

**A6.4-MEP008-AA**

## Annotated agenda

---

# Eighth meeting of the Methodological Expert Panel

Version 01.0

Date of meeting: 1 to 5 September 2025

Place of meeting: Bonn, Germany



**United Nations**  
Framework Convention on  
Climate Change

2 of 7

## Annotations to the proposed agenda

### Agenda item 1. Agenda and meeting organization

#### Agenda item 1.1. Opening

1. ► **Action:** The Co-Chair of the Article 6.4 mechanism Methodological Expert Panel (hereinafter referred to as the MEP) shall open the eighth meeting of the MEP.

#### Agenda item 1.2. Adoption of the agenda

2. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to adopt the proposed agenda of the eighth meeting.

*Background:* The agenda was drawn up based on the MEP workplan, version 03.0, provided by the Supervisory Body at its 17<sup>th</sup> meeting.<sup>1</sup>

3. ► **Action:** The members of the MEP may wish to provide statements on any potential conflict of interest concerning the issues on the agenda for the meeting, and take action, as appropriate.

*Background:* In accordance with paragraph 28 of the “Terms of reference of the Article 6.4 mechanism expert panels”, members of a panel shall abide by the code of conduct of the Supervisory Body, as well as by the provisions related to conflict of interest and transparency of the Supervisory Body, taking into account specific confidentiality requirements. They shall declare whether they have a pecuniary or financial interest in an issue presented to them as a member of the panel, and if so, refrain from participating in any discussion or decision on the issue. Members of a panel shall not disclose any confidential or proprietary information that comes to their knowledge from serving on a panel.

### Agenda item 2. Governance and management matters

#### Agenda item 2.1. Matters related to the Methodological Expert Panel

4. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to take note of the outcome of the 17<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Supervisory Body (SBM 017) relevant to the work of the MEP.

*Background:* The SBM 017 took note of the reports of the sixth and seventh meeting of the MEP.

5. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to take note of the updated workplan with an additional virtual meeting on 13 and 14 October for MEP.

*Background:* The SBM 017 agreed on the updated workplan for MEP and also agreed to host an additional virtual meeting of MEP on 13 and 14 October.

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/A6.4-SBM017-A02.pdf>.

## Agenda item 2.2. Upcoming deadlines of relevance to stakeholders

6. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to confirm the deadline for submissions to be considered at the 10<sup>th</sup> meeting of the MEP.

*Background:* The secretariat shall publish the deadlines for the submission of proposals of new methodologies to be considered by the MEP at its next meeting. The panel shall make every effort to initiate the consideration of the proposal at the meeting, considering the priorities set by the co-chairs of the panel for that meeting.

## Agenda item 3. Regulatory matters

### Agenda item 3.1. Requirements for methodologies

7. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the draft “Tool: Investment analysis”.

*Background:* MEP 007 considered the draft “Tool: Investment analysis”, including requirements for stepwise procedures for the simple cost analysis, benchmark analysis and investment comparison analysis, and potential approaches for the calculation of country default values for return on equity, and agreed to continue working on the draft tool.

8. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the draft “Tool: Common practice analysis”. According to the approved MEP workplan, the MEP at this meeting, is expected to make a recommendation on the draft tool for consideration of the Supervisory Body.

*Background:* MEP 007 considered the draft “Tool: Common practice analysis” and agreed to launch a call for public inputs on the draft version of the tool. The MEP will analyse the input received during the call at this meeting and incorporate them as necessary in the revised draft tool.

9. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the draft “Tool: Analysis of lock-in risk”. According to the approved MEP workplan, the MEP is expected to launch a call for input for the draft tool.

*Background:* MEP 007 considered the draft methodological tool “Analysis of lock-in risk”, including its overall structure of analysis, applicability, and scope of lock-in aligning with the specific conditions and parameters, and their requirements in the additionality standard. The MEP agreed to continue working on the tool at its next meeting.

### Agenda item 3.2. Removals

10. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the draft “Standard: Addressing non-permanence / reversals”. According to the approved MEP workplan, the MEP is expected to make a recommendation on the draft standard at this meeting, including:
- a. Post-crediting period monitoring, reporting, and remediation of reversals, post-reversal action;
  - b. Late, incomplete or missing monitoring report submissions, and treatment of activities for which a reversal results in a removals level that falls below baseline;
  - c. Reversal risk assessment; and
  - d. Avoidable and unavoidable reversals, and reversal compensation.

*Background:* MEP 007 considered the draft “Standard: Addressing non-permanence/reversals” and agreed to launch a call for public inputs on the draft version of this standard. The MEP will analyse the input received during the call at this meeting and incorporate them as necessary in the revised draft standard.

11. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the draft “Tool: Reversal risk assessment”, including:
- a. Whether upper limits are needed in respect of the risk rating (overall) or specific risk factors (within the tool), including options and science-based rationales for upper limit(s);
  - b. Risk rating that constitutes a negligible risk;
  - c. Any further categorization of risk; and
  - d. How remediation measures are taken into account in the risk assessment tool.

*Background:* MEP 007 agreed to continue to work on the reversal risk assessment tool at its next meeting, including whether upper limits are needed with respect to the overall risk rating or specific risk factors that will be included within the tool, including options and science-based rationales for upper limit(s), risk rating that constitutes a negligible risk, any further categorization of risk, and how remediation measures are taken into account in the risk assessment tool.

### Agenda item 3.3.      **Revision of clean development mechanism methodologies and methodological tools**

12.    ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the revision to the following clean development mechanism (CDM) methodologies for grid connected electricity generation from renewable sources and associated methodological tool to calculate emission factor for an electricity system:

- a.    ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources;
- b.    AMS-I.D.: Grid-connected renewable electricity generation; and
- c.    Tool: Emission factor for an electricity system.

According to the approved MEP workplan, the MEP is expected to launch a call for input for the draft revised methodology and the draft tool at this meeting. However, only the draft tool on emission factor for an electricity system will go for call for public input, while the revised methodology will be scheduled at the next meeting of MEP.

*Background:* MEP 007 continued consideration of the revision of “ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources”, “AMS-I.D.: Grid-connected renewable electricity generation” and “Tool: Emission factor for an electricity system”. The MEP discussed requirements for baseline setting, demonstration of additionality, and approaches for the calculation of the grid emission factor and agreed to continue working on the revision of these methodologies and draft tool at its next meeting.

13.    ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to continue considering the revision to the following CDM methodology and methodological tools from waste management sector:

- a.    ACM0001: Flaring or use of landfill gas;
- b.    Tool: Emissions from solid waste disposal sites;
- c.    Tool: Project emissions from flaring; and
- d.    Tool: Mass flow of a greenhouse gas in a gaseous stream.

According to the approved MEP workplan, the MEP is expected to launch a call for input for the draft methodology and associated methodological tools at this meeting.

*Background:* MEP 007 continued consideration of the revision of “ACM0001: Flaring or use of landfill gas”, discussed the requirements for applicability of the methodology, baseline setting, demonstration of additionality and leakage and also initiated discussion on the “Tool: Emissions from solid waste disposal sites”, “Tool: Project emissions from flaring” and “Tool: Mass flow of a greenhouse gas in a gaseous stream”, and agreed to continue working on the revision of these methodologies and tools at its next meeting.

14. The MEP may wish to consider the revision to the following CDM methodological tools:
- Tool: Baseline, project and/or leakage emissions from electricity consumption and monitoring of electricity generation;
  - Tool: Calculate project or leakage CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fossil fuel combustion;
  - Tool: Determine the baseline efficiency of thermal or electric energy generation systems; and
  - Tool: Determine the remaining lifetime of equipment.

According to the approved MEP workplan, the MEP is expected to launch a call for input for these methodological tools at this meeting.

*Background:* MEP 007 agreed to prioritize the work on the revision of the following tools: “Tool: Determine the remaining lifetime of equipment”, “Tool: Determine the baseline efficiency of thermal or electric energy generation systems”, “Tool: Baseline, project and/or leakage emissions from electricity consumption and monitoring of electricity generation” and “Tool: Calculate project or leakage CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fossil fuel combustion” and to continue working on the revision of these tools at its next meeting.

## Agenda item 4. Conclusion of the meeting

15. ► **Action:** The MEP may wish to adopt the report of the eighth meeting.

- - - - -

### Document information

Version	Date	Description
01.0	18 August 2025	Initial publication
Decision Class: Operational Document Type: Annotated agenda Business Function: Governance Keywords: MEP, annotated agenda, annotations		