

A6.4-MEP011-AA

Annotated agenda

Eleventh meeting of the Methodological Expert Panel

Version 01.0

Date of meeting: 26 to 30 January 2026

Place of meeting: Bonn, Germany



United Nations
Framework Convention on
Climate Change

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA	3
AGENDA ITEM 1. AGENDA AND MEETING ORGANIZATION.....	3
Agenda item 1.1. Opening	3
Agenda item 1.2. Adoption of the agenda	3
AGENDA ITEM 2. GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT MATTERS	3
Agenda item 2.1. Upcoming deadlines of relevance to stakeholders.....	3
AGENDA ITEM 3. REGULATORY MATTERS.....	4
Agenda item 3.1. Requirements for methodologies	4
Agenda item 3.2. Removals.....	4
Agenda item 3.3. Revision of clean development mechanism methodologies and methodological tools	5
Agenda item 3.4. Standards/tools for Article 6.4 activities	6
AGENDA ITEM 4. CONCLUSION OF THE MEETING	6

Annotations to the proposed agenda

Agenda item 1. Agenda and meeting organization

Agenda item 1.1. Opening

1. ► **Action:** The Co-Chair of the Article 6.4 mechanism Methodological Expert Panel (hereinafter referred to as the MEP) shall open the eleventh meeting of the MEP.

Agenda item 1.2. Adoption of the agenda

2. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to adopt the proposed agenda of the eleventh meeting.

Background: The agenda was drawn up based on the MEP workplan, version 03.0, provided by the Supervisory Body at its 17th meeting¹, the mandates provided by the Supervisory Body at its 18th meeting², and the A6.4 two-year business and resource allocation plan 2026–2027³.

3. ► **Action:** The members of the MEP may wish to provide statements on any potential conflict of interest concerning the issues on the agenda for the meeting, and take action, as appropriate.

Background: In accordance with paragraph 28 of the “Terms of reference of the Article 6.4 mechanism expert panels”, members of a panel shall abide by the code of conduct of the Supervisory Body, as well as by the provisions related to conflict of interest and transparency of the Supervisory Body, taking into account specific confidentiality requirements. They shall declare whether they have a pecuniary or financial interest in an issue presented to them as a member of the panel, and if so, refrain from participating in any discussion or decision on the issue. Members of a panel shall not disclose any confidential or proprietary information that comes to their knowledge from serving on a panel.

Agenda item 2. Governance and management matters

Agenda item 2.1. Upcoming deadlines of relevance to stakeholders

4. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to confirm the deadline for submissions to be considered at the 13th meeting of the MEP.

Background: The secretariat shall publish the deadlines for the submission of proposed mechanism methodologies (PMM) to be considered by the MEP at its next meeting. The panel shall make every effort to initiate the consideration of these PMM proposals at the meeting, considering the priorities set by the co-chairs of the panel for that meeting.

¹ <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-SBM017-A02.pdf>.

² <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-SBM018.pdf>.

³ <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-INFO-GOV-024.pdf>.

Agenda item 3. Regulatory matters

Agenda item 3.1. Requirements for methodologies

5. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the draft “Methodological tool: Analysis of lock-in risk”.

Background: MEP 010 considered the draft “Methodological tool: Analysis of lock-in risk” and agreed to continue working on the draft tool at its next meeting. The MEP advanced the drafting of this tool by including an assessment of the technical and/or operational lifetime of equipment, emissions intensity, resource use efficiency, and definition of the lock-in risk.

6. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to consider the “Standard: Demonstration of additionality in mechanism methodologies”.

Background: The Supervisory Body, at its 18th meeting, mandated the MEP to revise, as necessary, the “Standard: Demonstration of additionality in mechanism methodologies”, noting that some elements identified in the work of the draft methodological tool “Analysis of lock-in risk” may better fit into the standard, and to submit the revised standard for the consideration of the Supervisory Body at a future meeting.

Agenda item 3.2. Removals

7. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the draft “Methodological tool: Reversal risk assessment”, including:

- (a) Whether upper limits are needed in respect of the overall risk rating or specific risk factors within the tool, including options and science-based rationales for upper limit(s);
- (b) Risk rating that constitutes a negligible risk;
- (c) Any further categorization of risk;
- (d) How remediation measures are taken into account in the risk assessment tool.

Background: MEP 010 considered the draft “Methodological tool: Reversal risk assessment” and agreed to the working definitions for technical terms related to the quantification of reversal risks, remediation measures, and other parameters necessary to operationalize Equation 8 in the “Standard: Addressing non-permanence and reversals in mechanism methodologies”. The MEP agreed to continue to work on the draft tool.

8. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to consider the “Concept note: Options for the implementation of paragraph 62 of the ‘Standard: Requirements for activities involving removals under the Article 6.4 mechanism’”.

Background: The Supervisory Body, at its 17th meeting (refer to SBM017 meeting report, paragraph 16), requested the MEP to prepare a concept note on options for the implementation of paragraph 62 of the “Standard: Requirements for activities involving removals under the Article 6.4 mechanism”, and the Supervisory Body, at its 18th meeting (refer to SBM018 meeting report, paragraph 30), requested the MEP to make a proposal on conditions and criteria for justification of the demonstration of sufficiency of coverage as indicated in the “Elements related to non-permanence and reversals for inclusion in relevant regulatory documents”.

Agenda item 3.3. Revision of clean development mechanism methodologies and methodological tools

9. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the “Methodological tool: Emissions from electricity generation and/or consumption”.

Background: MEP 010 considered the draft “Methodological tool: Emissions from electricity generation and/or consumption” and agreed to seek inputs from stakeholders on the draft version of the tool.

10. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the “Methodological tool: Determination of the technical lifetime of equipment”.

Background: MEP 010 considered the “Methodological tool: Determination of the technical lifetime of equipment” and agreed to seek inputs from stakeholders on the draft version of the tool.

11. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the revision to the following clean development mechanism (CDM) methodologies for grid connected electricity generation from renewable sources:

- (a) ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources;
- (b) AMS-I.D.: Grid-connected renewable electricity generation.

Background: MEP 008 agreed to continue working on the revision of “ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources” and “AMS-I.D.: Grid-connected renewable electricity generation”.

12. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the revision to the following CDM methodologies and a tool related to clean cooking activities, and associated standard and guidelines related to sampling:

- (a) AMS-II.G.: Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass;
- (b) AMS-I.E.: Switch from non-renewable biomass for thermal applications by the user;
- (c) Tool: Calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass;
- (d) Standard: Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities;
- (e) Guidelines: Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities.

Background: MEP 010 considered the revision of “AMS-II.G.: Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass”, “AMS-I.E.: Switch from non-renewable biomass for thermal applications by the user”, “Tool: Calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass”, “Standard: Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities” and “Guidelines: Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities”, and agreed to continue to work on these methodologies, the tool, the standard and the guidelines.

13. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the revision to the “Methodological tool: Project and leakage emissions from biomass”.

Background: MEP 010 agreed to prioritize the work on the revision of the “Tool: Project and leakage emissions from biomass” and to continue working on the revision of this tool.

Agenda item 3.4. Standards/tools for Article 6.4 activities

14. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the following proposed new methodology submissions from stakeholders:

- (a) PMM001: Production of Ammonia through electrolysis of water, air separation and synthesis of hydrogen and nitrogen;
- (b) PMM002: N₂O abatement from nitric acid production; and
- (c) PMM004: Comprehensive Lowered Emission Assessment and Reporting (CLEAR) Methodology for Cooking Energy Transitions.

Background: Development of new methodologies and tools is based on bottom-up submissions of proposed new methodologies or top-down processes in accordance with the “Procedure: Development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools”. The bottom-up submissions of proposed new methodologies, that are open for stakeholder consultation, are available at the UNFCCC website.⁴

15. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to consider the following proposed new methodology submissions from stakeholders:

- (a) PMM005: Savanna Fire Management (SFM); and
- (b) PMM006: Fertilizer production with renewables-based ammonia.

Background: Development of new methodologies and tools is based on bottom-up submissions of proposed new methodologies or top-down processes in accordance with the “Procedure: Development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools”. The bottom-up submissions of proposed new methodologies that are open for stakeholder consultation are available at the UNFCCC website.⁴

Agenda item 4. Conclusion of the meeting

16. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to adopt the report of the eleventh meeting.

⁴ Refer to <https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement/paris-agreement-crediting-mechanism/methodologies#submissions>.

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.0	12 January 2026	Initial publication.

Decision Class: Operational
Document Type: Annotated agenda
Business Function: Governance
Keywords: MEP, annotated agenda, annotations
