has been registered, the activity participants shall:

- (a) Revise the PDD, applying:
  - (i) A later valid version of the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the other applied methodological regulatory documents; or
  - (ii) Other methodologies, other standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents that are applicable to the project; and
- (b) Demonstrate compliance with the requirements of the newly applied methodologies, the newly applied standardized baselines and the other applied methodological regulatory documents in the revised PDD.

#### **7.3.4. Registration under or overlap with other crediting scheme**

89. If an A6.4 project, after its registration under the Article 6.4 mechanism, is also registered under, or covered by a programme, under any other international, regional, national, subnational or sector-wide GHG mitigation crediting scheme, the activity participants shall obtain a confirmation ~~from the other scheme~~ of such registration or coverage ~~from the other scheme~~.

#### **7.4. Reversals related actions for projects involving removals and emission reductions projects with reversal risks**

- 89<sup>bis</sup>. In case of occurrence of any observed event involving the release of stored GHGs that could potentially lead to a reversal, activity participants shall prepare a preliminary assessment report in order to determine whether the observed event has resulted in an actual reversal. The report shall contain the following information:

- (a) A description of the monitoring activities and methods used;
- (b) The estimated GHG emission reductions and/or net removals occurring during the monitoring period, together with the associated uncertainty;
- (c) Data collected, including the remote-sensing data, or if the data set is too large, a summary of the data and an indication of how the full data set can be accessed;

- 89<sup>ter</sup> If the observed event is an ongoing event, the preliminary assessment report shall be prepared after the event has ended or has been fully contained.

- 89<sup>quater</sup> The preliminary assessment report shall conclude, on the basis of transparent and verifiable evidence, whether the observed event did or did not result in actual reversals.

- 89<sup>quinquies</sup> If the Supervisory Body does not approve the preliminary assessment report or if the preliminary assessment concludes that the observed event has resulted in an actual reversal, the activity participants shall prepare a monitoring report based on the monitoring plan contained in the PDD and submit it to a DOE for verification. The monitoring report shall include:

- (a) A description of the monitoring activities and methods used;
- (b) The estimated GHG emission reductions and/or net removals occurring during the monitoring period, together with the associated uncertainty;

- (c) Data collected, including the remote-sensing data, or if the data set is too large, a summary of the data and an indication of how the full data set can be accessed;
- (d) Records and logs of the observed events of GHG release that potentially could have led to the reversal of removals and/or emission reductions, along with a summary of the GHG release notifications that were submitted during the period covered by the monitoring report;
- (e) Information on how the risks of reversal were assessed and addressed, consistent with the risk mitigation measures described in the registered PDD;
- (f) Information on how any negative environmental and social impacts have been assessed, mitigated, and managed, consistent with the measures described in the registered PDD.

89<sup>sexies</sup>. Following the submission of the monitoring report referred in paragraph 89<sup>quinquies</sup> above, activity participants shall:

- (a) Review and update the risk assessment of the activity and revise the risk rating of the activity as necessary, which shall include the development of plans to prevent further releases of GHGs through a post-registration change;
- (b) Review the compliance with the requirements and safeguards contained in the A6.4 SD Tool, taking into account any negative environmental and social impacts caused by the reversal and develop plans to prevent the recurrence of such negative environmental and social impacts;
- (c) Review and update the monitoring plan in case additional risk factors are identified following a reversal that are not included or are not adequately addressed in the monitoring plan and the risk assessment plan.

## 8. Implementation and monitoring

### 8.1. General requirements

- 90. The activity participants shall implement and operate the registered A6.4 project in accordance with the description **provided** in the registered PDD, including all physical features.
- 91. The activity participants shall continuously monitor the registered A6.4 project and its GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals in accordance with the registered monitoring plan.
- 92. The activity participants shall describe the **implemented implementation of the** registered A6.4 project and **the** monitored GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals in monitoring reports to provide an understanding of how the implementation and monitoring were conducted.
- 92<sup>bis</sup>. For projects involving removals and for emission reduction projects with reversal risks, the monitoring reports shall be based on the monitoring plan contained in the latest version of the PDD and shall include the elements specified in paragraph 89<sup>quinquies</sup> above.
- 93. The activity participants shall report monitoring results in monitoring reports, **including the relevant forms of the A6.4 SD Tool**, in the following manner:

- (a) Each monitoring report shall cover the entire duration of a monitoring period without any gaps within the monitoring period. If there is a period within the duration of the monitoring period when the monitoring did not take place occur during any part of the monitoring period due to force majeure circumstances or for other reasons which the issuance of A6.4 ERs is not sought, the monitoring report shall clearly indicate such period and explain the circumstances state situations;
  - (b) The first monitoring period shall start from the start of the crediting period as specified in the registered PDD. If actual GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals have not occurred by started at the start of the crediting period, the monitoring report for the first monitoring report period shall report zero GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals for the period until they actually occur;
  - (c) If negative GHG emission reductions (i.e. net GHG emissions) have occurred during a monitoring period, such negative values shall be clearly indicated and deducted from the total amount of GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals for that the monitoring period;
  - (d) The Mmonitored amount of GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals shall be presented in monitoring reports by year of their occurrence in monitoring reports;
  - (d<sub>bis</sub>) The outcome of monitoring A6.4 activity-level environmental and social indicators shall be presented using the A6.4 Environmental and social management plan form of the A6.4 SD Tool, if applicable;
  - (d<sub>ter</sub>) The outcome of monitoring A6.4 activity-level sustainable development indicators shall be presented using the A6.4 Sustainable development impact form of the A6.4 SD Tool;
  - (e) Monitoring reports shall be prepared in chronologically order and without a no gaps between the two successive monitoring periods;
  - (f) Monitoring results for in different crediting periods shall be documented in separated into different monitoring reports.
- 93<sub>bis</sub>. Notwithstanding paragraphs 93(d<sub>bis</sub>) and 93(d<sub>ter</sub>) above, for projects that have successfully transitioned from the CDM and prepared a “Sustainable development co-benefits description report” in accordance with the “Sustainable development co-benefits tool” developed under the CDM at the time of transition, the monitoring report shall include the outcome of monitoring of the sustainable development co-benefits of the project based on the document describing how the activity participant intends to monitor sustainable development co-benefits of the activity, including the frequency of reporting of monitoring results in accordance with the “Standard: Transition of CDM activities to the Article 6.4 mechanism”.
- 93<sub>ter</sub>. For projects involving removals and for emission reduction projects with reversal risks, the monitoring reports shall be submitted within the frequency specified by the applied methodologies or in shorter intervals, or following the observation of an event of GHG release that could potentially lead to a reversal, as specified in section 7.4 above.
94. All monitoring, verifications and requests for issuance of A6.4ERs in respect of GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals achieved by A6.4 projects shall be calculated using the GWPs contained in Appendix 1 in accordance with section 5.2 above.

95. The activity participants shall maintain all monitoring results for the registered A6.4 project in accordance with the monitoring management system described in the registered monitoring plan as per paragraph 55 above.

## 8.2. General information

96. When describing the implementation and monitoring of the registered A6.4 project, activity participants shall provide, inter alia, the following information regarding the implemented registered A6.4 project:
- (a) The title and UNFCCC reference number of the project;
  - (b) Names of the activity participants involved;
  - (c) Location of the project;
  - (d) Titles, versions and UNFCCC reference numbers of the applied methodologies and, where applicable, the applied standardized baselines, including any other methodologies or methodological tools to which the applied methodologies refer;
  - (e) The type, start date and duration of the crediting period;
  - (f) The monitoring period sequence number and dates of coverage;
  - (g) The version number of the PDD applicable to the monitoring period.

## 8.3. Avoidance of double issuance

97. The activity participants shall confirm that the reported GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals for regarding which they intend to request issuance of A6.4ERs do not overlap with any of the GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals for regarding which they have already requested or intend to request credits under any other international, regional, national, subnational or sector-wide GHG mitigation crediting scheme. The activity participants shall further acknowledge that if such double issuance is detected, the verification by the DOE will issue result in a negative verification opinion, the request for issuance will be rejected by the Supervisory Body, or the amount of double issuance amounts will be deducted from future issuance of A6.4ERs for the same A6.4 project if the requested A6.4ERs have already been issued.
98. If the A6.4 project is also registered under, or covered by a programme, under any other international, regional, national subnational or sector-wide GHG mitigation crediting scheme prior to the request for issuance, the activity participants shall also obtain a confirmation from the other crediting scheme that the same GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals being requested for issuance of A6.4ERs have not been or will not be credited under such other crediting scheme.

## 8.4. Description of implemented registered project

99. The activity participants shall provide a description of the implemented registered A6.4 project including as follows:
- (a) A d Description of the installed technologies, technical processes and equipment;
  - (b) Information on the implementation and actual operation of the project, including relevant dates (e.g., construction, commissioning, start of operation). For a project



that consists of more than one site, the activity participants shall describe the status of implementation and start date of operation for each site. For a project with phased implementation, the activity participants shall indicate the progress of the project achieved in each phase.

100. The activity participants shall indicate whether there are any temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines or the other applied methodological regulatory documents, in accordance with section 7.3.2 above, or if there are any permanent changes to the registered A6.4 project or the registered monitoring plan, in accordance with section 7.3.3 above (hereinafter referred to as post-registration changes). For post-registration changes that have been approved by the Supervisory Body, the activity participants shall indicate the dates of approval.

## 8.5. Description of monitoring system

101. The activity participants shall describe the monitoring system, and provide diagrams (graphical schemes) showing all relevant monitoring points. This description may include data collection procedures (information flow including data generation, aggregation, recording, calculations and reporting), organizational structure, roles and responsibilities of personnel, and emergency procedures for the monitoring system.

## 8.6. Data and parameters

102. The activity participants shall provide all parameters used to calculate the baseline, BAU, project and leakage GHG emissions, or the baseline and actual net GHG removals, as well as other relevant parameters for the monitoring period as required by the registered monitoring plan, the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the other applied methodological regulatory documents. The activity participants shall provide information on how these data and parameters have been were monitored.
103. For each parameter, the activity participants shall:
- Provide the values of the monitored parameter necessary for the purpose of calculating GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals. Where data are measured continuously measured, they shall be presented using an appropriate time interval. For any default value that is not fixed at the time of registration of the A6.4 project, the most recent value shall be applied;
  - Describe the equipment used to monitor each parameter, including details on accuracy class, and calibration information (frequency, date of calibration and validity), if applicable, as per the registered monitoring plan;
  - Describe how the parameter is measured/calculated and including the measurement and recording frequency;
  - Provide and/or identify the sources of data (e.g., logbooks, daily records, surveys);
  - Provide the calculation method of the parameter, where relevant;
  - Describe the QA/QC procedures applied, if applicable, as per the registered monitoring plan;
  - Provide information on about appropriate emission factors, IPCC default values and any other relevant reference values.



- 
104. If **monitored** data and parameters **monitored** are determined **through by** a sampling approach, the activity participants shall describe how the sampling has been conducted in accordance with the sampling plan described in the registered monitoring plan.
105. For a registered A6.4 project that applied a methodology or methodological tool **allowing providing** a choice between **an ex-ante** and **an ex-post** determination of parameter values for calculating baseline GHG emissions or net GHG removals and that chose the **ex-ante** determination, or that applied a methodology or methodological tool requiring a determination of these values **ex-ante**, and applied an applicable standardized baseline valid at the time of the submission of the request for registration for this purpose, the activity participants shall apply the same version of the standardized baseline **for the purpose of** monitoring until the end of the first crediting period.
106. For a registered A6.4 project that applied a methodology or methodological tool **allowing providing** a choice between **an ex-ante** and **an ex-post** determination of parameter values for calculating baseline GHG emissions or net GHG removals and that chose the **ex-post** determination where there was no applicable standardized baseline valid at the time of registration, the activity participants may **switch to the ex-ante determination**, at any time during the first crediting period, **switch to the ex-ante determination** by applying an applicable standardized baseline that becomes available after the registration and is valid at the time of the switch, and apply the same version of the standardized baseline for the purpose of monitoring for the remainder of the same crediting period. Such switch and application of a standardized baseline shall undergo the post-registration change approval process in accordance with the activity cycle procedure, and the standardized baseline shall be valid at the time of the submission of the post-registration change approval request.
107. For a registered A6.4 project that applied a methodology or methodological tool **allowing providing** a choice between **an ex-ante** and **ex-post** determination of parameter values for calculating baseline GHG emissions or net GHG removals, if the selected crediting period type is renewable, the activity participants shall, at each renewal of the crediting period, choose the **ex-ante** or **ex-post** determination of parameter values, unless otherwise required by the applied methodology or methodological tool, and shall follow the same rules in terms of the use and validity of standardized baselines as in paragraphs 105 and 106 above.
- 107<sup>bis</sup>. Activity participants shall also submit the A6.4 Environmental and social management plan form, if applicable, and the A6.4 Sustainable development impact form containing all parameters used to monitor the environmental and social impacts and the sustainable development impacts and explaining how any negative environmental and social impacts have been assessed, mitigated and managed, consistent with the measures described in the registered PDD.
- 107<sup>ter</sup>. If unavoidable negative impacts are identified, either by the activity participants or by the DOE during verification, that exceed the environmental and social safeguards elements and criteria and cannot be remediated by consultation or mitigation, activity participants may request a permanent deviation through the DOE and shall revise the respective A6.4 SD Tool forms accordingly.
- 107<sup>quater</sup>. For transparency purposes, activity participants may specify the abatement costs in the monitoring report as part of the investment analysis.

## 8.7. Calculation of emission reductions or net removals

108. The activity participants shall identify the formulae used by, for the registered A6.4 project for the monitoring period, identify the formulae used for, and provide the calculations of, the following:
- (a) Baseline GHG emissions or baseline net GHG removals;
  - (b) Project GHG emissions or actual net GHG removals;
  - (c) Leakage GHG emissions;
  - (d) GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals.
- 108<sup>bis</sup>. Where projects involving removals also result in emission reductions, the accounting of removals and emission reductions shall be separated in the monitoring report in accordance with the methodologies applicable to the activity.
109. The activity participants shall provide a comparison of the GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals achieved by the registered A6.4 project with the estimates provided in the registered PDD.
110. For any registered A6.4 project, the activity participants shall explain the cause of any increase in the actual GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals achieved during the monitoring period (e.g. higher water availability, higher plant load factor), including all information (i.e. data and/or parameters) that is different from what is stated in the registered PDD.

## 9. Renewal of crediting period

111. The crediting period of a registered A6.4 project may be renewed if the host Party has approved the renewal when approving the project prior to its registration in accordance with the activity cycle procedure.
112. To support a request for renewal of the crediting period of a registered A6.4 project, the activity participants shall, using the valid version of the applicable PDD form, update the sections of the PDD of the project relating to: the baseline, additionality, estimated GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals, the monitoring plan and the crediting period, applying methodologies in one of the following manners:
- (a) The baseline emissions, including updating the downward adjustment as per paragraphs 44<sub>ter</sub> and 44<sub>quater</sub> above;
  - (b) The BAU scenario and emissions, and the difference between the baseline emissions and BAU emissions as annual and total amounts with respect to the crediting period to be renewed;
  - (c) The additionality by updating the regulatory analysis;
  - (d) The estimated GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals (if applicable);
  - (e) The monitoring plan (if applicable);
  - (f) The A6.4 SD Tool forms;

(g) The risk assessment and monitoring plan for projects involving removals and for emission reduction projects with reversal risks;

(h) The crediting period.

112<sup>bis</sup>. When updating the PDD, activity participants shall apply methodologies in one of the following manners:

- (a) The activity participants shall use the valid version of the methodologies and methodological tools applied in the registered PDD; that is, either the latest version at the time of the submission of the request for renewal of the crediting period or the previous version if the submission of the request for renewal of the crediting period is still within the grace period of the previous version for use;<sup>22</sup>
- (b) If any of the methodologies applied in the registered PDD was withdrawn after the registration of the project and was replaced by consolidated methodologies, the activity participants shall use the valid version of the consolidated methodologies; or
- (c) If the project no longer does not meets the applicability conditions of the methodologies or methodological tools mentioned under the options in subparagraphs (a) or (b) above due to their methodologies or methodological tools revisions or due to an the update of the project baseline, the activity participants shall either:
  - (i) Select other applicable approved methodologies; or
  - (ii) Request, under the clarification process in the "Procedure for development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools", either through the DOE that conducts validation for renewal of the crediting period or by the activity participants, to clarify a clarification as to whether a deviation from the valid version of the methodologies (including consolidated methodologies thereof) or the methodological tools applied in the registered PDD, or from any other selected methodologies, or any other methodological tools applied in accordance with the selected methodologies, is acceptable. This clarification shall be made under the clarification process outlined in the "Procedure for development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools".

113. In updating the PDD of the registered A6.4 project in accordance with paragraphs 112 and 112<sup>bis</sup> above, the activity participants shall consider the application of an approved standardized baseline to the project as follows:

- (a) The activity participants shall use the valid version of an approved standardized baseline if:
  - (i) The standardized baseline is applied in the registered PDD and the valid version of the standardized baseline is still applicable to the project and to the methodologies applied in accordance with paragraph 112<sup>bis</sup> above; or

---

<sup>22</sup> Referred to in the "Procedure: Development, revision and clarification of methodologies and methodological tools".

- 
- (ii) The standardized baseline is not applied in the registered PDD but the valid version of the standardized baseline whose selection is mandatory<sup>23</sup> is applicable to the project and to the methodologies applied in accordance with paragraph 112<sup>bis</sup> above. However, ~~the activity participants may update the PDD without selecting such standardized baseline~~ if the submission of the request for renewal of the crediting period is made within 240 days after the standardized baseline became valid, ~~the activity participants may update the PDD without selecting standardized baseline.~~
  - (b) If the valid version of the standardized baseline applied in the registered PDD is no longer applicable to the project and/or to the valid version of the methodologies applied in the registered PDD due to a revision of the standardized baseline after the registration of the project, the activity participants shall:
    - (i) Select another applicable approved standardized baseline; or
    - (ii) Use only the valid version of the methodologies applied in the registered PDD that are still applicable to the project and that can be used independently for estimating GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals without using the standardized baseline applied in the registered PDD;
  - (c) The activity participants may use the valid version of an applicable approved standardized baseline if:
    - (i) The standardized baseline is not applied in the registered PDD; and
    - (ii) The standardized baseline does not require its mandatory selection but is applicable to the project and to the methodologies applied in accordance with paragraph 112<sup>bis</sup> above.
114. The activity participants shall demonstrate the validity of the original baseline or its update in accordance with paragraphs 115–~~116~~117 below.
115. To demonstrate the validity of the original baseline or its update, the activity participants shall take into account ~~[the latest NDC and national and/or sectoral policies, and circumstances of the host Party existing at the time of requesting renewal of the crediting period]~~the requirements of the applied methodologies, standardized baselines, and other applied methodological regulatory documents.
- ~~116. The requirements contained in paragraph 139 above are not applicable to a registered A6.4 project applying the valid version of an applicable approved standardized baseline that standardizes baseline scenario in accordance with paragraph 137 above.~~
117. If data and parameters used for determining the original baseline were determined ex ante and ~~were~~ not monitored during the crediting period and are no longer valid, the activity participants shall update such data and parameters in accordance with the guidance to be provided by the Supervisory Body.
118. The results of the process described in paragraphs 112–~~117~~ above shall be used to define a new version of the PDD.
119. The activity participants shall ensure that the MoC statement is up to date.

---

<sup>23</sup> For an explanation on the standardized baseline whose selection is mandatory, see footnote 11.

120. If the activity participants plan to make, or have **already** made, a post-registration change to the project design effective from the start date of the new crediting period, they shall clearly indicate **this the post-registration** change in the new version of the PDD, distinguishing **it the post-registration change** from other updates of information due to renewal of the crediting period.

## **10. Post-crediting monitoring and reporting**

- 120<sup>bis</sup>. For projects involving removals and for emission reduction projects with reversal risks, activity participants shall continue monitoring the project after the end of the last active crediting period to:
- (a) Assess whether any reversals have occurred;
  - (b) Quantify the amount of reversals; and
  - (c) Confirm the continued storage of the GHGs.
- 120<sup>ter</sup>. Activity participants may terminate the post-crediting monitoring, subject to approval by the Supervisory Body, if they can demonstrate, by providing transparent and verifiable information, that:
- (a) The stored GHGs are at a negligible risk of reversal; or
  - (b) The potential future reversals are remediated in accordance with the provisions of the relevant section of the removals standard (i.e., Remediation of reversals).

## **11. Voluntary deregistration of project**

121. **At any time after the registration of an A6.4 project, t** The activity participants may request the secretariat to deregister **the an A6.4** project in accordance with the activity cycle procedure **at any time after the registration of the project**.
122. An A6.4 project that has been deregistered may not be reregistered as an A6.4 project or included as a CP in a registered A6.4 PoA.

## Appendix 1. Global warming potentials

1. The table below lists the GWPs of GHGs for the 100-year time horizon from the Fifth Assessment Report of the IPCC, applicable to A6.4 activities for reporting aggregate emission reductions or removals of GHGs achieved or expected to be achieved by the activities in the period from 1 January 2021, expressed in tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent.

**Table. Global warming potentials applicable for A6.4 activities**

GHG	GWP for 100-year time horizon
<b>Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>)</b>	1
<b>Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>)</b>	28
<b>Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O)</b>	265
<b>Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)</b>	
<b>HFC-23</b>	12,400
<b>HFC-134a</b>	1,300
<b>Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)</b>	
<b>CF<sub>4</sub></b>	6,630
<b>C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub></b>	11,100
<b>Sulfur hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>)</b>	23,500
<b>Nitrogen trifluoride (NF<sub>3</sub>)</b>	16,100

2. For GHGs that are not listed in this table, see “Climate Change 2015: Physical Science Basis - Working Group I Contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change”, Table 8.A.1 (pages 731–738).<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Available at: [https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/WG1AR5\\_all\\_final.pdf](https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/WG1AR5_all_final.pdf).

## Appendix 2. Modalities of local stakeholder consultation

### 1. Scope and target of consultation

1. The scope of the local or subnational stakeholder consultation (hereinafter collectively referred to as local stakeholder consultation) shall comprise, as a minimum, the potential direct positive and negative impacts that the proposed A6.4 project may have.
2. The activity participants shall invite, as a minimum, representatives of local stakeholders directly impacted by the proposed A6.4 project, including local communities and indigenous peoples as applicable, and representatives of local authorities relevant to the project.
3. The activity participants shall provide evidence that invitations were sent to the relevant stakeholders and that their comments were invited. If any of the relevant stakeholders were not invited, the activity participants shall provide appropriate justification.

### 2. Timing of consultation

4. The activity participants shall complete the local stakeholder consultation process at the timing required by the rules of the host Party on local stakeholder consultation, if such rules exist. If **no such host Party rules are in place do not exist**, the activity participants shall complete the process before, whichever the earlier of:
  - (a) The start date of the project as defined in paragraph 58 of the main part of this standard; or
  - (b) The date of submitting the PDD of the proposed A6.4 project to a DOE for validation.
5. For projects that do not meet the requirement referred to in paragraph 4 above, the activity participants may submit a request for exemption from the requirement to the Supervisory Body for its consideration on a case-by-case basis.

### 3. Conduct of consultation

6. The activity participants shall invite local stakeholders to provide comments on the proposed A6.4 project in an open and transparent manner, in a way that facilitates comments to be received from local stakeholders and allows for a reasonable time for comments to be submitted. The activity participants shall describe the steps/actions taken to invite comments, taking into account local and national circumstances.
7. The activity participants shall convey information to stakeholders about the local stakeholder consultation and the proposed A6.4 project in ways that are appropriate for the community that is directly affected by the project. In areas where a significant part of the population is illiterate, the information shall be provided orally.



8. The activity participants shall describe the proposed A6.4 project in a manner that allows local stakeholders to understand the project. The information to be made available to local stakeholders shall include, inter alia:
  - (a) A summary of the proposed A6.4 project, describing it explaining the project in simple, non-technical terms, and containing a description of language, outlining the direct positive and negative impacts;
  - (b) Information on the projected scope, lifetime, and direct positive and negative impacts of the proposed A6.4 project;
  - (c) A summary of the analysis of the environmental and social impacts and sustainable development benefits of the proposed A6.4 project and the completed three A.4 SD tool forms a monitoring plan of such impacts and planned remedial measures of negative impacts if any, prepared using the "Article 6.4 sustainable development tool";
  - (d) Other relevant information about the proposed A6.4 project, taking into account confidentiality provisions referred to in paragraph 7(e) of the main part of this standard;
  - (e) The means for stakeholders to provide comments on about the proposed A6.4 project.
9. The activity participants shall conduct the local stakeholder consultation through means that are appropriate for the local and national circumstances.
10. The activity participants shall provide local stakeholders with the opportunity to comment in writing or via other means, and gather their comments about the proposed A6.4 project and its direct impacts.
11. The activity participants shall request the DNA of the host Party to forward to them any comments from local stakeholders submitted to the host Party to them.
12. The activity participants shall prepare a summary report of the comments received from local stakeholders.
13. The activity participants shall consider the comments provided by local stakeholders and report in the PDD on how they have taken them into account providing. The activity participants shall provide justification if for any comments were not incorporated.
4. **Activities after consultation**
14. After the completion of the local stakeholder consultation, local stakeholders may submit a complaint to the DNA of the host Party if they find that the outcome of the local stakeholder consultation is not appropriately taken into account. The DOE shall request the DNA to forward such complaints, if any, to the DOE. The DOE shall and promptly forward them to the activity participants during the validation stage in accordance with the "Article 6.4 validation and verification standard for projects".
15. If, during the validation of the proposed A6.4 project, complaints submitted to the DNA of the host Party on the handling of the outcome of the local stakeholder consultation are forwarded to the activity participants through the DOE, the activity participants shall take due account of such complaints and modify the PDD as appropriate before the DOE concludes the validation.



- - - - -

### Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
02.0	16 May 2025	SBM 016, Annex 6. Revision to incorporate CMA guidance, align with methodological standards (Methodology, Additionality and Removals), SD tool and provisions on authorization.
01.0	2 November 2023	SB 008, Annex 4. Initial adoption

---

Decision Class: Regulatory  
Document Type: Standard  
Business Function: A6.4 activity cycle  
Keywords: A6.4 mechanism, A6.4 projects, activity standard

---