

RENEWAL OF CREDITING PERIOD VALIDATION REPORT FORM FOR ARTICLE 6.4 COMPONENT PROJECTS

(Version 01.0)

De	DETAILS OF THE COMPONENT PROJECT DESIGN DOCUMENT			
Title and reference number of the CP:	>>			
Current crediting period of the CP:	Start date: Enter a date. End date: Enter Number: >> >>	r a date.		
Next crediting period of the CP:	Start date: Enter a date. End date: Enter Number: >> >>	r a date.		
PoA title:	>>			
UNFCCC reference number of the PoA:	>>			
PoA-DD version number to which this validation report applies:	>>			
Host Party:	Choose a Party.			
Applied methodologies and standardised baselines, and their versions:	>>			
Sectoral scopes:	>>			
Post-registration changes:	This notification of renewal of crediting period post-registration changes	d <u>does not</u> include		
	This notification of renewal of crediting period following post-registration changes:	d includes the		
	Permanent changes:			
	Corrections to the CP-DD			
	Permanent changes to the registered r permanent deviation of monitoring from methodologies, standardized baselines methodological regulatory documents	n the applied		
	Changes to the design of component p	•		
	Registration under or overlap with other	-		
Estimated GHG emission reductions or net GHG	Current crediting period Next cre	editing period		
removals (tCO ₂ e):	>>	>>		
	DETAILS OF THE VALIDATION REPORT			
	Version number: >>			

Version number and date of completion of the validation report:	Date of completion:	Enter a date.
Name and UNFCCC reference number of the DOE:	>>	
Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report:	>>	

SECTION A. Executive summary

>>

SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver

B.1. Validation team members

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

						I	volv	ved i	n
No.	Role	Type of resource ¹	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Desk/document review	On-site inspection	Interviews	Validation findings
>>	Chose an item	Cho ose an ite m.	>>	>>	>>				

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)
>>	Choose an item.	>>	>>	>>	>>

¹ Use of one of the following abbreviations referring to the standard "Article 6.4 accreditation":

⁽a) IR (Internal Resource);

⁽b) EI (External Individuals);

⁽c) OR (Outsourced Resource).

SECTION A. Means of validation

A.1. Desk/documents review

>>

A.2. On-site inspection

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

	Duration of on-site inspection:	Enter a date. to Ente	r a date.	
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site Location	Date	Team member
>>	>>	>>	Enter a date	>>

A.3. Interviews

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.		Interviewee Data Subject			Subject	Team	
NO.	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation	Date	Subject	Member	
>>	>>	>>	>>	Enter a date.	>>	>>	

A.4. Sampling approach

>>

A.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs)

Area of validation findings	No. of CLs	No. of CARs	No. of FARs
Compliance with CP-DD form	>>	>>	>>
Application and selection methodologies and standardized baselines			
- Application of methodologies	>>	>>	>>
- Application of methodological tools	>>	>>	>>
- Application of standardized baselines	>>	>>	>>
 Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements specified by the host Party 	>>	>>	>>
 Deviation from, or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool 	>>	>>	>>
 Estimation of emission reductions or net removals 	>>	>>	>>
 Equations and parameters applied to calculate emission reductions or net removals 	>>	>>	>>

		-	
- Data and parameters fixed ex ante	>>	>>	>>
- Monitoring plan	>>	>>	>>
Validity of the original baseline scenario or its update	>>	>>	>>
Demonstration of eligibility of the CPs	>>	>>	>>
Post-registration changes			
 Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents 	>>	>>	>>
- Permanent changes – corrections	>>	>>	>>
 Permanent changes – permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents 	>>	>>	>>
 Permanent changes – changes to the project design 	>>	>>	>>
 Registration under or overlap with other crediting scheme 	>>	>>	>>
Start date and duration of the next crediting period	>>	>>	>>
Other (please specify)	>>	>>	>>
TOTAL	>>	>>	>>

SECTION B. Validation of compliance with specific requirements for renewal of crediting period

B.1. Compliance with CP-DD form

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

B.2. Application and selection methodologies and standardized baselines

B.2.1. Application of methodologies

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

B.2.2. Application of methodological tools

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

B.2.3. Application of standardized baselines

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

B.2.4. Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements specified by the host Party

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

B.2.5. Deviation from, or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool >>

B.2.6. Estimation of emission reductions or net removals

B.2.6.1. Equations and parameters applied to calculate emission reductions or net removals

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

B.2.6.2. Data and parameters fixed ex ante

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

B.2.7. Monitoring plan

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

B.3. Validity of the original baseline or its update

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

B.4. Start date and duration of the next crediting period

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

B.5. Demonstration of eligibility of the CPs

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

B.6. Post-registration changes

B.6.1. Permanent changes – corrections

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

B.6.2. Permanent changes – permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

B.6.3. Permanent changes – changes to the design of component project

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

B.6.4. Registration under or overlap with other crediting scheme

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

APPENDIX 1 – Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full text
>>	>>

APPENDIX 2 – Competence of team members and technical reviewers

>>

APPENDIX 3 – Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
>>	>>	>>	>>	>>

APPENDIX 4 – Clarifications requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1.CLs from this validation

(Copy/paste tables for each new CL)

CL ID:	>>			Section number:		
Description	>>					
of the issue:	Requirements:	>>	Date:	Date: Enter a date		
Responses	>>					
from activity participants:	Evidences provided:	>>	Date:	Enter a dat	е	
DOE	>>					
assessment:			Date:	Enter a dat	е	

Table 2.CARs from this verification

(Copy/paste tables for each new CAR)

CAR ID:	>>	>> Section number: >>			
Description	>>				
of the issue:	Requirements:	>>	Date:	Enter a date	
Responses	>>				
from activity participants:	Evidences provided:	>>	Date:	Enter a date	
DOE	>>				
assessment:			Date:	Enter a date	

Table 3.FARs from this verification

(Copy/paste tables for each new FAR)

FAR ID:	>>			Section number: >>			
Description	>>						
of the issue:	Requirements:	>>	Date:	Enter a date			

ATTACHMENT. Instructions for completing this form

1. General instructions

1. Compliance of validation with requirements, regulations and standards

- 1.1. When completing this form, comply with the "Article 6.4 validation and verification standard for programmes of activities" (A6.4-STAN-AC-005)1.
- 1.2. Include, if necessarily, additional information other than that indicated in this form in order to support how the designated operational entity (DOE) has arrived at its validation conclusions. This information may include, but need not be limited to tables, graphs and annexes such as a validation protocol.
- 1.3. List all the abbreviations used in this validation report in Appendix 1 below.
- 2.1. Complete this form in English.
- 2.2. Prepare all attached documents in English, or provide full translation to English of relevant sections of documents if their originals were prepared in other language.
- 3.1. Complete this form using the same format without modifying its font, headings or logo, and without any other alteration to the form.
- 3.2. Do not modify or delete tables and their columns in this form. Add rows of the tables and copy/paste tables as needed. Add additional appendices as needed.
- 4.1. If a section of this form is not applicable, explicitly state that the section is left blank intentionally.
- 5.1. Use an internationally recognized format for presentation of values. For example, use digits grouping in thousands and mark a decimal point with a dot (.), not with a comma (,).
- 5.2. Complete this form removing this Attachment.
- 6.1. The following acronyms are referred to in this form:
 - PoA: Article 6.4 mechanism programme of activities.
 - CP: component projects.
 - o PoA-DD: programme of activities design document.
 - CP-DD: component project design document.
 - MoC: modalities of communication.
 - $_{\odot}$ Article 6.4 validation and verification standard for programme of activities: validation and verification standard.
 - Article 6.4 activity standard for programme of activities: activity standard.

¹ The "Rules and Regulations" section of the UNFCCC Article 6.4 mechanism website (<u>https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/bodies/constituted-bodies/article-64-supervisory-body/rules-and-regulations</u>) contains all regulatory documents for the Article 6.4 mechanism, such as standards (including methodologies and standardized baselines), procedures, methodological tools, guidelines, clarifications and forms that are applicable to the A6.4 activity.

-1

2. Specific instructions

RENEWAL OF CREDITING PERIOD VALIDATION REPORT FORM FOR ARTICLE 6.4 COMPONENT PROJECTS			
	(Version 01.0)		
	DETAILS OF THE PROJECT DESIGN DOCUMENT		
Title and reference number of the CP:	>> Provide the CP name and its UNFCCC reference number determined at the submission of the notification of prior consideration.		
Current crediting period	Start date: Enter a date. End date: Enter a date.		
of the CP:	Number: >> Provide the start date, end date and the current crediting period number (i.e. 1 st or 2 nd)		
Next crediting period of	Start date: Enter a date.End date: Enter a date.		
the CP:	Number: >> Provide the start date, end date and the next crediting period number (i.e. 2 nd or 3 rd)		
PoA title:	>> Provide the PoA title as indicated in the PoA-DD.		
UNFCCC reference number of the PoA:	>> Provide the UNFCCC reference number of the CP determined at the submission of the notification of prior consideration.		
PoA-DD version number to which this validation report applies:	>> Provide the version number of the PoA-DD to which this validation report is applied.		
Host Party:	Choose a Party.		
	Select the host Party.		
Applied methodologies and standardised baselines, and their versions:	>> Provide the UNFCCC reference numbers, titles and versions of all applied methodologies, and where applicable, all applied standardized baselines, using one line per applied methodology or standardized baseline.		
Sectoral scopes:	>> Provide all sectoral scopes of the project based on the applied methodologies, using one line per sectoral scope.		
Post-registration changes:	This notification of renewal of crediting period <u>does not</u> include post-registration changes		
	Check this option of <u>no</u> post-registration change is submitted within the request for renewal of the crediting period		
	This notification of renewal of crediting period includes the following post-registration changes:		
	Permanent changes:		
	Corrections to the CP-DD		
	Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents		
	Changes to the design of component project		
	Registration under or overlap with other crediting scheme		

	Check one or more of the options above, as applicable, if a post-registration change is submitted with this request for renewal of the crediting period.				
Estimated GHG emission	Current crediting period	Next crediting period			
reductions or net GHG	>>	>>			
removals (tCO₂e):	Indicate which are the estimated av determined for the current and for t				
	DETAILS OF THE VALIDATION R	EPORT			
Version number and date	Version number: >> Provide the version number of this validation report				
of completion of the validation report:	Date of completion: Enter a dat	te.			
Name and UNFCCC reference number of the DOE:	>> Provide the UNFCCC reference number and the name of the DOE				
Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report:	>> Provide the name and include the digital signature of the approver of the validation report.				

SECTION C. Executive summary

>>

Provide a summary of the CP (including the purpose and general description and location), scope of the validation, validation process and its conclusion.

SECTION A. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver

A.1. Validation team members

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

						Ir	volv	/ed i	n
No.	Role	Type of resource ¹	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Desk/document review	On-site inspection	Interviews	Validation findings
>>	Chose an item	Cho ose an ite m.	>>	>>	>>				

Provide the details of the validation team members.

A.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)
>>	Choose an item.	>>	>>	>>	>>

Provide the details of the technical reviewer and approver of the validation report.

¹ Use of one of the following abbreviations referring to the standard "Article 6.4 accreditation":

⁽a) IR (Internal Resource);

⁽b) EI (External Individuals);

⁽c) OR (Outsourced Resource).

SECTION B. Means of validation

B.1. Desk/documents review

>>

Explain how the desk/document review process was conducted, including summarizing the different types of documents reviewed as part of the validation process. List all documents reviewed or referenced during the validation in Appendix 3 below.

B.2. On-site inspection

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

	Duration of on-site inspection:	Enter a date. to Ent	er a date.	
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site Location	Date	Team member
>>	>>	>>	Enter a date	>>

• Summarize any on-site inspection performed during the validation in the table.

• If the DOE have not conducted an on-site inspection, describe the alternative means used and justify that they are sufficient for the purpose of validation in accordance with applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard.

B.3. Interviews

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team	
No.	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation	Dale	Subject	Member	
>>	>>	>>	>>	Enter a date.	>>	>>	

Summarize all the interviews (i.e. in-person interviews, web/teleconferences, etc.) conducted during the validation in the table.

B.4. Sampling approach

>>

Follow the instructions below:

- 1. Sampling used for the validation of the renewal of the crediting period of CPs:
 - Summarize the sampling approach used during the validation (e.g. random sampling).
- 2. Sampling used for on-site inspection
 - Include a description of how the sample size was determined and field check was carried out.

B.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs)

Area of validation findings	No. of CLs	No. of CARs	No. of FARs	
-----------------------------	------------	-------------	-------------	--

Compliance with CP-DD form	>>	>>	>>
Application and selection methodologies and standardized baselines			
 Application of methodologies 	>>	>>	>>
- Application of methodological tools	>>	>>	>>
- Application of standardized baselines	>>	>>	>>
 Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements specified by the host Party 	>>	>>	>>
 Deviation from, or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool 	>>	>>	>>
 Estimation of emission reductions or net removals 	>>	>>	>>
 Equations and parameters applied to calculate emission reductions or net removals 	>>	>>	>>
- Data and parameters fixed ex ante	>>	>>	>>
- Monitoring plan	>>	>>	>>
Validity of the original baseline scenario or its update	>>	>>	>>
Demonstration of eligibility of the CPs	>>	>>	>>
Post-registration changes			
 Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents 	>>	>>	>>
- Permanent changes – corrections	>>	>>	>>
 Permanent changes – permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents 	>>	>>	>>
 Permanent changes – changes to the project design 	>>	>>	>>
 Registration under or overlap with other crediting scheme 	>>	>>	>>
Start date and duration of the next crediting period	>>	>>	>>
Other (please specify)	>>	>>	>>
TOTAL	>>	>>	>>

Indicate the number of CLs, CARs, and FARs raised for each of the items above. Provide the details (description, responses from activity participants and outcome from the DOE) in Appendix 4.

SECTION C. Validation of compliance with specific requirements for renewal of crediting period

Complete tables to validate the compliance in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard by describing:

- (a) <u>Means of validation:</u> describe how the compliance was validated.
- (b) <u>Findings:</u> provide a brief description of the findings. Include in Appendix 4 details of any CLs, CARs and FARs, if raised.
- (c) <u>Conclusion</u>: provide a conclusion on the compliance based on the findings.

C.1. Compliance with CP-DD form

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain whether the information transferred to the later valid version of the CP-DD form is materially the same as that in the registered CP-DD.

C.2. Application and selection methodologies and standardized baselines

C.2.1. Application of methodologies

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the application and selection of the methodologies and the other methodological regulatory documents in the updated CP-DD was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements related to the renewal of crediting period of component projects in the validation and verification standard.

C.2.2. Application of methodological tools

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the application methodological tools quoted by the selected methodologies in the updated CP-DD was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements related to the renewal of crediting period of component projects in the validation and verification standard.

C.2.3. Application of standardized baselines

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the application and selection of the standardized baselines and the other methodological regulatory documents in the updated CP-DD was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements related to the renewal of crediting period of component projects in the validation and verification standard.

C.2.4. Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements specified by the host Party

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the application and selection of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements specified by the host Party, the other methodological regulatory documents in the updated CP-DD was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements related to the renewal of crediting period of component projects in the validation and verification standard.

C.2.5. Deviation from, or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool

>>

Indicate whether a clarification was sought or a request for deviation was requested for the selected methodology, methodological tool or any other applied methodological regulatory document prior to the notification of the renewal the crediting period of component projects, including the date of approval of such request.

C.2.6. Estimation of emission reductions or net removals

C.2.6.1. Equations and parameters applied to calculate emission reductions or net removals

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the ex ante and ex post calculation of baseline, project and leakage emissions or net removals, as well as emission reductions or net removals for the proposed CP, including equations and parameters, were assessed to be aligned with the updated CP part of the PoA-DD and are in accordance with the applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.

C.2.0.2. Dat	a and parameters liked ex ante
Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

C.2.6.2. Data and parameters fixed ex ante

Explain how the appropriateness of all data sources and assumptions for the corresponding CPs, including how they result in an accurate or otherwise conservative estimate of emission reductions or net removals, are in accordance with the applicable requirements of the applied methodologies, standardized baselines and the other applied methodological regulatory documents to calculate baseline emissions/removals, project emissions/removals and leakage as well as emission reductions or net removals, are aligned with the generic CP part of the PoA-DD and are in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard and the activity standard.

C.2.7. Monitoring plan

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the modalities for developing the monitoring plan in the updated CP-DD was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements related to the renewal of crediting period of component projects in the validation and verification standard.

C.3. Validity of the original baseline or its update

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the validity of the baseline in the updated CP-DD was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.

C.4. Start date and duration of the next crediting period

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain whether the start date and the length of the crediting period in the updated CP-DD was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.

State whether the length of the crediting period of the CP is in line with the same that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(b) of the RMPs, if applicable.

C.5. Demonstration of eligibility of the CPs

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the CP complies with each eligibility criterion for the inclusion of CPs in the registered PoA, as described in the registered PoA and the corresponding generic CPs, in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and the verification standard and the activity standard.

C.6. Post-registration changes

C.6.1. Permanent changes – corrections

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how corrections to the included CP-DD, effective from the start date of the new crediting period of the CP, were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the corrections in the validation and verification standard.

C.6.2. Permanent changes – permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the permanent changes from the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, effective from the start date of the new crediting period of the CP, were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the permanent changes from the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents in the validation and verification standard.

C.6.3. Permanent changes – changes to the design of component project

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the changes to the project design of the included CP, effective from the start date of the new crediting period of the CP, were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the changes to the project design in the validation and verification standard.

C.6.4. Regist	tration under or overlap with other crediting scheme
Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

C.6.4 Registration under or overlap with other crediting scheme

Explain how the compliance with the requirements relating to registration under or coverage by a programme, under any other international, regional, national, or subnational or sector-wide GHG mitigation crediting scheme contained in the activity standard were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the changes to the project design in the validation and verification standard.

APPENDIX 1 – Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full text
>>	>>

Use the table above to list all the abbreviations used in this report.

APPENDIX 2 – Competence of team members and technical reviewers

>>

Provide documentation to substantiate the required competence of validation team members and technical reviewers.

APPENDIX 3 – Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
>>	>>	>>	>>	>>

Use the table above to list all documents reviewed or referenced during the validation including Article 6.4 mechanism regulatory documents. For each document, indicate the following:

- (a) Title: provide the title of the document. Include the version number, if applicable.
- (b) Author: provide the name(s) of the author(s). Where the author(s) belong(s) to the organization(s) that issue the document, provide only the name(s) of the organization(s).
- (c) References to the document: where applicable, provide the relevant reference to the document such as the dates of completion/publication and URL.
- (d) Provider: choose one of the following options to indicate who provided the document to the DOE for its review. Select 'Others' for documents that were provided by those other than the project participants.

APPENDIX 4 - Clarifications requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1.CLs from this validation

(Copy/paste tables for each new CL)

CL ID:	>> Section number: >>			>>	
Description	>>				
of the issue:	Requirements:	>>	Date:	Enter a date	9
Responses	>>				
from activity participants:	Evidences provided:	>>	Date:	Enter a date	е
DOE	>>			*******	
assessment:			Date:	Enter a dat	е

If needed, copy the table above for each CL, and copy the following rows until the finding is closed:

- (a) Responses from activity participants.
- (b) DOE assessment.
- (c) In each table, indicate the section number of the verification and certification report to which each CL corresponds.

Table 2.CARs from this verification

(Copy/paste tables for each new CAR)

CAR ID:	>> Section number: >>			
Description	>>			
of the issue:	Requirements:	>>	Date:	Enter a date
Responses	>>			
from activity participants:	Evidences provided:	»>	Date:	Enter a date
DOE	>>			-
assessment:			Date:	Enter a date

If needed, copy the table above for each CAR, and copy the following rows until the finding is closed:

- (a) Responses from activity participants.
- (b) DOE assessment.
- (c) In each table, indicate the section number of the verification and certification report to which each CAR corresponds.

Table 3. FARs from this verification

(Copy/paste tables for each new FAR)

FAR ID:	>> Section number: >>			>>	
Description					
of the issue:	Requirements:	>>	Date:	Enter a date	Э

If needed, copy the table above for each FAR. In each table, indicate the section number of the verification and certification report to which each FAR corresponds.

- - - - -

Document information

Version	Date	Description	
01.0	9 January 2025	Initial publication of form template.	
Decision	Class: Regulatory		
Documen	t Type: Form		
Business	Business Function: A6.4 activity cycle		
Keywords	: A6.4 mechanism, com	ponent project, project implementation, renewal of crediting period,	