

A6.4-MEP012-AA

Annotated agenda

Twelfth meeting of the Methodological Expert Panel

Version 01.1

Date of meeting: 9 to 13 March 2026

Place of meeting: Bonn, Germany



United Nations
Framework Convention on
Climate Change

TABLE OF CONTENTS		Page
ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA		3
AGENDA ITEM 1.	AGENDA AND MEETING ORGANIZATION	3
Agenda item 1.1.	Opening.....	3
Agenda item 1.2.	Adoption of the agenda.....	3
AGENDA ITEM 2.	GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT MATTERS	3
Agenda item 2.1.	Matters related to the Methodological Expert Panel.....	3
Agenda item 2.2.	Upcoming deadlines of relevance to stakeholders.....	3
AGENDA ITEM 3.	REGULATORY MATTERS	4
Agenda item 3.1.	Requirements for methodologies.....	4
Agenda item 3.2.	Removals	4
Agenda item 3.3.	Revision of clean development mechanism methodologies and methodological tools	5
Agenda item 3.4.	Standards/tools for Article 6.4 activities.....	6
AGENDA ITEM 4.	CONCLUSION OF THE MEETING	7

Annotations to the proposed agenda

Agenda item 1. Agenda and meeting organization

Agenda item 1.1. Opening

1. ► **Action:** The Co-Chair of the Article 6.4 mechanism Methodological Expert Panel (hereinafter referred to as the MEP) shall open the twelfth meeting of the MEP.

Agenda item 1.2. Adoption of the agenda

2. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to adopt the proposed agenda of the twelfth meeting.

Background: The agenda was drawn up based on the MEP workplan, version 01.0, approved by the Supervisory Body at its 20th meeting,¹ and the A6.4 two-year business and resource allocation plan 2026–2027.

3. ► **Action:** The members of the MEP may wish to provide statements on any potential conflict of interest concerning the issues on the agenda for the meeting, and take action, as appropriate.

Background: In accordance with paragraph 29 of the “Terms of reference of the Article 6.4 mechanism expert panels”, members of a panel shall abide by the code of conduct of the Supervisory Body, as well as by the provisions related to conflict of interest and transparency of the Supervisory Body, taking into account specific confidentiality requirements. They shall declare whether they have a pecuniary or financial interest in an issue presented to them as a member of the panel, and if so, refrain from participating in any discussion or decision on the issue. Members of a panel shall not disclose any confidential or proprietary information that comes to their knowledge from serving on a panel.

Agenda item 2. Governance and management matters

Agenda item 2.1. Matters related to the Methodological Expert Panel

4. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to take note of the outcome of the 20th meeting of the Supervisory Body (SBM 020) relevant to the work of the MEP.

Background: The SBM 020 held from 16 to 20 February took note of the reports of the tenth and eleventh meeting of the MEP.

Agenda item 2.2. Upcoming deadlines of relevance to stakeholders

5. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to confirm the deadline for submissions to be considered at the upcoming meetings of the MEP in 2026.

Background: The secretariat shall publish the deadlines for the submission of proposed mechanism methodologies (PMM) to be considered by the MEP at its meeting. The panel shall make every effort to initiate the consideration of these PMM proposals at the meeting, considering the priorities set by the co-chairs of the panel for that meeting.

¹ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-SBM020-A03.pdf>.

Agenda item 3. Regulatory matters

Agenda item 3.1. Requirements for methodologies

6. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the draft “Methodological tool: Analysis of lock-in risk”.

Background: MEP 011 considered the draft “Tool: Analysis of lock-in risk” and agreed to seek input from stakeholders on the draft version of the methodological tool.² Further, the Supervisory Body at its 20th meeting requested the MEP to ensure alignment of the requirements in the draft tool with the “Standard: Demonstration of additionality in mechanism methodologies”, including consideration of relevant national circumstances of Parties when finalizing the tool for recommendation to the Supervisory Body.³

7. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the “Standard: Demonstration of additionality in mechanism methodologies”.

Background: MEP 011 considered the “Standard: Demonstration of additionality in mechanism methodologies” and concluded that changes to the standard are not required. However, it agreed to revisit this agenda item and make required changes to the standard should the public input received on the draft “Methodological tool: Analysis of lock-in risk” provide convincing reasoning that revision to the standard would constitute a better placement for the proposed changes reflected in the public input.

8. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to consider the draft “Concept note: Large-scale crediting programmes”.

Background: The Supervisory Body, at its 10th meeting,⁴ requested the MEP to work on the concept note on large-scale crediting programmes. Further, at its 15th meeting,⁵ requested the MEP to amend “Standard: Demonstration of additionality in mechanism methodologies”, and at its 16th meeting,⁶ requested the MEP to amend “Standard: Setting the baseline in mechanism methodologies” and “Standard: Addressing leakage in mechanism methodologies” to also cover methodological requirements for mitigation actions implemented at larger scales (e.g. programmes of activities (PoAs) or large-scale crediting programmes).

Agenda item 3.2. Removals

9. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the draft “Methodological tool: Reversal risk assessment”, including:

² See <https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement/article-6/article-64-pacm/stakeholder-engagement/calls-for-input/MEP011>.

³ See paragraph 28 of the SBM 020 meeting report accessible via <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-SBM020.pdf>.

⁴ See https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/SB010_report.pdf, and <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/a64-sb010-a05.pdf>.

⁵ See paragraph 39 (d) of the SBM 015 meeting report accessible via <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-SBM015.pdf>.

⁶ See paragraph 13 of the SBM 016 meeting report accessible via <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-SBM016.pdf>.

- (a) Whether upper limits are needed in respect of the risk rating (overall) or specific risk factors (within the tool), including options and science-based rationales for upper limit(s);
- (b) Risk rating that constitutes a negligible risk;
- (c) Any further categorization of risk;
- (d) How remediation measures are taken into account in the risk assessment tool.

Background: MEP 011 considered selected key risk types and possible approaches to the quantification of reversal risks and remediation measures in the draft “Methodological tool: Reversal risk assessment”, identified priority areas of work to support the development of methodologies for clean cooking, and agreed to continue working on the draft methodological tool at its next meeting.

10. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the “Concept note: Options for the implementation of paragraph 62 of the ‘Standard: Requirements for activities involving removals under the Article 6.4 mechanism’”.

Background: MEP 011 considered it and agreed to continue working on the draft concept note. The Supervisory Body, at its 17th meeting,⁷ requested the MEP to prepare a concept note on options for the implementation of paragraph 62 of the “Standard: Requirements for activities involving removals under the Article 6.4 mechanism”, and the Supervisory Body, at its 18th meeting,⁸ requested the MEP to make a proposal on conditions and criteria for justification of the demonstration of sufficiency of coverage, as indicated in the “Elements related to non-permanence and reversals for inclusion in relevant regulatory documents”.

Agenda item 3.3. Revision of clean development mechanism methodologies and methodological tools

11. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the revision to the following clean development mechanism (CDM) methodologies for grid connected electricity generation from renewable sources:

- (a) ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources;
- (b) AMS-I.D.: Grid-connected renewable electricity generation.

Background: MEP 011 considered the revision of “ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources” and “AMS-I.D.: Grid-connected renewable electricity generation” and agreed to continue to work on it.

12. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the revision to the following CDM methodologies related to clean cooking:

- (a) AMS-II.G.: Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass;

⁷ See paragraph 16 of the SBM 017 meeting report accessible via <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-SBM017.pdf>.

⁸ See paragraph 30 of the SBM 018 meeting report accessible via <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-SBM018.pdf>.

- (b) AMS-I.E.: Switch from non-renewable biomass for thermal applications by the user.

Background: MEP 011 considered the revision of “AMS-II.G.: Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass” and “AMS-I.E.: Switch from non-renewable biomass for thermal applications by the user” and agreed to continue to work on it.

13. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the “Methodological tool: Fraction of non-renewable biomass”.

Background: MEP 011 considered the revision of “Tool: Fraction of non-renewable biomass” and agreed to seek input from stakeholders on the draft version of the methodological tool.⁹

14. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the revision to the CDM “Standard: Sampling and surveys for activities and programmes of activities”; and CDM “Guidelines: Sampling and surveys for activities and programmes of activities”.

Background: MEP 011 considered the revision of “Standard: Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities” and the “Guidelines: Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities” and agreed to continue to work on the standard and the guidelines.

15. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the revision to the “Methodological tool: Project and leakage emissions from biomass”.

Background: MEP 011 agreed to work on the revision of the “Tool: Project and leakage emissions from biomass”.

Agenda item 3.4. Standards/tools for Article 6.4 activities

16. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the following proposed mechanism methodology (PMM) submissions from stakeholders:

- (a) PMM002: N₂O abatement from nitric acid production;
- (b) PMM004: Comprehensive Lowered Emission Assessment and Reporting (CLEAR) Methodology for Cooking Energy Transitions;
- (c) PMM007: Recovery and plasma-based destruction of residual HFCs remaining in ISO tank containers.

Background: Development of new methodologies and tools is based on bottom-up submissions of proposed new methodologies or top-down processes in accordance with the “Procedure: Development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools”.¹⁰ The bottom-up submissions of proposed new methodologies, that are open for stakeholder consultation, are available at the UNFCCC website.¹¹

⁹ See <https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement/article-6/article-64-pacm/stakeholder-engagement/calls-for-input/MEP011>.

¹⁰ See <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-PROC-METH-001.pdf>

¹¹ See <https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement/paris-agreement-crediting-mechanism/methodologies#submissions>.

Agenda item 4. Conclusion of the meeting

17. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to adopt the report of the twelfth meeting.

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.1	24 February 2026	Editorial revision to include additional footnotes
01.0	23 February 2026	Initial publication

Decision Class: Operational
Document Type: Annotated agenda
Business Function: Governance
Keywords: MEP, annotated agenda, annotations
