

VALIDATION REPORT FORM FOR ARTICLE 6.4 PROGRAMMES OF ACTIVITIES

(Version 01.0)

| | (- | | ') | | | |
|---|---|----------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| | BASIC INF | ORMATION | | | | |
| Programme of activities (PoA) title: | >> | >> | | | | |
| UNFCCC PoA reference number: | >> | | | | | |
| Version number of the PoA-DD to which this validation report applies | >> | | | | | |
| Participating Parties and authorized activity | Type of Party | | activity pant(s) | Parties that provided authorization | | |
| participants: (Add/remove rows as | Choose a type of Party. | >> | | Choose a Party. | | |
| necessary) | Choose a type of Party. | >> | | Choose a Party. | | |
| | Choose a type of Party. | >> | | Choose a Party. | | |
| Applied methodologies and standardized baselines, and their versions: | >> | | | | | |
| Sectoral scope(s): | >> | | | | | |
| Maximum annual emission reductions or | Participating Parties | | Maximum annual emission reductions or net removals | | | |
| net removals over the crediting period approved | Choose a Party. | | >> | | | |
| by the host Parties | Choose a Party. | | >> | | | |
| (tCO₂e/year) (Add/remove rows as | () | | () | | | |
| necessary) | TOTAL | | >> | | | |
| | BASIC INFORMATION OF | THE VALIDATION | REPORT | | | |
| Version number and date of completion of the validation report: | Version number: >> Date of completion: | Enter a date. | | | | |
| Name and UNFCCC reference number of the DOE: | >> | | | | | |
| Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report | >> | | | | | |

Version 01.0 Page 1 of 32

SECTION A. Executive summary

>>

SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver

B.1. Validation team members

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

| | | | | | | lr | nvolv | /ed i | n |
|-----|---------------|-------------------------------|-----------|------------|---|----------------------|--------------------|------------|---------------------|
| No. | Role | Type of resource ¹ | Last Name | First Name | Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity) | Desk/document review | On-site inspection | Interviews | Validation findings |
| >> | Chose an item | Cho ose an ite m. | >> | >> | >> | | | | |

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

| No. | Role | Type of resource | Last Name | First Name | Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity) |
|-----|-----------------|------------------|-----------|------------|---|
| | | | | | |
| >> | Choose an item. | ^ | >> | >> | >> |

Version 01.0 Page 2 of 32

¹ Use of one of the following abbreviations referring to the standard "Article 6.4 accreditation":

⁽a) IR (Internal Resource);

⁽b) EI (External Individuals);

⁽c) OR (Outsourced Resource).

SECTION A. Means of validation

A.1. Desk/documents review

>>

A.2. On-site inspection

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

| | Duration of on-site inspection: | Enter a date. to Enter | r a date. | |
|-----|---------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| No. | Activity performed on-site | Site Location | Date | Team member |
| >> | >> | >> | Enter a date | >> |

A.3. Interviews

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

| No | | Interviewee | | Data Subject | | Team | |
|-----|-----------|-------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------|--|
| No. | Last Name | First Name | Affiliation | Date | Subject | Member | |
| >> | >> | >> | >> | Enter a date. | >> | >> | |

A.4. Sampling approach

>>

A.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs)

| Area of validation findings | No. of CLs | No. of CARs | No. of FARs |
|---|------------|-------------|-------------|
| PART I – PoA-DD | | • | |
| Demonstration of prior consideration of the Article 6.4 mechanism | >> | >> | >> |
| Compliance with the host Party's indication of activity types that it would approve | >> | >> | >> |
| Description of the PoA | >> | >> | >> |
| Avoidance of double or revived registration | >> | >> | >> |
| Management system | >> | >> | >> |
| Start date and duration of the PoA | >> | >> | >> |
| Integrity safeguards | >> | >> | >> |
| Global stakeholder consultation | >> | >> | >> |
| Host Party approval | >> | >> | >> |
| Host Party/other participating Party authorization | >> | >> | >> |
| Modalities of communication | >> | >> | >> |
| PART II – Generic CP-DD | | | |

Version 01.0 Page 3 of 32

| Description of the generic CP | >> | >> | >> |
|--|----|----|----|
| Compliance with the host Party's indication of activity types that it would approve | >> | >> | >> |
| Application and selection methodologies and standardized baselines | | | |
| - Application of methodologies | >> | >> | >> |
| - Application of methodological tools | >> | >> | >> |
| - Application of standardized baselines | >> | >> | >> |
| Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements specified by the host Party | >> | >> | >> |
| Deviation from, or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool | >> | >> | >> |
| - Cross-effects | >> | >> | >> |
| Project boundary, sources, sinks and GHGs, and identification of leakage | >> | >> | >> |
| - Identification of the baseline scenario | >> | >> | >> |
| - Demonstration of additionality | >> | >> | >> |
| Modalities for estimation of emission reductions or net removals | >> | >> | >> |
| Equations and parameters applied to calculate emission reductions or net removals | | | |
| - Data and parameters fixed ex ante | | | |
| - Modalities for developing the monitoring Plan | >> | >> | >> |
| Crediting period type and duration | >> | >> | >> |
| Environmental impacts, social impacts and sustainable development impacts | >> | >> | >> |
| Eligibility criteria for inclusion | >> | >> | >> |
| Others (specify) | >> | >> | >> |
| TOTAL | >> | >> | >> |

SECTION B. Validation of compliance with specific requirements for registration

B.1. Programme of Activities

B.1.1. Demonstration of prior consideration of the Article 6.4 mechanism

| Means of validation | ->- >> |
|---------------------|-----------|
|---------------------|-----------|

Version 01.0 Page 4 of 32

| A6.4-FORM-AC-042 |
|--|
| >> |
| >> |
| oliance with the host Party's indication of activity types that it would approve |
| >> |
| >> |
| >> |
| ription of the PoA |
| >> |
| >> |
| >> |
| dance of double or revived registration >> |
| |
| >> |
| >> |
| gement system |
| >> |
| >> |
| >> |
| date and duration of the PoA |
| >> |
| >> |
| >> |
| rity safeguards |
| >> |
| >> |
| >> |
| |

Version 01.0 Page 5 of 32

B.1.8. Host Party approval

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

B.1.9. Host Party/other participating Parties authorization

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|-----------------|
| Findings | »> |
| Conclusions | ·> |

B.1.10. Modalities of communication

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

B.1.11. Global stakeholder consultation

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

B.2. Generic component project

(This section is to be completed for all generic CPs covered in the PoA-DD; duplicate this section for each additional generic CP)

B.2.1. Description of the generic CP

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

B.2.2. Compliance with the host Party's indication of activity types that it would approve

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Version 01.0 Page 6 of 32

Application of methodologies and standardized baselines B.2.3.1. **Application of methodologies** Means of >> validation **Findings** >> **Conclusions** >> **Application of methodological tools** B.2.3.2. Means of >> validation **Findings** >> **Conclusions** B.2.3.3. **Application of standardized baselines** Means of >> validation **Findings** >> **Conclusions** >> B.2.3.4. Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements specified by the host Party Means of >> validation **Findings** >> **Conclusions** >> B.2.3.5. Deviation from, or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool >> **Cross-effects** B.2.3.6. Means of >> validation **Findings** >> **Conclusions** >> B.2.3.7. Project boundary, sources, sinks and GHGs, and identification of leakage Means of >> validation **Findings** >>

Version 01.0 Page 7 of 32

Conclusions

>>

| $\mathbf{D} \circ \circ \circ$ | | | la a a a l! a | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|------|---------------|----------|
| D.Z.J.O. | Identification of | n me | paseime | Scenario |

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

B.2.3.9. Demonstration of additionality

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

B.2.3.10. Modalities for estimation of emission reductions and net removals

B.2.3.10.1. Equations and parameters applied to calculate emission reductions or net removals

| Tomovalo | |
|---------------------|----|
| Means of validation | >> |
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

B.2.3.10.2. Data and parameters fixed ex ante

| Means of validation | · · | |
|---------------------|-------------|--|
| Findings | s | |
| Conclusions | > | |

B.2.3.10.3. Data and parameters to be monitored

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

B.2.3.11. Modalities for developing the monitoring plan

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Version 01.0 Page 8 of 32

B.2.4. Crediting period type and duration

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

B.2.5. Environmental impacts, social impacts and sustainable development impacts

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Version 01.0 Page 9 of 32

B.2.6. Eligibility criteria for inclusion of CPs

| No. | Eligibility criterion - Category/Required condition | Means of validation | Findings | Conclusion |
|-----|--|---------------------|----------|------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Version 01.0 Page 10 of 32

SECTION C. Internal quality control

>>

SECTION D. Validation opinion

>>

Version 01.0 Page 11 of 32

APPENDIX 1 – Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Full text |
|--------------|-----------|
| >> | >> |

APPENDIX 2 – Competence of team members and technical reviewers

>>

APPENDIX 3 - Documents reviewed or referenced

| No. | Author | Title | References to the document | Provider | |
|-----|--------|-------|----------------------------|----------|--|
| >> | >> | >> | >> | >> | |

APPENDIX 4 – Clarifications requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. CLs from this validation

(Copy/paste tables for each new CL)

| (Copy/paste tables for each flew CL) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| CL ID: | >> | >> Section number: >> | | | | | | | |
| Description | >> | | | | | | | | |
| of the issue: | Requirements: | >> | Date: | Enter a date | | | | | |
| Responses | >> | | | | | | | | |
| from activity participants: | Evidences provided: | >> | Date: | Enter a date | | | | | |
| DOE | >> | | | | | | | | |
| assessment: | | | Date: | Enter a date | | | | | |

Table 2. CARs from this verification

(Copy/paste tables for each new CAR)

| CAR ID: | >> | > Section number: >> | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------|--------------|--|--|--|
| Description | >> | | | | | | |
| of the issue: | Requirements: >> | | Date: | Enter a date | | | |
| Responses | >> | | | | | | |
| from activity participants: | Evidences provided: | >> | Date: | Enter a date | | | |
| DOE | >> | | | | | | |
| assessment: | | | Date: | Enter a date | | | |

Version 01.0 Page 12 of 32

Table 3. FARs from this verification

(Copy/paste tables for each new FAR)

| FAR ID: | >> | >> Section number: >> | | | | | |
|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------|--------------|--|--|--|
| Description | >> | | | | | | |
| of the issue: | Requirements: | >> | Date: | Enter a date | | | |

Version 01.0 Page 13 of 32

ATTACHMENT. Instructions for completing this form

1. General instructions

1. Compliance with requirements, regulations and standards

- 1.1. When completing this form, comply with the "Article 6.4 mechanism validation and verification standard for programme of activities" (A6.4-STAN-AC-005)¹.
- 1.2. Include, if necessarily, additional information other than that indicated in this form in order to support how the designated operational entity (DOE) has arrived at its validation conclusions. This information may include, but need not be limited to tables, graphs and annexes such as a validation protocol.
- 1.3. List all the abbreviations used in this validation report in Appendix 1 below.

2. Working language

- 2.1. Complete this form in English.
- 2.2. Prepare all attached documents in English, or provide full translation to English of relevant sections of documents if their originals were prepared in other language.

3. Format and lay-out of the form

- 3.1. Complete this form using the same format without modifying its font, headings or logo, and without any other alteration to the form.
- 3.2. Do not modify or delete tables and their columns in this form. Add rows of the tables and copy/paste tables as needed. Add additional appendices as needed.

4. Sections not applicable

4.1. If a section of this form is not applicable, explicitly state that the section is left blank intentionally.

5. Other instructions

- 5.1. Section D.2 is to be completed for all generic CPs covered in this validation report. Replicate each section of Section D.2 per generic CP. Provide information pertaining to each generic CP, as appropriate and in accordance with the validation requirements of the validation and verification standard.
- 5.2. Use an internationally recognized format for presentation of values. For example, use digits grouping in thousands and mark a decimal point with a dot (.), not with a comma (,).
- 5.3. Complete this form removing this Attachment.

6. Acronyms

- 6.1. The following acronyms are referred to in this form:
 - (a) PoA: Article 6.4 mechanism programme of activities;
 - (b) CP: component projects;
 - (c) PoA-DD: programme of activities design document;
 - (d) CP-DD: component project design document;
 - (e) MoC: modalities of communication.

Version 01.0 Page 14 of 32

¹ The "Rules and Regulations" section of the UNFCCC Article 6.4 mechanism website (https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/bodies/constituted-bodies/article-64-supervisory-body/rules-and-regulations) contains all regulatory documents for the Article 6.4 mechanism, such as standards (including methodologies and standardized baselines), procedures, methodological tools, guidelines, clarifications and forms that are applicable to the A6.4 activity.

2. General instructions



VALIDATION REPORT FORM FOR ARTICLE 6.4 PROGRAMMES OF ACTIVITIES

(Version 01.0)

| | (0 | ersion or.c | " | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | DETAILS OF THE PROJE | CT DESIGN DOC | CUMENT | | | | |
| PoA title: | >> Provide the title of the p | >> Provide the title of the proposed PoA as in the PoA-DD. | | | | | |
| UNFCCC reference number: | >> Provide the UNFCCC unique reference number for the proposed PoA received after publishing the notification of prior consideration. | | | | | | |
| Version number of the PoA-DD to which this validation report applies | >> Provide the version nur applied. | mber of the Po | A-DD to whi | ch this validation report is | | | |
| Participating Parties and authorized activity | Type of Party | | f activity pant(s) | Parties that provided authorization | | | |
| participants: (Add/remove rows as | Choose a type of Party. | >> | | Choose a Party. | | | |
| necessary) | Choose a type of Party. | >> | | Choose a Party. | | | |
| | Choose a type of Party. | >> | | Choose a Party. | | | |
| Applied methodologies and standardized baselines, and their versions: | name of the activity participant and the name of the Party. Copy/paste a row to add more Parties/activity participants. >>> Provide the UNFCCC reference numbers, titles and versions of all applied methodologies, and where applicable, all applied standardized baselines, using one line per applied methodology or standardized baseline. | | | | | | |
| Sectoral scope(s): | >> Provide all sectoral score methodologies, using or | | | ased on the applied | | | |
| Maximum annual emission reductions or | Participating Pa | arties | Maximum annual emission reductions or net removals | | | | |
| net removals over the crediting period approved | Choose a Party. | | >> | | | | |
| by the host Parties | Choose a Party. | | >> | | | | |
| (tCO₂e/year) (add/remove rows as | () | | () | | | | |
| needed) | TOTAL | | >> | | | | |
| | For each host Party, provide the approved maximum annual amount of emission reductions or net removals to be achieved by the CPs implemented during the PoA lifetime. | | | | | | |
| | DETAILS OF THE VA | ALIDATION REPO | ORT | | | | |
| Version number and date of completion of the validation report: | | Provide the ve | ersion numbe | er of this validation report. | | | |

Version 01.0 Page 15 of 32

| Name and UNFCCC reference number of the DOE: | >> Enter the UNFCCC reference number and the name of the DOE. |
|---|---|
| Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report | >> Enter the name and include the digital signature of the approver of the validation report. |

Version 01.0 Page 16 of 32

SECTION A. Executive summary

>>

Provide a summary of the project (including the purpose and general description and location), scope of the validation, validation process and conclusion.

SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver

B.1. Validation team members

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

| | | | | | | Ir | volv | /ed i | n |
|-----|---------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------|---|----------------------|--------------------|------------|---------------------|
| No. | Role | Type of resource ¹ | Last Name | First Name | Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity) | Desk/document review | On-site inspection | Interviews | Validation findings |
| >> | Chose an item | Ch oos e an ite m. | >> | >> | ^ | | | | |

Provide the details of the validation team members.

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

| No. | Role | Type of resource | Last Name | First Name | Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity) |
|-----|-----------------|------------------|-----------|------------|---|
| >> | Choose an item. | >> | >> | >> | >> |

¹ Use of one of the following abbreviations referring to the standard "Article 6.4 accreditation":

Version 01.0 Page 17 of 32

⁽a) IR (Internal Resource);

⁽b) EI (External Individuals);

⁽c) OR (Outsourced Resource).

Provide the details of the technical reviewer and approver of the validation report.

SECTION C. Means of validation

C.1. Desk/documents review

>> Explain how the desk/document review process was conducted, including summarizing the different types of documents reviewed as part of the validation process. List all documents reviewed or referenced during the validation in Appendix 3 below.

C.2. On-site inspection

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

| | Duration of on-site inspection: | Enter a date. to Enter | r a date. | |
|-----|---------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| No. | Activity performed on-site | Site Location | Date | Team member |
| >> | >> | >> | Enter a date | >> |

Summarize any on-site inspection performed during the validation in the table.

If the DOE have not conducted an on-site inspection, describe the alternative means used and justify that they are sufficient for the purpose of validation in accordance with applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard.

C.3. Interviews

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

| No. | Interviewee | | | Data | Subject | Team | |
|-----|-------------|------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------|--|
| | Last Name | First Name | Affiliation | Date | Subject | Member | |
| >> | >> | >> | >> | Enter a date. | >> | >> | |

Summarize all the interviews (i.e. in-person interviews, web/teleconferences, etc.) conducted during the validation in the table.

C.4. Sampling approach

>>

Follow the instructions below:

- 1. Sampling used for the validation of PoAs:
 - Summarize the sampling approach used during the validation (e.g. random sampling).
- 2. Sampling used for on-site inspection
 - Include a description of how the sample size was determined and field check was carried out.

C.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs)

| Area of validation findings | No. of CLs | No. of CARs | No. of FARs |
|-----------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | | |

Version 01.0 Page 18 of 32

| PART I – PoA-DD | | | |
|--|----|----|----|
| | | T | |
| Demonstration of prior consideration of the Article 6.4 mechanism | >> | >> | >> |
| Compliance with the host Party's indication of activity types that it would approve | >> | >> | >> |
| Description of the PoA | >> | >> | >> |
| Avoidance of double or revived registration | >> | >> | >> |
| Management system | >> | >> | >> |
| Start date and duration of the PoA | >> | >> | >> |
| Integrity safeguards | >> | >> | >> |
| Global stakeholder consultation | >> | >> | >> |
| Host Party approval | >> | >> | >> |
| Host Party/other participating Party authorization | >> | >> | >> |
| Modalities of communication | >> | >> | >> |
| PART II – Generic CP-DD | | | |
| Description of the generic CP | >> | >> | >> |
| Compliance with the host Party's indication of activity types that it would approve | >> | >> | >> |
| Application and selection methodologies and standardized baselines | | | |
| Application of methodologies | >> | >> | >> |
| - Application of methodological tools | >> | >> | >> |
| - Application of standardized baselines | >> | >> | >> |
| Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements specified by the host Party | | >> | |
| - Deviation from, or revision of, the selected >> >> methodology or methodological tool | | >> | |
| - Cross-effects | >> | >> | >> |
| Project boundary, sources, sinks and GHGs, and identification of leakage | >> | >> | >> |
| - Identification of the baseline scenario | >> | >> | >> |
| - Demonstration of additionality | >> | >> | >> |
| Modalities for estimation of emission reductions or net removals | >> | >> | >> |
| Equations and parameters applied to calculate emission reductions or net removals | | | |
| - Data and parameters fixed ex ante | | | |
| - Modalities for developing the monitoring Plan | >> | >> | >> |
| Crediting period type and duration | >> | >> | >> |
| | | | |

Version 01.0 Page 19 of 32

| Environmental impacts, social impacts and sustainable development impacts | >> | >> | >> |
|---|----|----|----|
| Eligibility criteria for inclusion | >> | >> | >> |
| Others (specify) | >> | >> | >> |
| TOTAL | >> | >> | >> |

Indicate the number of CLs, CARs, and FARs raised for each of the items above. Provide the details (description, responses from activity participants and outcome from the DOE) in Appendix 4.

SECTION D. Validation of compliance with specific requirements for registration

Complete the tables in this section to validate the compliance with each specific requirement for registration in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard by describing:

- (a) Means of validation: describe how the compliance was validated.
- (b) <u>Findings:</u> provide a brief description of the findings. Include in **Error! Reference source not found.** details of any CLs, CARs and FARs, if raised.
- (c) <u>Conclusion:</u> provide a conclusion on the compliance based on the findings.

D.1. Programme of Activities

D.1.1. Demonstration of prior consideration of the Article 6.4 mechanism

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Means of validation | >> |
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain when the notification of prior consideration was submitted to the UNFCCC secretariat in accordance with the applicable requirements of the activity cycle procedure.

D.1.2. Compliance with the host Party's indication of activity types that it would approve

| • | | • | J J . | • • • |
|---------------------|----|---|--------------|-------|
| Means of validation | >> | | | |
| Findings | >> | | | |
| Conclusions | >> | | | |

Explain whether the type of component projects (CPs) envisaged by the A6.4 PoA are within the types of activities indicated by the host Party that it would approve. Refer to the information contained in the form 'Host Party participation requirements for Article 6.4 mechanism' submitted by the host Party to the secretariat and uploaded in the UNFCCC website in accordance with the applicable requirements of the activity cycle procedure, the activity standard and the validation and verification standard.

Version 01.0 Page 20 of 32

D.1.3. Description of the PoA

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain how the description of the PoA was assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the description of the PoA in the validation and verification standard, including:

- (a) The policy/measure or stated goal that the proposed PoA seeks to promote.
- (b) The framework developed for the implementation of the proposed PoA.
- (c) The physical/geographical boundary of the proposed PoA.
- (d) Confirming that a generic CP part of a PoA-DD has been prepared for each technology/measure, each methodology and each combination thereof, or that technologies/measures have been combined in one generic CP-DD in accordance with the relevant requirements in the activity standard.
- (e) Describing the process undertaken to validate the accuracy and completeness of the description in the PoA-DD.
- (f) Stating the opinion on the accuracy and completeness of the description in the PoA-DD.

D.1.4. Avoidance of double or revived registration

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain how the compliance with the requirement relating to double or revived registration contained in the activity standard was assessed based on the publicly available information and/or the information provided by the activity participants.

D.1.5. Management system

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain how it has validated that the management system developed for the proposed PoA is in compliance with the requirements of the activity standard.

D.1.6. Start date and duration of the PoA

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

State whether the start date and duration of the PoA period were determined as per the requirements of the activity standard.

Version 01.0 Page 21 of 32

D.1.7. Integrity safeguards

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

State whether the activity participants prepared a declaration on the development, implementation or operation of the project does not involve any illegal activities, including money laundering, tax evasion, fraud, bribery and criminal activities.

D.1.8. Host Party approval

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

State whether the DNA of the host Party/ies has/have provided an approval of the proposed PoA through the dedicated interface on the UNFCCC website in accordance with the activity cycle procedure.

D.1.9. Host Party/other participating Parties authorization

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

State whether each activity participant listed in the PoA-DD has been authorized to participate in the proposed PoA by the host Party or other participating Party through the dedicated interface on the UNFCCC website in accordance with the activity cycle procedure.

D.1.10. Modalities of communication

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain how the modalities of communication (MoC) statement was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.

D.1.11. Global stakeholder consultation

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain how the global stakeholder consultation process was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.

Version 01.0 Page 22 of 32

D.2. Generic component project

(This section is to be completed for all generic CPs covered in the PoA-DD; duplicate this section for each additional generic CP)

D.2.1. Description of the generic CP

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain how the description of the generic CP was assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard, including:

- (a) The technologies/measures to be deployed and/or implemented by the corresponding CP.
- (b) The geographical boundary.
- (c) The project boundary.
- (d) The baseline scenario.

D.2.2. Compliance with the host Party's indication of activity types that it would approve

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain whether the type of projects covered by the generic CP is within the types of activities indicated by the host Party that it would approve. Refer to the information contained in the form 'Host Party participation requirements for Article 6.4 mechanism' submitted by the host Party to the secretariat and uploaded in the UNFCCC website in accordance with the applicable requirements of the activity cycle procedure, the activity standard and the validation and verification standard.

D.2.3. Application of methodologies and standardized baselines

D.2.3.1. Application of methodologies

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Follow the instructions below to validate this requirement:

- 1. Application of methodology
 - Explain how the application of methodologies to the design of the proposed generic CP was assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.
- 2. Validity of the methodology:
 - Confirm that the selected versions of methodologies are valid at the time of submission of the proposed PoA for registration.
- 3. Host Party requirements:
 - Explain how the compatibility of the application of the methodologies with the methodological requirements that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(a) of the RMPs, if applicable, was validated.

Version 01.0 Page 23 of 32

D.2.3.2. Application of methodological tools

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Follow the instructions below to validate this requirement:

- 1. Application of methodology
 - Explain how the application of the methodological tools quoted by the selected methodologies to the design of the proposed generic CP was assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.
- 2. Validity of the methodological tool:
 - Confirm that the selected versions of the methodological tools quoted by the selected methodologies are valid at the time of submission of the proposed PoA for registration.
- 3. Host Party requirements:
 - Explain how the compatibility of the application of the methodological tools quoted by the selected methodologies with the methodological requirements that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(a) of the RMPs, if applicable, was validated.

D.2.3.3. Application of standardized baselines

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Follow the instructions below to validate this requirement:

- 1. Application of standardized baselines
 - Explain how the demonstration of the application of selected standardized baselines to the generic CP was assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.
- 2. Validity of the methodological tool:
 - Confirm that the selected versions of the selected standardized baselines are valid at the time of submission of the proposed PoA for registration.
- 3. Host Party requirements:
 - Explain how the demonstration of the compatibility of the application of the standardized baselines with the methodological requirements that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(a) of the RMPs, if applicable, was validated.

D.2.3.4. Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements specified by the host Party

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Follow the instructions below to validate this requirement:

1. Application of baseline approaches

Version 01.0 Page 24 of 32

• Explain how the demonstration of the compatibility of the baseline approach identified for the corresponding CPs with the baseline approaches specified by the host Party, if applicable, was validated in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard. If a standardized baseline is applied to the corresponding CPs, just indicate so by referring to section D.2.3.3.

2. Host Party requirements:

• Explain how the demonstration of the compatibility with other methodological requirements specified by the host Party, if applicable, was assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.

D.2.3.5. Deviation from, or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool

Indicate whether a clarification was sought or a request for revision was requested for the selected methodology, methodological tool or any other applied methodological regulatory document prior to the submission of a request for registration or publication of the PoA-DD for GSC, including the date of approval of such request.

D.2.3.6. Cross-effects

| 21210101 01000 0110010 | |
|------------------------|----|
| Means of validation | >> |
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

If the generic CP applies a combination of methodologies/measures not covered by a single methodology, explain how it has validated any potential cross-effects and the impact in the calculation of GHG emission reductions as per the requirements of the activity standard.

D.2.3.7. Project boundary, sources, sinks and GHGs, and identification of leakage

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain how the description of how to define the project boundary of each of the corresponding CPs, including which sources and GHGs are to be included in the project boundary under which conditions or circumstances, was validated in accordance with the applied methodologies and the applied standardized baselines as per the applicable validation requirements of the validation and verification standard.

D.2.3.8. Identification of the baseline scenario

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain how the description of how to establish the baseline scenario for each of the corresponding CPs was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements in the validation requirements of the validation and verification standard.

Version 01.0 Page 25 of 32

D.2.3.9. Demonstration of additionality

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain how the description to demonstrate additionality for corresponding CPs ensures that the CPs would not have occurred in the absence of the incentives from the mechanism, taking into account all relevant national policies, including legislation, and representing mitigation that exceeds any mitigation that is required by law or regulation, and taking a conservative approach that avoids locking in levels of emissions, technologies or carbon-intensive practices incompatible with paragraph 33 of the RMPs', was assessed in accordance with the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the applied methodological tools for demonstration of additionality, as well as baseline approaches and other methodological requirements, including additionality specified by the host Party and in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard.

D.2.3.10. Modalities for estimation of emission reductions and net removals

D.2.3.10.1. Equations and parameters applied to calculate emission reductions or net removals

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain how the description to undertake ex-ante and ex post calculation of baseline, project and leakage emissions or net removals, as well as emission reductions or net removals, including equations and parameters, were assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.

D.2.3.10.2. Data and parameters fixed ex ante

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain how the determination that all data sources and assumptions are appropriate and applicable to the corresponding CPs, and should result in an accurate or otherwise conservative estimate of GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals, and are in accordance with the applicable requirements of the applied methodologies, standardized baselines and the other applied methodological regulatory documents to calculate baseline emissions/removals, project emissions/removals and leakage as well as GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals are in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard and the activity standard.

D.2.3.10.3. Data and parameters to be monitored

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Follow the instructions below to validate this requirement:

Version 01.0 Page 26 of 32

- 1. Modalities for estimation of data and parameters to be monitored ex post
 - Explain how it has validated that the modalities for estimation of data and parameters to be monitored
 ex post and provided in the generic CP-DD are reasonable and whether they were determined as
 per the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the other applied
 methodological regulatory documents.

2. Use of sampling

• If the applied methodologies require that any of these estimates be determined in accordance with the standard for sampling and surveys for A6.4 activities to be developed by the Supervisory Body, explain how it has determined whether the generic CP-DD states the necessity of conducting such sampling in accordance with this standard.

D.2.3.11. Modalities for developing the monitoring plan

| | dania de l'el die relepting and mermoning plant |
|---------------------|---|
| Means of validation | >> |
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Follow the instructions below to explain how it has assessed that:

- 1. Description on how to develop a monitoring plan
 - The description on how to develop a monitoring plan complies with the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the other applied methodological regulatory documents.
- 2. Modalities for estimation of data and parameters to be monitored ex post
 - The modalities for estimation of data and parameters to be monitored ex post and provided in the generic CP-DD are reasonable and whether they were determined as per the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the other applied methodological regulatory documents.
- 3. Monitoring arrangements
 - The monitoring arrangements described in the monitoring plan are feasible within the project design of the generic CP.
- 4. Means of implementation of the monitoring plan
 - The means of implementation of the monitoring plan, including the data management and quality assurance and quality control procedures, are sufficient to ensure that GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals can be reported ex post and verified.

D.2.4. Crediting period type and duration

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain whether the type and the length of the crediting period of corresponding CPs covered by the generic CP-DDs are in line with those that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(b) of the RMPs, if applicable, and with the activity standard.

Version 01.0 Page 27 of 32

D.2.5. Environmental impacts, social impacts and sustainable development impacts

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

State how it has validated that each generic component indicates:

- How the requirements of the SD tool will be applied at CP level.
- How the analysis of the environmental and social impacts and sustainable development impacts will be conducted at the CP level; and
- How the environmental, social and sustainable development impacts will be monitored.

Version 01.0 Page 28 of 32

D.2.6. Eligibility criteria for inclusion of CPs

| No. | Eligibility criterion - Category/Required condition | Means of validation | Findings | Conclusion |
|-----|--|---------------------|----------|------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Follow the instructions below to explain how it has validated that:

- 1. Assessment against the activity standard:
 - The eligibility criteria for inclusion of corresponding CPs in the proposed PoA were assessed in accordance with the activity standard.
- 2. Definition of eligibility criterion:
 - Each eligibility criterion category, including the conditions that corresponding CPs meet the requirement pertaining to the demonstration of additionality, is defined in accordance with the applicable requirements in the project standard, and is verifiable as well as sufficiently objective and comprehensive to permit the assessment of the inclusion of corresponding CPs in the PoA
- 3. Definition and verifiability of eligibility criterion:
 - Explain how it has assessed that each eligibility criterion is defined in accordance with the applicable requirements in the activity standard, is verifiable and is sufficiently objective and comprehensive.

Version 01.0 Page 29 of 32

SECTION E. Internal quality control

>>

Provide information on quality control within the team and in the validation process.

SECTION F. Validation opinion

>>

Provide a validation opinion that includes:

- (a) A summary of the validation method and the process used and the validation criteria applied.
- (b) A summary of the validation conclusions.
- (c) A statement on the validation of the estimated GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals.
- (d) A statement on whether the A6.4 PoA meets all applicable Article 6.4 mechanism rules and requirements, including reasons.

Version 01.0 Page 30 of 32

APPENDIX 1 – Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Full text |
|--------------|-----------|
| >> | >> |

Use the table above to list all the abbreviations used in this report.

APPENDIX 2 - Competence of team members and technical reviewers

>>

Provide documentation to substantiate the required competence of validation team members and technical reviewers

APPENDIX 3 - Documents reviewed or referenced

| No. | Author | Title | References to the document | Provider |
|-----|--------|-------|----------------------------|----------|
| >> | >> | >> | >> | >> |

Use the table above to list all documents reviewed or referenced during the validation including Article 6.4 mechanism regulatory documents. For each document, indicate the following:

- (a) Title: provide the title of the document. Include the version number, if applicable.
- (b) Author: provide the name(s) of the author(s). Where the author(s) belong(s) to the organization(s) that issue the document, provide only the name(s) of the organization(s).
- (c) References to the document: where applicable, provide the relevant reference to the document such as the dates of completion/publication and URL.
- (d) Provider: choose one of the following options to indicate who provided the document to the DOE for its review. Select 'Others' for documents that were provided by those other than the project participants.

APPENDIX 4 – Clarifications requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 4. CLs from this validation

(Copy/paste tables for each new CL)

| (00)//paste tables to 000///00//00/ | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----|--------------------|--------------|
| CL ID: | >> | | Section number: >> | |
| Description | >> | | | |
| of the issue: | Requirements: | >> | Date: | Enter a date |
| Responses from activity participants: | >> | | | |
| | Evidences provided: | >> | Date: | Enter a date |
| DOE | >> | | | |
| assessment: | | | Date: | Enter a date |

Version 01.0 Page 31 of 32

If needed, copy the table above for each CL, and copy the following rows until the finding is closed:

- (a) Responses from activity participants.
- (b) DOE assessment.
- (c) In each table, indicate the section number of the verification and certification report to which each CL corresponds.

Table 5. CARs from this verification

(Copy/paste tables for each new CAR)

| CAR ID: | >> Section number: >> | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|----|-------|--------------|
| Description | >> | | | |
| of the issue: | Requirements: | >> | Date: | Enter a date |
| Responses from activity participants: | >> | | | |
| | Evidences provided: | >> | Date: | Enter a date |
| DOE | >> | | | |
| assessment: | | | Date: | Enter a date |

If needed, copy the table above for each CAR, and copy the following rows until the finding is closed.

- (a) Responses from activity participants.
- (b) DOE assessment.
- (c) In each table, indicate the section number of the verification and certification report to which each CL corresponds.

Table 6. FARs from this verification

(Copy/paste tables for each new FAR)

| | , | | | |
|---------------|---|----|-------|--------------|
| FAR ID: | >> Section | | | n number: >> |
| Description | >> | | | |
| of the issue: | Requirements: | >> | Date: | Enter a date |

If needed, copy the table above for each FAR. In each table, indicate the section number of the verification and certification report to which each FAR corresponds.

- - - - -

Document information

| Version | Date | Description |
|---------|----------------|---------------------------------------|
| 01.0 | 9 January 2025 | Initial publication of form template. |

Decision Class: Regulatory Document Type: Form

Business Function: A6.4 activity cycle

Keywords: A6.4 mechanism, A6.4 programme of activities, project implementation, validation report

Version 01.0 Page 32 of 32