6	
Y.	
5	1

## FINAL ASSESSMENT REPORT (Version 01.0)

Section 1: General Information					
Entity name and address of site(s) assessed:					
UNFCCC entity ref. no.:					
Type of assessment (Tick option(s) as appropriate):	☐       Initial accreditation         ☐       Regular on-site surveillance         ☐       Performance assessment         ☐       Validation (☐ project activity; ☐ PoA)         ☐       Verification (☐ project activity, ☐ PoA)         ☐       Reaccreditation         ☐       Spot-check         ☐       Extension of sectoral scopes         ☐       Verification of corrective actions         ☐       Specific assessment requested by AEP/A6.4 Supervisory Body				
UNFCCC A6.4 project reference number: Project title:	Was an on-site assessment involved?  No Yes (Entity office site; Outsourced entity(ies)/other offices)				
Version of accreditation standard:					

Version 01.0 Page 1 of 5

## A6.4-FORM-ACCR-013

Sectoral scope(s) assessed:	1. Energy industries (renewable - / non-renewable sources)	
	2. Energy distribution	
	3. Energy demand	
	4. Manufacturing industries	
	5. Chemical industry	
	6. Construction	
	7. Transport	
	8. Mining/Mineral production	
	9. Metal production	
	10. Fugitive emissions from fuels (solid, oil and gas)	
	11. Fugitive emissions from production and consumption of halocarbons and sulphur hexafluoride	
	12. Solvents use	
	13. Waste handling and disposal	
	14. Afforestation and reforestation	
	15. Agriculture	
	16. Carbon dioxide capture and storage in geological formations	
A6.4-AT leader's name:		
A6.4-AT members' name(s):		
Start date of the assessment :		
On-site assessment dates (if applicable):		
Assessment status:	Assessment finalised	
	Proposed corrective actions not accepted	
	Implemented corrective actions not accepted	
	Post AEP decision	
	Any other status, please specify:	

Version 01.0 Page 2 of 5

Section 2: 5	SUMMARY		
For on-site assessment:			
The A6.4-AT should provide a brief summary of the assessment process indicating the dates of the desk review (if applicable) and the assessment of the strengths and weaknesses of the AE/DOE according to the following sections of the "A6.4 accreditation standard" and/or the Assessment Workplan.			
ection 6: Legal issues and matters			
ection 7: Finance and liability			
Section 8: Entity's management			
ection 9: Safeguarding Impartiality			
Section 10: Human resources and competence			
Section 11: Information management			
Section 12: Validation and verification/certification proc	ess		
Section 13: Quality management system			
Section 14: Compliant, dispute and appeal processes			
Any other comments:			
For performance assessment:			
The A6.4-AT should provide a brief summary of the assessment process indicating the dates of the desk review and site visit (if applicable) and the assessment of the strengths and weaknesses of the AE/DOE according to the following sections of the "A6.4 accreditation standard" and the Assessment Workplan.			
Section 10: Human Resources and Competence			
Section 12: Validation and verification/certification proc procedures and the A6.4 accreditation requ			
(a) Did the team of DOE make adequate in	n-depth analysis? (Describe with examples.)		
(b) Did the team of DOE arrive at the right	conclusions? (Describe with examples.)		
(c) Was the internal review process adequa the draft validation/verification reports?	ate and was able to bring out all the shortcoming of		
Section 11: Information management (Were the require requirements and procedures of the DOE?)			
Section 9: Safeguarding impartiality (List the checks ma and determine whether these checks were			
Section 13: Quality management system (Did the DOE with their procedures?)	perform the validation and verification in accordance		
Any other comments:			
For performance assessment:			
Did the DOE conduct the validation or verification/certifi	ication activity competently?		
List of documents prepared in relation to this assessment (e.g. workplan, desk review report, onsite assessment report, non-conformity forms):			
Signature of A6.4-AT leader:			
	Date:		
Comments by AE/DOE:	<u> </u>		
-			
Signature of representative of AE/DOE:	Date:		

Version 01.0 Page 3 of 5

Description of how the entity's comments have been addressed, refer to non-conformity forms as applicable:					
Signature by A6.4-AT leader:	Date:				
Section 3: Conclusions for consideration by the AEP					
For initial accreditation and reaccreditation and exte	ension of sectoral scopes:				
List of sectoral scope that are applied for accreditation or accredited : e.g 1 to 3, 5, 7 to 9, 13, 14 & 15					
For initial accreditation, regular surveillance and rea	accreditation:				
No NCs have been raised, or all non-conformities (NCs) raised have been closed. The management system of AE/DOE complies with the accreditation requirements.					
X out of YNCs raised are still open.					
For performance assessment:					
No NCs have been raised, or all NCs raised have been closed. The validation/verification process complies with the accreditation requirements.					
X out of Y NCs raised are still open.					
Additional comments:					
This space may be used for comments that further substantiate the above conclusion. This space is also to be used for special assessments such as spot-checks or assessment of proposed/implemented corrective actions not accepted after the additional and final opportunity to resolve remaining NCs.					
Section 4: Conclusions for consideration by the AEP for other assessments (case specific)					
(To be used only for special assessments or when proposed/implemented corrective actions are not accepted after the additional and final opportunity to resolve remaining NCs).'					
Signature by A6.4-AT leader:	Date:				

----

Version 01.0 Page 4 of 5

## **Document information**

Version	Date	Description	
01	24 April 2024	Initial publication.	
Decision Class: Regulatory			
Document Type: Form Business Function: Accreditation			
Keywords: A6.4 mechanism, accreditation assessment			

Version 01.0 Page 5 of 5